

S. S. Kim, Y. J. Cho, T. D. Narang

## SOME REMARKS ON ORTHOGONALITY AND BEST APPROXIMATION

### 1. Introduction

Let  $X$  be a real linear space of dimension greater than 1 and let  $\|.,.\|$  be a real-valued function on  $X \times X$  satisfying the following conditions:

(N<sub>1</sub>)  $\|x, y\| = 0$  if and only if  $x$  and  $y$  are linearly dependent,

(N<sub>2</sub>)  $\|x, y\| = \|y, x\|$ ,

(N<sub>3</sub>)  $\|\alpha x, y\| = |\alpha| \|x, y\|$ , where  $\alpha$  is real,

(N<sub>4</sub>)  $\|x + y, z\| \leq \|x, z\| + \|y, z\|$ .

Then  $\|.,.\|$  is called a *2-norm* on  $X$  and  $(X, \|.,.\|)$  a *linear 2-normed space*. Some of the basic properties of the 2-norm are that they are non-negative and  $\|x, y + \alpha x\| = \|x, y\|$  for every  $x, y \in X$  and every real number  $\alpha$  ([9]).

A concept which is closely related to linear 2-normed space is that of 2-inner product spaces. For a linear space  $X$  of dimension greater than 1, let  $(.,.|.)$  be a real-valued function on  $X \times X \times X$  which satisfies the following conditions:

(I<sub>1</sub>)  $(x, x|z) \geq 0$ ,

$(x, x|z) = 0$  if and only if  $x$  and  $z$  are linearly dependent,

(I<sub>2</sub>)  $(x, x|z) = (z, z|x)$ ,

(I<sub>3</sub>)  $(x, y|z) = (y, x|z)$ ,

(I<sub>4</sub>)  $(\alpha x, y|z) = \alpha(x, y|z)$ , where  $\alpha$  is real,

(I<sub>5</sub>)  $(x + x', y|z) = (x, y|z) + (x', y|z)$ .

Then  $(.,.|.)$  is called a *2-inner product* and  $(X, (.,.|.))$  is a *2-inner product space* (or *2-pre-Hilbert space*).

---

1991 AMS Subject Classification Code : 46B15, 46B20.

*Key words and phrases* : 2-pre-Hilbertian, Gâteaux derivative, smoothness, strict convexity, orthogonality, best approximation.

This paper was supported by Non-Directed Research Fund of Korea Research Foundation, 1995.

The concept of linear 2-normed spaces was introduced by S. Gähler ([9]) and that of 2-inner product spaces by C. Diminnie, S. Gähler and A. White ([3]). The concepts are 2-dimensional analogues of the concepts of normed linear spaces and inner product spaces.

In [3], it is shown that the function

$$\|x, z\| = (x, x|z)^{1/2}$$

is a 2-norm on  $(X, (., .|.))$ , i.e., every 2-inner product space is a linear 2-normed space but not every 2-norm arises in this way.

Some basic properties of the 2-inner product  $(., .|.)$  are the following ([3], [4]):

(1) For all  $x, y, z \in X$ ,

$$|(x, y|z)| \leq \sqrt{(x, x|z)}\sqrt{(y, y|z)}.$$

(2) For all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $(x, y|y) = 0$ .

(3) For all  $x, y, z \in X$  and a real number  $\alpha$ ,

$$(x, y|\alpha z) = \alpha^2(x, y|z).$$

A linear 2-normed space  $(X, \|., .\|)$  is said to be *2-pre-Hilbertian* if the norm of  $X$  is derived from a 2-inner product.

I. Franić ([8]), S.A. Mariadoss ([13]), R. Ravi ([19]) and others obtained some results in linear 2-normed spaces which are similar to the results concerning the best approximation theory in normed linear spaces ([1], [10], [14], [15], [17], [20] and [21]).

In this paper, motivated by some of the results of M.M. Day ([7]), R.C. James ([11]), P.L. Papini ([16]) and T. Precupanu ([18]), we give some conditions for a linear 2-normed space to be 2-pre-Hilbertian and also some relations between orthogonality and best approximation by using Gâteaux derivatives.

