

Zbigniew Binderman

ON SUMMATION FORMULAS INDUCED  
BY FUNCTIONAL SHIFTS  
OF RIGHT INVERTIBLE OPERATORS

0. Let  $X$  be a linear space over the field  $\mathbb{C}$  of the complex numbers. Denote by  $L(X)$  the set of all linear operators with domains and ranges in  $X$  and by  $L_0(X)$  the set of those operators from  $L(X)$  which are defined on the whole space  $X$ . An operator  $D \in L(X)$  is said to be *right invertible* if there exists an operator  $R \in L(X)$  such that  $DR = I$ . The set of all right invertible operators belonging to  $L(X)$  will be denoted by  $R(X)$ . For a given  $D \in R(X)$  we denote by  $\mathcal{R}_D$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_D$  the set of all its right inverses, initial operators, respectively. The theory of right invertible operators and its applications is presented by D. Przeworska-Rolewicz in [14].

We admit here and in the sequel that  $\mathcal{R}_D \subset L_0(X)$ ,  $\dim \ker D > 0$ , i.e.  $D$  is right invertible but not invertible and  $0^0 := 1$ . We also write:  $\mathbb{N}$  for the set of all positive integers and  $\mathbb{N}_0 := \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ .

For a given operator  $D \in R(X)$  we shall write (cf. [12], [15], [20]):

$$(0.1) \quad D_\infty := \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} D_k,$$

where  $D_0 = X$ ,  $D_k = \operatorname{dom} D^k$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ),

$$(0.2) \quad S := \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \ker D^i,$$

$$(0.3) \quad E := \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \ker(D - \lambda I).$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 47B99, 47E05, 47G99.

*Key words and phrases*: right invertible operators, functional shifts, Euler–Maclaurin formulas, Pommiez operator.

Elements of the set  $D_\infty$  are said to be *smooth elements*. If  $R \in \mathcal{R}_D$  then the set  $S$  is equal to the linear span  $P(R)$  of all  $D$ -monomials, i.e.

$$(0.4) \quad S = P(R) := \text{lin}\{R^k z : z \in \ker D, k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}.$$

Evidently, the set  $P(R)$  is independent of the choice of the right inverse  $R$ .

1. The purpose of the present section is to give an analogue of the Euler-Maclaurin Formula (cf. [11], [18]) induced by functional shifts (cf. [4], [5]). We shall present some examples of applications of formulas obtained for some elementary functions.

In this section,  $K$  will stand either for a disk  $K_\rho := \{h \in \mathbb{C} : |h| < \rho\}$ ,  $0 < \rho < \infty$ , or for the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ . Denote by  $H(K)$  the class of all functions analytic on the set  $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ . Suppose that a function  $f \in H(K)$  has the following expansion

$$(1.1) \quad f(h) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k h^k \quad \text{for all } h \in K.$$

DEFINITION 1.1. Suppose that  $D \in R(X)$ . A family  $T_{f,K} = \{T_{f,h}\}_{h \in K} \subset L_0(X)$  is said to be a family of *functional shifts* for the operator  $D$  induced by the function  $f$  if

$$(1.2) \quad T_{f,h}x = [f(hD)]x := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k h^k D^k x \quad \text{for all } h \in K; x \in S,$$

where  $S$  is defined by Formula (0.2).

We should point out that by definition of the set  $S$ , the last sum has only a finite number of members different than zero.

It is well-known that the set  $H(K)$  is a commutative ring with the following algebraic operations:

$$(f+g)(h) = f(h) + g(h), \quad (\alpha g)(h) = \alpha g(h), \quad (fg)(h) = f(h)g(h),$$

where  $f, g \in H(K); \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, h \in K$ .

Let  $T(K)$  be the set of all families of functional shifts for an operator  $D \in R(X)$  induced by the members of  $H(K)$ , i.e.

$$(1.3) \quad T(K) := \{T_{g,K} : g \in H(K)\}.$$

Define the following operations

$$(1.4) \quad T_{f,K} + T_{g,K} = T_{f+g,K}, \quad \alpha T_{g,K} = T_{\alpha g,K}, \quad T_{fg,K} = T_{f,K} T_{g,K},$$

where  $f, g \in H(K); \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ .

