

Lothar v. Wolfersdorf

## A CLASS OF MULTI-DIMENSIONAL NONLINEAR VOLTERRA EQUATIONS OF CONVOLUTION TYPE

*Cordially dedicated to Professor Janina Wolska-Bochenek*

### 1. Introduction

Recently by A.L. Bukhgeim [2] and by J. Janno and the author [5] existence theorems for globally defined solutions to some classes of one-dimensional nonlinear Volterra equations of convolution type or with a convolution majorant have been derived. The proofs use the contraction principle in spaces  $C$  and  $L_p$  with weighted norms.

Equations of this kind arise in the theory of inverse problems for identifying memory kernels in viscoelasticity and heat transfer [2], [3].

In the present paper such existence theorems are given for solutions in spaces  $C$  and  $L_p$  with mixed norms to a related class of equations in  $n$  dimension.

Besides an application to a first kind nonlinear Volterra equation of auto-convolution type is briefly discussed.

### 2. Main result

We deal with an operator equation in  $R^n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , of the type

$$(1) \quad u(x) + G_0 u(x) + K[G_1 u, G_2 u](x) = g(x),$$

where  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in D = \prod_{i=1}^n (0, X_i)$ ,  $0 < X_i < \infty$ ,  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in D$ ,

$$(2) \quad K[f_1, f_2](x) = \int_0^{x_n} \dots \int_0^{x_1} k(x, y) f_1(y) f_2(x - y) dy_1 \dots dy_n.$$

The solution  $u$  is sought in  $C(\bar{D})$  or in the Lebesque space  $L_P(D)$ ,  $P = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ ,  $1 \leq P \leq \infty$  (i.e.,  $1 \leq p_i \leq \infty$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) with mixed norm which is obtained after taking succesively the  $p_1$ -norm in  $x_1$ , the  $p_2$ -norm

in  $x_2, \dots$  the  $p_n$ -norm in  $x_n$  (cp. [1]). In particular, for  $1 \leq P \leq \infty$  (i.e.,  $1 \leq p_i < \infty$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) we have

$$\|u\|_P = \left( \int_0^{X_n} \dots \left( \int_0^{X_2} \left( \int_0^{X_1} |u(x)|^{p_1} dx_1 \right)^{\frac{p_2}{p_1}} dx_2 \right)^{\frac{p_3}{p_2}} \dots dx_n \right)^{\frac{1}{p_n}}.$$

Further  $G_j$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2$ , are operators from spaces  $L_P(D)$  to  $L_{P_j}(D)$ , where  $P_0 = P$ .

In the spaces  $L_P(D)$ ,  $1 \leq P \leq \infty$ , and in  $C(\bar{D})$  with  $P = \infty$  we introduce the equivalent weighted norms

$$\|u\|_{P,\sigma} := \|e^{-\sigma|x|} u\|_P, \quad \sigma > 0,$$

where  $|x| = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ , which satisfy the relations

$$(3) \quad \|u\|_{P,\sigma} \leq \|u\|_P \leq e^{\sigma|X|} \|u\|_{P,\sigma},$$

where  $|X| = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ .

Further we define the following set of functions  $\mathfrak{M} = \{M \in R_+^2 \rightarrow R_+, M \text{ non-decreasing in its arguments}\}$ .

Our main result is the following

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $1 \leq P \leq \infty$ ,  $1 < P_1, P_2 \leq \infty$  with  $1/P_1 + 1/P_2 < 1 + 1/P$  and let*

$$G_0 \in (L_P(D) \rightarrow L_P(D)), \quad G_j \in (L_P(D) \rightarrow L_{P_j}(D)), \quad j = 1, 2,$$

*satisfy Lipschitz conditions of the form*

$$(4) \quad \|G_0 u - G_0 v\|_{P,\sigma} \leq \lambda(\sigma) M_0(\|u\|_{P,\sigma}, \|v\|_{P,\sigma}) \|u - v\|_{P,\sigma},$$

$$(5) \quad \|G_j u - G_j v\|_{P,\sigma} \leq M_j(\|u\|_{P,\sigma}, \|v\|_{P,\sigma}) \|u - v\|_{P,\sigma}, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

*for all  $\sigma \geq \sigma_0 > 0$ , where  $M_j \in \mathfrak{M}$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2$ , and  $\lambda$  is a decreasing continuous function with  $\lambda(\sigma) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Let further  $k$  be a measurable function on  $D \times D$  satisfying the inequality*

$$(6) \quad |k(x, y)| \leq k_0(x) k_1(y) k_2(x - y),$$

*where*

$$\|k_0\|_{R_0}, \|k_1\|_{R_1}, \|k_2\|_{R_2} < \infty,$$

*and  $R_0, R_1, R_2 \geq 1$  with  $R_0 \geq P$ ,*

$$(7) \quad \frac{1}{P} - \frac{1}{R_0} < 1, \quad \frac{1}{P_j} + \frac{1}{R_j} < 1, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

$$(8) \quad \frac{1}{R_0} + \frac{1}{R_1} + \frac{1}{R_2} < 1 + \frac{1}{P} - \left( \frac{1}{P_1} + \frac{1}{P_2} \right).$$

Then equation (1) has a unique solution  $u \in L_P(D)$  for any  $g \in L_P(D)$ .

