

A. K. Gaur, Mursaleen

## DIFFERENCE SEQUENCE SPACES DEFINED BY A SEQUENCE OF MODULI

**Abstract.** The idea of difference sequences was introduced by H. Kizmaz [1]. In this paper we define difference sequence spaces by a sequence of moduli and establish some inclusion relations.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $l_\infty$ ,  $c$ , and  $c_0$  be the sequence spaces of bounded, convergent and null sequences  $x = (x_k)$  respectively. Recently, Kizmaz [1] has defined the following sequence spaces

$$l_\infty(\Delta) := \{x = (x_k) : \Delta x \in l_\infty\},$$

$$c(\Delta) := \{x = (x_k) : \Delta x \in c\},$$

$$c_0(\Delta) := \{x = (x_k) : \Delta x \in c_0\},$$

where  $\Delta x = (\Delta x_k)_{k=1}^\infty = (x_k - x_{k+1})_{k=1}^\infty$ .

**DEFINITION 1.1.** A function  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called a *modulus* if

- 1°  $f(t) = 0$  if and only if  $t = 0$ ,
- 2°  $f(t + u) \leq f(t) + f(u)$  for all  $t, u \geq 0$ ,
- 3°  $f$  is increasing, and
- 4°  $f$  is continuous from the right of 0.

**DEFINITION 1.2.** Let  $X$  be a sequence space. Then we define the sequence space for a modulus  $f$  as follows [4], [5]

$$X(f) := \{x = (x_k) : (f(|x_k|)) \in X\}.$$

---

Research of this was supported by UGC (India) under grant # F. 8-14/94.

*Key words and phrases:* Sequence spaces define by a sequence of moduli, difference sequence spaces.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* Primary 40C05, Secondary 40H05.

Recently, Kolk [2, 3] gave an extension of  $X(f)$  by considering a sequence of moduli  $F = (f_k)$ , i.e.

$$X(F) := \{x = (x_k) : (f_k(|x_k|)) \in X\}.$$

Define the following sequence spaces for a sequence of modulus  $F = (f_k)$ ,

$$l_\infty(F, \Delta) := \{x = (x_k) : \Delta x \in l_\infty(F)\},$$

$$c_0(F, \Delta) := \{x = (x_k) : \Delta x \in c_0(F)\}$$

for a sequence of moduli  $F = (f_k)$ . We determine a necessary and a sufficient condition for the inclusions between  $X(\Delta)$  and  $Y(F, \Delta)$ , where  $X, Y \neq l_\infty$  or  $X, Y \neq c_0$ . We will use the following lemmas by Kolk [2].

LEMMA 1.1. *The condition  $\sup_k f_k(t) < \infty$ ,  $t > 0$  holds if and only if there is a point  $t_0 > 0$  such that  $\sup_k f_k(t_0) < \infty$ .*

LEMMA 1.2. *The condition  $\inf_k f_k(t) > 0$  holds if and only if there exists a point  $t_0 > 0$  such that  $\inf_k f_k(t_0) > 0$ .*

## 2. Main results

THEOREM 2.1. *Let  $F = (f_k)$  be a sequence of moduli. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $l_\infty(\Delta) \subseteq l_\infty(F, \Delta)$ ;
- (2)  $c_0(\Delta) \subseteq l_\infty(F, \Delta)$ ;
- (3)  $\sup_k f_k(t) < \infty$  ( $t > 0$ ).

PROOF. (1) implies (2) is obvious.

(2) implies (3): Let  $c_0(\Delta) \subset l_\infty(F, \Delta)$ . Suppose that (3) is not true. Then, by Lemma 1.1,  $\sup_k f_k(t) = \infty$  for all  $t > 0$ , and, therefore, there is an index sequence  $(k_i)$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad f_{k_i}(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{i-1}) > i \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Define  $x = (x_k)$  as follows

$$x_k := \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{i-1}, & \text{if } k = k_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $x \in c_0(\Delta)$  but by (2.1),  $x \notin l_\infty(F, \Delta)$  which contradicts (2). Hence (3) must hold.

(3) implies (1): Let (3) be satisfied and  $x \in l_\infty(\Delta)$ . If we suppose that  $x \notin l_\infty(F, \Delta)$ , then

$$\sup_k f_k(|\Delta x_k|) = \infty \quad \text{for } \Delta x \in l_\infty.$$

Let  $t = |\Delta x|$ . Then  $\sup_k f_k(t) = \infty$  which contradicts (3). Hence  $l_\infty(\Delta) \subseteq l_\infty(F, \Delta)$ .

**THEOREM 2.2.** *The following statements are equivalent for a sequence of moduli  $F = (f_k)$ :*

- (1)  $c_0(F, \Delta) \subseteq c_0(\Delta)$ ;
- (2)  $c_0(F, \Delta) \subseteq l_\infty(\Delta)$ ;
- (3)  $\inf_k f_k(t) > 0, (t > 0)$ .