A special role in our considerations in this section will be played by the following:

**THEOREM 1.1** (cf. [5]). *Suppose that  $D \in R(X)$  and  $T(K)$  is defined by Formula (1.3). Let  $T_S(K) := T(K)|_S$ , where  $S$  is defined by Formula (0.2). Then*

(i) *The set  $T_S(K)$  is a commutative ring with the operations defined by Formulas (1.4);*

(ii) *The rings  $H(K)$  and  $T_S(K)$  are isomorphic. The mapping  $T : f \Rightarrow T_{f,K}|_S$  is a ring isomorphism from  $H(K)$  onto  $T_S(K)$ .*

Suppose that  $D \in R(X)$  and  $T_{f,K} = \{T_{f,h}\}_{h \in K}$  is a family of functional shifts for  $D$  induced by the function  $f \in H(K)$ . We consider the so-called **Pommiez** type operators  $\mathfrak{P}$ ,  $P$  defined as follows:

$$(1.5) \quad \mathfrak{P}T_{f,h} := \begin{cases} h^{-1}(T_{f,h} - T_{f,0}) & \text{for } 0 \neq h \in K \\ DT_{f^{(1)},0} & \text{for } h = 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{on } X,$$

$$(1.6) \quad (Pf)(h) := \begin{cases} h^{-1}(f(h) - f(0)) & \text{for } 0 \neq h \in K \\ f^{(1)}(0) & \text{for } h = 0 \end{cases},$$

where, as usual,  $f^{(n)} = d^n f / dh^n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Observe that the function  $Pf \in H(K)$  and has the following expansion

$$(1.7) \quad (Pf)(h) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k+1} h^k \quad \text{for all } h \in K.$$

This implies

$$(1.8) \quad P \in L_0(H(K)).$$

Note, for the function  $g = \exp$  the operator  $(Pg)(hD)$  is called the **Bernoulli operator** for  $D$  (cf. [18]).

With a help of the author's result (see [4], Proposition 1.3.) it is easy to prove

**PROPOSITION 1.1.** *Suppose that  $D \in R(X)$  and  $T_{f,K} = \{T_{f,h}\}_{h \in K}$  is a family of functional shifts for  $D$  induced by the function  $f \in H(K)$ . Then on the set  $S$  we have*

$$(1.9) \quad \mathfrak{P}T_{f,h} = (Pf)(hD)D, \quad \text{for all } h \in K.$$

The fundamental result of this section is

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Suppose that all assumptions of Proposition 1.1 are satisfied,  $f^{(1)}(0) \neq 0$  and  $f(h) \neq f(0)$  for  $0 \neq h \in K$ . Then the operator  $(Pf)(hD)$  is invertible on the set  $S$  for all  $0 \neq h \in K$  and*

$$[(Pf)(hD)]^{-1} = g(hD),$$

where  $g(h) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k h^k \in H(K)$ , the coefficients  $b_k$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ) are determined by the following recursion relation

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{aligned} b_0 &= a_1^{-1}, \\ b_n &= -a_1^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} b_k a_{n-k+1} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}). \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** Our assumptions imply that the function

$$(1.11) \quad g(h) = \begin{cases} h[f(h) - f(0)]^{-1} & \text{for } 0 \neq h \in K, \\ [f^{(1)}(0)]^{-1} & \text{for } h = 0 \end{cases}$$

is a member of  $H(K)$  and

$$(1.12) \quad (Pf)(h)g(h) = 1 \quad \text{for all } h \in K.$$

Theorem 1.1 implies that the operator  $(Pf)(hD)$ , ( $h \in K$ ) is invertible on  $S$  and  $[(Pf)(hD)]^{-1} = g(hD)$ . Suppose that the function  $g(h) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k h^k$  for  $h \in K$ . Formula (1.7) and Formula (1.12) together imply

$$1 = (Pf)(h)g(h) = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k+1} h^k \right) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k h^k \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k h^k \quad \text{for all } h \in K,$$

where  $c_m = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k a_{m-k+1}$  for  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . This implies Formula (1.10).

Theorem 1.2 and Formula (1.7) together imply

**THEOREM 1.3.** *Suppose that all assumptions of Theorem 1.2 are satisfied. Then  $T_{Pf,K}$  is invertible in the ring  $T_S(K)$  and its inverse  $[T_{Pf,K}]^{-1} = T_{g,K} \in T_S(K)$ , where the function  $g$  is defined by Formula (1.11).*

We are now ready to give the main theorem of this section.

