

Inga Libicka, Bożena Szkopińska

## ON DIRECTIONAL $\mathcal{I}_1$ - DENSITY POINTS

Let  $\mathfrak{R}^k$  denote the  $k$ -dimensional Euclidean space ( $k = 1, 2$ ),  $\mathcal{N}$  – the set of positive integers and  $\mathfrak{R}_+$  – the set of positive real numbers.

The ball centred at a point  $p$  and with radius  $r > 0$  will be denoted by  $K(p, r)$ .

We introduce the following notations:

$\mathcal{S}_k$  – the  $\sigma$ -field of subset of  $\mathfrak{R}^k$  having the Baire property,

$\mathcal{I}_k$  – the  $\sigma$ -ideal of subset of  $\mathfrak{R}^k$  of the first category.

We shall say that a set  $A \subset \mathfrak{R}^k$  is  $\mathcal{S}_k$  – measurable if and only if  $A \in \mathcal{S}_k$ .

For  $A \in \mathcal{S}_1$ , we shall denote by  $\phi(A)$  the set of all  $\mathcal{I}_1$ -density points of  $A$  [6]. It is known [6] that the mapping  $\phi : \mathcal{S}_1 \rightarrow 2^{\mathfrak{R}^1}$  is a lower density operator.

If a plane set  $A$  is contained in a line, then we use linear  $\mathcal{I}_1$  - density points of the set  $A \in \mathcal{S}_1$ .

Let  $L_\theta(L_\theta(x, y))$  denote the line passing through the point  $(0, 0)$  (respectively, the point  $(x, y)$ ) and forming an angle  $\theta$  with the  $ox$  – axis for  $\theta \in [0, \pi)$ .

We denote by  $A \Delta B$  the symmetric difference of  $A$  and  $B$ ; if  $A, B \in \mathcal{S}_k$ , then  $A \sim B$  means that  $A \Delta B \in \mathcal{I}_k$ ,  $k=1, 2$ .

Set  $\theta \in [0, \pi)$ . For  $M \subset \mathfrak{R}^2$  we put

$$S_\theta(M) = \{(x, y) \in \mathfrak{R}^2 : \exists_{r \in \mathfrak{R}_+} M \cap L_\theta(x, y) \cap K((x, y), r) \in \mathcal{S}_1\}.$$

For each  $M \subset \mathfrak{R}^2$ , we define:

1. If  $\theta \in [0, \pi)$ , then

$$\Phi_\theta(M) = \{(x, y) \in S_\theta(M) : (x, y) \in \phi(M \cap L_\theta(x, y))\}.$$

2. If  $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in [0, \pi)$ , then

$$\Phi_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(M) = \Phi_{\theta_1}(M) \cap \Phi_{\theta_2}(M).$$

3.  $\Phi(M) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : (x, y) \in \Phi_\theta(M) \text{ in } \mathcal{I}_1 - \text{almost every direction } \theta \in [0, \pi)\}$ .

We shall say that a point  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  is an  $\mathcal{I}_1$ -density point of  $M$  in the direction  $\theta$  if and only if  $(x, y) \in \Phi_\theta(M)$ .

DEFINITION 1. We shall say that  $\Psi : \mathcal{S}_2 \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}^2}$  is a lower density operator if and only if

- I.  $\Psi(A) \sim A$ ,
- II.  $A \sim B \Rightarrow \Psi(A) = \Psi(B)$ ,
- III.  $\Psi(\emptyset) = \emptyset, \Psi(\mathbb{R}^2) = \mathbb{R}^2$ ,
- IV.  $\Psi(A \cap B) = \Psi(A) \cap \Psi(B)$ .

DEFINITION 2. We shall say that a function  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous at  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  with respect to the operator  $\Psi \in \{\Phi_\theta, \Phi_{\theta_1, \theta_2}, \Phi\}$  if and only if, for each open set  $G \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$f^{-1}(G) \subset \Psi(f^{-1}(G)).$$

From the Kuratowski-Ulam theorem [5] we have the following

PROPOSITION 3. If  $E \in \mathcal{I}_2$ , then

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^1 : E \cap L_\theta(x, 0) \notin \mathcal{I}_1\} \in \mathcal{I}_1.$$

THEOREM 4. For any  $\theta \in [0, \pi)$  and  $A, B \in \mathcal{S}_2$  we have

- I.  $\Phi_\theta(A) \sim A$ ,
- II.  $A \sim B \Rightarrow \Phi_\theta(A) \sim \Phi_\theta(B)$ ,
- III.  $\Phi_\theta(\emptyset) = \emptyset, \Phi_\theta(\mathbb{R}^2) = \mathbb{R}^2$ ,
- IV.  $\Phi_\theta(A \cap B) = \Phi_\theta(A) \cap \Phi_\theta(B)$ .

