

**Jacek Dziok**

## ON A STARLIKENESS PROBLEM FOR CERTAIN CLASS OF MULTIVALENT ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

### 1. Introduction

Let  $P(\alpha)$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ , denote the class of functions  $h$ , with  $h(0) = 1$  regular in  $K = K(0, 1)$ , where  $K(a, r) = \{z : |z - a| < r\}$ , and satisfying the condition  $\operatorname{Re} h(z) > \alpha$  for  $z \in K$ , and let  $P(0) = P$ .

Let  $S_p$ , where  $p$  is a positive integer, denote the class of functions  $f$  of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a^n z^n, \quad z \in K,$$

regular and  $p$ -valent in  $K$ . In particular,  $S_1 = S$  is the class of univalent functions.

We shall also use the following well known notations

$$S_p^*(\alpha) = \left\{ f \in S_p : \operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} > \alpha, z \in K \right\}$$

for the  $p$ -valent starlike functions of order  $\alpha$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha < p$ , and

$$S^c = \left\{ f \in S : \operatorname{Re} \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > 0, z \in K \right\}$$

for the class of convex functions.

**DEFINITION 1.** The function  $f$  of the form (1.1), regular in  $K$ , belongs to the class  $CS_p^*(\alpha)$  of  $\alpha$ -close-to-star functions if there exists a function  $g \in S_p^*(\alpha)$  such that  $\operatorname{Re} \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} > 0$  for  $z \in K$ . Especially, denote  $CS^*(\alpha) = CS_1^*(\alpha)$ ,  $CS^* = CS^*(0)$ .

The class  $CS^*(\alpha)$  was investigated by Al-Amiri [1], Kulkarni and Thakare [4], Sakaguchi [7], Krzyż and Rade [3], MacGregor [5] and others.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote a subclass of the class of functions regular in  $K$ .

DEFINITION 2. Let  $B(\mathcal{A})$  denote the set of all pairs  $(|a|, r)$ , where  $a \in K$ ,  $|a| < r \leq 1 - |a|$ , such that any function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  maps the disk  $K(a, r)$  onto a domain starlike with respect to the origin.

Putting  $a = 0$ , we obtain the radius of starlikeness for the class  $\mathcal{A}$ .

The set  $B(\mathcal{A})$  was determined for  $\mathcal{A} = S^*$  by Rahmanow [6], for  $\mathcal{A} = S^*(\alpha)$ ,  $S^c$  by Stankiewicz and Świtonik [8], for  $\mathcal{A} = S$  by Świtonik [9] and for  $\mathcal{A} = CS^*$  by Dziok [2].

In this paper we determined the set  $B(CS_p^*(\alpha))$  for the  $\alpha$ -close-to-star functions.

The following lemma is useful for our main result.

LEMMA [9]. Let  $f$  be a regular function in  $K$ ,  $a \in K$ ,  $|a| < r \leq 1 - |a|$ . It maps the disk  $K(a, r)$  onto a domain starlike with respect to the origin if and only if

$$(1.2) \quad \operatorname{Re} \frac{e^{i\theta} f'(a + re^{i\theta})}{f(a + re^{i\theta})} \geq 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi.$$

## 2. Main results

THEOREM. Let  $f \in CS_p^*(\alpha)$ , where  $p$  is a positive integer and  $\alpha$  is a real number,  $0 \leq \alpha < p$ . Let

$$B' = \left\{ (|a|, r) : \begin{cases} |a| < r & \text{for } 0 \leq r \leq r_1 \\ |a| \leq \sqrt{r^2 - (r^2 - (\sqrt{p} - 2\sqrt{r(1+p-\alpha)})^2 / (p-2\alpha))} & \text{for } r_1 < r < r_2 \\ |a| \leq q - r & \text{for } r_2 \leq r < q \end{cases} \right\}$$

where

$$(2.1) \quad r_1 = \frac{p}{4(1+p-\alpha)},$$

$$(2.2) \quad r_2 = p(1+p-\alpha)(1+p-\alpha + \sqrt{(1-\alpha)^2 + 2p})^{-2},$$

$$(2.3) \quad q = p(1+p-\alpha + \sqrt{(1-\alpha)^2 + 2p})^{-1},$$

$$(2.4) \quad B'' = \{(|a|, r) : |a| < r \leq q - |a|\}$$

and let us put

$$(2.5) \quad B = \begin{cases} B' & \text{for } 0 \leq \alpha < p/2 \\ B'' & \text{for } p/2 \leq \alpha < p \end{cases}.$$

