

**Roshdi Khalil**

**SMOOTH POINTS OF UNIT BALLS  
OF OPERATOR AND FUNCTION SPACES**

**0. Introduction**

Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $B_1(X)$  be the unit ball of  $X$ . A point  $x \in B_1(X)$  is called a smooth point if there exists a unique  $f \in X^*$ , the dual of  $X$ , such that  $f(x) = \|f\| = 1$ .

In [4], Holub characterized the smooth points of  $B_1(N(l^2))$  and  $B_1(K(l^2))$ , where  $N(X)K(X)$  denotes the nuclear (compact) operators on  $X$ . Smooth points of  $B_1(K(l^p))$  and  $B_1(L(l^p))$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$  were studied in [1], where  $L(X)$  is the space of bounded linear operators on  $X$ .

The structure of the Hilbert space  $l^2$ , was heavily used in the study of smooth points of  $B_1(N(l^2))$ , in [4]. So the proofs can't be generalized to the case of  $l^p$ ,  $p \neq 2$ . Another difference between the cases of  $l^2$  and  $l^p$ ,  $p \neq 2$ , is the fact that the extreme points of  $B_1(L(l^2))$  are completely characterized (in [5]), while the description of the extreme points of  $B_1(L(l^p))$  is a very difficult (and an open) problem, [6].

In Section II of this paper, we show that the result of Holub, [4], for  $N(l^2)$ , is not true for  $N(l^p)$ ,  $p \neq 2$ . Further we give a characterization of smooth points in  $B_1(N(l_n^p))$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , and a large class of smooth points for  $B_1(N(X))$  is identified, for some Banach space  $X$ . Also an alternative proof of the Holub's result is presented.

In Section I, we present a proof of the characteriation of smooth points of the unit ball of the completion of the injective tensor product of  $X \otimes Y$  for Banach space  $X$  and  $Y$ . Our approach is different from the one presented in [13] by Heinrich, who used the equivalence of differentiability of the norm at a point and the smoothness of that point. Here we make use of the extremal structure of the dual space.

Throughout this paper the symbols  $L(X)$ ,  $K(X)$ ,  $N(X)$  denote the space of all bounded linear, compact and nuclear operators on a Banach space  $X$ ,

respectively. The dual of  $X$  is denoted by  $X^*$ , while  $S_1(X)$  is the unit sphere in  $X$ . The completion of the injective and the projective tensor products of  $X$  and  $Y$  will be denoted by  $X \overset{\vee}{\otimes} Y$  and  $X \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} Y$ , respectively. For  $z \in X \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} Y$  we write  $\|z\|_n$ , for the injective norm of  $z$  and for  $z \in X \otimes Y$ , the symbol  $\|z\|_n$  stands for the projective norm of  $z$ . The nuclear norm of  $T \in N(X)$  will be denoted by  $\|T\|_n$ . Finally for  $x \in l^p$ , we let  $\text{supp}(x) = \{n : x(n) \neq 0\}$ , and  $N$  is the set of positive integers.

We refer the reader to [2] and [8] for the basic properties of  $N(X)$ ,  $X \overset{\wedge}{\otimes} Y$ , and  $X \overset{\vee}{\otimes} Y$ .

### 1. Smooth points of $X \overset{\vee}{\otimes} Y$

In [4], Holub characterized the smooth points of  $S_1(K(l^2)) = S_1(l^2 \overset{\vee}{\otimes} l^2)$ . Smooth points of  $S_1(K(l^p)) = S_1(l^{p^*} \overset{\vee}{\otimes} l^p)$ ,  $l < p, \infty$ , were characterized in [1]. In this section we recall characterization of the smooth points of  $S_1(X \overset{\vee}{\otimes} Y)$ , where  $X$  and  $Y$  are Banach spaces, and present a different way of proof from that one given in [13].

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be given Banach spaces. For  $T \in S_1(X \overset{\vee}{\otimes} Y)$ , the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  *$T$  is a smooth point;*
- (ii)  *$T$  (as an operator:  $X^* \rightarrow Y$ ) attains its norm uniquely, and at a smooth point of  $S_1(X^*)$ .*

**Note:** The uniqueness in (ii) is in the following sense: there is only one element  $x^* \in S_1(X^*)$  such that  $\|Tx^*\| = \|T(-x^*)\| = 1 = \|T\|$ .

