

Wojciech Bartoszek

# MORE ON THE EQUATION $\nu * \rho * \mu = \rho$

Let  $G$  be an infinite (countable) group and  $e$  be its neutral element. By  $P(G)$  we denote the convex set of all probability distributions on  $G$ . The convolution of two measures  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  is denoted by  $\nu * \mu$ . We recall

$$\nu * \mu(g) = \sum_{h \in G} \nu(gh^{-1})\mu(h) \quad \left( = \sum_{h \in G} \nu(h)\mu(h^{-1}g) \right).$$

It is well known that  $P(G)$  with the binary operation  $*$  is an associative semigroup. In this paper we shall deal with convolution equations on  $(P(G), *)$ . Namely, given  $\nu, \mu \in P(G)$  we consider when

$$(\diamond) \quad \nu * \rho * \mu = \rho.$$

The case  $\nu = \check{\mu}$  (where  $\check{\mu}(g) = \mu(g^{-1})$ ) has been recently discussed in [1] and [2]. Here we study a nonsymmetric case. We show that  $(\diamond)$  has a solution  $\rho \in P(G)$  if and only if  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  are concentrated on mutually inverse elements of the quotient group by a finite subgroup. Our notation and definitions follow [1] and [2]. For the completeness of the paper we briefly recall the most important ones.

The support  $S(\mu)$  of the measure  $\mu \in P(G)$  is the set

$$\{g \in G : \mu(g) > 0\}.$$

A measure  $\mu \in P(G)$  is said to be adapted if the smallest subgroup  $G(\mu)$  containing  $S(\mu)$  coincides with  $G$ . If  $\mu$  is adapted then the smallest normal subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  such that  $S(\mu)$  is contained in a coset of  $H$  is denoted by  $\mathfrak{h}(\mu)$ . It has been proved in [3] that the quotient group  $G/\mathfrak{h}(\mu)$  is finite or isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}$ . In particular, if  $\mathfrak{h}(\mu)$  is finite then

$$G/\mathfrak{h}(\mu) = \{g^j \mathfrak{h}(\mu) : j \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad g \in S(\mu)\}.$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 22D40, 43A05, 47A35, 60B15, 60J15.

*Key words and phrases*: convolution, concentration function, strict aperiodicity.

I thank the Foundation for Research Development for financial support.

Measures  $\mu$ , for which  $h(\mu)$  are finite, are characterized in [1] and [2]. Namely, an adapted  $\mu$  has finite  $h(\mu)$  if and only if the equation  $\tilde{\mu} * \rho * \mu = \rho$  has a solution  $\rho \in P(G)$ , and only if the concentration function  $\sup_{g \in G} \mu^{*n}(g)$  does not converge to 0.

It is convenient to look on a mapping  $\tau \rightarrow \nu * \tau * \mu$  as a linear operator which is defined on  $\ell^1(G)$ . Therefore we introduce

$${}_{\nu}P_{\mu}f(g) = \sum_{x,y \in G} f(xgy)\nu(x)\mu(y).$$

We notice that  ${}_{\nu}P_{\mu}$  is the composition  ${}_{\nu}P \circ P_{\mu}$ , where

$${}_{\nu}Pf(g) = \sum_{x \in G} f(xg)\nu(x) \quad \text{and} \quad P_{\mu}f(g) = \sum_{y \in G} f(gy)\mu(y).$$

The operators  ${}_{\nu}P$  and  $P_{\mu}$  commute. It is easy to check that

$${}_{\nu}P_{\mu}^n = {}_{\nu^{*n}}P_{\mu^{*n}} \quad \text{and} \quad {}_{\nu}P_{\mu}^* = {}_{\nu}P_{\bar{\mu}},$$

where without any confusion  $*$  will stand also for the adjoint operation. If  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  are probability measures then  ${}_{\nu}P_{\mu}$  is a positive linear contraction on  $\ell^1(G)$  as well as on  $\ell^{\infty}(G)$ . Clearly

$${}_{\nu}P_{\mu}1 = {}_{\nu}P_{\mu}^*1 = 1$$

where  $1$  denotes the identity function (in particular  ${}_{\nu}P_{\mu}$  is doubly stochastic). This implies that  ${}_{\nu}P_{\mu}$  are positive linear contractions on each  $\ell^p(G)$  where  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Given  $x, y \in G$ ,  ${}_x\Phi_y$  is the mapping

$$G \ni g \rightarrow {}_x\Phi_y(g) = xgy \in G.$$

A subset  $F \subseteq G$  is said to be  $[\nu, \mu]$ -invariant if

$${}_x\Phi_y(F) = F \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in S(\nu) \quad \text{and} \quad y \in S(\mu).$$

We note that  $F$  is  $[\nu, \mu]$ -invariant if and only if it is invariant under actions of the group  $\Phi$  of all bijections generated by the set

$$\{{}_x\Phi_y : x \in S(\nu), \quad y \in S(\mu)\}.$$

Obviously the whole group  $G$  may be decomposed onto pairwise disjoint minimal  $[\nu, \mu]$ -invariant sets. Moreover each minimal set  $F$  is of the form

$$F = \{\Phi(g) : \Phi \in \Phi\}$$

where  $g \in F$  is arbitrary.