Proof. Let  $\theta \in [0, \pi)$ . First, we shall prove I. Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $A \in \mathcal{S}_2$ . Then, there exist sets  $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{I}_2$  and an open set  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $A = (G \setminus P_1) \cup P_2$ ,  $P_1 \subset G$  and  $P_2 \cap G = \emptyset$ . Let

$$E_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^1 : P_1 \cap L_\theta(x, 0) \notin \mathcal{I}_1\}.$$

By Proposition 3 we have  $E_1 \in \mathcal{I}_1$ .

Denote by

$$W_1(\theta) = \bigcup_{x \in E_1} L_\theta(x, 0).$$

Thus  $W_1(\theta) \in \mathcal{I}_2$ , what easily follows from the fact that  $E_1 \times \mathbb{R} \in \mathcal{I}_2$ . We shall prove that

$$(1) \quad G \setminus W_1(\theta) \subset \Phi_\theta(A).$$

Let  $(x_0, y_0) \in G \setminus W_1(\theta)$ . Then there exists  $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$  such that the ball  $K = K((x_0, y_0), r) \subset G$  and, by the definition of the set  $E_1$ ,

$$L_\theta(\hat{x}, 0) \cap P_1 \in \mathcal{I}_1$$

where  $(\hat{x}, 0)$  is the unique point for which  $(x_0, y_0) \in L_\theta(\hat{x}, 0)$ .

Thus

$$L_\theta(\hat{x}, 0) \cap K \subset L_\theta(\hat{x}, 0) \cap G$$

and the set  $(L_\theta(\hat{x}, 0) \cap K) \setminus P_1$  is the residual set on the interval  $L_\theta(\hat{x}, 0) \cap K$ . Therefore

$$(L_\theta(\hat{x}, 0) \cap K) \setminus P_1 \subset (L_\theta(\hat{x}, 0) \cap G) \setminus P_1 = L_\theta(\hat{x}, 0) \cap (G \setminus P_1).$$

But

$$(L_\theta(\hat{x}, 0) \cap K) \setminus P_1 \subset L_\theta(\hat{x}, 0) \cap (G \setminus P_1) \cap K$$

and the set  $L_\theta(\hat{x}, 0) \cap (G \setminus P_1) \cap K$  is the residual set on the interval  $L_\theta(\hat{x}, 0) \cap K$ . Thus  $L_\theta(\hat{x}, 0) \cap A \cap K$  is also a residual set on the interval  $L_\theta(\hat{x}, 0) \cap K$ . Consequently,  $(x_0, y_0) \in \Phi_\theta(A)$ . Hence we have (1).

Now, we denote by

$$E_2 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^1 : P_2 \cap L_\theta(x, 0) \notin \mathcal{I}_1\}.$$

From Proposition 3 it follows that  $E_2 \in \mathcal{I}_1$ . Similarly as above, we put

$$W_2(\theta) = \bigcup_{x \in E_2} L_\theta(x, 0).$$

Thus  $W_2(\theta) \in \mathcal{I}_2$  since  $E_2 \times \mathbb{R} \in \mathcal{I}_2$ .

We shall prove that

$$(2) \quad \Phi_\theta(A) \subset \overline{G} \cup W_2(\theta).$$

Let  $(x_0, y_0) \notin \overline{G} \cup W_2(\theta)$ . Hence there exists a ball  $K((x_0, y_0), r)$  such that  $K \cap G = \emptyset$  and  $(x_0, y_0) \notin W_2(\theta)$ .

Let  $L_\theta(\hat{x}, 0)$  be the unique line for which  $(x_0, y_0) \in L_\theta(\hat{x}, 0)$ . Therefore, by the definitions of  $K$  and  $E_2$ , we have that

$$L_\theta(\hat{x}, 0) \cap K \cap (G \setminus P_1) = \emptyset$$

and

$$L_\theta(\hat{x}, 0) \cap P_2 \in \mathcal{I}_1.$$

Thus the set  $L_\theta(\hat{x}, 0) \cap A$  is of the first category on the interval  $L_\theta(\hat{x}, 0) \cap K$ . Hence  $(x_0, y_0) \notin \Phi_\theta(A)$ . Consequently, the condition (2) holds.

By virtue of inclusions (1) and (2), we have that  $\Phi_\theta(A) \sim G \sim A$  and, therefore, the proof of I is completed.

We observe that condition II easily follows from I and the proof of III is obvious.

