

Marek Adamczak

ON COMPACTNESS  
 OF  $C^{(n)}$ -ALMOST PERIODIC FUNCTIONS

**Abstract.** In this paper we give a compactness criterion for  $C^{(n)}$ -almost periodic functions. Some properties of these functions are shown.

**1. Preliminaries**

We first recall the basic notations related to Steklov functions and  $C^{(n)}$ -almost periodic functions.

For a given positive number  $h$  and for a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which is locally integrable, put

$$S_f(h)(u) = \frac{1}{2h} \int_{u-h}^{u+h} f(s)ds, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then  $S_f(h)$  is called *the Steklov function* for  $f$ .

Let  $C^{(n)}(\mathbb{R})$  be the set of functions from  $\mathbb{R}$  into itself with  $n$ -th continuous derivative.

It is easily seen that if  $f \in C^{(n)}(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $S_f(h) \in C^{(n+1)}(\mathbb{R})$ .

Let us put for  $f \in C^{(n)}(\mathbb{R})$

$$D^{(n)}(f) = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left( |f(t)| + \sum_{k=1}^n |f^{(k)}(t)| \right).$$

We say that an  $f \in C^{(n)}(\mathbb{R})$  is  $C^{(n)}$ -*bounded* iff  $D^{(n)}(f) < \infty$ . Let  $f_h(x) \equiv f(x + h)$ . We say that  $f$  is a  $C^{(n)}$ -*continuous function* iff  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} D^{(n)}(f - f_h) = 0$ . A sequence  $(f_k)$  in  $C^{(n)}(\mathbb{R})$  will be called  $D^{(n)}$ -*convergent* to  $f$  iff  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} D^{(n)}(f - f_k) = 0$ .

---

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 42A75.

Key words and phrases: uniformly almost periodic function, derivative of order  $n$ , indefinite integral, compactness.

A set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  is called *relatively dense* iff there exists a positive number  $l$  such that in every open interval  $(\alpha, \alpha + l)$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , there is at least one element of the set  $A$ . A number  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  is called a  $(D^{(n)}, \varepsilon)$ -*almost period*  $((D^{(n)}, \varepsilon)\text{-a.p.})$  of a function  $f \in C^{(n)}(\mathbb{R})$  iff  $D^{(n)}(f - f_\tau) \leq \varepsilon$ , for  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let  $E^{(n)}\{\varepsilon; f\}$  denote the set of  $(D^{(n)}, \varepsilon)$ -a.p. periods of  $f$ . A function  $f \in C^{(n)}(\mathbb{R})$  is called  $C^{(n)}$ -*almost periodic* ( $C^{(n)}$ -a.p.) iff for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  the set  $E^{(n)}\{\varepsilon; f\}$  is relatively dense. By  $\widetilde{C^{(n)}}$  we denote the set of  $C^{(n)}$ -a.p. functions.

Basic properties and examples of  $C^{(n)}$ -a.p. functions may be found in [1].

## 2. More properties of $C^{(n)}$ -a.p. functions

In this section we prove some theorems on  $C^{(n)}$ -a.p. periodicity of functions and we give an example of a  $C^{(1)}$ -bounded and a  $C^{(1)}$ -continuous function which is not  $C^{(1)}$ -a.p.

**REMARK 1.** A function  $f$  is  $C^{(n)}$ -a.p. if and only if  $f, f', \dots, f^{(n)}$  are uniformly a.p. functions.