The same statement holds in space  $C(\overline{D})$  with  $P = \infty$  if  $G_0 \in (C(\overline{D}) \rightarrow C(\overline{D}))$ ,  $G_j \in (C(\overline{D}) \rightarrow L_{P_j}(D))$ ,  $1 < P_j \leq \infty$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , and  $k(x, y) = k_0(x)k_1(y)k_2(x - y)$ , where  $k_0 \in C(\overline{D})$ ,  $k_j \in L_{P_j}(D)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ .

**COROLLARY 1.** The solution  $u$  of (1) depends (locally Lipschitz) continuously in the norm  $\|\cdot\|_P$  on the data  $g$ . Namely, there holds the estimation

$$(9) \quad \|u_1 - u_2\|_P \leq \overline{M}(|X|, \|G_1 u_1\|_{P_1}, \|G_2 u_2\|_{P_2}, \|u_1\|_P, \|u_2\|_P) \cdot \|g_1 - g_2\|_P$$

for the solutions  $u = u_j$  of (1) with  $g = g_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , where  $\overline{M} \in R_+^5 \rightarrow R_+$  is a non-decreasing function in its arguments.

**COROLLARY 2.** The statements of Theorem 1 hold true for equation

$$(10) \quad u + G_0 u + F(K[G_1 u, G_2 u]) = g,$$

where  $G_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , and  $K$  as in the theorem and  $F \in (L_P(D) \rightarrow L_P(D))$  satisfies the assumptions  $F0 = 0$  and

$$(11) \quad \|Fu - Fv\|_{P,\sigma} \leq M(\|u\|_{P,\sigma}, \|v\|_{P,\sigma}) \|u - v\|_{P,\sigma}$$

for  $\sigma \geq \sigma_0 > 0$  with  $M \in \mathfrak{M}$ . Moreover, the statements hold true for equation (1) with finite sum of operators  $K_k[G_{1,k} u, G_{2,k} u]$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , where  $P$  is the same for all  $k = 1, \dots, n$ .

Before proving Theorem 1 and Corollaries 1,2 in the next section, we give some preparations for the proof.

At first we state Young's inequality in the weighted norms. Let  $1 \leq P, Q, R \leq \infty$  satisfy the relation  $1/P + 1/Q = 1 + 1/R$ . If  $u \in L_P(D)$ ,  $v \in L_Q(D)$ , then  $u * v \in L_R(D)$  and

$$(12) \quad \|u * v\|_{R,\sigma} \leq \|u\|_{P,\sigma} \|v\|_{Q,\sigma}, \quad \sigma \geq 0,$$

where  $*$  denotes convolution, i.e.

$$(u * v)(x) = \int_0^{x_n} \dots \int_0^{x_1} u(y)v(x - y) dy_1 \dots dy_n.$$

This follows from the relation  $e^{-\sigma|x|}(u * v) = (e^{-\sigma|x|}u) * (e^{-\sigma|x|}v)$  and Young's inequality in the mixed norms (cp. [1], Th. 1).

Further, by Hölder's inequality for  $1 \leq R \leq P$  we have the estimations

$$\|u\|_{R,\sigma} = \|e^{-\sigma|x|}u\|_R \leq \|1\|_Q \|u\|_{P,\sigma}$$

and

$$\|u\|_{R,\sigma} \leq \|e^{-\sigma|x|}\|_Q \|u\|_P, \quad 1/P + 1/Q = 1/R,$$

i.e., for  $1 \leq R \leq P$  there hold the inequalities

$$(13) \quad \|u\|_{R,\sigma} \leq A(Q)\|u\|_{P,\sigma}, \quad 1/P + 1/Q = 1/R,$$

where

$$A(Q) = \prod_{i=1}^n X_i^{1/q_i},$$

and

$$(14) \quad \|u\|_{R,\sigma} \leq B(Q,\sigma)\|u\|_P, \quad 1/P + 1/Q = 1/R,$$

where

$$B(Q,\sigma) = B_0(Q) \left( \frac{1}{\sigma} \right)^{\beta(q)},$$

$$B_0(Q) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{1}{q_i} \right)^{1/q_i}, \quad \beta(q) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{q_i}$$

with  $(1/q_i)^{1/q_i}$  defined as 1 if  $q_i = \infty$ .

With the help of the inequalities (12)-(14) we estimate the operator  $K$ .

LEMMA 1. Let  $1 \leq P \leq \infty$ ,  $1 < P_1, P_2 \leq \infty$  with  $1/P_1 + 1/P_2 < 1 + 1/P$  and  $f_j \in L_{P_j}$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ . Further let the assumptions (6)-(8) of Theorem 1 be fulfilled. Then there hold the estimations

$$(15) \quad \|K[f_1, f_2]\|_{P,\sigma} \leq K_1 \|f_1\|_{P_1,\sigma} \|f_2\|_{P_2,\sigma}$$

and

$$(16) \quad \|K[f_1, f_2]\|_{P,\sigma} \leq K_2(\sigma) \|f_k\|_{P_k} \|f_j\|_{P_j,\sigma} \quad (k, j = 1, 2; k \neq j)$$

where  $K_1$  is a positive constant depending on the parameters  $P, P_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ ,  $R_l, l = 0, 1, 2$ , and  $X_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , only, and  $K_2$  is a positive function of  $\sigma$  and the parameters  $P, P_j, R_l$  continuous and decreasing in  $\sigma$  with  $K_2(\sigma) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$  for fixed values of the parameters.