**THEOREM 1.4.** *Suppose that all assumptions of Theorem 1.2. are satisfied. Then the following Euler–Maclaurin type formulas hold on the set  $S$*

$$(1.13.a) \quad I = b_0(Pf)(hD) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n h^n (Pf)(hD) D^n,$$

$$(1.13.b) \quad I = b_0(Pf)(hD) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n h^n \mathfrak{P}T_{f,h} D^{n-1},$$

where  $0 \neq h \in K$ ,  $b_k$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ) are determined by Formula (1.10).

**Proof.** This follows directly from Theorem 1.3. and Formula (1.9).

**EXAMPLE 1.1** (cf. [18]). Let  $K = \mathbb{C}$  and  $f = \exp$ . Then Euler–Maclaurin Formula (1.13.b) has the following form

$$I = (P \exp)(hD) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n h^{n-1} (n!)^{-1} (e^{hD} - I) D^{n-1} \quad \text{for } 0 \neq h \in K,$$

where  $B_n$  are Bernoulli numbers.

EXAMPLE 1.2. (The expansions of elementary functions which are used here can be found in [9].)

a) Let  $K = K_{\Pi/2}$ ,  $f$  be the tangent function,  $\tan$ . Then for  $0 \neq h \in K$

$$\tan(h) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2n}(2^{2n-1} - 1)}{(2n)!} |B_{2n}| h^{2n-1}, \quad (P \tan)(h) = h^{-1} \tan(h),$$

$$g(h) = 1/(P \tan)(h) = h[\tan(h)]^{-1} = 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2n}}{(2n)!} |B_{2n}| h^{2n},$$

where  $B_{2n}$ , as before, are Bernoulli numbers.

In this case Formula (1.13.b) has the form

$$I = \frac{\tan(hD)}{hD} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2n}}{(2n)!} |B_{2n}| h^{2n-1} \tan(hD) D^{2n-1}, \quad 0 \neq h \in K,$$

b) Let  $K = K_{\Pi/2}$ ,  $f$  be the hyperbolic tangent function,  $\tanh$ . Then for  $0 \neq s \in K$

$$\tanh(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2n}(2^{2n-1} - 1)}{(2n)!} B_{2n} s^{2n-1},$$

$$g(s) = 1/(P \tanh)(s) = \operatorname{scoth}(s) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2n}}{(2n)!} B_{2n} s^{2n},$$

where  $B_{2n}$  are Bernoulli numbers.

In this case Formula (1.13.b) has the form

$$I = \frac{\tanh(sD)}{sD} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2n}}{(2n)!} B_{2n} s^{2n-1} \tanh(sD) D^{2n-1}, \quad 0 \neq s \in K.$$

c) Let  $K = K_{\Pi}$ ,  $f$  be the sine function,  $\sin$ . Then for  $0 \neq h \in K$

$$(P \sin)(h) = h^{-1} \sin(h),$$

$$g(h) = h/\sin(h) = \operatorname{h cosec}(h) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2(2^{2n-1} - 1)}{(2n)!} |B_{2n}| h^{2n},$$

where as before  $B_{2n}$  are Bernoulli numbers.

In this case Formula (1.13.b) has the form

$$I = \frac{\sin(hD)}{hD} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2(2^{2n-1} - 1)}{(2n)!} |B_{2n}| h^{2n-1} \sin(hD) D^{2n-1}$$

for all  $0 \neq h \in K$ ,

d) Let  $K = K_{\Pi}$ ,  $f$  be the hyperbolic sine function,  $\sinh$ . Then for  $0 \neq h \in K$

$$(P \sinh)(t) = t^{-1} \sinh(t),$$

$$g(t) = t / \sinh(t) = t \operatorname{cosech}(t) = 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2(2^{2n-1} - 1)}{(2n)!} B_{2n} t^{2n},$$

where  $B_{2n}$  are Bernoulli numbers.

In this case Formula (1.13.b) has the form

$$I = \frac{\sinh(tD)}{tD} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2(2^{2n-1} - 1)}{(2n)!} B_{2n} t^{2n-1} \sinh(tD) D^{2n-1}$$

for all  $0 \neq t \in K$ .