Given adapted  $\nu, \mu \in P(G)$  by  $h(\nu, \mu)$  we denote the smallest normal subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  such that  $S(\nu)$  and  $S(\mu)$  are contained in cosets of  $H$ .

Now we are in a position to formulate the main result of the paper.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\nu, \mu$  be adapted probability measures on a countable group  $G$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(a) there exist a finite set  $K \subseteq G$  and a sequence  $g_n \in G$  so that for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$\mu^{*n}(g_n K) \geq \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \check{\nu}^{*n}(g_n K) \geq \varepsilon,$$

(b) there exists a probability measure (with finite support)  $\rho$  so that

$$\nu * \rho * \mu = \rho,$$

(c) the subgroups  $\mathfrak{h}(\nu, \mu)$ ,  $\mathfrak{h}(\nu)$ ,  $\mathfrak{h}(\mu)$  are finite and equal, and

$$S(\mu) \cup S(\check{\nu}) \subseteq g\mathfrak{h}(\mu)$$

where  $g \in S(\mu)$  arbitrary.

**Proof.** (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). Let us consider  ${}_{\nu}P_{\mu}$  to be a positive linear contraction on  $\ell^2(G)$ . By the von Neumann Mean Ergodic Theorem the Cesaro means  $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} {}_{\nu}P_{\mu}^n$  are convergent in the strong operator topology to a projection onto the space of all  ${}_{\nu}P_{\mu}$ -invariant functions. Let  $f$  be the characteristic function of the set  $K^{-1}K$ . Then for each  $N$  we have

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} {}_{\nu}P_{\mu}^n f(e) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_{x,y} \mathbf{1}_{K^{-1}K}(xy) \nu^{*n}(x) \mu^{*n}(y) \geq \varepsilon^2.$$

This implies that there exists a nonzero  ${}_{\nu}P_{\mu}$ -invariant function  $f_* \in \ell^2(G)$  (nonnegative). Obviously it is also invariant for the adjoint operator. Hence

$${}_{\nu}P_{\mu}^* f_* = {}_{\nu}P_{\check{\mu}} f_* = f_*.$$

From the uniform convexity of  $\ell^2(G)$  we get

$$\delta_x P_{\delta_y} f_* = f_*$$

for all pairs  $x, y$  satisfying

$$x \in S(\nu^{*n}), \quad y \in S(\mu^{*n}) \quad \text{or} \quad x \in S(\check{\nu}^{*n}) \quad \text{and} \quad y \in S(\check{\mu}^{*n}).$$

As a result  $f_* \circ \Phi = f_*$  for any  $\Phi \in \Phi$ . Since  $f_*(e) > 0$ , thus the minimal set  $F$  containing  $e$  (denoted by  $F_e$ ) must be finite. All the mappings  ${}_x\Phi_y$  are 1-1, so we get

$${}_x\Phi_y(F_e) = F_e \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in S(\check{\nu}^{*n}) \quad \text{and} \quad y \in S(\check{\mu}^{*n}).$$

As a result the probabilities

$$\nu^{*n} * \mu^{*n} = \nu^{*n} * \delta_e * \mu^{*n}$$

are concentrated on  $F_e$ . The Cesaro means  $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \nu^{*n} * \mu^{*n}$  converge to the

probability measure

$$\rho = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} {}_{\nu}P_{\mu}^n \delta_e \quad (\text{with } S(\rho) \subseteq F_e),$$

where  ${}_{\nu}P_{\mu}$  is considered as an operator on  $\ell^1(G)$ . We notice that  $\rho$  is  ${}_{\nu}P_{\mu}$ -invariant, what is equivalent to

$$\nu * \rho * \mu = \rho.$$

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c)

Let  $F_{\max}$  denote the set (finite)

$$\{g : \rho(g) = \max_{\tilde{g} \in G} \rho(\tilde{g})\}.$$

Then for each  $g \in F_{\max}$  we get

$$\rho(g) = \nu * \rho * \mu(g) = \sum_{x,y} \rho(x^{-1}gy^{-1})\nu(x)\mu(y),$$

which implies that  $F_{\max}$  is  $[\nu, \mu]$ -invariant. In particular

$$S(\nu^{*n})F_{\max}S(\mu^{*n}) = F_{\max}.$$

From this we easily get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \#S(\nu^{*n}) \leq \#F_{\max} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \#S(\mu^{*n}) \leq \#F_{\max}.$$