Proof. By (6), Hölder's inequality and Young's inequality (12) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|K[f_1, f_2]\|_{P,\sigma} &\leq \|k_0\|_{R_0} \|k_1 f_1 * k_2 f_2\|_{S_0,\sigma} \\ &\leq \|k_0\|_{R_0} \|k_1 f_1\|_{Q_1,\sigma} \|k_2 f_2\|_{Q_2,\sigma} \leq K_0 \|f_1\|_{S_1,\sigma} \|f_2\|_{S_2,\sigma} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$K_0 = \|k_0\|_{R_0} \|k_1\|_{R_1} \|k_2\|_{R_2},$$

where  $1/R_0 + 1/S_0 = 1/P$  with  $S_0 \geq P \geq 1$  since  $R_0 \geq P$ ,  $1/Q_1 + 1/Q_2 = 1 + 1/S_0$ , i.e.

$$(17) \quad \frac{1}{Q_1} + \frac{1}{Q_2} = 1 + \frac{1}{P} - \frac{1}{R_0}$$

with  $Q_j \geq 1$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , and  $1/R_j + 1/S_j = 1/Q_j$ , i.e.

$$(18) \quad \frac{1}{S_j} = \frac{1}{Q_j} - \frac{1}{R_j}, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

with  $Q_j \leq R_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ . From (17) and (18) there follows

$$(19) \quad \frac{1}{S_1} + \frac{1}{S_2} = 1 + \frac{1}{P} - \left( \frac{1}{R_0} + \frac{1}{R_1} + \frac{1}{R_2} \right).$$

We now choose positive  $S_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , satisfying the relation (19) and the inequalities

$$(20) \quad \frac{1}{P_j} < \frac{1}{S_j} \leq 1 - \frac{1}{R_j}, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

so that the conditions  $1 \leq Q_j \leq R_j$  and  $1 \leq S_j < P_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , are fulfilled. In view of (7), (8) such a choice of  $S_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , is always possible, namely by

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} \max \left\{ \frac{1}{P_1}, \frac{1}{P} - \frac{1}{R_0} - \frac{1}{R_1} \right\} &< \frac{1}{S_1} \\ &< \min \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{R_1}, 1 + \frac{1}{P} - \frac{1}{P_2} - \left( \frac{1}{R_0} + \frac{1}{R_1} + \frac{1}{R_2} \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for  $S_1$  and correspondingly for  $S_2$  with (19).

Finally, applying the inequalities (13) and (14) with  $R = S_j$ ,  $P = P_j$ , to  $\|f_j\|_{S_j, \sigma}$ , we obtain

$$\|f_j\|_{S_j, \sigma} \leq A(\Delta_j) \|f_j\|_{P_j, \sigma}$$

and

$$\|f_j\|_{S_j, \sigma} \leq B(\Delta_j, \sigma) \|f_j\|_{P_j},$$

where  $\Delta_j > 0$  is given by  $1/\Delta_j = 1/S_j - 1/P_j$ . This yields the estimations (15), (16) with

$$K_1 := K_0 \prod_{j=1}^2 A(\Delta_j)$$

and

$$K_2(\sigma) := K_0 \max[A(\Delta_2)B(\Delta_1, \sigma), A(\Delta_1)B(\Delta_2, \sigma)].$$

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1

The proof follows the lines of the corresponding proof in [5].

**a.** By the assumptions  $G_0 \in (L_P(D) \rightarrow L_P(D))$ ,  $G_j \in (L_P(D) \rightarrow L_{P_j}(D))$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , and Lemma 1 there is

$$(22) \quad G_0u + K[G_1u, G_2u] \in (L_P(D) \rightarrow L_P(D))$$

for the spaces  $L_P(D)$ ,  $1 \leq P \leq \infty$ . For the space  $C(\overline{D})$  there hold  $G_0 \in (C(\overline{D}) \rightarrow C(\overline{D}))$ ,  $G_j \in C(\overline{D}) \rightarrow L_{P_j}(D)$ ,  $1 < P_j \leq \infty$ , and

$$K[G_1u, G_2u] = k_0 \cdot (k_1 G_1u * k_2 G_2u),$$

where  $k_0 \in C(\overline{D})$  and

$$k_1 G_1u * k_2 G_2u \in C(\overline{D}) \quad \text{as } u \in C(\overline{D}),$$

since  $k_1 G_1u \in L_{Q_1}$ ,  $k_2 G_2u \in L_{Q_2}$  with  $1/Q_j = 1/P_j + 1/R_j < 1$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , and

$$\frac{1}{Q_1} + \frac{1}{Q_2} = \frac{1}{R_1} + \frac{1}{R_2} + \frac{1}{P_1} + \frac{1}{P_2} < 1$$