If  $(|a|, r) \in \mathcal{B}$ , then the function  $f$  maps the disk  $K(a, r)$  onto a domain starlike with respect to the origin.

The result is sharp for  $p/2 \leq \alpha < p$ , and for  $0 \leq \alpha < p/2$  the set  $\mathcal{B}$  can not be larger than  $\mathcal{B}''$ . It means that

$$(2.6) \quad \mathcal{B}' \subset B(CS_p^*(\alpha)) \subset \mathcal{B}'' \text{ for } 0 \leq \alpha < p/2,$$

$$(2.7) \quad B(CS_p^*(\alpha)) = \mathcal{B}'' \text{ for } p/2 \leq \alpha < p.$$

**Proof.** Let  $z = a + re^{i\theta}$ ,  $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$ . Since the function  $e^{-is}f(e^{is}z)$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $z \in K$ , belongs to the class  $CS_p^*(\alpha)$  together with the function  $f$ , we may assume without the loss of generality that  $a$  is real and nonnegative.

Let  $f \in CS_p^*(\alpha)$ . Thus there exists a function  $g \in S_p^*(\alpha)$  which satisfies the  $\frac{f(z)}{g(z)} = h(z)$ , where  $h \in P$ , or equivalently

$$(2.8) \quad f(z) = g(z)h(z).$$

Because  $g \in S_p^*(\alpha)$ , therefore  $\frac{zg'(z)}{pg(z)} \in P(\alpha/p)$ . Since the domain of variability of the functional  $h$  in the class  $P(\alpha/p)$  is known, we have

$$\left| \frac{zg'(z)}{pg(z)} - \frac{\alpha}{p} - \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{p}\right) \frac{1 + |z|^2}{1 - |z|^2} \right| \leq \frac{2(1 - \alpha/p)|z|}{1 - |z|^2}.$$

Thus, after some calculations, we obtain

$$(2.9) \quad (1 - |z|^2) \operatorname{Re} \frac{e^{i\theta} g'(z)}{pg(z)} \geq \operatorname{Re} \frac{e^{i\theta} (1 + (1 - 2\alpha/p)|z|^2)}{z} - 2(1 - \alpha/p).$$

Logarithmic differentiation of the equality (2.8) gives

$$\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{g'(z)}{g(z)} + \frac{h'(z)}{h(z)}.$$

Using the well-known estimate for  $|h'(z)/h(z)|$  in the class  $P$ , we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{e^{i\theta} f'(z)}{f(z)} \geq \operatorname{Re} \frac{e^{i\theta} g'(z)}{g(z)} - \frac{2}{1 - |z|^2}.$$

Using (2.9) and setting  $z = a + re^{i\theta}$ , this yields

$$(2.10) \quad (1 - |a + re^{i\theta}|) \operatorname{Re} \frac{e^{i\theta} f'(a + re^{i\theta})}{f(a + re^{i\theta})} \\ \geq \operatorname{Re} \frac{e^{i\theta} (p + (p - 2\alpha)|a + re^{i\theta}|^2)}{a + re^{i\theta}} - 2(p + 1 - \alpha).$$

We now have to require that the right-hand side of (2.10) must be nonnegative, that is

$$(2.11) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{p}{r + ae^{-i\theta}} + \frac{(p - 2\alpha)|r + ae^{-i\theta}|^2}{r + ae^{-i\theta}} \right) \geq 2(1 + p - \alpha).$$