**THEOREM 1.** *The following statements hold:*

- (i) *If  $f$  is a  $C^{(n)}$ -a.p. function, then  $S_f(h)$  is a  $C^{(n+1)}$ -a.p. function.*
- (ii) *If  $f$  is a  $C^{(n)}$ -continuous function, then  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} D^{(n)}(f - S_f(h)) = 0$ .*

**P r o o f.** (i) Let  $f \in \widetilde{C^{(n)}}$ . Then for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and for  $\tau \in E^{(n)}\{\varepsilon h/(h+1); f\}$  with  $h > 0$  we have

$$|S_f(h)(t) - S_{f_\tau}(h)(t)| \leq \varepsilon \frac{h}{h+1}.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & D^{(n+1)}(S_f(h) - S_{f_\tau}(h)) \\ & \leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |S_f(h)(t) - S_{f_\tau}(h)(t)| + \frac{1}{h} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{k=0}^n |f^{(k)}(t) - f_\tau^{(k)}(t)| \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This means that  $E^{(n)}\{\varepsilon h/(h+1); f\} \subset E^{(n+1)}\{\varepsilon; S_f(h)\}$ . Since  $S_f(h) \in C^{(n+1)}(\mathbb{R})$ , so  $S_f(h) \in \widetilde{C^{(n+1)}}$ .

- (ii) For each  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t) - S_f(h)(t)| & \leq \frac{1}{2h} \int_{-h}^h |f(t) - f(s+t)| ds, \\ |f^{(k)}(t) - S_f(h)^{(k)}(t)| & \leq \frac{1}{2h} \int_{-h}^h \left| f^{(k)}(t) - \frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k} f(s+t) \right| ds \end{aligned}$$

for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Since  $f$  is  $C^{(n)}$ -continuous, so for an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $D^{(n)}(f - f_s) \leq \varepsilon$  for  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $|s| < \delta$ . Thus for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $h > 0$  such that  $|s| \leq h < \delta$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D^{(n)}(f - S_f(h)) &\leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{1}{2h} \int_{-h}^h |f(t) - f(s+t)| ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2h} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{-h}^h \left| f^{(k)}(t) - \frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k} f(s+t) \right| ds \right) \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (ii). ■

**PROPOSITION 1.** *If  $f$  is a  $C^{(n)}$ -continuous function and the indefinite integral  $F(u) = \int_0^u f(s) ds$  for  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  is  $C^{(n)}$ -a.p., then  $f$  is a  $C^{(n)}$ -a.p. function.*

**Proof.** For each  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  we denote

$$G_m(t) = \frac{F(t + h_m) - F(t)}{h_m} \quad \text{for } h_m \neq 0, h_m \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let us observe that  $G_m \in \widetilde{C^{(n)}}$ , because  $F \in \widetilde{C^{(n)}}$  (see [1], Th.3). We have

$$D^{(n)}(G_m - f) = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} (I_1(t) + I_2(t)),$$

where

$$I_1(t) = \left| \frac{1}{h_m} \int_0^{h_m} (f(s+t) - f(t)) ds \right| \leq \frac{1}{|h_m|} \int_0^{h_m} |f(s+t) - f(t)| ds = J_1(t)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(t) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left| \frac{1}{h_m} \int_0^{h_m} \left( \frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k} f(s+t) - f^{(k)}(t) \right) ds \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{|h_m|} \int_0^{h_m} \left| \frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k} f(s+t) - f^{(k)}(t) \right| ds = \sum_{k=1}^n J_2(k, t). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since  $f$  is  $C^{(n)}$ -continuous, hence for an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist an  $M > 0$  and a  $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that  $|h_m| < \delta$  for every  $m > M$  and we have

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} J_1(t) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} J_2(k, t) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{n+1}$$

for every  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Therefore  $D^{(n)}(G_m - f) \leq \varepsilon$  for every  $m > M$ . Thus the sequence  $(G_m)$  of  $C^{(n)}$ -a.p. functions is  $D^{(n)}$ -convergent to  $f$ . Finally, by Theorem 5 in [1], we get  $f \in \widetilde{C^{(n)}}$ . ■

**PROPOSITION 2.** *If  $f'$  is a  $C^{(n)}$ -a.p. function and  $f$  is bounded, then  $f$  is a  $C^{(n+1)}$ -a.p. function.*