## 2. Gâteaux derivatives and orthogonality

Let  $(X, \|., .\|)$  be a linear 2-normed space and  $V(x, y)$  be a subspace of  $X$  generated by  $x$  and  $y$  in  $X$ . For all  $x, y \in X$ , define

$$n(x, y|z)(t) = \frac{\|x + ty, z\| - \|x, z\|}{t}$$

for any real  $t$  and  $z \notin V(x, y)$ .

Then the functional  $n(x, y|z)(t)$  is non-decreasing of the real positive variable  $t$  for any fixed  $x, y$  in  $X$  and for arbitrary  $z$  in  $X$ . Moreover,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} n(x, y|z)(t)$  exists ([2]).

The functional  $n(x, z)(y)$  defined by

$$n(x, z)(y) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} n(x, y|z)(t)$$

is called the *Gâteaux derivative* of the 2-norm  $\|.,.\|$  at  $(x, z)$  in the direction  $y$ . Further, the 2-norm  $\|.,.\|$  is said to be *Gâteaux differentiable* at  $(x, z)$  in the direction  $y$  if this limit exists. Some properties of  $n(.,.)(.)$  are given in the following:

**THEOREM 2.1** ([2]). *For every  $x, y$  in  $X$  and  $z \notin V(x, y)$ , we have the following properties:*

- (1)  $|n(x, z)(y)| \leq \|y, z\|$ ,
- (2)  $n(x, z)(y + y') \leq n(x, z)(y) + n(x, z)(y')$ ,
- (3)  $n(\alpha x, z)(\beta y) = \beta n(x, z)(y)$  for all reals  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\beta \geq 0$ ,
- (4)  $n(x, z)(0) = 0$  and  $n(0, z)(y) = \|y, z\|$ ,
- (5)  $-n(x, z)(-y) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^-} (\|x + ty, z\| - \|x, z\|)/t \leq n(x, z)(y)$ ,
- (6)  $n(x, z)(\alpha x) = \alpha \|x, z\|$  for all real  $\alpha$ .

**DEFINITION 2.1.** A linear 2-normed space  $(X, \|.,.\|)$  is said to be *smooth* if the 2-norm is Gâteaux differentiable at every  $(x, z) \in X \times X$  in the direction  $y$ .

It is easy to see that  $(X, \|.,.\|)$  is smooth if and only if one of the following conditions holds:

- (1)  $n(x, z)(y + y') = n(x, z)(y) + n(x, z)(y')$ ,
- (2)  $-n(x, z)(-y) = n(x, z)(y)$ .

An element  $x$  of a linear 2-normed space  $(X, \|.,.\|)$  is said to be *orthogonal* (also called *B-orthogonal*, see [12]) to a given element  $y$  of  $X$  (write  $x \perp_z y$ ) if

$$\|x + \alpha y, z\| \geq \|x, z\|$$

for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $z \notin V(x, y)$ . An element  $x \in X$  is said to be *orthogonal* to a subset  $G$  of  $X$  (write  $x \perp_z G$ ) if  $x \perp_z y$  for all  $y$  in  $G$ .

A linear 2-normed space  $(X, \|.,.\|)$  is said to be *strictly convex* ([5]) if the conditions  $\|x, z\| = \|y, z\| = \|x + y, z\|/2$  and  $z \notin V(x, y)$  imply that  $x = y$ .

Further characterizations of strict convexity in linear 2-normed space are given in [2] and [6].

It is easy to see that if a linear 2-normed space  $(X, \|.,.\|)$  is 2-pre-Hilbertian, then the 2-inner product  $(.,.|.)$  is given by  $\|x, z\|n(x, z)(y)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . In fact, if  $(X, (.,.|.))$  is a 2-inner product space and