If we put

$$(2.12) \quad r + ae^{-i\theta} = x + yi$$

into (2.11), we get

$$(2.13) \quad \frac{px}{x^2 + y^2} + (p - 2\alpha)x \geq 2(1 + p - \alpha).$$

Thus, using the equality

$$(2.14) \quad (x - r)^2 + y^2 = a^2,$$

we obtain

$$(2.15) \quad 2r(p - 2\alpha)x^2 + [p + (p - 2\alpha)(a^2 - r^2) - 4r(1 + p - \alpha)]x + 2(1 + p - \alpha)(r^2 - a^2) \geq 0.$$

Now we require that the inequality (2.15) holds for every  $x \in [r - a, r + a]$ . Let us denote the quadratic trinomial in the inequality (2.15) by  $w(x)$ . The determinant  $\Delta$  of this trinomial is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= (p + (p - 2\alpha)(a^2 - r^2) - 4r(1 + p - \alpha))^2 \\ &\quad - 16r(p - 2\alpha)(1 + p - \alpha)(r^2 - a^2) = AB, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{cases} A = (p - 2\alpha)(a^2 - r^2) + p + 4r((1 + p - \alpha) + 4\sqrt{rp(1 + p - \alpha)}) \\ B = (p - 2\alpha)(a^2 - r^2) + p + 4r(1 + p - \alpha) - 4\sqrt{rp(1 + p - \alpha)} \end{cases}.$$

Let  $D = \{(a, r) \in R^2 : 0 \leq a < r \leq 1 - a\}$ . First we discuss the case  $0 \leq \alpha < p/2$ . Thus the inequality (2.15) is satisfied for every  $x \in [r - a, r + a]$ , if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

$$1^\circ \Delta \leq 0,$$

$$2^\circ \Delta > 0 \text{ and } w(r - a) \geq 0 \text{ and } x_0 \leq r - a,$$

$$3^\circ \Delta > 0 \text{ and } w(r + a) \geq 0 \text{ and } x_0 \geq r + a,$$

where

$$(2.17) \quad x_0 = -\frac{(p - 2\alpha)(a^2 - r^2) - 4r(1 + p - \alpha) + p}{4(p - 2\alpha)r}.$$

Ad 1°. Let  $B_1 = \{(a, r) \in D : \Delta \leq 0\}$ . Since  $A > 0$ , the condition  $\Delta \leq 0$  is equivalent, by (2.16), to the inequality

$$(2.18) \quad B = (p - 2\alpha)(a^2 - r^2) + p + 4r((1 + p - \alpha) - 4\sqrt{r(1 + p - \alpha)}) \leq 0.$$

Let  $\gamma$  denote the boundary of the set  $\tilde{B}_1 = \{(a, r) \in R^2; B \leq 0\}$ . But  $\gamma$  is the curve which is tangent to the straight lines  $r = a$  and  $r = q - a$  at the points  $S_1(r_1, r_1)$  and  $S_2(r_2, q - r_2)$ , respectively, where  $r_1, r_2, q$  are defined by (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3), respectively. Moreover  $\gamma$  cuts the straight line  $a = 0$  at the points

$$\begin{aligned} r_3 &= \frac{[(1 + p - \alpha + [p(p - 2\alpha)]^{1/2})^{1/2} - (1 + p - \alpha)^{1/2}]^2}{p - 2\alpha}, \\ r_4 &= \frac{[(1 + p - \alpha)^{1/2} - (1 + p - \alpha - [p(p - 2\alpha)]^{1/2})^{1/2}]^2}{p - 2\alpha}, \\ r_0 &= \frac{[(1 + p - \alpha)^{1/2} + (1 + p - \alpha - [p(p - 2\alpha)]^{1/2})^{1/2}]^2}{p - 2\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

We have  $0 < r_3 < r_4 < q$  and  $r_0 > q$ . Thus

$$B_1 = \{(a, r) : r_3 \leq r \leq r_4,$$

$$0 \leq a \leq \sqrt{r^2 - (\sqrt{p} - 2\sqrt{r(1 + p - \alpha)})^2 / (p - 2\alpha)}\}.$$