**Proof.** (i)  $\rightarrow$  (ii). Let  $T \in S_1(X \overset{\vee}{\otimes} Y)$  be smooth. Set

$$E(T) = \{A \in S_1((X \overset{\vee}{\otimes} Y)^*) : A(T) = 1\}.$$

Since  $T$  can be considered as a continuous linear functional on  $X \overset{\vee}{\otimes} Y$ , then  $E(T)$  is an extremal subset of  $S_1((X \overset{\vee}{\otimes} Y)^*)$  (see [7]). Using the Hahn–Banach Theorem,  $E(T) \neq \emptyset$ . As in the proof of Theorem 1.1 in [1],  $E(T)$  is  $w^*$ -closed. By Krein–Millman Theorem,  $E(T)$  is the ( $w^*$ –)closed convex hull of its extreme points. But every extreme point of  $E(T)$  is an extreme point of  $S_1((X \overset{\vee}{\otimes} Y)^*)$ . Thus, by the result of Ruess and Stegall, [10], the extreme points of  $E(T)$  are of the form  $A = x^* \otimes y^*$ , where  $x^*$  and  $y^*$  are extreme points of  $S_1(X^*)$  and  $S_1(Y^*)$ , respectively. Thus, if  $x^* \otimes y^* \in \text{Ext}(E(T))$ , then

$$(x^* \otimes y^*)(T) = \langle Tx^*, y^* \rangle = \|Tx^*\| = 1.$$

Since  $T$  is smooth,  $E(T)$  can't contain more than one element,  $x^*$ . Further,  $x^*$  is smooth.

(ii)  $\rightarrow$  (i). As in (i)  $\rightarrow$  (ii),  $E(T)$  is the ( $w^*$ -) closed convex hull of its extreme points. If  $E(T)$  contains two extreme points, say  $x_1^* \otimes y_1^*$  and  $x_2^* \otimes y_2^*$ , then:

$$\langle Tx_1^*, y^* \rangle = \langle Tx_2^*, y^* \rangle = 1.$$

Since  $\|x_i^*\| = \|y_i^*\| = 1$ , then

$$\|Tx_1^*\| = \|Tx_2^*\| = 1.$$

This contradicts with (ii). Hence  $E(T)$  is a singleton and  $T$  is smooth. This ends the proof.

**THEOREM 1.2** [1, 4]. *An operator  $T$  in  $S_1(K(l^p))$  is smooth if and only if whenever  $\|Tx_1\| = \|Tx_2\| = 1$ , then  $x_1 = \pm x_2$ , for  $x_1, x_2$  in  $S_1(l^p)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ .*

**Proof.** It follows from Theorem 1.1 and the fact that every element of  $S_1(l^p)$  is smooth.

**THEOREM 1.3** [11]. *Let  $I$  be a compact set and  $X$  be arbitrary Banach space. Then a function  $F \in S_1(C(I, X))$  is smooth if and only if there exists a unique  $t_0$  in  $I$  and a smooth point  $x_0$  in  $S_1(X)$  such that  $F(t_0) = x_0$ .*

The proof follows from Theorem 1.1 and the fact that

$$Ext(M(F)) = \{\delta_x : x \in I\}.$$

## 2. Smooth points of $N(X)$

Let  $X$  be a Banach space. In this section we try to study smooth points of  $N(X)$ . We introduce the following definition:

**DEFINITION 2.1.** A set  $A \subseteq S_1(X)$  is called smooth iff there is a unique  $f \in B_1(X^*)$  such that  $f(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in A$ .

It follows from the definition that a smooth point is just a smooth set consisting of that point.

Now, we give some examples of smooth sets.

**LEMMA 2.2.** *A set  $A \subseteq S_1(l^p)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , is smooth if and only if  $A = \{z\}$  for some  $z \in S_1(l^p)$ .*

**Proof.** This follows from the fact that  $l^p$  is reflexive and every point of unit norm in  $l^p$  is smooth.

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Let  $A \subseteq S_1(l^1)$ . The following statements are equivalent:*

(i)  *$A$  is smooth;*

(ii) *A satisfies the following conditions:*

(a)  $\bigcup_{x \in A} \text{supp}(x) = N$

(b) *For  $x, y \in A$ ,  $x$  and  $y$  have the same sign on  $\text{supp}(x) \cap \text{supp}(y)$ .*