**P r o o f.** Since

$$f(t) = f(0) + \int_0^t f'(s)ds \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}$$

is bounded, so using Theorem 8 in [1] we obtain  $f \in \widetilde{C^{(n+1)}}$ . ■

Now, we shall be occupied with  $C^{(1)}$ -a.periodicity of a superposition of functions. Analogously to theorem in [2], p. 429, there holds:

**PROPOSITION 3.** *If  $E$  is a set of values of a  $C^{(1)}$ -a.p. function  $g$  and  $f$  has a uniformly continuous and bounded derivative on  $E$ , then the composition  $f \circ g$  is a  $C^{(1)}$ -a.p. function.*

**P r o o f.** We assume that  $g \in \widetilde{C^{(1)}}$ . Since  $g$  is  $C^{(1)}$ -bounded and  $f'$  is bounded on the set  $E$ , so there exists an  $M > 0$  such that  $\sup_{t \in E} |f'(g(t))|$ ,  $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |g'(t)| \leq M$ . Since  $f, f'$  are uniformly continuous on  $E$ , so for an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that for  $\tau \in E^{(1)}\{\delta; g\}$  we have

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |f^{(k)}(g(t)) - f^{(k)}(g_\tau(t))| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{1 + 2M} \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1.$$

Denote  $\Delta = \min\{\delta, \varepsilon/(1 + 2M)\}$ . Then for  $\tau \in E^{(1)}\{\Delta; g\}$  and  $G = f \circ g$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} D^{(1)}(G - G_\tau) &\leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |f(g(t)) - f(g_\tau(t))| + \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |f'(g(t))| \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |g'(t) - g'_\tau(t)| \\ &\quad + \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |g'_\tau(t)| \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |f'(g(t)) - f'(g_\tau(t))| \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $E^{(1)}\{\Delta; g\} \subset E^{(1)}\{\varepsilon; G\}$ , i.e.  $f \circ g \in C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R})$  is a  $C^{(1)}$ -a.p. function. ■

**EXAMPLE.** The function  $\arctg$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  is  $C^{(1)}$ -bounded and  $C^{(1)}$ -continuous. However, this function is not  $C^{(1)}$ -a.p.

### 3. Completeness

We denote

$$BC^{(n)}(\mathbb{R}) = \{f \in C^{(n)}(\mathbb{R}) : D^{(n)}(f) < \infty\}.$$

We know that  $\widetilde{C^{(n)}} \subsetneq BC^{(n)}(\mathbb{R})$  and the space  $\langle BC^{(n)}(\mathbb{R}), \rho^{(n)} \rangle$  is metric with respect to the metric  $\rho^{(n)}$ , where

$$\rho^{(n)}(f, g) = D^{(n)}(f - g) \quad \text{for } f, g \in BC^{(n)}(\mathbb{R})$$

(see [1]).

REMARK 2. Clearly, the metric space  $\langle BC^{(n)}(\mathbb{R}), \rho^{(n)} \rangle$  is complete. Using Theorem 5 in [1] we obtain that the metric space  $\langle \widetilde{C^{(n)}}, \rho^{(n)} \rangle$  is complete. Moreover, the space  $\langle BC_C^{(n)}(\mathbb{R}), \rho^{(n)} \rangle$ , where

$$BC_C^{(n)}(\mathbb{R}) = \{f \in BC^{(n)}(\mathbb{R}) : f \text{ is } C^{(n)}\text{-continuous}\},$$

is a complete metric space, as well (see [1], Th. 1).

#### 4. Conditional $C^{(n)}$ -compactness

We say that a family  $A \subsetneq BC^{(n)}(\mathbb{R})$  is *conditionally  $C^{(n)}$ -compact* iff the set  $A$  is conditionally compact with respect to the metric  $\rho^{(n)}$  in  $\langle BC^{(n)}(\mathbb{R}), \rho^{(n)} \rangle$ , i.e. every sequence in  $A$  includes a Cauchy subsequence.