**Proof.** (1) implies (2) is obvious.

(2) implies (3): Let  $c_0(F, \Delta) \subseteq l_\infty(\Delta)$ . Suppose that (3) does not hold. Then, by Lemma 1.2,

$$(2.2) \quad \inf_k f_k(t) = 0 \quad (t > 0).$$

For an index sequence  $(k_i)$  with

$$f_{k_i}(i^2) < \frac{1}{i} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots$$

define the sequence  $x = (x_k)$  by

$$x_k := \begin{cases} i^2, & \text{if } k = k_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By (2.2),  $x \in c_0(F, \Delta)$  but  $x \notin l_\infty(\Delta)$  which contradicts (2). Hence (3) must hold.

(3) implies (1): Let (3) hold and  $x \in c_0(F, \Delta)$ , i.e.

$$\lim_k f_k(|\Delta x_k|) = 0.$$

Suppose that  $x \notin c_0(\Delta)$ . Then for some number  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  and index  $k_0$  we have  $|\Delta x_k| \geq \epsilon_0$  for  $k \geq k_0$ . Therefore  $f_k(\epsilon_0) \leq f_k(|\Delta x_k|)$  for  $k \geq k_0$  and consequently  $\lim_k f_k(\epsilon_0) = 0$  which contradicts (3). Hence  $c_0(F, \Delta) \subseteq c_0(\Delta)$ .

**THEOREM 2.3.** *The inclusion  $l_\infty(F, \Delta) \subseteq c_0(\Delta)$  holds if and only if*

$$(2.3) \quad \lim_k f_k(t) = \infty \quad \text{for } t > 0.$$

**Proof.** Let  $l_\infty(F, \Delta) \subseteq c_0(\Delta)$  such that (2.3) does not hold. Then there is a number  $t_0 > 0$  and an index sequence  $(k_i)$  such that

$$(2.4) \quad f_{k_i}(t_0) \leq M < \infty.$$

Define the sequence  $x = (x_k)$  by

$$x_k := \begin{cases} -t_0 i, & \text{if } k = k_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus  $x \in l_\infty(F, \Delta)$ , by (2.4). But  $x \notin c_0(\Delta)$ , so that (2.3) must hold for  $l_\infty(F, \Delta) \subseteq c_0(\Delta)$ . Conversely, let (2.3) hold. If  $x \in l_\infty(F, \Delta)$ , then

$f_k(|\Delta x_k|) \leq M < \infty$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Suppose that  $x \notin c_0(\Delta)$ . Then for some number  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  and index  $k \leq k_0$  we have  $|\Delta x_k| \geq \epsilon_0$  for  $k \geq k_0$ . Therefore  $f_k(\epsilon_0) \leq f_k(|\Delta x_k|) \leq M$  for  $k \geq k_0$  which contradicts (2.3). Hence  $x \in c_0(\Delta)$ .

**THEOREM 2.4.** *The inclusion  $l_\infty(\Delta) \subseteq c_0(F, \Delta)$  holds, if*

$$(2.5) \quad \lim_k f_k(t) = 0 \quad \text{for } t > 0.$$

**Proof.** Let  $l_\infty(\Delta) \subseteq c_0(F, \Delta)$ . Suppose that (2.5) does not hold.

Then for some  $t_0 > 0$

$$(2.6) \quad \lim_k f_k(t_0) = l \neq 0.$$

Define  $x = (x_k)$  by  $x_k = -t_0 k$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then  $x \notin c_0(F, \Delta)$  by (2.6). Hence, (2.5) must hold.

Conversely, suppose that (2.5) holds and  $x \in l_\infty$ . Then  $|\Delta x_k| \leq M < \infty$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Therefore  $f_k(|\Delta x_k|) \leq f_k(M)$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $\lim_k f_k(|\Delta x_k|) \leq \lim_k f_k(M) = 0$ , by (2.5). Hence  $x \in c_0(F, \Delta)$ .

### References

- [1] H. Kizmaz, *On certain sequence spaces*, Canad. Math. Bull. 24 (1981), 169–176.
- [2] E. Kolk, *On Strong boundedness and summability with respect to a sequence of moduli*, Acta Comment. Univ. Tartu. 960 (1993), 41–50.
- [3] E. Kolk, *Inclusion theorems for some sequence spaces define by a sequence of moduli*, Acta Comment. Univ. Tartu. 970 (1994), 65–72.
- [4] I. J. Maddox, *Sequence spaces defined by a modulus*, Math. Camb. Phil. Soc. 100 (1986), 161–166.
- [5] W. H. Ruckle, *FK spaces in which the sequence of coordinate vectors is bounded*, Canad. J. Math. 25 (1973) 973–978.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCES  
DUQUESNE UNIVERSITY  
440 COLLEGE HALL  
PITTSBURGH, PA 15282 U.S.A.  
e-mail: gaur@mathcs.duq.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
ALIGARH MUSLIM UNIVERSITY  
ALIGARH 202002, INDIA

*Received February 22, 1996; revised version February 26, 1998.*