**P r o o f.** (i)  $\rightarrow$  (ii). Let  $A$  be smooth, but (a) is not true. So there exists  $n_0 \notin \bigcup_{x \in A} \text{supp}(x)$ . Take  $g \in S_1(l^\infty)$  such that  $g(x) = 1 = \langle g, x \rangle$  for all  $x \in A$  and define  $f \in S_1(l^\infty)$  by

$$f(A) = \begin{cases} g(n) & \neq n_0 \\ a & n = n_0 \end{cases}$$

where  $a \neq g(n_0)$ ,  $0 < a \leq 1$ . Then  $f \neq g$  and  $\langle f, x \rangle = 1$  for all  $x \in A$ . This contradicts with (i). Hence (a) must be satisfied.

To prove (b), assume that  $A$  is smooth, but there exist  $x, y$  in  $A$  such that  $\text{sign}(x) \neq \text{sign}(y)$  on  $\text{supp}(x) \cap \text{supp}(y)$ . Take  $f \in S_1(l^\infty)$  such that  $\langle f, z \rangle = 1$  for all  $z \in A$ . Now:  $\langle f, x \rangle = \langle f, y \rangle = 1$ . But for  $z \in S_1(l^1)$  the equality  $\langle f, z \rangle = 1$  implies that  $|f(n)| = 1$  for all  $n \in \text{supp}(z)$ . In fact  $f(n) = \text{sign}(z(n))$ . Hence if  $\text{sign}(x(i_0)) \neq \text{sign}(y(i_0))$ ,  $i_0 \in \text{supp}(x) \cap \text{supp}(y)$ , then  $f(i_0) = \text{sign}(x(i_0)) \neq \text{sign}(y(i_0)) = f(i_0)$ . This is a contradiction. Hence (b) is true.

(ii)  $\rightarrow$  (i). Let  $A$  satisfy (a) and (b). Consider the function  $f \in S_1(l^\infty)$  defined by

$$f(n) = \text{sign}(x(n))$$

for  $n \in \text{supp}(x)$ , and  $x \in A$ . Now, the condition (b) ensures that  $f$  is well defined, while the condition (a) means that  $f$  is unique and  $\langle f, x \rangle = 1$  for all  $x \in A$ . Hence  $A$  is smooth. This ends the proof.

The arguments which can be used to prove the next theorem are similar to that in Theorem 1.3 and will be omitted.

**THEOREM 2.4.** *Let  $A \subseteq S_1(c_0)$ . The following statements are equivalent:*

(i) *A is smooth.*

(ii) *There exists  $n_0 \in N$  such that*

(a)  $x(n_0) = 1$  for all  $x \in A$

(b)  $x(n) < 1$  for all  $n \neq n_0$  and all  $x \in A$ .

Now we shall consider more complicated examples.

Let  $C_1(H)$  be the class of nuclear operators on the separable Hilbert space  $H$ . This is just the trace class operators. Every  $T \in C_1(H)$  has a representation of the form

$$T = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n e_n \otimes f_n,$$

where  $(e_n), (f_n)$  are two sequences (finite or infinite) of orthonormal vectors in  $H$  and  $(\lambda_n) \in l^1$  with  $\lambda_n > 0$ . Further  $\|T\| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n$ . We refer the reader to [9] for more details on nuclear operators.

The representation of  $T \in C_1(H)$  suggests the study of sets of the form  $\{e_n \otimes f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  concerning the smoothness in  $S_1(C_1(H))$ .

**THEOREM 2.5.** *Let  $A = \{e_n \otimes f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , where  $(e_n)$  and  $(f_n)$  are orthonormal sequences in  $H$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  *$A$  is a smooth set in  $S_1(C_1(H))$ .*
- (ii) *At least one of  $(e_n)$  and  $(f_n)$  is complete.*

**P r o o f.** (i)  $\rightarrow$  (ii). Let  $A$  be smooth, but neither  $(e_n)$  nor  $(f_n)$  is complete. Let

$$E_1 = \text{span}\{e_1, e_2, \dots\},$$

$$E_2 = \text{span}\{f_1, f_2, \dots\}.$$

Then  $H = E_1 \oplus \widehat{E}_1 = E_2 \oplus \widehat{E}_2$ , where  $\widehat{E}_i$  is the orthogonal complement of  $E_i$ .