Ad 2°. Let  $B_2 = \{(a, r) \in D : \Delta > 0 \wedge w(r - a) \geq 0 \wedge x_0 \leq r - a\}$ . We have  $w(r - a) = (r - a)[(p - 2\alpha)(r - a)^2 - 2(1 + p - \alpha)(r - a) + p] = (p - 2\alpha)(r - a)(r - a - q')(r - a - q)$  where  $q$  is defined by (2.3) and  $q' = p(1 + p - \alpha - \sqrt{(1 - \alpha)^2 + 2p})^{-1}$ . Since  $q' > 1$  and  $0 < q < 1$  hold for  $0 \leq \alpha < p/2$ , we see that  $(r - a)(r - a - q') < 0$  and the inequality  $w(r - a) \geq 0$  is true, if

$$(2.19) \quad r \leq a + q.$$

The inequality  $x_0 \leq r - a$  may be written in the form

$$(2.20) \quad (p - 2\alpha)a^2 + 3(p - 2\alpha)r^2 - 4(1 + p - \alpha)r - 4(p - 2\alpha)ar + p \geq 0.$$

The hyperbola  $h_1$ , which is the boundary of the set of all pairs  $(a, r) \in R^2$  satisfying (2.20), cuts the line  $a = r$  at the point  $S_1$  and the line  $a = 0$  at the points

$$(2.21) \quad \begin{aligned} r_5 &= \frac{p}{2(1 + p - \alpha) + \sqrt{4(1 + p - \alpha)^2 - 3p^2(1 - 2\alpha)}}, \\ \tilde{r}_5 &= \frac{p}{2(1 + p - \alpha) - \sqrt{4(1 + p - \alpha)^2 - 3p^2(1 - 2\alpha)}}. \end{aligned}$$

We have  $r_3 < r_5 < r_4$ ,  $\tilde{r}_5 > q$ . Thus, finally, we describe the set

$$\mathcal{B}_2 = \left\{ (a, r) : \begin{cases} 0 \leq a < r & \text{for } 0 \leq r \leq r_3 \\ \sqrt{r^2 - (\sqrt{p} - 2\sqrt{r(1+p-\alpha)})^2 / (p-2\alpha)} \leq a < r & \text{for } r_3 < r < r_1 \end{cases} \right\}$$

3°. Let  $\mathcal{B}_3 = \{(a, r) \in R : \Delta > 0 \wedge w(r+a) \geq 0 \wedge x_0 \geq r+a\}$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} w(r+a) &= (r+a)[(p-2\alpha)(r+a)^2 - 2(1+p-\alpha)(r+a) + p] \\ &= (p-2\alpha)(r+a)(r+a-q')(r+a-q) \end{aligned}$$

and  $(r+a)(r+a-q') < 0$  hold for  $a < r \leq 1-a$ , we conclude that the inequality  $w(r-a) \geq 0$  is true if

$$(2.22) \quad r \leq q - a.$$

The inequality  $x_0 \leq r+a$  may be written in the form

$$(2.23) \quad (p-2\alpha)a^2 + 3(p-2\alpha)r^2 - 4(1+p-\alpha)r + 4(p-2\alpha)ar + p \leq 0.$$

The hyperbola  $h_2$ , which is the boundary of the set of all pairs  $(a, r) \in D$  satisfying (2.23), cuts the line  $a+r=q$  at the point  $S_2$  and the line  $a=0$  for  $r=r_5$ . Thus we determine the set

$$\mathcal{B}_3 = \left\{ (a, r) : \begin{cases} \sqrt{r^2 - (\sqrt{p} - 2\sqrt{r(1+p-\alpha)})^2 / (p-2\alpha)} < a \leq q-r & \text{for } r_2 < r < r_4 \\ 0 \leq a \leq q-r & \text{for } r_4 \leq r < q \end{cases} \right\}$$