Clearly, condition IV follows from the analogous condition IV for  $\mathcal{I}_1$  - density points on the line  $\mathbb{R}^1$ , [6]. The proof of the theorem is completed.

Let  $W \notin \mathcal{S}_1$ . We put, for each  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $f(x, y) = \chi_W(x)$  (the characteristic function of  $W$ ). It is easy to see that the function has not the Baire property and  $f$  is continuous function with respect to the operator  $\Phi_{\frac{\pi}{2}}$ . We observe that the family  $\{A \in \mathcal{S}_2 : A \subset \Phi_{\frac{\pi}{2}}(A)\}$  is not a topology in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

From Theorem 4 we derive

THEOREM 5. *For any  $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in [0, \pi)$  and  $A, B \in \mathcal{S}_2$  we have*

- I.  $\Phi_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(A) \sim A$ ,
- II.  $A \sim B \Rightarrow \Phi_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(A) \sim \Phi_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(B)$ ,
- III.  $\Phi_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ ,  $\Phi_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(\mathbb{R}^2) = \mathbb{R}^2$ ,
- IV.  $\Phi_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(A \cap B) = \Phi_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(A) \cap \Phi_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(B)$ .

In a similar way as in [1, Th 2.1, p.134] we can prove the following

COROLLARY 6. *Let  $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in [0, \pi)$ . Then the family*

$$\tau_{\theta_1, \theta_2} = \{A \in \mathcal{S}_2 : A \subset \Phi_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(A)\}$$

*is a topology in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .*

THEOREM 7. *Let  $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in [0, \pi)$  and  $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$ . If a function  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous with respect to the operator  $\Phi_{\theta_1, \theta_2}$ , at each point  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , then  $f$  is of the second class of Baire.*

PROOF. We may assume that  $\theta_1 = 0$  and  $\theta_2 \neq 0$ . We define a transformation  $G : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  as follows:

if  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $(x', y') = G(x, y)$  then  $x' = x + y \sin \theta_2$  and  $y' = y \cos \theta_2$ .

By the continuity of  $G$  we see that the function  $f(G(x, y))$  is continuous with respect to the operator  $\Phi_{0, \frac{\pi}{2}}$ . By the theorem from [3], we know that  $f \circ G$  is of the second class of Baire in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Since  $G^{-1}$  exists and it is a continuous function, we have that  $f = f \circ G \circ G^{-1}$  is of the second class of Baire, too.

By [1, Th. 3.2, p. 146] we see that the above result is the best possible.

COROLLARY 8. *Let  $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in [0, \pi)$  be such that  $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$ . A function  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous with respect to the operator  $\Phi_{\theta_1, \theta_2}$  if and only if it is continuous with respect to the topology  $\tau_{\theta_1, \theta_2}$ .*

We shall now give the generalization of the Kuratowski-Ulam theorem for the polar-coordinates. We shall also give the proof, because we cannot identify its source.

THEOREM 9. *For any  $P \in \mathcal{I}_2$  and  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  there exists  $\Theta \subset [0, \pi)$  such that  $[0, \pi) \setminus \Theta \in \mathcal{I}_1$  and, for each  $\theta \in \Theta$ ,  $P \cap L_\theta(x, y) \in \mathcal{I}_1$ .*

Proof. Let  $P \in \mathcal{I}_2$  and  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

First we assume that  $P$  is a closed, nowhere dense set. For each  $\theta \in [0, \pi)$ , we denote by

$$P_\theta = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : (x + r \cos \theta, y + r \sin \theta) \in P\}.$$

Suppose that  $A = \{(r, \theta) : r \in P_\theta\}$  is not a nowhere dense subset of  $\mathbb{R} \times [0, \pi)$ . Since  $A$  is a closed set in  $\mathbb{R} \times [0, \pi)$  we have that there exists an open rectangle  $(r_1, r_2) \times (\theta_1, \theta_2) \subset A$ . Then

$$\bigcup_{\theta \in (\theta_1, \theta_2)} L_\theta(x, y) \cap (K((x, y), r_2) \setminus K((x, y), r_1)) \subset P,$$

a contradiction. Therefore  $A$  is nowhere dense set and by the classical Kuratowski-Ulam theorem there exists  $\Theta \subset [0, \pi)$  such that  $[0, \pi) \setminus \Theta \in \mathcal{I}_1$  and, for each  $\theta \in \Theta$ ,  $P_\theta \in \mathcal{I}_1$ . Hence  $P \cap L_\theta(x, y) \in \mathcal{I}_1$ , for each  $\theta \in \Theta$ .