Now, since  $A$  is smooth and  $C_1(H)^* = L(H)$  (see [9]), there exists a bounded linear operator  $T \in S_1(H)$  such that  $T(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in A$ . But  $T(e_n \otimes f_n) = \langle e_n, T f_n \rangle$ , [9]. So  $\langle e_n, T f_n \rangle = 1$  for all  $n$ . Since  $(e_n)$  and  $(f_n)$  are incomplete then we have  $\widehat{E}_1 \neq \{0\}$  and  $\widehat{E}_2 \neq \{0\}$ . Hence one can define a bounded linear operator  $J : \widehat{E}_1 \rightarrow \widehat{E}_2$  such that  $\|J\| = 1$  and  $J \neq T|_{E_1}$ , the restriction of  $T$  to  $E_1$ . The operator  $Q : H \rightarrow H$  given by

$$Q(x) = \begin{cases} Tx & \text{if } x \in E_1 \\ Jx & \text{if } x \in \widehat{E}_1 \end{cases}$$

is bounded and linear. Moreover  $\|Q\| \leq 1$ , and  $Q(x) = 1 = T(x)$  for all  $x \in A$ . This contradicts with the smoothness of  $A$ . Hence either  $(e_n)$  or  $(f_n)$  is complete.

(ii)  $\rightarrow$  (i). Let  $(f_n)$  be complete. Define  $T : H \rightarrow H$  setting  $T f_n = e_n$  for all  $n$ . Then  $T$  is a bounded linear operator on  $H$ , with  $\|T\| = 1$ . Clearly  $T(e_n \otimes f_n) = \langle e_n, T f_n \rangle = 1$  for all  $n$ . Further if  $J$  is any other element of  $S_1(L(H))$  such that  $J(e_n \otimes f_n) = 1$  for all  $n$ , then  $\langle e_n, J f_n \rangle = 1$ . Since  $H$  is uniformly convex, we get  $J f_n = T f_n$ . The completeness of  $(f_n)$  implies that  $J = T$ . Hence  $A$  is smooth. This ends the proof.

Next result gives the characterization of smooth operators in  $S_1(C_1(l^2))$ . Namely, we have the following

**THEOREM 2.6.** *Let  $T \in S_1(C_1(l^2))$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  *$T$  is smooth;*

$$(ii) T = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n e_n \otimes f_n,$$

where  $\sum \lambda_n = 1$  and  $\{e_n \otimes f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a smooth set in  $S_1(C_1(H))$ .

**Proof.** (ii)  $\rightarrow$  (i). Let  $T = \sum_n \lambda_n e_n \otimes f_n$ , with  $\sum_n \lambda_n = 1$  and  $\{e_n \otimes f_n\}$  is a smooth set. If  $T$  is not smooth then there exist  $J_1, J_2$  in  $S_1(L(l^2))$ ,  $J_1 \neq J_2$  such that  $J_1(T) = J_2(T) = 1$ . Hence

$$\sum_n \lambda_n \langle e_n, J_1 f_n \rangle = \sum_n \lambda_n \langle e_n, J_2 f_n \rangle = 1.$$

But  $\sum_n \lambda_n = 1$ , so we get  $\langle e_n, J_i f_n \rangle = 1$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Since  $\{e_n \otimes f_n\}$  is a smooth set we may assume, with no loss of generality, that  $(f_n)$  is complete. The uniform convexity of  $l^2$  implies that  $J_1 f_n = J_2 f_n$  for all  $n$ . Consequently  $J_1 = J_2$ . This clearly forces  $T$  to be smooth.

(i)  $\rightarrow$  (ii). Let  $T$  be a smooth operator in  $S_1(C_1(l^2))$  and  $T = \sum_n \lambda_n e_n \otimes f_n$  be the Hilbert–Schmidt representation of  $T$ , [9]. So  $\sum_n \lambda_n = \|T\| = 1$  and  $(e_n), (f_n)$  are orthonormal sequences in  $l^2$ . If  $\{e_n \otimes f_n\}$  is not smooth then this implies that there exist  $J_1, J_2$  in  $S_1(L(l^2))$  such that  $J_i(e_n \otimes f_n) = 1$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and all  $n$ . Hence  $\sum_n \lambda_n \langle e_n, J_i f_n \rangle = 1$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and all  $n$ . This contradicts with the fact that  $T$  is smooth. Hence  $\{e_n \otimes f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a smooth set in  $S_1(C_1(l^2))$ . This ends the proof.