by (7), (8) with  $P = R_0 = \infty$  (cp. [1], 10, Th. 1). Hence also

$$G_0u + K[G_1u, G_2u] \in (C(\overline{D}) \rightarrow C(\overline{D})).$$

**b.** At first we consider the auxiliary equation

$$(23) \quad f + G_0f = g.$$

By contraction principle we show the existence of a solution to (23) in the ball  $B_{\rho, \sigma}(g) = \{f : \|f - g\|_{P, \sigma} \leq \rho\}$ , where  $\rho = 2\|G_0g\|_P$  and  $\sigma \geq \sigma_0$  is chosen as a solution of the equation

$$(24) \quad \lambda(\sigma)M_0(\rho + \|g\|_P, \rho + \|g\|_P) = \varepsilon$$

with some  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2]$ . Due to the assumptions on  $\lambda$  a solution  $\sigma$  of (24) exists for any sufficiently small positive  $\varepsilon$ . Further, by (4) and (24) for the operator  $A_0f := g - G_0f$  of (23) in  $B_{\rho, \sigma}(g)$  we have the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_0f_1 - A_0f_2\|_{P, \sigma} &= \|G_0f_1 - G_0f_2\|_{P, \sigma} \leq \lambda(\sigma)M_0(\|f_1\|_{P, \sigma}, \|f_1 - f_2\|_{P, \sigma}) \\ &\leq \lambda(\sigma)M_0(\rho + \|g\|_{P, \sigma}, \rho + \|g\|_{P, \sigma})\|f_1 - f_2\|_{P, \sigma} \leq \varepsilon\|f_1 - f_2\|_{P, \sigma}, \end{aligned}$$

so that  $A_0$  is a contraction. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_0f - g\|_{P, \sigma} &= \|G_0f\|_{P, \sigma} \leq \|G_0f - G_0g\|_{P, \sigma} + \|G_0g\|_{P, \sigma} \\ &\leq \lambda(\sigma)M_0(\rho + \|g\|_{P, \sigma}, \|g\|_{P, \sigma})\|f - g\|_{P, \sigma} + \|G_0g\|_{P, \sigma} \\ &\leq (\varepsilon + 1/2)\rho \leq \rho, \end{aligned}$$

so that  $A_0$  maps  $B_{\rho,\sigma}(g)$  into itself.

c. Now we are going to show that a unique solution of (1) exists in the ball  $B_{\rho,\sigma}(f) = \{u : \|u - f\|_{P,\sigma} \leq \rho\}$  with some  $\rho, \sigma$ , also using the contraction principle. Equation (1) writes  $u = Au$  with the operator  $Au := g - G_0u - K[G_1u, G_2u]$ . In view of (23) we have

$$\begin{aligned} f - Au &= K[G_1u, G_2u] + G_0u - G_0f \\ &= K[G_1u - G_1f, G_2u - G_2f] + K[G_1f, G_2u - G_2f] \\ &\quad + K[G_1u - G_1f, G_2f] + K[G_1f, G_2f] + G_0u - G_0f. \end{aligned}$$

Making use of the inequalities (15), (16) and (3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - Au\|_{P,\sigma} &\leq K_1\|G_1u - G_1f\|_{P_1,\sigma}\|G_2u - G_2f\|_{P_2,\sigma} \\ &\quad + K_2(\sigma)\|G_1f\|_{P_1}\|G_2u - G_2f\|_{P_2,\sigma} \\ &\quad + K_2(\sigma)\|G_2f\|_{P_2}\|G_1u - G_1f\|_{P_1,\sigma} \\ &\quad + K_2(\sigma)\|G_1f\|_{P_1}\|G_2f\|_{P_2} + \|G_0u - G_0f\|_{P,\sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

Further, by the assumptions (4), (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - Au\|_{P,\sigma} &\leq K_1M_1(\|f\|_{P,\sigma} + \|u - f\|_{P,\sigma}, \|f\|_{P,\sigma}) \\ &\quad \times M_2(\|f\|_{P,\sigma} + \|u - f\|_{P,\sigma}, \|f\|_{P,\sigma})\|u - f\|_{P,\sigma}^2 \\ &\quad + K_2(\sigma)[\|G_1f\|_{P_1}M_2(\|f\|_{P,\sigma} + \|u - f\|_{P,\sigma}, \|f\|_{P,\sigma}) \\ &\quad + \|G_2f\|_{P_2}M_1(\|f\|_{P,\sigma} + \|u - f\|_{P,\sigma}, \|f\|_{P,\sigma})]\|u - f\|_{P,\sigma} \\ &\quad + K_2(\sigma)\|G_1f\|_{P_1}\|G_2f\|_{P_2} \\ &\quad + \lambda(\sigma)M_0(\|f\|_{P,\sigma} + \|u - f\|_{P,\sigma}, \|f\|_{P,\sigma})\|u - f\|_{P,\sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we choose  $\rho_1 > 0$ ,  $\sigma_1(\rho) \geq \sigma_0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} K_1M_1(\|f\|_P + \rho, \|f\|_P)M_2(\|f\|_P + \rho, \|f\|_P)\rho &\leq \alpha, \quad \alpha \in (0, 1), \\ K_2(\sigma)[\|G_1f\|_{P_1}M_2(\|f\|_P + \rho, \|f\|_P) + \|G_2f\|_{P_2}M_1(\|f\|_P + \rho, \|f\|_P)]\rho \\ &\quad + K_2(\sigma)\|G_1f\|_{P_1}\|G_2f\|_{P_2} + \lambda(\sigma)M_0(\|f\|_P + \rho, \|f\|_P)\rho \leq (1 - \alpha)\rho \end{aligned}$$

provided  $\rho \leq \rho_1$  and  $\sigma \geq \sigma_1(\rho)$ . On account of  $M_j \in \mathfrak{M}$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2$ , then

$$(25) \quad \|f - Au\|_{P,\sigma} \leq \rho$$

if  $u \in B_{\rho,\sigma}(f)$ ,  $\rho \leq \rho_1$  and  $\sigma \geq \sigma_1(\rho)$ . I.e.,  $A$  maps the ball  $B_{\rho,\sigma}(f)$  into itself.