Clearly, Theorem 1.1 implies

**Remark 1.1.** Suppose that all assumptions of Proposition 1.1. are satisfied and  $f(h) \neq 0$  for  $h \in K$ . Then the following formula holds

$$(1.14) \quad I = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k h^k f(hD) D^n \quad \text{on } S,$$

where  $h \in K \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $c_k$  are determined by the recursion relation

$$c_0 = a_0^{-1}, \quad c_n = -a_0^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_k a_{n-k}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

**2.** In the present section, Euler–Maclaurin type Formulas (1.13) for linear complete metric spaces, induced by functional shifts (cf. [7]) are established.

In this section, we assume that  $X$  is a complete linear metric space. In the sequel, for reader's convenience only  $K$  will stand either for the unit disk  $K$  or for the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ , the function  $f \in H(K)$  has the expansion

$$(2.1) \quad f(h) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k h^k \quad \text{for all } h \in K.$$

For an operator  $D \in R(X)$  we define the sets  $S_f^{(n)}(D)$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ),  $S_f(D)$ ,  $S_f^{\infty}(D)$ ,  $S_K(D)$  as follows

$$(2.2) \quad S_f^{(n)}(D) := \left\{ x \in D_{\infty} : \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k h^k D^{k+n} x \right.$$

is convergent for all  $h \in K \}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$(2.3) \quad S_f(D) := S_f^{(0)}(D),$$

$$(2.4) \quad S_f^\infty(D) := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} S_f^{(n)}(D),$$

$$(2.5) \quad S_K(D) := \bigcap_{g \in H(K)} S_g^\infty(D),$$

where  $D_\infty$  is the set of smooth elements defined by Formula (0.1).

PROPOSITION 2.1 (cf. [7]). *Suppose that  $D \in R(X)$ . Then*

- (i)  $S \subset S_f^\infty(D) \subset S_f(D) \subset D_\infty \subset \text{dom } D$ ,
- (ii)  $E \subset S_f^\infty(D)$ ,  $E \subset S_K(D)$ , for  $K = \mathbb{C}$ ,

where the sets  $S$  and  $E$  are defined by Formulas (0.2), (0.3), respectively.

As in Section 1, we take

DEFINITION 2.1. A family  $T_{f,K} = \{T_{f,h}\}_{h \in K} \subset L_0(X)$  is said to be a family of *functional shifts* for an operator  $D \in R(X)$  induced by the function  $f$  if

$$(2.6) \quad T_{f,h}x = [f(hD)]x \quad \text{for all } h \in K; x \in S_f(D),$$

where the operator  $f(hD)$  is defined by Formula (1.2), the set  $S_f(D)$  is defined by Formula (2.3).

We need (cf. [7])

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Suppose that  $D \in R(X)$  and  $T_{f,K} = \{T_{f,h}\}_{h \in K}$  is a family of functional shifts for  $D$  induced by the function  $f \in H(K)$ . Let  $E_\lambda = \ker(D - \lambda I) \neq \{0\}$  for a  $\lambda \in K$ . Then for all  $h \in K$*

$$(2.7) \quad T_{f,h}x = f(\lambda h)x \quad \text{for all } x \in E_\lambda;$$

THEOREM 2.1 (cf. [7]). *Let  $D \in R(X)$  and  $T(K)$  be defined by Formula (1.3). Let  $T_{E_\lambda}(K) := T(K)|_{E_\lambda}$ , where  $E_\lambda = \ker(D - \lambda I) \neq \{0\}$  and  $\lambda \in K$ . Then*

- (i) *The set  $T_{E_\lambda}(K)$  is a commutative ring with the operations defined by Formulas (1.4);*
- (ii) *If  $\lambda \neq 0$  then the rings  $H(K)$  and  $T_{E_\lambda}(K)$  are isomorphic. The mapping  $T : f \Rightarrow T_{f,K}|_{E_\lambda}$  is an isomorphism of  $H(K)$  onto  $T_{E_\lambda}(K)$ .*

We note that by our assumptions there always exists a number  $\lambda \in K$  such that  $E_\lambda \neq \{0\}$ , for example  $0 = \lambda \in K$ .

