

C. Buşe, S. S. Dragomir, D. Barbu

THE CONVERGENCE OF SOME SEQUENCES CONNECTED TO HADAMARD'S INEQUALITY

1. Introduction

Let $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex mapping on the interval of real numbers I , and $a, b \in \overset{\circ}{I}$ with $a < b$ ($\overset{\circ}{I}$ is the interior of I). The following integral inequality is well known in literature as Hadamard's inequality (see also [4]),

$$(1) \quad f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx \leq \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}.$$

For some recent refinements, counterparts and generalizations of this classic fact see the papers [1-7] where further references are given.

In [6], S. S. Dragomir, J. E. Pecarić and J. Sándor proved the following refinement of (1):

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad & f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{(b-a)^n} \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b f\left(\frac{x_1+x_2+\dots+x_n}{n}\right) dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_n \\ & \leq \frac{1}{(b-a)^{n-1}} \int_a^b \left(\frac{x_1+x_2+\dots+x_n}{n-1}\right) dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_{n-1} \\ & \leq \dots \leq \frac{1}{(b-a)} \int_a^b f(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \geq 2$. Some applications for F -functions with interesting connections in Number Theory are also given.

In paper [5], among other results, the authors proved the following refinement of Hadamard's inequality for weighted means:

$$(3) \quad f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{(b-a)^n} \int_a^b f\left(\frac{p_1 x_1 + \dots + p_n x_n}{P_n}\right) dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_n \\ \leq \frac{1}{(b-a)} \int_a^b f(x) dx$$

where $p_i \geq 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) with $P_n = p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_n > 0$.

In this paper we will study the convergence of some sequence which can be associated in a natural way to Hadamard's inequality.

2. The main results

We will start with the following generalization of the inequality (3) with a different proof (compare with [5] in which Jensen's inequality for multiple integral was used):

THEOREM 1. *Let I be an interval with $a, b \in \overset{\circ}{I}$ and $a < b$. If $f : I \rightarrow R$ is a convex function on I and $q_i(m) \geq 0$ for all $i, m \in N^*$ ($N^* = \{1, 2, \dots\}$) then we have the inequality*

$$(4) \quad f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \\ \leq \frac{1}{(b-a)^m} \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b f\left(\frac{q_1(m)x_1 + \dots + q_m(m)x_m}{Q_m}\right) dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_m \\ \leq \frac{1}{(b-a)} \int_a^b f(x) dx$$

where $Q_m := q_1(m) + \dots + q_m(m) > 0$, $m \in N^*$.

To prove this fact we will use the following lemma:

LEMMA 1. *Suppose that $g : (a, b) \rightarrow R$, $a, b \in R$, $a < b$, is a convex function on (a, b) . Then there exist two sequences $(a_n), (b_n)$ of real numbers such that*

$$(5) \quad g(t) = \sup_{n \geq 1} (a_n t + b_n) \quad \text{for all } t \in (a, b).$$

Proof of the Lemma. Since g is convex on (a, b) , hence

$$g(t) \geq g(x) + (t-x)g'_+(x) \quad \text{for all } t, x \in (a', b).$$

From that we get

$$g(t) \geq \sup\{g(x) + (t-x)g'_+(x) : x \in (a, b) \cap \mathbf{Q}\} \quad \text{for all } t \in (a, b).$$

Fix t in (a, b) and let $x_n \in \mathbf{Q} \cap (a, b)$ such that $x_n \rightarrow t$. Since g is continuous in t we find that

$$g(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [g(x_n) + (t - x_n)g'_+(x_n)], \quad t \in (a, b)$$

because $(g'_+(x_n))$ is bounded in R . Consequently

$$(6) \quad g(t) = \sup\{g(x) + t - x)g'_+(x) : x \in (a, b) \cap \mathbf{Q}\} \quad \text{for all } t \in (a, b).$$

If $h : N^* \rightarrow \mathbf{Q} \cap (a, b)$ is one of bijections of the set N^* onto $\mathbf{Q} \cap (a, b)$, then we can choose $a_n = g'_+(h(n))$ and $b_n = g(h(n)) - h(n)a_n$, and by (6) we obtain (5).

Proof of Theorem. By the above lemma there exist two sequences (a_n^f) and (b_n^f) such that

$$f(t) = \sup_{n \geq 1} (a_n^f t + b_n^f), \quad t \in (a, b)$$

and thus

$$f\left(\frac{q_1(m)x_1 + \dots + q_m(m)x_m}{Q_m}\right) \geq a_n^f \left(\frac{q_1(m)x_1 + \dots + q_m(m)x_m}{Q_m}\right) + b_n^f$$

for all $n \geq 1$ and $x_i \in (a, b)$.