For the difference of the operator  $A$  we write

$$\begin{aligned} Au_2 - Au_1 &= K[G_1 u_1, G_2 u_1] - K[G_1 u_2, G_2 u_1] + G_0 u_1 - G_0 u_2 \\ &= K[G_1 u_1 - G_1 u_2, G_2 u_1 - G_2 u_1] \\ &\quad + K[G_1 u_1 - G_1 u_2, G_2 f] + K[G_1 u_2 - G_1 f, G_2 u_1 - G_2 u_2] \\ &\quad + K[G_1 f, G_2 u_1 - G_2 u_2] + G_0 u_1 - G_0 u_2. \end{aligned}$$

Estimating as above, for  $u_1, u_2 \in B_{\rho, \sigma}(f)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|Au_1 - Au_2\|_{P, \sigma} &\leq \{M_1(\|f\|_{P, \sigma} + \rho, \|f\|_{P, \sigma} + \rho)[K_1 M_2(\|f\|_{P, \sigma} + \rho, \|f\|_{P, \sigma})\rho \\ &\quad + K_2(\sigma)\|G_2 f\|_{P_2}] + M_2(\|f\|_{P, \sigma} + \rho, \|f\|_{P, \sigma} + \rho) \\ &\quad \times [K_1 M_1(\|f\|_{P, \sigma} + \rho, \|f\|_{P, \sigma})\rho + K_2(\sigma)\|G_1 f\|_{P_1}] \\ &\quad + \lambda(\sigma)M_0(\|f\|_{P, \sigma} + \rho, \|f\|_{P, \sigma} + \rho)\} \|u_1 - u_2\|_{P, \sigma}, \quad \sigma \geq \sigma_0. \end{aligned}$$

We choose  $\rho_2 > 0$ ,  $\sigma_2 \geq \sigma_0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} &M_1(\|f\|_P + \rho, \|f\|_P + \rho)[K_1 M_2(\|f\|_P + \rho, \|f\|_P)\rho + K_2(\sigma)\|G_2 f\|_{P_2}] \\ &+ M_2(\|f\|_P + \rho, \|f\|_P + \rho)[K_1 M_1(\|f\|_P + \rho, \|f\|_P)\rho + K_2(\sigma)\|G_1 f\|_{P_1}] \\ &+ \lambda(\sigma)M_0(\|f\|_P + \rho, \|f\|_P + \rho) \leq \mu < 1 \end{aligned}$$

provided  $\rho \leq \rho_2$ ,  $\sigma \geq \sigma_2$ . Then

$$(26) \quad \|Au_1 - Au_2\|_{P, \sigma} \leq \mu \|u_1 - u_2\|_{P, \sigma}$$

if  $u_1, u_2 \in B_{\rho, \sigma}(f)$  and  $\rho \leq \rho_2$ ,  $\sigma \geq \sigma_2$ .

The estimations (25), (26) show that the operator  $A$  is a contraction in  $B_{\rho, \sigma}(f)$  with  $\rho \leq \rho_3 = \min\{\rho_1, \rho_2\}$ ,  $\sigma \geq \sigma_3(\rho) = \max\{\sigma_1(\rho), \sigma_2\}$ . Hence equation (1) has a unique solution in every ball  $B_{\rho, \sigma}(f)$  with  $\rho \leq \rho_3$ ,  $\sigma \geq \sigma_3(\rho)$ .

**d.** It remains to prove the uniqueness of the solution in the whole space  $L_P(D)$ ,  $1 \leq P \leq \infty$  (inclusively  $C(\bar{D})$  for  $P = \infty$ ). For this aim let  $u$  be an arbitrary solution of (1) in  $L_P(D)$ . From equations (1) and (23) we have

$$u - f = G_0 f - G_0 u - K[G_1 u, G_2 u].$$

Estimating the right-hand side by means of (4) and (16) with (3), we deduce the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - f\|_{P, \sigma} &\leq \lambda(\sigma)M_0(\|f\|_P, \|u\|_P)\|u - f\|_{P, \sigma} \\ &\quad + K_2(\sigma)\|G_1 u\|_{P_1}\|G_2 u\|_{P_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lambda(\sigma), K_2(\sigma) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$ , this implies

$$\|u - f\|_{P,\sigma} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \sigma \rightarrow \infty.$$

This means, any solution  $u \in L_P(D)$  of equation (1) belongs to some ball  $B_{\rho,\sigma}(f)$  with  $\rho \leq \rho_3$  and sufficiently large  $\sigma \geq \sigma_3(\rho)$ , in which the uniqueness of the solution has already been shown.

Theorem 1 is completely proved.