Now we assume that  $P \in \mathcal{I}_2$ . Then there exists a sequence of closed nowhere dense sets  $\{P_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $P \subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P_n$ . By the first part of the proof we have that, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $\Theta_n \subset [0, \pi)$  such that  $[0, \pi) \setminus \Theta_n \in \mathcal{I}_1$  and, for each  $\theta \in \Theta_n$ ,  $P_n \cap L_\theta(x, y) \in \mathcal{I}_1$ . Put  $\Theta = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Theta_n$ . Then  $[0, \pi) \setminus \Theta \in \mathcal{I}_1$  and, for each  $\theta \in \Theta$ ,  $P \cap L_\theta \subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P_n \cap L_\theta \in \mathcal{I}_1$ .

PROPOSITION 10. If  $A, B \in \mathcal{S}_2$  and  $A \sim B$  then  $\Phi(A) = \Phi(B)$ .

Proof. Assume that  $A, B \in \mathcal{S}_2$  and  $A \sim B$ . Let  $(x, y) \in \Phi(A)$ . Then there exists  $\Theta_1 \subset [0, \pi)$  such that  $[0, \pi) \setminus \Theta_1 \in \mathcal{I}_1$  and, for each  $\theta \in \Theta_1$ ,  $(x, y) \in \Phi_\theta(A)$ . By Theorem 9, we can pick  $\Theta_2 \subset [0, \pi)$  such that  $[0, \pi) \setminus \Theta_2 \in \mathcal{I}_1$  and, for each  $\theta \in \Theta_2$ ,  $L_\theta(x, y) \cap (\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus (A \setminus B))$  is a residual subset of  $L_\theta(x, y)$ . Put  $\Theta = \Theta_1 \cap \Theta_2$ . Then, for each  $\theta \in \Theta$ ,  $(x, y) \in \Phi_\theta(A \cap B)$ . Thus  $\Phi(A) = \Phi(A \cap B)$ .

In a similar way we show that  $\Phi(B) = \Phi(A \cap B)$ . Therefore  $\Phi(A) = \Phi(B)$ .

PROPOSITION 11. Let  $M \in \mathcal{S}_2$ . Then  $\Phi(M) \sim M$ .

Proof. Let  $M \in \mathcal{S}_2$ . Then  $M = (F \setminus P_1) \cup P_2$ , where  $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{I}_2$ ,  $P_1 \subset F$ ,  $P_2 \cap F = \emptyset$ , and  $F$  is a closed set. By Proposition 10, we see that  $\Phi(M) = \Phi(F)$ . Thus, by the fact that  $\text{int}(F) \subset \Phi(F) \subset F$ , we have the following relations

$$M \setminus \Phi(F) \subset P_2 \cup (F \setminus \Phi(F)) \in \mathcal{I}_2$$

and

$$\Phi(F) \setminus M \subset \Phi(F) \setminus F = \emptyset \in \mathcal{I}_2.$$

Therefore  $\Phi(M) \sim M$ .

By Propositions 10 and 11 we have the following

THEOREM 12. A mapping  $\Phi : \mathcal{S}_2 \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}^2}$  is a lower density.

Observe that a similar theorem for measure has been proved by A. M. Brückner and M. Rosenfeld in the paper [2].

COROLLARY 13. *A family  $\tau = \{A \in \mathcal{S}_2 : A \subset \Phi(A)\}$  is a topology in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .*

The above topology was considered by E. Wagner-Bojakowska and W. Wilczyński in [7].

It is interesting to ask the question to which Baire class belong functions continuous in this topology.

### References

- [1] M. Balcerzak, E. Łazarow, W. Wilczyński, *On one and two-dimensional  $\mathcal{I}$ -densities and related kinds of continuity*, Real Anal. Exchange 13 (1987–88), 80–120.
- [2] A. Bruckner, M. Rosenfeld, *A theorem on approximate directional derivatives*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Pisa 22 (1968), 343–350.
- [3] R. Carrese, E. Łazarow, *On some property of functions defined on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  that are  $\mathcal{I}$ -approximately continuous with respect to one variable*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 100 (1987–88), 669–674.
- [4] E. Łazarow, *On the Baire class of  $\mathcal{I}$ -approximate derivatives*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 100 (1987–88), 669–674.
- [5] J. Oxtoby, *Measure and Category*. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1980.
- [6] W. Poreda, E. Wagner-Bojakowska, W. Wilczyński, *A category analogue of the density topology*, Fund. Math. 125 (1985), 167–173.
- [7] E. Wagner-Bojakowska, W. Wilczyński, *Approximate core topologies*, Real Anal. Exch. 20,1 (1994–95), 192–203.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS  
TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF ŁÓDŹ  
Aleja Politechniki 11  
90–924 ŁÓDŹ, POLAND

*Received March 22, 1995.*