**COROLLARY 2.7** [Holub].  $T \in S_1(C_1(l^2))$  is a smooth operator if and only if either  $T$  is (1-1) or  $T^*$  is (1-1).

**Proof.** If  $T$  is smooth then, by Theorem 2.5 and Theorem 2.6,  $T = \sum_n \lambda_n e_n \otimes f_n$ , where either  $(e_n)$  or  $(f_n)$  is a complete set. If  $(e_n)$  is complete then  $T$  is (1-1) and while the completeness of  $(f_n)$  implies that  $T^*$  is (1-1).

For the converse: If  $T$  is (1-1) or  $T^*$  is (1-1) then  $T$  has the representation  $T = \sum_n \lambda_n e_n \otimes f_n$ , where either  $(e_n)$  or  $(f_n)$  is complete. Hence  $\{e_n \otimes f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a smooth set in  $S_1(C_1(l^2))$ . By Theorem 2.6,  $T$  is smooth. This ends the proof.

The case of nuclear operators on  $l^p$ ,  $l < p \neq 2 < \infty$ , is totally different. The following theorem shows that point:

**THEOREM 2.8.** Let  $y \in S_1(l^p)$ ,  $l < p < 2$ , be such that  $\text{supp}(y) = N$ . Then the operator  $T = \delta_1 \otimes y$  is a smooth element of  $S_1(N(l^p))$ , where  $\delta_1$  is the first element of the natural basis of  $l^p$ .

**Proof.** Take  $y^* \in l^{p^*}$  such that  $\|y^*\| = 1$  and  $\langle y, y^* \rangle = 1$ . Consider the bounded linear operator  $J = \delta_1 \otimes y^*$  in  $L(l^{p^*})$ . Clearly  $J(T) = \langle y, y^* \rangle = 1$ .

Now let  $\hat{J}$  be any other operator in  $L(l^{p^*})$  such that  $\|\hat{J}\| = 1$  and  $\hat{J}(T) = \langle J(\delta_1), y \rangle = 1$ .

Since  $l^p$  is uniformly convex we get  $\hat{J}(\delta_1) = y^*$ . Further, since  $\text{supp}(y) = N$  we have  $\text{supp}(y^*) = N$ . Now, if  $J(\delta_k) \neq 0$ , for some  $k$ , then it

follows from Lemma 2.1 of [3] that  $\|\widehat{J}\| > 1$ . Hence we have  $J(\delta_k) = 0$  for all  $k > 1$ . Consequently,  $\widehat{J} = J$  and  $\delta_1 \otimes y$  is smooth. This ends the proof.

We have to point out that  $T = \delta_1 \otimes y$  is not (1-1) nor  $T^* = y \otimes \delta_1$  is (1-1).

Now we present a different type of proof to Holub's result [4] which exposes another difference between  $l^p, p \neq 2$  and  $l^2$ .

**THEOREM 2.9** [Holub]. *Let  $T \in S_1(N(l^2))$ . The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  *$T$  is smooth in  $S_1(N(l^2))$ ;*
- (ii) *Either  $T$  or  $T^*$  is (1-1).*

**Proof.** (i)  $\rightarrow$  (ii). If  $T$  is smooth then there is a unique  $J \in S_1(L(l^2))$  such that  $J(T) = 1$ . So if  $T = \sum_n \lambda_n e_n \otimes f_n$  with  $\sum \lambda_n = 1$  then

$$(*) \quad J(T) = \sum_n \lambda_n \langle e_n, Jf_n \rangle.$$

Since  $N(l^2) = (L(l^2))^*$  and the inclusion map is an isometry (see [12]), we can consider  $J$  as the element of  $L(l^2)$ , where  $T$  attains its norm at. Hence, by [7],  $J$  is an extreme point of  $B_1(L(l^2))$ . Consequently,  $J$  is an isometry or  $J^*$  is an isometry [5]. From (\*) and the fact that  $l^2$  is uniformly convex we get  $Jf_n = e_n$  for all  $n$ .