Integrating on $[a, b]^m$ we get

$$\int_a^b \dots \int_a^b f\left(\frac{q_1(m)x_1 + \dots + q_m(m)x_m}{Q_m}\right) dx_1 \dots dx_m \geq \left(a_n^f \frac{a+b}{2} + b_n^f\right)(b-a)^m$$

for all $n \geq 1$. Passing to the supremum in the above inequality, we deduce the first inequality in (4).

Now, let observe by the convexity of f that

$$f\left(\frac{q_1(m)x_1 + \dots + q_m(m)x_m}{Q_m}\right) \leq \frac{q_1(m)f(x_1) + \dots + q_m(m)f(x_m)}{Q_m}$$

which gives by integration on $[a, b]^m$ the second part of (4).

The following result for convergence holds:

THEOREM 2. Let $f : I \subset R \rightarrow R$ be a convex function on I , $a, b, \in \overset{\circ}{I}$, with $a < b$ and $q_i(m) \geq 0$ for all $i, m \in N^*$. If $Q_m = q_1(m) + \dots + q_m(m) > 0$ and

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{q_1^2(m) + \dots + q_m^2(m)}{Q_m^2} = 0$$

then

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(b-a)^m} \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b f\left(\frac{q_1(m)x_1 + \dots + q_m(m)x_m}{Q_m}\right) dx_1 \dots dx_m = f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right).$$

We will prove the following lemma which is interesting by itself.

LEMMA 2. Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Lebesgue integrable function on $[a, b]$ and assume that it is continuous at $\frac{a+b}{2}$. If $q_i(m) \geq 0$ ($i, m \in \mathbb{N}$) are such that $Q_m > 0$ and

$$(7) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{q_1^2(m) + \dots + q_m^2(m)}{Q_m^2} = 0$$

then

$$(8) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(b-a)^m} \times \int_{[a,b]^m} f\left(\frac{q_1(m)x_1 + \dots + q_m(m)x_m}{Q_m}\right) dx_1 \dots dx_m = f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)$$

where the above integral is understood in the Lebesgue sense.

PROOF OF LEMMA. It is clear that the above integral exists. Choosing $x_i = a + t_i(b-a)$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(b-a)^m} \int_{[a,b]^m} f\left(\frac{q_1(m)x_1 + \dots + q_m(m)x_m}{Q_m}\right) dx_1 \dots dx_m \\ &= \int_{[0,1]^m} f\left(a + (b-a) \frac{q_1(m)t_1 + \dots + q_m(m)t_m}{Q_m}\right) dt_1 \dots dt_m. \end{aligned}$$

Since f is continuous at $x_0 = \frac{a+b}{2}$, therefore for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $|x - \frac{a+b}{2}| < \delta$ implies $|f(x) - f(\frac{a+b}{2})| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$.

Consider the mappings $\varphi_m : [0, 1]^m \rightarrow [a, b]$ given by

$$\varphi_m(t) = a + \frac{b-a}{Q_m} [q_1(m)t_1 + \dots + q_m(m)t_m]$$

where $t = (t_1, \dots, t_m)$, and define the sets

$$A_\delta(\varphi_m) := \left\{ t \in [0, 1]^m : \left| \varphi_m(t) - \int_{[0,1]^m} \varphi_m(s) ds \right| \geq \delta \right\}.$$

Note that the sets $A_\delta(\varphi_m)$ are Lebesgue measurable in $[0, 1]^m$.

If $A_\delta(\varphi_m) \neq \emptyset$, then

$$(9) \quad \left| \varphi_m(t) - \int_{[0,1]^m} \varphi_m(s) ds \right|^2 \geq \delta^2 \quad \text{for all } t \in A_\delta(\varphi_m) \text{ and thus}$$

$$\int_{[0,1]^m} \varphi_m(t) - \int_{[0,1]^m} \varphi_m(s) ds)^2 dt \geq$$

$$\int_{A_\delta(\varphi_m)} \left(\varphi_m(t) - \int_{[0,1]^m} \varphi_m(s) ds \right)^2 dt \geq \delta^2 \text{mes}(A_\delta(\varphi_m)), \text{ i.e.,}$$

$$\int_{[0,1]^m} \varphi_m^2(t) dt - \left(\int_{[0,1]^m} \varphi_m(t) dt \right)^2 \geq \delta^2 \text{mes}(A_\delta(\varphi_m)).$$

