

A. Mamourian

LINEAR BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM  
OF A DEGENERATE ELLIPTIC SYSTEM  
OF PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

**Abstract.** By application of function theoretic methods in partial differential equations (PDE), a nonlinear system of equations, elliptic in the sense of Lavrentiev with a linear boundary condition is investigated. Existence, uniqueness and stability for the boundary value problem (BVP) with degeneration of ellipticity have been proved.

In this paper, we consider the nonlinear system of first order type equations

$$(1) \quad w_{\bar{z}} = F(\theta(z)w_z) \quad \text{in } D,$$

where  $w = w(z) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$ ,  $z = x + iy$ ,  $w_{\bar{z}} = \frac{\partial w}{\partial \bar{z}}$ ,  $w_z = \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}$  and  $\theta(z)$ ,  $F(\eta)$  are complex valued functions. We assume that  $D$  is a simply connected Liapounov region with the boundary contour  $L$ . Clearly, equation (1) contains the complex form of the Cauchy–Riemann system  $w_{\bar{z}} = 0$ .

We suppose that system (1) satisfies the following condition.

Condition  $C_1$ :

(I)  $F$  fulfills the following inequality

$$(2) \quad |F(\eta_1) - F(\eta_2)| \leq q(|\eta_1 - \eta_2|)|\eta_1 - \eta_2|,$$

where  $q \leq 1$ ;

(II)  $q$  as a function of  $\beta = |\eta_1 - \eta_2|$  is continuous in  $[0, \infty)$ ;  $q(\beta) < 1$  in  $(0, \infty)$ ; the function  $\beta q^2(\beta)$  is increasing and concave;

(III)  $\theta(z)$  is assumed to be measurable function belonging to the class  $L_{\infty}(D)$ .

Concerning the nonlinear system (1), we investigate the following boundary value problem:

## Problem A

$$(3) \quad \operatorname{Re} |\overline{a(t)}w(t)| = \gamma(t) \quad \text{on } L,$$

where the coefficients  $a, \gamma$  are given functions on the boundary  $L$ .

Similarly as in the Riemann-Hilbert problem for uniformly elliptic system of equations, the index corresponding to the problem  $A$  is defined as follows

$$(4) \quad n = \operatorname{ind} a = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L d(\log a(t)).$$

**REMARK 1.** Let us recall that, in the classical Riemann-Hilbert boundary values problems of the type (1)–(3), relative to the uniform ellipticity of the nonlinear system of equations of Lavrentiev type, the solution  $w$  is sought in the Sobolev space  $W_p^1(D)$ , for some  $p > 2$ . For the equation (1) in the case of non-uniform ellipticity (2), we shall not apply the  $L_p$ -theory directly to the proof of the existence. Therefore formulation of the boundary values problem  $A$ , involves the weak boundary condition (see also [2]).

We shall make the usual assumptions for the coefficients  $a$  and  $\gamma$ , i.e.

**Condition  $C_2$ :**  $a, \gamma$  are Hölder continuous on the boundary  $L, a \neq 0$ , we assume also that  $|\theta(z)| < 1$ .

The number

$$(5) \quad q_0 = \limsup_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} (q(\beta)) < 1,$$

is called the ellipticity coefficient corresponding to the boundary values problem  $A$  and  $q_0$  shows, how fast the gradient (see [2]) may approach the infinity and consequently this coefficient will influence the exponent  $p > 2$  (see also Proposition 1) of integrability of the gradient. Moreover the exponent of the Hölder continuity of the solutions depends on  $q_0$  (see Corollary 1).

**REMARK 2.** If  $F = 0$  in (1), and the index  $n$  corresponding to the boundary values problem (1)–(3) is negative, then the non-homogeneous problem  $A$  is solvable if and only if the following condition holds

$$(6) \quad \int_L a(t)\psi(t)\gamma(t)dt = 0,$$

where  $\psi$  is an arbitrary solution of the homogeneous boundary value problem adjoint to the problem  $A$  (see for instance [5] or [6]).

**PROPOSITION 1.** *If conditions  $C_1, C_2$  and (6) are satisfied, and  $n < 0$ ;*

1) *then there exists a solution (in  $W_p^1(D)$ , for some  $p > 2$ ) of the problem  $A$ ;*

2) *this solution is unique.*

**Proof.** Making use of the representation formula for the solution  $w$ :

$$(7) \quad w = T(\omega) + \varrho(z),$$

(see for instance [1]), where  $T(\omega) = (T(\omega))_D$ ,  $\omega \in L_p(D)$ ,  $p \geq 2$  and  $\varrho(z)$  is the solution of the boundary value problem (1)–(3) in the case of  $F = 0$ , we observe that the operator  $T$  depending on the index  $n$ , fulfils the homogeneous boundary condition corresponding to (3) on  $L$ , when  $z \rightarrow t$ ,  $(z \in D, t \in L)$ . Moreover  $\frac{\partial T(\omega)}{\partial z} = \omega(z)$ .