To prove Corollary 1 let  $u_j \in L_P(D)$  be the solution of (1) for right-hand side  $g_j \in L_P(D)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 - u_2 &= g_1 - g_2 + G_0 u_2 - G_0 u_1 \\ &\quad + K[G_1 u_2, G_2 u_2] - K[G_1 u_1, G_2 u_1] \\ &= g_1 - g_2 + G_0 u_2 - G_0 u_1 \\ &\quad + K[G_1 u_2 - G_1 u_1, G_2 u_2] - K[G_1 u_1, G_2 u_1 - G_2 u_2]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by (4), (16) and (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_1 - u_2\|_{P,\sigma} &\leq \|g_1 - g_2\|_{P,\sigma} + \{\lambda(\sigma)M_0(\|u_1\|_{P,\sigma}, \|u_2\|_{P,\sigma}) \\ &\quad + K_2(\sigma)[\|G_1 u_1\|_{P_1} M_2(\|u_1\|_{P,\sigma}, \|u_2\|_{P,\sigma}) \\ &\quad + \|G_2 u_2\|_{P_2} M_1(\|u_1\|_{P,\sigma}, \|u_2\|_{P,\sigma})]\}\|u_1 - u_2\|_{P,\sigma} \end{aligned}$$

from which taking  $\sigma$  sufficiently large and using  $M_j \in \mathfrak{M}$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2$ , and (3) the estimation (9) follows.

The proof of Corollary 2 is obvious.

**Remark.** As it can be seen from the proof, the assertions of Theorem 1 hold true for general equations of form (1) with  $G_j$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2$ , as in the theorem and with a bilinear operator  $K \in (L_{P_1}(D) \times L_{P_2}(D) \rightarrow L_P(D))$  which fulfills the estimations (15), (16).

#### 4. Volterra equation of first kind

We apply Theorem 1 to the first kind two-dimensional Volterra equation of auto-convolution type

$$(27) \quad \int_0^{x_2} \int_0^{x_1} u(y) v(x-y) dy_1 dy_2 = f(x),$$

where  $x = (x_1, x_2) \in D = (0, X_1) \times (0, X_2)$ ,  $y = (y_1, y_2) \in D$ ,  $f \in C_1(\overline{D})$  with  $f_{x_1, x_2} \in C(\overline{D})$  or  $L_P(D)$ ,  $P = (p_1, p_2)$ ,  $1 < p_1 p_2 \leq \infty$ , and  $v \in C(\overline{D}) \cap C_2(D)$  is the solution of the Darboux problem (cf. [4], Chap. 14)

$$(28) \quad Lv(x_1, x_2) \equiv v_{x_1 x_2} + a(x)v_{x_1} + b(x)v_{x_2} + c(x)v = F(x, u(x)) \text{ in } D$$

with the initial conditions

$$(29) \quad v(x_1, 0) = \varphi(x_1) \text{ in } 0 \leq x_1 \leq X_1 \quad v(0, x_2) = \psi(x_2) \text{ in } 0 \leq x_2 \leq X_2.$$

We assume that the coefficients  $a, b, c \in C(\overline{D})$  and the initial data  $\varphi, \psi$  are absolutely continuous with derivatives  $\varphi' \in L_r(0, X_1)$ ,  $\psi' \in L_r(0, X_2)$ ,  $r > 1$ , and  $\varphi(0) = \psi(0) = 1$ . Further, the function  $F$  is continuous and satisfies a (uniform in  $x$ ) Lipschitz condition with respect to  $u$ .

The solution  $v$  of (28), (29) can be represented by the Riemann function  $R$  of  $L$  (cf. [4], p. 394). Namely, we have

$$(30) \quad v(x) = \varphi(x_1) + \psi(x_2) - 1 + \int_0^{x_2} \int_0^{x_1} R(x, y)G(y, u(y))dy_1 dy_2,$$

where

$$(31) \quad \begin{aligned} G(x, u) &= F(x, u) - h(x) \\ h(x) &= a(x)\varphi'(x_1) + b(x)\psi'(x_2) + c(x)\{\varphi(x_1) + \psi(x_2) - 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating (27) with respect to  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , we obtain the following second kind integral equation of form (1)

$$(32) \quad \begin{aligned} u(x) + \int_0^{x_1} u(y_1, x_2)\varphi'(x_1 - y_1)dy_1 + \int_0^{x_2} u(x_1, y_2)\psi'(x_2 - y_2)dy_2 \\ + \int_0^{x_2} \int_0^{x_1} u(y)[F(x - y, u(x - y)) - h(x - y) - H[u](x - y)]dy_1 dy_2 = g(x), \end{aligned}$$

where  $g(x) = f_{x_1 x_2}(x)$  and

$$(33) \quad \begin{aligned} H[u](x) &= c(x) \int_0^{x_2} \int_0^{x_1} R(x, \xi)G(\xi, u(\xi))d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \\ &+ a(x) \left[ \int_0^{x_2} R(x_1, x_2; x_1, \xi_2)G(x_1, \xi_2; u(x_1, \xi_2)) \right. \\ &+ \left. \int_0^{x_2} \int_0^{x_1} R_{x_1}(x, \xi)G(\xi, u(\xi))d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \right] \\ &+ b(x) \left[ \int_0^{x_1} R(x_1, x_2; \xi_1, x_2)G(\xi_1, x_2; u(\xi_1, x_2)) \right. \\ &+ \left. \int_0^{x_2} \int_0^{x_1} R_{x_1}(x, \xi)G(\xi, u(\xi))d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Equation (32) is equivalent to (27) if the compatibility conditions

$$(34) \quad f(x_1, 0) = 0 \text{ in } 0 \leq x_1 \leq X_1, \quad f(0, x_2) = 0 \text{ in } 0 \leq x_2 \leq X_2$$

are fulfilled.