$\|x + ty, z\| + \|x, z\| \neq 0$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} n(x, z)(y) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{(\|x + ty, z\| - \|x, z\|)(\|x + ty, z\| + \|x, z\|)}{t(\|x + ty, z\| + \|x, z\|)} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|x + ty, z\|^2 - \|x, z\|^2}{t(\|x + ty, z\| + \|x, z\|)} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{2t(x, y|z) + t^2\|y, z\|^2}{t(\|x + ty, z\| + \|x, z\|)} \\ &= \frac{2(x, y|z)}{2\|x, z\|}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $(x, y|z) = \|x, z\|n(x, z)(y)$ . If  $\|x + ty, z\| + \|x, z\| = 0$ , then  $x = \alpha z$  for any real  $\alpha$  and hence

$$n(x, z)(y) = n(\alpha z, z)(y) = \|y, z\|.$$

Therefore, we have

$$(x, y|z) = (\alpha z, y|z) = 0 = \|\alpha z, z\|\|y, z\| = \|x, z\|n(x, z)(y).$$

Moreover, a linear 2-normed space  $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$  is 2-pre-Hilbertian if and only if  $x \perp_z y$  implies  $y \perp_z x$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $z \notin V(x, y)$  with  $\|x, z\| = \|y, z\| = 1$  ([2]).

LEMMA 2.2 ([2]). *Let  $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$  be a linear 2-normed space. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *The condition  $n(x, z)(y) = 0$  implies that  $n(y, z)(x) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $z \notin V(x, y)$  with  $\|x, z\| = \|y, z\| = 1$ ;*
- (2)  *$(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$  is smooth and condition  $x \perp_z y$  implies that  $y \perp_z x$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $z \notin V(x, y)$  with  $\|x, z\| = \|y, z\| = 1$ .*

LEMMA 2.3 ([2]). *A smooth linear 2-normed space  $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$  is 2-pre-Hilbertian if and only if  $n(x, z)(y) = n(y, z)(x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $z \notin V(x, y)$  with  $\|x, z\| = \|y, z\| = 1$ .*

By using Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, we have the following:

THEOREM 2.4. *A linear 2-normed space  $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$  is 2-pre-Hilbertian if and only if*

$$(A) \quad |n(x, z)(y)| = |n(y, z)(x)|$$

*for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $z \notin V(x, y)$  with  $\|x, z\| = \|y, z\| = 1$ .*

Proof. If a linear 2-normed space  $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$  is 2-pre-Hilbertian, then the result is obvious.

Conversely, since (A) implies (1) in Lemma 2.2, then  $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$  is smooth. We have to show the impossibility of the condition  $n(x, z)(y) = -n(y, z)(x) > 0$ . Suppose that  $n(x, z)(y) = -n(y, z)(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $z \notin V(x, y)$  with  $\|x, z\| = \|y, z\| = 1$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $n(y, z)(x) > 0$ , what implies that  $\|\alpha x + y, z\| > 1$  for  $\alpha > 0$ . Then, for  $\alpha = -n(x, z)(y) > 0$ , we have

$$n(x, z)(\alpha x + y) = 0.$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.2,  $n(\alpha x + y, z)(x) = 0$  and so we obtain

$$\|\alpha x + y, z\| = n(\alpha x + y, z)(\alpha x + y) = n(\alpha x + y, z)(y) \leq \|y, z\| = 1,$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, by Lemma 2.3,  $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$  is 2-pre-Hilbertian. This completes the proof.

### 3. Orthogonality and best approximations

Let  $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$  be a linear 2-normed space with  $\dim X \geq 3$  and  $[x, G]$  be the subspace of  $X$  generated by  $x$  and the elements of  $G$ .

DEFINITION 3.1 ([18]). Let  $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$  be a linear 2-normed space and  $G$  be a subspace of  $X$ . Fix  $x \in X \setminus \overline{G}$  and take  $z \in X \setminus [x, G]$ . Then  $g_o \in G$  is called an *element of best approximation* of  $x$  by  $G$  with respect to  $z$  iff the inequality

$$\|x - g_o, z\| \leq \|x - g, z\|$$

holds for all  $g \in G$ .