THEOREM 2. A nonempty set  $A \subsetneq BC_C^{(n)}(\mathbb{R})$  is conditionally  $C^{(n)}$ -compact if and only if the following statements hold:

- (i) for every  $h > 0$  the family of Steklov functions  $A_h = \{S_f(h) : f \in A\}$  is conditionally  $C^{(n)}$ -compact,
- (ii) for an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an  $h > 0$  such that  $\rho^{(n)}(f, S_f(h)) < \varepsilon$  for every  $f \in A$ .

Proof. *Necessity.* We assume that  $A$  is a conditionally  $C^{(n)}$ -compact set. In the same way as in [3], p. 217, by the Hausdorff Theorem, there exists a finite  $(\varepsilon/(n+3))$ -net

$$f_1, f_2, \dots, f_l \in A$$

for the set  $A$ . Hence for every function  $f \in A$  there exists a  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$  such that

$$(1) \quad \rho^{(n)}(f, f_k) < \frac{\varepsilon}{n+3}.$$

We shall construct a finite  $(\varepsilon(n+1)/(n+3))$ -net for the family  $A_h$  of Steklov functions. Namely, for each but fixed  $h > 0$  we have

$$(2) \quad S_{f_1}(h), S_{f_2}(h), \dots, S_{f_l}(h) \in A_h$$

and for an arbitrary function  $f \in A$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^{(n)}(S_f(h), S_{f_k}(h)) &\leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |S_f(h)(t) - S_{f_k}(h)(t)| \\ &+ \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{d^i}{dt^i} (S_f(h)(t) - S_{f_k}(h)(t)) \right| < \varepsilon \frac{n+1}{n+3} \end{aligned}$$

for  $k$  satisfying (1). Hence the set (2) is a finite  $(\varepsilon(n+1)/(n+3))$ -net for the family  $A_h$  for every fixed  $h > 0$ . This means that the family  $A_h$  is conditionally  $C^{(n)}$ -compact. We have to prove still (ii). Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then, by Theorem 1, for every function  $f_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, l$ , there exists an  $h_k =$

$h_k(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that  $\rho^{(n)}(f_k, S_{f_k}(h_k)) \leq \varepsilon/(n+3)$ , because  $f_k$  is  $C^{(n)}$ -continuous. Denote  $0 < h_0 = \min\{h_k : k = 1, 2, \dots, l\}$ . From here

$$(3) \quad \rho^{(n)}(f_k, S_{f_k}(h_0)) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{n+3} \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots, l.$$

Thus for an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an  $h_0 > 0$  such that, according to (1) and (3), we conclude  $\rho^{(n)}(f, S_f(h_0)) < \varepsilon$  for every  $f \in A$ .

*Sufficiency.* Assume that conditions (i) and (ii) hold. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then, by the condition (ii), there exists an  $h = h(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that

$$(4) \quad \rho^{(n)}(f, S_f(h)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \quad \text{for every } f \in A.$$

Analogously to the proof in [3], for this  $h > 0$  we construct the set  $A_h$  which, according to (i), is conditionally  $C^{(n)}$ -compact. By the Hausdorff Theorem, there exist functions

$$S_{f_1}(h), S_{f_2}(h), \dots, S_{f_l}(h) \in A_h,$$

where  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_l \in A$  are such that for every  $S_f(h) \in A_h$  there exists a  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$  satisfying the inequality

$$(5) \quad \rho^{(n)}(S_f(h), S_{f_k}(h)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

Moreover, the family  $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_l\} \subset A$  is a finite  $\varepsilon$ -net for  $A$ , since for each  $f \in A$  there exists a  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$  such that, by (4) and (5), we have  $\rho^{(n)}(f, f_k) < \varepsilon$ . It follows that  $A \not\subseteq BC_C^{(n)}(\mathbb{R})$  is conditionally  $C^{(n)}$ -compact. The proof is complete. ■

## 5. $C^{(n)}$ -normal functions

In this section we characterize  $C^{(n)}$ -a.p. functions in the class  $BC^{(n)}(\mathbb{R})$ .