Let  $D \in R(X)$  and  $E_\lambda \neq \{0\}$  for  $0 \neq \lambda \in K$ . We introduce, in a similar way as in Section 1 (cf. Formula (1.5)) the **Pommiez** type operator defined as follows

$$(2.8) \quad \mathfrak{P}T_{f,h} := \begin{cases} \frac{f(hD) - f(0)I}{h} & \text{for } 0 \neq h \in K \\ f^{(1)}(0)D & \text{for } h = 0. \end{cases}$$

The equality  $Dx = \lambda x$  for  $x \in E_\lambda$  and Formula (2.7) together imply that on the set  $E_\lambda$  we have

$$(2.9) \quad \mathfrak{P}T_{f,h} = \begin{cases} \frac{f(\lambda h) - f(0)}{h} I & \text{for } 0 \neq h \in K \\ \lambda f^{(1)}(0) I & \text{for } h = 0. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, Formula (2.9) implies that the operator  $D$  commutes with  $\mathfrak{P}$  on the set  $T_{E_\lambda}(K)$ .

We have a similar result to Proposition 1.1.

**PROPOSITION 2.3.** *Suppose that all assumptions of Proposition 2.2 are satisfied. Then on the set  $E_\lambda$  we have the formula*

$$(2.10) \quad \mathfrak{P}T_{f,h} = (Pf)(hD)D = (DPf)(hD) \quad \text{for all } h \in K,$$

where the Pommiez operator  $P \in L_0(H(K))$  is defined by Formula (1.6).

**Proof.** From the beginning, note that Formula (1.8) and Proposition 2.1(ii) together imply that  $E_\lambda \subset S_{Pf}(K)$ . By definition, and Formula (1.7) we get on  $E_\lambda$

$$\begin{aligned} (DPf)(hD) &= (Pf)(hD)D = \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n h^{n-1} D^{n-1} \right) D = h^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n h^n D^n \\ &= h^{-1}(f(hD) - f(0)I) = h^{-1}(f(\lambda h)I - f(0)I) = \mathfrak{P}T_{f,h} \text{ for all } 0 \neq h \in K. \end{aligned}$$

By definition, Formula (2.10) holds on  $E_\lambda$  for  $h = 0$ , also.

**LEMMA 2.1.** *Suppose that  $D \in R(X)$ ,  $R \in \mathcal{R}_D$  and  $T_{f,K} = \{T_{f,h}\}_{h \in K}$  is a family of functional shifts for  $D$  induced by the function  $f \in H(K)$ . Then on the set  $S_{Pf}(D)$  the equality*

$$(2.11) \quad (Pf)(hD) = \mathfrak{P}T_{f,h}R$$

holds for all  $h \in K$ , where  $S_{Pf}(D)$  is determined by Formula (2.3).

**Proof.** Let  $x \in S_{Pf}(D)$  and  $0 \neq h \in K$  be arbitrarily fixed. Definition and Formula (1.7.) together imply

$$\begin{aligned} (Pf)(hD)x &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k+1} h^k D^k x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k+1} h^k D^k D R x \\ &= h^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k+1} h^{k+1} D^{k+1} R x = h^{-1}(f(hD)R x - a_0 R x) \\ &= h^{-1}(f(hD) - f(0)I)R x = \mathfrak{P}T_{f,h}R x. \end{aligned}$$

Evidently, Formula (2.11) holds for  $h = 0$ , also.

Note, the last equality implies that if  $x \in S_{Pf}(D)$  then  $Rx \in S_f(D)$ . Clearly and conversly, if  $Rx \in S_f(D)$  then  $x \in S_{Pf}(D)$ .

Lemma 2.1. implies

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Suppose that all assumptions of Lemma 2.1 are satisfied. Then Formula (2.11) holds on the set  $S_K(D)$ , where  $S_K(D)$  is determined by Formula (2.5).*

Obviously, Proposition 2.1(ii) and the definition together imply

$$E_\lambda \subset S_K(D) \subset S_{Pf}(D).$$

Using Theorem 2.1, in a similar way as Theorem 1.2. (cf. Theorem 1.3) we prove

THEOREM 2.2. *Suppose that all assumptions of Proposition 2.2 are satisfied,  $f^{(1)}(0) \neq 0$  and  $f(h) \neq f(0)$  for  $0 \neq h \in K$ . Then  $T_{Pf,K}$  is invertible in the ring  $T_{E_\lambda}(K) = T(K)|_{E_\lambda}$  and its inverse  $[T_{Pf,K}]^{-1} = T_{g,K} \in T_{E_\lambda}(K)$ , where the function  $g$  is defined by Formula (1.11).*