The set of all elements of best approximation of  $x$  by  $G$  with respect to  $z$  is denoted by  $P_{G,z}(x)$ ; that is, for all  $g \in G$  and  $z \in X \setminus [x, G]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{G,z}(x) &= \{g_o \in G : \|x - g_o, z\| \leq \|x - g, z\|\} \\ &= \{g_o \in G : \|x - g_o, z\| = \inf_{g \in G} \|x - g, z\|\}. \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 1. If  $x \in G$ , then  $P_{G,z}(x) = \{x\}$  for each  $z \in X \setminus [x, G]$ .

EXAMPLE ([18]). Let  $X = R^3$  with the vector addition and scalar multiplication defined componentwise and the 2-norm defined on  $X$  as follows: for  $x = (a_1, b_1, c_1)$  and  $y = (a_2, b_2, c_2) \in X$ ,

$$\|x, y\| = \max\{|a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1|, |b_1 c_2 - b_2 c_1|, |a_1 c_2 - a_2 c_1|\}.$$

Let  $G = \{(a, 0, 0) : a \in R\}$  be a subspace of  $X$  and  $x = (0, 1, 0)$  be an element of  $X \setminus G$ . If  $z \in \{(0, 0, k_3) : k_3 \in R \setminus \{0\}\}$ , then

$$P_{G,z}(x) = \{g_o = (b, 0, 0) \in G : -1 \leq b \leq 1\}.$$

For further details on the best approximations in linear 2-normed spaces, we refer the reader to [8], [13] and [19] and, now we give our main results which extend the results of [16] to linear 2-normed spaces.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$  be a strictly convex linear 2-normed space. Then  $X$  is 2-pre-Hilbertian if and only if*

$$(B) \quad \|P_{V(x),z}(y)\| = \|P_{V(y),z}(x)\|$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $z \notin V(x, y)$  with  $\|x, z\| = \|y, z\| = 1$ , where  $\|P_{V(x),z}(y)\| = |(x, y|z)|$ .

**Proof.** The strict convexity of the space  $X$  implies the uniqueness of best approximation and this makes (B) meaningful. The 'only if' part is obvious and so we need to prove only the 'if' part.

Suppose that the condition (B) holds. This means that

$$x \perp_z y \text{ implies } y \perp_z x$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $z \notin V(x, y)$  with  $\|x, z\| = \|y, z\| = 1$ . In fact, orthogonality  $x \perp_z y$  implies  $P_{V(y),z}(x) = \{0\}$ . Then by (B), we have  $P_{V(x),z}(y) = \{0\}$  and so  $y \perp_z x$ . Now if  $\|x, z\| = \|y, z\| = 1$ , then  $P_{V(x),z}(y) = \{\alpha x\}$  is equivalent to  $(y - \alpha x) \perp_z x$ , thus also to  $x \perp_z (y - \alpha x)$  and therefore  $n(x, z)(y) = \alpha$ . Since  $\|y, z\| = 1$  then, by using (B) again, we obtain

$$|n(x, z)(y)| = \|P_{V(x),z}(y)\| = \|P_{V(y),z}(x)\| = |n(y, z)(x)|.$$

Therefore, from Theorem 2.4,  $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$  is 2-pre-Hilbertian. This completes the proof.

The following lemma can be easily proved:

**LEMMA 3.2.** *Let  $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$  be a linear 2-normed space,  $C$  be a convex subset of  $X$  and  $x \in X$ . Then the element  $x_o \in P_{C,z}(x)$  are characterized by*

$$n(x - x_o, z)(x_o - y) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } y \in C.$$

Using Lemma 3.2, we have the following:

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Let  $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$  be a linear 2-normed space satisfying the following condition:*

$$(C) \quad \left\| \frac{x+y}{2}, z \right\| \leq \|tx + (1-t)y, z\|$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $z \notin V(x, y)$  with  $\|x, z\| = \|y, z\| = 1$  and for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$  is 2-pre-Hilbertian.