A function  $f \in BC^{(n)}(\mathbb{R})$  is called  $C^{(n)}$ -normal iff the family of functions  $f_T = \{f_h : h \in \mathbb{R}\}$  is conditionally  $C^{(n)}$ -compact.

We prove some properties of  $C^{(n)}$ -normal functions.

**THEOREM 3.** *A function  $f \in BC^{(n)}(\mathbb{R})$  is  $C^{(n)}$ -a.p. if and only if  $f$  is a  $C^{(n)}$ -normal function.*

*Proof. Necessity.* Let  $f \in \widetilde{C^{(n)}}$ . We have  $f_T \not\subseteq BC^{(n)}(\mathbb{R})$  and the metric space  $\langle BC^{(n)}(\mathbb{R}), \rho^{(n)} \rangle$  is complete. Thus, according to the Hausdorff Theorem, we only need to construct a finite  $\varepsilon$ -net for the family  $f_T$  with respect to the metric  $\rho^{(n)}$ . Namely, we know that  $f$  is a  $C^{(n)}$ -continuous function (see [1], Th. 2). Thus for an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that  $D^{(n)}(f - f_h) \leq \varepsilon/2$  for every  $h \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $|h| < \delta$ . Analogously as in [4], let  $l = l(\varepsilon) \geq \delta$  be a number which characterizes the relative density of the set  $E^{(n)}\{\varepsilon/(2+a); f\}$  with  $a > 0$ . We denote  $h_k = k\delta$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ,

where  $m$  satisfies  $m\delta \leq l < (m+1)\delta$ . Then the set

$$f_{h_1}, f_{h_2}, \dots, f_{h_m} \in f_T$$

is a finite  $\varepsilon$ -net for the family  $f_T \subset BC^{(n)}(\mathbb{R})$  with respect to the metric  $\rho^{(n)}$ . This means that  $f$  is a  $C^{(n)}$ -normal function.

*Sufficiency.* The proof is analogous to the proof in [3], p. 220. ■

Finally, we shall give theorems about  $C^{(n)}$ -normality of a linear combination of  $C^{(n)}$ -normal functions and next about  $C^{(n)}$ -normality of a product and a quotient of  $C^{(n)}$ -normal functions.

**LEMMA.** *Let  $f$  is a  $C^{(n)}$ -normal function and let  $\inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |f(t)| = m > 0$ . Then for an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a finite  $(p_n(\varepsilon))$ -net for the family  $(1/f)_T = \{(1/f)_h : h \in \mathbb{R}\}$ , where  $0 < p_n(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .*

**Proof. (Induction)** Since  $f \in BC^{(1)}(\mathbb{R})$  is  $C^{(1)}$ -normal, it follows by the Hausdorff Theorem that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a finite  $\varepsilon$ -net

$$f_{h_1}, f_{h_2}, \dots, f_{h_m} \in f_T$$

for the family  $f_T$ . Hence for every function  $f_h \in f_T$  there exists a  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  such that

$$\rho^{(1)}(f_h, f_{h_k}) < \varepsilon.$$

We have to construct a finite  $(p_1(\varepsilon))$ -net for the family  $(1/f)_T$ , where  $0 < p_1(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Thus for every function  $(1/f)_h \in (1/f)_T$  there exists a  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  such that

$$\rho^{(1)}\left(\frac{1}{f_h}, \frac{1}{f_{h_k}}\right) < \frac{2\varepsilon(m^2 + M_1^2)}{m^4} = p_1(\varepsilon),$$