Now, if  $T$  or  $T^*$  is not (1-1), then neither  $(e_n)$  nor  $(f_n)$  is complete. Hence  $J = J_1 \otimes J_2$ , where  $J_1 = \sum_n e_n \otimes f_n$ ,  $\text{supp}(J_2)$  is orthogonal to  $\text{supp}(J_1)$  and  $\|J_2(x)\| = \|x\|$  for all  $x \in \text{supp}(J_2)$ . But then  $J$  and  $J_1$  are two elements in  $S_1(L(l^2))$  such that  $J(T) = J_1(T) = 1$ . This contradicts with (i). Hence either  $T$  is (1-1) or  $T^*$  is (1-1).

(ii)  $\rightarrow$  (i). Let  $T = \sum_n \lambda_n e_n \otimes f_n$ , where  $(e_n)$  is complete and  $\sum_n \lambda_n = 1$ . Then if  $J_1, J_2 \in S_1(L(l^2))$  are such that

$$J_1(T) = J_2(T) = 1$$

we get  $\langle e_n, J_1 f_n \rangle = \langle e_n, J_2 f_n \rangle$  or  $\langle J_1^* e_n, f_n \rangle = \langle J_2^* e_n, f_n \rangle$ . Hence  $J_1^* e_n = J_2^* e_n$ . Consequently  $J_1^* = J_2^*$  and so  $J_1 = J_2$ . This ends the proof.

We have to emphasize now two facts:

(i) The extreme points of  $B_1(L(l^2))$  are completely characterized in [5], while this is not the case for  $B_1(L(l^p))$ ,  $p \neq 2$  (see [6]).

(ii) Every element in  $N(l^2)$  has a unique representation  $T = \sum_n u_n \otimes \theta_n$ ,  $\|T\|_n = \sum_n \|u_n\| \|\theta_n\|$ . This is not true in  $N(l^p)$  for  $p \neq 2$ .

Hence the following definition is inevitable:

**DEFINITION 2.11.** Let  $X$  be a Banach space. An operator  $T \in N(X)$  is called exact if and only if it admits a representation

$$T = \sum_n u_n^* \otimes \theta_n, u_n^* \in X^*, \theta_n \in X$$

such that  $\|T\|_n = \sum_n \|u_n^*\| \|\theta_n\|$ .

In the case  $X$  when is finite dimensional it is known that every  $T \in N(X)$  has a representation  $\sum_{n=1}^m u_n^* \otimes \theta_n, m \leq 2k$ , where  $k = \dim(X)$ , and  $\|T\|_n = \sum_n \|u_n^*\| \|\theta_n\|$ . Hence every  $T \in N(X)$  is exact.

For  $X = l^2$ , it is well-known (see [9]) that every  $T \in N(l^2)$  is exact. However, it is not known (to the author) for  $N(l^p)$ ,  $l < p \neq 2 < \infty$  wheather every  $T \in N(l^p)$  is exact or not.

Now, concerning smooth points of  $S_1(L(l^p))$ ,  $l < p < \infty$ , we can state the following:

**THEOREM 2.12.** Let  $T \in S_1(N(l^p))$ ,  $l < p < \infty$ , be an exact operator. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $T$  is a smooth element of  $S_1(N(l^p))$ ;
- (ii)  $T = \sum_n \lambda_n u_n^* \otimes \theta_n$ ,  $\sum_n \lambda_n = 1$  and  $\{u_n^* \otimes \theta_n\}$  is a smooth set in  $l^{p^*} \hat{\otimes} l^p$ .

**P r o o f.** (i)  $\rightarrow$  (ii). Let  $T$  be an exact smooth operator. Then

$$T = \sum_n e_n^* \otimes f_n, \sum_n \|e_n^*\| \|f_n\| = \|T\| = 1.$$

Let  $\lambda_n = \|e_n^*\| \|f_n\|$ ,  $u_n = \frac{e_n^*}{\|e_n^*\|}$ ,  $\theta_n = \frac{f_n}{\|f_n\|}$ . Then  $T = \sum_n \lambda_n u_n^* \otimes \theta_n$ . If  $\{u_n^* \otimes \theta_n\}_n$  is not smooth then there are  $J_1, J_2 \in S_1(L(l^{p^*}))$  such that

$$\langle \theta_n, J_1 u_n^* \rangle = \langle \theta_n, J_2 u_n^* \rangle = 1 \quad \text{for all } n.$$

Thus we have

$$J_1(T) = J_2(T) = 1$$

which contradicts with the smoothness of  $T$ .