**Proof.** Fix  $x, y \in X$  and  $z \notin V(x, y)$  with  $\|x, z\| = \|y, z\| = 1$ . By (C),  $(x+y)/2 \in P_{C,z}(0)$ , where  $C$  is the line segment joining  $x$  and  $y$ . Thus, by |

Lemma 3.2, for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$(D) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq n\left(-\frac{(x+y)}{2}, z\right) \left(\frac{x+y}{2} - (tx + (1-t)y)\right) \\ &= n\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, z\right) \left((t - \frac{1}{2})(x-y)\right). \end{aligned}$$

For  $t < 1/2$ , from (D), we calculate  $n(x+y, z)(x-y) \geq 0$ , while we have  $n(x+y, z)(-(x-y)) \geq 0$ . Therefore, we have

$$-n(x+y, z)(y-x) \leq 0 \leq n(x+y, z)(x-y),$$

which means that  $(x+y) \perp_z (x-y)$ . Thus, from (C), it follows that the condition  $\|x, z\| = \|y, z\| = 1$  implies,  $(x+y) \perp_z (x-y)$ . So  $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$  is 2-pre-Hilbertian and this completes the proof.

### References

- [1] G. C. Ahuja, T. D. Narang and S. Trehar, *Best approximation on convex sets in metric linear spaces*, Math. Nachr. 78(1977), 125–130.
- [2] Y. J. Cho and S. S. Kim, *Gâteaux derivatives and 2-inner product spaces*, Glasnik Mat. 27(47)(1992), 271–282.
- [3] C. Diminnie, S. Gähler and A. White, *2-inner product spaces*, Demonstratio Math. 6(1973), 525–536.
- [4] C. Diminnie, S. Gähler and A. White, *2-inner product spaces II*, Demonstratio Math. 10(1977), 169–188.
- [5] C. Diminnie, S. Gähler and A. White, *Strictly convex linear 2-normed spaces*, Math. Nachr. 59(1974), 319–324.
- [6] C. Diminnie, S. Gähler and A. White, *Remarks on strictly convex and strictly 2-convex 2-normed spaces*, Math. Nachr. 88(1979), 363–372.
- [7] M. M. Day, *Normed linear spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1962.
- [8] I. Franić, *An extension theorem for bounded linear 2-functionals and applications*, Math. Japon. 40(1994), 79–85.
- [9] S. Gähler, *Lineare 2-normierte Räume*, Math. Nachr. 28(1965), 1–43.
- [10] G. Godini, *Best approximation in certain classes of normed linear spaces*, J. Approx. Theory, 39(1983), 157–171.
- [11] R. C. James, *Orthogonality and linear functionals in normed linear spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 61(1947), 265–292.
- [12] A. Khan and A. Siddiqui, *B-orthogonality in 2-normed spaces*, Bull. Calcuta Math. Soc. 74(1982), 216–222.
- [13] S. A. Mariadoss, *Orthogonality, Approximation and Fixed Points in Linear 2-Normed Spaces*, Doctoral Diss., Madras Univ., 1982.
- [14] T. D. Narang, *Best approximation and strict convexity of metric spaces*, Arch. Math. 17(1981), 87–90.
- [15] P. L. Papini, *Approximation and strong approximation in normed spaces via tangent functions*, J. Approx. Theory 22(1978), 111–118.

- [16] P. L. Papini, *Inner product and norm derivatives*, J. Math. Anal. and Appl. 91(1983), 592-598.
- [17] P. L. Papini and I. Singer, *Best coapproximation in normed linear spaces*, Mh. Math. 88(1979), 27-44.
- [18] T. Precupanu, *Characterizations of hilbertian norms*, Boll. U.M.I. 15(1978), 161-169.
- [19] R. Ravi, *Approximation in Linear 2-Normed Spaces and Normed Linear Spaces*, Doctoral Diss., Madras Univ., 1994.
- [20] I. Singer, *Best approximation in normed linear spaces by elements of linear subspaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1970.
- [21] A. B. Thaheem, *Existence of best approximations*, Portug. Math. 42(1984), 435-440.

S. S. Kim  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
DONGEUI UNIVERSITY  
PUSAN 614-714, KOREA

Y. J. Cho  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
GYEONGSANG NATIONAL UNIVERSITY  
CHINJU 660-701, KOREA

T. D. Narang  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
GURU NANAK DEL UNIVERSITY  
AMRITSAR 143005, INDIA

*Received November 28, 1995; revised version December 1996.*