Theorem 2.2, Proposition 2.3 together imply

THEOREM 2.3. *Suppose that all assumptions of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied. Then Formulas (1.13.a), (1.13.b) hold on the set  $E_\lambda$ .*

Evidently, Theorem 2.1. implies

REMARK 2.1. *Suppose that all assumptions of Proposition 2.2. are satisfied and  $f(h) \neq 0$  for  $h \in K$ . Then Formula (1.14) holds on  $E_\lambda$ .*

COROLLARY 2.1. *Suppose that all assumptions of Proposition 2.2 are satisfied and  $\lambda \in K$ . Let an operator  $R \in \mathcal{R}_D$  be such that the operator  $I - \lambda R$  is invertible. Then the set*

$$E_\lambda(R) := (I - \lambda R)^{-1}(\ker D) \subset E_\lambda,$$

(cf. [14]). *This implies that Theorem 2.2, Theorem 2.3, Remark 2.1 also hold for  $E_\lambda(R)$ . If  $K = \mathbb{C}$  then these same hold for the set  $E$  defined by Formula (0.3).*

Evidently, the above corollary holds in the case when the operator  $D$  has a Volterra right inverse  $R$ , (cf. [14]).

Here, we shall show that Euler-Maclaurin type formulas hold on the set  $S_K(D)$ .

In [7] was proved the following

PROPOSITION 2.5. *Suppose that all assumptions of Lemma 2.1 are satisfied and  $D$  is closed. Then for all  $h \in K$   $T_{f,h}$  commute on the set  $S_f(D) \cap S_f^{(1)}(D)$  with the operator  $D$ , where  $S_f(D)$ ,  $S_f^{(1)}(D)$  are determined by Formulas (2.3), (2.2), respectively.*

THEOREM 2.4. *Suppose that all assumptions of Proposition 2.5 are satisfied. Let  $T_{S_K(D)} = T(K)|_{S_K(D)}$ , where  $T(K)$  is determined by Formula (1.3). Then*

(i) the set  $T_{S_K(D)}$  is a commutative ring with the operations defined by Formulas (1.4);

(ii) the rings  $H(K)$  and  $T_{S_K(D)}$  are isomorphic. The mapping  $T : f \Rightarrow T_{f,K}|_{S_K(D)}$  is a ring isomorphism from  $H(K)$  onto  $T_{S_K(D)}$ .

Definition of the set  $S_K(D)$ , Formula (1.8) and Proposition 2.5. imply

**PROPOSITION 2.6.** *Suppose that all assumptions of Proposition 2.5 are satisfied. Then Formula (2.10) holds on the set  $S_K(D)$ .*

Using Theorem 2.4, in a similar way as Theorem 1.2 (cf. Theorem 1.3) we prove

**THEOREM 2.5.** *Suppose that all assumptions of Proposition 2.5 are satisfied,  $f^{(1)}(0) \neq 0$  and  $f(h) \neq f(0)$  for  $0 \neq h \in K$ . Then  $T_{Pf,K}$  is invertible in the ring  $T_{S_K(D)}$  and its inverse  $[T_{Pf,K}]^{-1} = T_{g,K} \in T_{S_K(D)}$ , where the function  $g$  is defined by Formula (1.11).*

Theorem 2.5. and Proposition 2.6. together imply

**THEOREM 2.6.** *Suppose that all assumptions of Theorem 2.5 are satisfied. Then Formulas (1.13.a), (1.13.b) hold on the set  $S_K(D)$ .*

Clearly, Theorem 2.4 implies

**Remark 2.1.** Suppose that all assumptions of Proposition 2.5. are satisfied and  $f(h) \neq 0$  for  $h \in K$ . Then Formula (1.14) holds on  $S_K(D)$ .

**3.** In the present section, an isomorphism of a ring of analytic functions onto a ring of continuous shifts is established. As consequences, Formulas (1.13) for a subset of the space of  $D$ -analytic elements (cf. [14], [15]) are given.