But a simple calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[0,1]^m} \varphi_m^2(t) dt &= \int_{[0,1]^m} \left[a^2 + 2a \frac{b-a}{Q_m} (q_1 t_1 + \dots + q_m t_m) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{(b-a)^2}{Q_m^2} (q_1 t_1 + \dots + q_m t_m)^2 \right] dt \\ &= a^2 + a(b-a) + \frac{(b-a)^2}{Q_m^2} \left[\frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^m q_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq m} q_i(m) q_j(n) \right] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left[\int_{[0,1]^m} \varphi_m(t) dt \right]^2 = a^2 + a(b-a) + \frac{(b-a)^2}{4}.$$

By the inequality (9) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[0,1]^m} \varphi_m^2(t) dt - \left(\int_{[0,1]^m} \varphi_m(t) dt \right)^2 \\ = \frac{b-a)^2}{12} \frac{q_1^2(m) + \dots + q_m^2(m)}{Q_m^2} \geq \delta^2 \text{mes}(A_\delta(\varphi_m)) \end{aligned}$$

Now we have succesively

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{[0,1]^m} f(\varphi_m(t)) dt - f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \right| &\leq \int_{[0,1]^m} \left| f(\varphi_m(t)) - f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \right| dt \\ &= \int_{[0,1]^m \setminus A_\delta(\varphi_m)} \left| f(\varphi_m(t)) - f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \right| dt \\ &\quad + \int_{A_\delta(\varphi_m)} \left| f(\varphi_m(t)) - f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \right| dt \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + 2M \text{mes}(A_\delta(\varphi_m)) \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{2M}{\delta^2} \frac{(b-a)^2}{12} \frac{q_1^2(m) + \dots + q_m^2(m)}{Q_m^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $M = \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in [a, b]\}$. Since

$$\frac{q_1^2(m) + \dots + q_m^2(m)}{Q_m^2} \rightarrow 0$$

hence there exists $m_\varepsilon \in N$ such that

$$\frac{2M}{\delta^2} \frac{(b-a)^2}{12} \frac{q_1^2(m) + \dots + q_m^2(m)}{Q_m^2} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

for all $m \geq m_\varepsilon$, and thus we have

$$\left| \int_{[0,1]^m} f(\varphi_m(t)) dt - f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \right| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{i.e. the limit (8).}$$

Proof of the Theorem. Since $f : I \rightarrow R$ is convex on I and $a, b \in \overset{\circ}{I}$, f is continuous on $[a, b]$. Now, applying Lemma 2 and observing that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[a,b]^m} f\left(\frac{q_1(m)x_1 + \dots + q_m(m)x_m}{Q_m}\right) dx_1 \dots dx_m \\ = \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b f\left(\frac{q_1(m)x_1 + \dots + q_m(m)x_m}{Q_m}\right) dx_1 \dots dx_m, \end{aligned}$$

where the last integral is considered in Riemann's sense, the proof of the theorem is finished.

COROLLARY. Let $f : I \subset R \rightarrow R$ be a convex function on I , $a, b \in \overset{\circ}{I}$ with $a < b$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(b-a)^m} \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b f\left(\frac{x_1 + \dots + x_m}{m}\right) dx_1 \dots dx_m = \\ \inf_{m \geq 1} \left[\frac{1}{(b-a)^m} \int_a^b \dots \int_a^b f\left(\frac{x_1 + \dots + x_m}{m}\right) dx_1 \dots dx_m \right] = f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Follows by Theorem 2 for $q_1(m) = \dots = q_m(m) = \frac{1}{m}$ ($m \in N^*$).

References

- [1] H. Alzer, *A note on Hadamard's inequality*, C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada, 11 (1989), 255-258.
- [2] S. S. Dragomir, *Some refinements of Hadamard's inequalities*, G.M. Metodică, 11 (1990), 189-191.
- [3] S. S. Dragomir, *Two refinements of Hadamard's inequalities*, Coll. Sci. Pap. Fac. Sci. Kragujevac, 11 (1990), 23-26.

- [4] S. S. Dragomir, *Two mappings in connection to Hadamard's inequalities*, J. Mat. Anal. Appl. 167 (1992), 49–56.
- [5] S. S. Dragomir, J. E. Pecârić and J. Sándor, *A note on the Jensen–Hadamard's inequalities*, Anal. Num. Theor. Approx. 19 (1990) 29–43.
- [6] S. S. Dragomir, *On Hadamard's inequalities for convex functions*, Mat. Balcanica 6 (1992), 215–222.
- [7] J. E. Pecârić and S. S. Dragomir, *A generalization of Hadamard's inequality for isotonic linear functionals*, Radovi Mat. (Sarajevo) 7 (1991), 103–107.

UNIVERSITY OF TIMIȘOARA
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
Bv. V. Pârvan Nr.4
1900-TIMIȘOARA, ROMÂNIA

Received February 10, 1994.