To equation (32) Theorem 1 can be applied yielding the following

**THEOREM 2.** *Under the above assumptions about the data  $a, b, c, F, \varphi, \psi$  integral equation (27) has a unique solution  $u \in C(\bar{D})$  or  $u \in L_P(D)$ ,  $P = (p_1, p_2)$ ,  $1 < p_1, p_2 \leq \infty$  for any  $f \in C_1(\bar{D})$  with  $f_{x_1 x_2} \in C(\bar{D})$  or  $f_{x_1 x_2} \in L_P(D)$ , respectively, satisfying the compatibility conditions (34).*

**Sketch of proof.** In view of the continuity of the Riemann function  $R$  and its derivatives  $R_{x_1}, R_{x_2}$  (see [4], p. 394) and the assumed continuity and Lipschitz condition of  $F$  the assumptions on the operators  $G_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , in Theorem 1 are fulfilled with  $P_j = P$ , and the assumptions on the kernel  $k$  with  $R_0 = R_1 = R_2 = \infty$ . It remains to show that the linear operator  $G_0$  defined by

$$(35) \quad G_0 u(x) = \int_0^{x_1} u(y_1, x_2) \chi(x_1 - y_1) dy_1 = \int_0^{x_1} u(x_1 - y_1, x_2) \chi(y_1) dy_1$$

for given  $\chi \in L_r(0, X_1)$ ,  $r > 1$ , (and the corresponding one with  $x_1, y_1$  and  $x_2, y_2$  changed) is an operator in  $C(\bar{D})$  and  $L_P(D)$  and fulfills a Lipschitz condition of form (4). But the first property is obvious by the second integral representation in (35). And the second property follows from the estimations

$$\begin{aligned} \|G_0 u\|_{\infty, \sigma} &= \text{ess sup}_{x \in D} |e^{-\sigma(x_1 + x_2)} \int_0^{x_1} u(y_1, x_2) \chi(x_1 - y_1) dy_1| \\ &\leq \text{ess sup}_{x \in D} \int_0^{x_1} e^{-\sigma(x_2 + y_1)} |u(y_1, x_2)| e^{-\sigma(x_1 - y_1)} |\chi(x_1 - y_1)| dy_1 \\ &\leq \|u\|_{\infty, \sigma} \int_0^{X_1} e^{-\sigma \xi_1} |\chi(\xi_1)| d\xi_1 \\ &\leq \|u\|_{\infty, \sigma} \|\chi\|_r \|e^{-\sigma \xi_1}\|_s \leq \|\chi\|_r \left(\frac{1}{s\sigma}\right)^{1/s} \|u\|_{\infty, \sigma} \end{aligned}$$

by applying Hölder's inequality to the integral over  $\chi$ , where  $1/s = 1 - 1/r > 0$  and  $\|\cdot\|_r$  is the norm in  $L_r(0, X_1)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|G_0 u\|_{P,\sigma} &= \left( \int_0^{X_2} \left( \int_0^{X_1} e^{-\sigma p_1(x_1+x_2)} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \times \left. \left. \left| \int_0^{x_1} u(y_1, x_2) \chi(x_1 - y_1) dy_1 \right|^{p_1} dx_1 \right)^{\frac{p_2}{p_1}} dx_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \\
&\leq \left( \int_0^{X_2} e^{-\sigma p_2 x_2} \left( \int_0^{X_1} \left( \int_0^{x_1} e^{-\sigma y_1} |u(y_1, x_2)| e^{-\sigma(x_1-y_1)} \right. \right. \right. \\
&\quad \times \left. \left. \left. \times |\chi(x_1 - y_1)| dy_1 \right)^{p_1} dx_1 \right)^{\frac{p_2}{p_1}} dx_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \\
&\leq \left( \int_0^{X_2} e^{-\sigma p_2 x_2} \left( \int_0^{X_1} e^{-\sigma p_1 x_1} |u(x_1, x_2)|^{p_1} dx_1 \right)^{\frac{p_2}{p_1}} \right. \\
&\quad \times \left. \left[ \int_0^{X_1} e^{-\sigma \xi_1} |\chi(\xi_1)| d\xi_1 \right]^{p_2} dx_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \\
&\leq \|\chi\|_r \|e^{-\sigma \xi_1}\|_s \|u\|_{P,\sigma} \leq \|\chi\|_r \left( \frac{1}{s\sigma} \right)^{1/s} \|u\|_{P,\sigma}, \quad \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1,
\end{aligned}$$

by applying Young's inequality to the inner integral in the variable  $x_1$  and then Hölder's inequality to the integral over  $\chi$  again.