(ii)  $\rightarrow$  (i). Let  $T = \sum_n \lambda_n u_n^* \otimes \tau e_n$  with  $\|T\|_n = \sum_n \lambda_n = 1$  and  $\{u_n^* \otimes \theta_n\}_n$  being a smooth set in  $l^{p^*} \hat{\otimes} l^p$ . If  $J_1, J_2 \in S_1(L(l^{p^*}))$  be such that

$$J_1(T) = J_2(T) = 1$$

we get  $\langle \theta_n, J_i u_n^* \rangle = 1$  for all  $n$  and  $i = 1, 2$ . This contradicts with the smoothness of  $\{u_n^* \otimes \theta_n\}_n$ . Hence  $T$  is smooth. This ends the proof.

**R e m a r k:** Theorem 2.12 is true for any Banach space  $X$  for which

$$N(X) = X^* \hat{\otimes} X.$$

Now, using the same idea as in the proof of Theorem 2.8, one can prove:

**THEOREM 2.13.** *Let  $T \in S_1(N(l^p))$ ,  $l < p < 2$ , be such that*

- (i)  $T = \sum_i \lambda_i \delta_i \otimes y_i$ ,  $\|y_i\| = 1$  and  $\|T\|_n = \sum \lambda_n = 1$ ;
- (ii)  $\text{supp}(y_i) \cap \text{supp}(y_j) = \varphi$  if  $i \neq j$ ;
- (iii)  $\bigcup_i \text{supp}(y_i) = N$ .

*Then  $T$  is a smooth element of  $S_1(N(l^p))$ .*

Now, for finite dimensional spaces we have:

**THEOREM 2.14.** *Let  $X$  be a finite dimensional Banach space. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $T$  is a smooth operator in  $S_1(N(X))$ ;
- (ii)  $T$  is a convex combination of the elements of some smooth set of  $S_1(X^* \hat{\otimes} X)$ .

**Proof.** It follows from the fact that every  $T \in S_1(N(X))$  is exact and the use of the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.12.

We end this section with the following two questions:

**Q1:** Is it true that if  $T \in S_1(N(l^p))$ ,  $l < p < \infty$ , then  $T$  is exact?

**Q2:** What kind of conditions on  $(u_n^*)$  and  $\theta_n$  make  $\{u_n^* \otimes \theta_n\}_n$  a smooth set in  $N(l^p)$ ?

## References

- [1] W. Deeb, R. Khalil, *Exposed and smooth points of some classes of operators in  $L(l^p)$* , J. Funct. Anal., (to appear).
- [2] J. Diesteland JR Uhl, *Vector measures*. Math. Surveys, no. 15, 1977.
- [3] J. Hennefeld, *Compact extremal operators*. ILL, J. Math. 21 (1977), 61–65.
- [4] J. R. Holub, *On the metric geometry of ideals of operators on Hilbert spaces*, Math. Ann. 201 (1973), 157–163.
- [5] R. V. Kadison, *Isometries of operator algebras*, Ann. Math. 54 (1951), 325–338.
- [6] R. Khalil, *A class of extreme contradicts in  $L(l^p)$* , Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., CLV (1988), 1–5.
- [7] R. Larsen, *Functional analysis*. Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York, 1973.
- [8] W. A. Light and E. W. Cheney, *Approximation theory in tensor product spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math. no 1169, (1985).
- [9] A. Pietsch, *operator ideals*. North-Holland Publishing Company. Amsterdam, 1980.
- [10] W. Ruess and C. Stegall, *Extreme points in duals of operator spaces*, Math. Ann. 261 (1982) 533–546.
- [11] I. Singer, *Sur la meilleure approximation des fonctions abstractes continues à valeurs dans un espace de Banach*, Rev. Mat. Pur Appl., 11 (1957) 245–262.

- [12] R. Schatten, *Norm ideals of completely continuous operators*, Ergebnisse Math, 27 (1961).
- [13] S. Heinrich, *The differentiability of the norm in spaces of operators*, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* 9 (1975) 360–362.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
COLLEGE SCIENCE  
UNIVERSITY OF BAHRAIN  
P.O. BOX 32038  
ISA TOWN, BAHRAIN

*Received April 16, 1995.*