The union of the sets  $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{B}_3$  gives the set  $\mathcal{B}'$ . Thus

$$(2.24) \quad \mathcal{B}' \subset B(CS^*(\alpha)) \text{ for } 0 \leq \alpha < p/2.$$

Now let  $p/2 < \alpha < p$ . Then the inequality (2.15) is satisfied for every  $x \in [r-a, r+a]$  if the following conditions are satisfied

$$(2.25) \quad w(r-a) \geq 0 \text{ and } w(r+a) \geq 0.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} w(r+a) &= (p-2\alpha)(r+a)(r+a-q')(r+a-q), \\ w(r-a) &= (p-2\alpha)(r-a)(r-a-q')(r-a-q) \end{aligned}$$

and  $q' < 0, 0 < q < 1$  hold for  $p/2 < \alpha < p$ , then the condition (2.25) is

satisfied if

$$(2.26) \quad r - a - q \leq 0.$$

Let  $\alpha = p/2$ . Taking  $\alpha = p/2$  in (2.15) we obtain

$$(2.27) \quad (p - 4r(1 + p/2))x + 2(1 + p/2)(r^2 - a^2) \geq 0.$$

The inequality (2.27) is satisfied for every  $x \in [r - a, r + a]$  if  $r - a \leq p/(p + 2)$  or equivalently (2.26). Thus we obtain

$$(2.28) \quad B'' \subset B(CS^*(\alpha)) \text{ for } p/2 \leq \alpha < p.$$

Let  $0 \leq \alpha < p$ . The function  $f(z) = \frac{z^p(1-z)}{(1+z)^{2(p-\alpha)+1}}$  belongs to the class  $CS_p^*(\alpha)$  and for  $z = a + r, \theta = 0, a + r > q$  we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{e^{i\theta} f'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{p - 2(1 + p - \alpha)(a + r) + (p - 2\alpha)(a + r)^2}{(a + r)(1 - (a + r)^2)} \leq 0,$$

whence by Lemma and Definition 2, we get

$$(2.29) \quad B(CS^*(\alpha)) \subset B'' \text{ for } 0 \leq \alpha < p.$$

By (2.24) and (2.29) we obtain (2.6). From (2.28) and (2.29) it follows (2.7), which completes the proof.

**Remark.** Finally let us observe, that taking  $a=0$ , we obtain the radius of starlikeness for the class  $CS_p^*(\alpha)$ , while, taking  $\alpha = 0$  and  $p = 1$ , we have the result due to Dziok [2].

## References

- [1] H. S. Al-Amiri, *On  $p$ -close-to-star function of order  $\alpha$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 29 (1971), 103–108.
- [2] J. Dziok, *On a starlike problem in the class  $CS^*$* , Folia Sci. Univ. Techn. Resoviensis, 16 (1994), 25–32.
- [3] J. Krzyż, M. O. Rede, *The radius of univalence of certain analytic functions*, Michigan Math. J. 11(1964), 157–159.
- [4] S. R. Kulkarni, N. K. Thakare, *The radius of convexity for a certain class of analytic functions*, Math. Student, 46 (1978), 367–373.
- [5] T. H. MacGregor, *Functions whose derivative has positive real part*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 104 (1962), 532–537.
- [6] B. N. Rahmanow, *O zwiezdoobraznych funkcjach*, Differencjal'nye Uravnenija 181 (1975), 137–142.

- [7] K. Sakaguchi, *The radius of convexity for a certain class of regular functions*, J. Naga Gakugei Univ. Natur. Sci. 12 (1964), 5–8.
- [8] J. Stankiewicz, B. Świtoniak, *Generalized problems of convexity and starlikeness*, Complex Anal. Appl. '85, Sofia 1986.
- [9] B. Świtoniak, *On a starlikeness problem in the class of functions  $S_\alpha^*$* , Folia Sci. Univ. Techn. Resoviensis, 14 (1984), 17–27.

INSTITUTE of MATHEMATICS  
PEDAGOGICAL UNIVERSSSITY OF RZESZÓW  
Rejtana 16a  
35-310 RZESZÓW, POLAND

*Received February 20, 1995.*