Denoting  $S\omega = S(\omega) = \frac{\partial T(\omega)}{\partial z}$ , since  $n < 0$ , we conclude that the  $L_2$ -norm of  $S$  is equal to 1, also  $S$  is a bounded operator from  $L_p(D)$ ,  $p > 1$ , into itself and continuity of  $\|S\|_p$  with respect to  $p > 1$  can be proved by applying the well-known Riesz–Thorin convexity theorem.

In view of (2), (7), we obtain the following equation for  $\omega$ :

$$(8) \quad \omega = F(\theta S(\omega) + \theta \varrho').$$

We solve the singular integral equation (8), using the successive approximation method (at first we assume  $\omega \in L_2(D)$ ).

**REMARK 3.** The norm  $\|\cdot\|$  is defined by

$$(9) \quad \|\omega\|_{L_2(D)} = \left( \frac{1}{|D|} \int_D |\omega(z)|^2 d\sigma_z \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

To prove the existence of  $\omega$ , let us assume that

$$(10) \quad \omega_{k+1} = F(\theta S(\omega_k) + \theta \varrho') + F(\theta S\omega_k + \theta \varrho'),$$

$\omega_0, k = 0, 1, \dots$ . Then from concavity property of  $\eta q^2(\eta)$  and the well-known Jenssen inequality, since  $n < 0$ ,  $\|S\|_2 = 1$ , we obtain (see also [2])

$$(11) \quad \|\omega_{k+1} - \omega_{j+1}\| < q(\|\omega_k - \omega_j\|) \|\omega_k - \omega_j\|, k, j = 0, 1, \dots$$

In particular, (9) indicates that  $\|\omega_{k+1} - \omega_k\|$  decreases and converges and converges to zero, since  $q(\beta)$  is assumed to be continuous and less than 1 (see condition  $C_1$ ) for  $\beta \in (0, \infty)$ .

In accordance with the above results, the Cauchy condition for the sequence  $\omega_k$  in the topology of  $L_2(D)$  has been proved. Since  $F(\eta)$  is continuous, the function  $\omega = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \omega_k$  fulfils the equation (10).

Now assume that  $p$  satisfies the following inequality

$$(12) \quad q_0 \|S\|_p < 1.$$

Then we can conclude that  $\omega$  belongs to  $L_p(D)$  and the solution  $w$  of the problem  $A$  belongs to the Sobolev space  $W_p^1(D)$ ,  $p > 2$ .

In order to prove the uniqueness, suppose that  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  are the solutions of equation (8), then, according to the condition  $C_1$ , we observe that

$$(13) \quad \|\omega_1 - \omega_2\| < q(\|\omega_1 - \omega_2\|) \|\omega_1 - \omega_2\|.$$

Now, in view of the properties of the function  $q$ , we have  $\omega_1 = \omega_2$  almost everywhere.

**COROLLARY 1.** *If  $a, \gamma \in C_\alpha(L)$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , then the solution  $w$  of the problem  $A$ , belongs to the class  $C_v(D + L)$ , where  $v = \min(\alpha, 1 - \frac{1}{p})$ .*

If we omit the assumption of simple connectedness of the domain, then under some suitable formulation, problem  $A$  can be extended to multiply-connected domains.

### References

- [1] H. Begehr and G. C. Hsiao, *The Hilbert boundary value problem for nonlinear elliptic systems*, Proc. Roy. Soc. of Edinburgh, 94A, (1983), 97–112.
- [2] T. Iwaniec and A. Mamourian, *On the first order nonlinear differential systems with degeneration of ellipticity*, Proc. of Sec. Finish-Polish Summer School in Complex Analysis. Jyvaskyla, Edited by J. Lawrynowicz and O. Martio, (1984), 40–52.
- [3] E. Lanckau and W. Tutschke, *Complex Analysis, Methods, Trends and Applications*, North Oxford Acad. (1985).
- [4] A. Mamourian, *First order nonlinear system of Lavrentiev type equations and Hilbert BVP*, in: Proc. of Asia Vibr. Conf. Edited by W. Bangchun, and T. Iwatsubo, Shenzhen, Northeast Univ. of Tech. (1989), 735–738.
- [5] A. Mamourian, *On a mixed boundary values problem for Lavrentiev type equations*, Ann. Polon. Math., 45, (1985), 149–156.
- [6] A. Mamourian, *BVP of a non-uniformly elliptic system of partial differential equations*, Demonstratio Math. 26, (1993), 735–741.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 FACULTY OF SCIENCES  
 UNIVERSITY OF TEHRAN  
 14174 TEHRAN, IRAN

*Received December 18, 1997; revised version June 30, 1999.*