where  $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |f^{(i)}(t)| \leq M_1$ , for  $i = 0, 1$ , and  $0 < p_1(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Suppose that for an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for a  $C^{(s)}$ -normal function  $f$  there exists a finite  $(p_s(\varepsilon))$ -net for the family  $(1/f)_T$ , where  $0 < p_s(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . We assume that  $f \in BC^{(s+1)}(\mathbb{R})$  is  $C^{(s+1)}$ -normal. By the Hausdorff Theorem, for an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$f_{h_1}, f_{h_2}, \dots, f_{h_r} \in f_T$$

is a finite  $\varepsilon$ -net for the family  $f_T$ . Hence for every function  $f_h \in f_T$  there exists a  $q \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$  such that

$$(6) \quad \rho^{(s+1)}(f_h, f_{h_q}) < \varepsilon.$$

We have  $(1/f)_T \subset BC^{(s+1)}(\mathbb{R})$ . Moreover,  $f$  is a  $C^{(s+1)}$ -bounded function. Then there exists a constant  $M_2 > 0$  such that  $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |f^{(i)}(t)| \leq M_2$ , for  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, s+1$ , and  $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |(1/f^2)^{(i)}(t)|, \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |(1/(f_h^2 f_{h_q}^2))^{(i)}(t)| \leq M_2$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, s$ . We only need to construct a finite  $(p_{s+1}(\varepsilon))$ -net for the family  $(1/f)_T$  with respect to the metric  $\rho^{(s+1)}$ , where  $0 < p_{s+1}(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$

as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Namely, we know that  $f$  is a  $C^{(s)}$ -normal function, too. Then for every  $(1/f)_h \in (1/f)_T$  we get

$$\rho^{(s+1)}\left(\frac{1}{f_h}, \frac{1}{f_{h_q}}\right) < p_s(\varepsilon) + \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \left( \frac{1}{f_h}(t) - \frac{1}{f_{h_q}}(t) \right)^{(s+1)} \right|$$

with  $0 < p_s(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , and

$$\left| \left( \frac{1}{f_h}(t) - \frac{1}{f_{h_q}}(t) \right)^{(s+1)} \right| \leq J(t) + K(t),$$

where

$$(7) \quad J(t) = \left| \left( \frac{f'_h(t) - f'_{h_q}(t)}{f_{h_q}^2(t)} \right)^{(s)} \right| < \varepsilon 2^s M_2$$

and

$$(8) \quad K(t) = \left| \left( \frac{f'_h(t)(f_h^2(t) - f_{h_q}^2(t))}{f_h^2(t)f_{h_q}^2(t)} \right)^{(s)} \right| < \varepsilon 2^{2s+1} M_2^3.$$

According to (7) and (8) we obtain

$$(9) \quad \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \left( \frac{1}{f_h}(t) - \frac{1}{f_{h_q}}(t) \right)^{(s+1)} \right| < \varepsilon 2^s M_2 (1 + 2^{s+1} M_2^2).$$

Therefore, by (9), for  $q \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$  satisfying (6), we have

$$\rho^{(s+1)}\left(\frac{1}{f_h}, \frac{1}{f_{h_q}}\right) < p_s(\varepsilon) + \varepsilon 2^s M_2 (1 + 2^{s+1} M_2^2) = p_{s+1}(\varepsilon),$$

where  $0 < p_{s+1}(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . This proves the lemma. ■

**THEOREM 4.** *The following statements hold:*

- (i) *A linear combination of  $C^{(n)}$ -normal functions is a  $C^{(n)}$ -normal function.*
- (ii) *A product of  $C^{(n)}$ -normal functions is a  $C^{(n)}$ -normal function.*
- (iii) *If  $\inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |g(t)| = m > 0$ , then a quotient  $f/g$  of  $C^{(n)}$ -normal functions  $f, g$  is a  $C^{(n)}$ -normal function.*