Now by [1] (or [2]) both groups  $\mathfrak{h}(\nu)$  and  $\mathfrak{h}(\mu)$  are finite. Since

$$\mathfrak{h}(\nu) = S(\tilde{\nu}^{*n} * \nu^{*n}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{h}(\mu) = S(\mu^{*n} * \tilde{\mu}^{*n})$$

for  $n$  large enough (see [2] for all details) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\max} &= S(\tilde{\nu}^{*n})S(\nu^{*n})F_{\max}S(\mu^{*n})S(\tilde{\mu}^{*n}) \\ &= \mathfrak{h}(\nu)F_{\max}\mathfrak{h}(\mu). \end{aligned}$$

In particular  $F_{\max}$  is a finite union of cosets of  $\mathfrak{h}(\nu)$  and  $\mathfrak{h}(\mu)$ , and  $\tilde{h}F_{\max} = F_{\max}$  for any  $\tilde{h} \in \mathfrak{h}(\nu)$ .

Now we consider the quotient group  $G/\mathfrak{h}(\mu)$ , which is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}$  (see [3]). For any  $g \in S(\mu)$  we have

$$G = \bigcup_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} g^j \mathfrak{h}(\mu).$$

Hence, for some integer  $j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_k$  we get

$$F_{\max} = g^{j_1} \mathfrak{h}(\mu) \cup \dots \cup g^{j_k} \mathfrak{h}(\mu).$$

Suppose  $\mathfrak{h}(\nu) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{h}(\mu)$ . Then  $g^j h \in \mathfrak{h}(\nu)$  for some  $j \neq 0$  and  $h \in \mathfrak{h}(\mu)$ . We get

$$g^j h \bigcup_{l=1}^k g^{j_l} \mathfrak{h}(\mu) = \bigcup_{l=1}^k g^{j+j_l} \mathfrak{h}(\mu) \neq \bigcup_{l=1}^k g^{j_l} \mathfrak{h}(\mu)$$

what contradicts the invariance of  $F_{\max}$ .

Similarly we may obtain  $\mathfrak{h}(\mu) \subseteq \mathfrak{h}(\nu)$ . Hence the subgroups  $\mathfrak{h}(\mu)$  and  $\mathfrak{h}(\nu)$  are equal. Obviously they coincide with  $\mathfrak{h}(\nu, \mu)$ . Let  $g \in S(\mu)$  and  $g_{-1} \in S(\nu)$ . We have the representation

$$g_{-1} = g^j h \quad \text{where} \quad h \in \mathfrak{h}(\mu) \quad \text{and} \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

For suitable  $h_n, \tilde{h}_n \in \mathfrak{h}(\mu)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\max} &= g_{-1}^n F_{\max} g^n = g^{nj} h_n \left( \bigcup_{l=1}^k g^{j_l} \mathfrak{h}(\mu) \right) g^n = \\ &= \tilde{h}_n \bigcup_{l=1}^k g^{j_l} \mathfrak{h}(\mu) g^{n(j+1)} = F_{\max} g^{n(j+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $F_{\max}$  is a finite union of classes of  $\mathfrak{h}(\mu)$  it is possible only if  $j = -1$ , and we finally get

$$S(\nu) \subseteq g^{-1} \mathfrak{h}(\mu).$$

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a)

We have  $S(\nu^{*n}) \subseteq g^{-n} \mathfrak{h}(\mu)$  where  $g \in S(\mu)$  arbitrary. Hence

$$\mu^{*n}(g^n \mathfrak{h}(\mu)) = \tilde{\nu}^{*n}(g^n \mathfrak{h}(\mu)) \equiv 1 \quad \text{for all } n,$$

and the proof of theorem is complete.

## References

- [1] W. Bartoszek, *On concentration functions on discrete groups*, Ann. Probability 22 No 3 (1994), 1596–1599.
- [2] W. Bartoszek, *On the equation  $\tilde{\mu} * \rho * \mu = \rho$* , Demonstratio Math. 28 (1995), 161–170.
- [3] Y. Derrenic and M. Lin, *Convergence of iterates of averages of certain operator representations and of convolution powers*, J. Funct. Analysis 85(1989), 86–102.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH AFRICA  
P.O. BOX 392, PRETORIA 0003, SOUTH AFRICA  
E-mail: bartowk@risc5.unisa.ac.za

Received August 30, 1995.