Remarks: 1. In case of constant coefficients  $a, b, c$  instead of (32) the following simpler integral equation can be used:

$$\begin{aligned}
(36) \quad u(x) &+ \int_0^{x_1} u(y_1, x_2) [\varphi'(x_1 - y_1) + b\varphi(x_1 - y_1)] dy_1 \\
&+ \int_0^{x_2} u(x_1, y_2) [\psi'(x_2 - y_2) + a\psi(x_2 - y_2)] dy_2 \\
&+ \int_0^{x_2} \int_0^{x_1} u(y) F(x - y, u(x - y)) dy_1 dy_2 = Lf(x).
\end{aligned}$$

2. By extending the proof of Theorem 1, in  $C(\bar{D})$  there can also be handled problems in which the initial conditions (29) have more general form

$$(37) \quad v_{x_1}(x_1, 0) = \lambda(x_1)u(x_1, 0) + \varphi_0(x_1),$$

$$(38) \quad v_{x_2}(0, x_2) = \mu(x_2)u(0, x_2) + \psi_0(x_2)$$

together with  $v(0, 0) = 1$ . Here  $\lambda, \varphi_0 \in L_r(0, X_1)$  and  $\mu, \psi_0 \in L_r(0, X_2)$ ,

$r > 1$ . This leads to additional one-dimensional integrals in (32) of the kind

$$(39) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_0^{x_1} u(y_1, x_2) \lambda(x_1 - y_1) u(x_1 - y_1, 0) dy_1 \\ & + \int_0^{x_2} u(x_1, y_2) \mu(x_2 - y_2) u(0, x_2 - y_2) dy_2. \end{aligned}$$

For these integrals the related estimations in the proof of Theorem 1 can be carried out, too. Namely, for instance, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} I &:= \max_{x \in \bar{D}} \left[ e^{-\sigma(x_1+x_2)} \int_0^{x_1} |\lambda(x_1 - y_1)| |u_1(y_1, x_2)| |u_2(x_1 - y_1, 0)| dy_1 \right] \\ &\leq \max_{x \in \bar{D}} \left[ e^{-\sigma x_2} \int_0^{x_1} |\lambda(x_1 - y_1)| e^{-\sigma y_1} |u_1(y_1, x_2)| e^{-\sigma(x_1 - y_1)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times |u_2(x_1 - y_1, 0)| dy_1 \right] \leq \max_{\xi_1} [e^{-\sigma \xi_1} |u_2(\xi_1, 0)|] \\ &\quad \times \max_{x_2} [e^{-\sigma x_2} \max_{x_1} (e^{-\sigma x_1} |u_1(x_1, x_2)|)] \int_0^{x_1} |\lambda(\xi_1)| d\xi_1 \\ &\leq \|\lambda\|_1 \|u_1\|_{\sigma, \infty} \|u_2\|_{\sigma, \infty} \end{aligned}$$

since for the function  $U_2(x_1, x_2) = e^{-\sigma(x_1+x_2)} |u_2(x_1, x_2)|$  we have  $U_2(x_1, 0) \leq \max_{x_2} U_2(x_1, x_2)$ .

Analogously,

$$I \leq \Lambda(\sigma) \|u_1\|_{\sigma, \infty} \|u_2\|_{\infty}$$

with

$$\Lambda(\sigma) = \int_0^{x_1} |\lambda(\xi_1)| e^{-\sigma \xi_1} d\xi_1 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \sigma \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$I \leq \Lambda_1(\sigma) \|u_1\|_{\infty} \|u_2\|_{\sigma, \infty}$$

with

$$\Lambda_1(\sigma) = \max_{x_1} \int_0^{x_1} |\lambda(x_1 - y_1)| e^{-\sigma y_1} dy_1 \leq \|\lambda\|_r \left( \frac{1}{s\sigma} \right)^{1/s} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \sigma \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $1/s = 1 - 1/r > 0$ .

If only one initial condition is of form (37) or (38), then one can also work in a space with mixed norm, namely with max-norm in one variable and with  $p$ -norm,  $1 < p \leq \infty$ , in the other variable.

Moreover, we remark that taking  $x_1 = 0$  and  $x_2 = 0$  into equation (32) with the integrals (39) in a first step one can determine the functions  $u(0, x_2)$  and  $u(x_1, 0)$ , respectively, from one-dimensional convolution equations, and then inserting these functions in the integrals (39) obtain these ones as additional linear integral operators in equation (32).

### References

- [1] A. Benedek, R. Panzone, *The spaces  $L^p$ , with mixed norm*, Duke Math. J. 28 (1961) 301–324.
- [2] A. L. Bukhgeim, *Inverse problems of memory reconstruction*, J. Inv. Ill-Posed Problems 1 (1993) 193–205.
- [3] J. Janno, L. v. Wolfersdorf, *Inverse problems for identification of memory kernels in heat flow*, J. Inv. Ill-Posed Problems (to appear).
- [4] W. Pogorzelski, *Integral equations and their applications*, Warsaw 1966.
- [5] L. v. Wolfersdorf, J. Janno, *On a class of nonlinear convolution equations*, Z. Anal. Anwendungen 14 (1995), 497–508.

TU BERGAKADEMIE FREIBERG,  
FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK UND INFORMATIK  
D-09596 FREIBERG, GERMANY

*Received June 7, 1995.*