**P r o o f.** (i) Let  $f, g \in BC^{(n)}(\mathbb{R})$  be  $C^{(n)}$ -normal and let  $c \neq 0$  be an arbitrary constant. It is clear that the family  $(cf)_T = \{cf_h : h \in \mathbb{R}\}$  is conditionally  $C^{(n)}$ -compact, i.e.  $cf$  is a  $C^{(n)}$ -normal function. Moreover, analogously as in [3], for every sequence  $(h_m)$ ,  $h_m \in \mathbb{R}$  for  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ , the sequence  $(f_{h_m})$  includes a Cauchy subsequence  $(f_{h_{m_k}})$ . However, the sequence  $(g_{h_{m_k}})$  includes a Cauchy subsequence  $(g_{h_{m_{k_p}}})$ . We conclude that for an arbitrary sequence  $(h_m)$  the sequence  $((f + g)_{h_m})$  includes the Cauchy subsequence  $((f + g)_{h_{m_{k_p}}})$ . Therefore we obtain that  $f + g$  is a  $C^{(n)}$ -normal function.

(ii) Let  $f, g \in BC^{(n)}(\mathbb{R})$  be  $C^{(n)}$ -normal. Since  $fg = 1/4((f+g)^2 - (f-g)^2)$  and there holds the statement (i), we only need to show that  $f^2$  is  $C^{(n)}$ -normal whenever  $f$  is. Clearly,  $f^2 \in BC^{(n)}(\mathbb{R})$ . Moreover, by the Hausdorff Theorem, for an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a finite  $(\varepsilon/(2M(2^{n+1}-1)))$ -net

$$f_{h_1}, f_{h_2}, \dots, f_{h_m} \in f_T$$

for the family  $f_T$ , where  $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |f^{(i)}(t)| \leq M$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Hence for every function  $f_h \in f_T$  there exists a  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  such that

$$(10) \quad \rho^{(n)}(f_h, f_{h_k}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2M(2^{n+1}-1)}.$$

We need to construct a finite  $\varepsilon$ -net for the family  $(f^2)_T = \{f_h^2 : h \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and for every function  $f_h^2 \in (f^2)_T$  we have

$$|(f_h^2(t) - f_{h_k}^2(t))^{(l)}| < \frac{\varepsilon 2^l}{2^{n+1}-1} \quad \text{for } l = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

for  $k$  satisfying (10). Thus for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and every  $f_h^2 \in (f^2)_T$  there exists a  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  such that  $\rho^{(n)}(f_h^2, f_{h_k}^2) < \varepsilon$ . Consequently,  $f^2$  is  $C^{(n)}$ -normal.

(iii) Let  $f, g \in BC^{(n)}(\mathbb{R})$  be  $C^{(n)}$ -normal and let  $\inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |g(t)| = m > 0$ . Since  $f/g = f(1/g)$ , hence  $C^{(n)}$ -normality follows from (ii) and the Lemma. ■

Let us remark that, by Theorems 3 and 4, we immediately obtain that a linear combination of  $C^{(n)}$ -a.p. functions and a product of  $C^{(n)}$ -a.p. functions are  $C^{(n)}$ -a.p. Moreover, if  $\inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |g(t)| = m > 0$ , then a quotient  $f/g$  of  $C^{(n)}$ -a.p. functions  $f, g$  is a  $C^{(n)}$ -a.p. function.

## References

- [1] M. Adamczak,  $C^{(n)}$ -almost periodic functions, Comment. Math. 37 (1997), 1–12.
- [2] B. P. Demidovitch, Mathematical theory of stabilization, Warsow 1972 (in Polish).
- [3] B. M. Levitan, Almost periodic functions, Moscow 1953 (in Russian).
- [4] S. Stoiński, On compactness in variation of almost periodic functions, Demonstratio Math. 31 (1) (1998), 131–134.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE  
 ADAM MICKIEWICZ UNIVERSITY  
 Jana Matejki 48/49  
 60-769 POZNAŃ, POLAND  
 E-mail: keram@amu.edu.pl

Received October 2nd, 1998.