In this section we still assume that  $X$  is a complete linear metric space. As before, the function  $f \in H(K)$  has expansion (2.1), where  $K = K_\rho$ ,  $0 < \rho \leq +\infty$ . Let  $D \in R(X)$  and  $F$  be an initial operator for  $D$  corresponding to an  $R \in \mathcal{R}_D$ . Write

$$A_R(D) := \left\{ x \in D_\infty : x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R^n F D^n x \right\},$$

$$A(D) := \bigcup_{R \in \mathcal{R}_D} A_R(D).$$

The set  $A(D)$  is said to be the space of  $D$ -analytic elements (cf. [15]).

It is obvious that

$$S \subset A(D) \subset D_\infty.$$

Denote by  $T^c(K) \subset T(K)$  the set of all continuous functional shifts for  $D \in R(X)$  induced by the set  $H(K)$ .

**THEOREM 3.1** (cf. [7]). Suppose that an operator  $D \in R(X)$  and  $T_{f,K} = \{T_{f,h}\}_{h \in K} \subset T^c(K)$  is a family of functional shifts for  $D$  induced by the function  $f \in H(K)$ . If an operator  $R \in \mathcal{R}_D$  is continuous then  $A_R(D) \subset S_f(D)$ .

The following theorem (similar to Theorems 1.1, 2.1, 2.6) for the set  $T^c(K)|_{A_R(D)}$ , where  $R \in \mathcal{R}_D$  is continuous holds

**THEOREM 3.2.** Let  $D \in R(X)$  and  $T_{A_R(D)}^c := T^c(K)|_{A_R(D)}$ , where  $R \in \mathcal{R}_D$  is continuous. Then

(i) the set  $T_{A_R(D)}^c$  is a commutative ring with the operations defined by Formulas (1.4);

(ii) the rings  $H(K)$  and  $T_{A_R(D)}^c$  are isomorphic. The mapping  $T : f \Rightarrow T_{f,K}|_{A_R(D)}$  is a ring isomorphism from  $H(K)$  onto  $T_{A_R(D)}^c$ .

**Proof.** (i) Evidently, it is enough to show that the multiplication of two continuous families of functional shifts for  $D$  which are restricted to the set  $A_R(D)$  is well defined. Suppose that we are given families  $T_{f,K}, T_{g,K} \in T^c(K)$ , where  $f, g \in H(K)$  are arbitrarily fixed and

$$f(h) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k h^k, \quad g(h) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k h^k \quad \text{for all } h \in K.$$

Theorem 3.1 implies that  $A_R(D) \subset S_f(D), S_g(D), S_{fg}(D)$ . Let  $F$  be an initial operator for  $D$  corresponding to the continuous operator  $R \in \mathcal{R}_D$ . Let  $0 \neq x \in A_R(D)$  and  $h \in K$  be arbitrarily fixed. Our assumptions and Proposition 1.1 imply

$$\begin{aligned} [T_{f,h} T_{g,h}]x &= T_{f,h}[T_{g,h}x] = T_{f,h}\left[T_{g,h}^* \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R^n F D^n x\right] \\ &= T_{f,h}\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_{g,h} R^n F D^n x\right] = T_{f,h}\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n b_{n-k} h^{n-k} R^k F D^n x\right] \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n b_{n-k} h^{n-k} T_{f,h} R^k F D^n x \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n b_{n-k} h^{n-k} \sum_{j=0}^k a_{k-j} h^{k-j} R^j F D^n x \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^k a_{k-j} b_{n-k} h^{n-j} R^j F D^n x \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^n \left( \sum_{k=j}^n a_{k-j} b_{n-k} \right) h^{n-j} R^j F D^n x \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^n c_{n-j} h^{n-j} R^j F D^n x, \text{ where } c_q = \sum_{p=0}^q a_p b_{q-p} \ (q \in \mathbb{N}_0).
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, if  $\mathbf{a} = \{a_q\}$ ,  $\mathbf{b} = \{b_q\}$  then the sequence  $\mathbf{c} = \{c_q\}$  is a convolution of  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$ , i.e.  $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{a} * \mathbf{b} = (\sum_{p=0}^q a_p b_{q-p})$ .

Take  $w(h) = f(h)g(h)$  then  $w \in H(K)$  and  $w(h) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n h^n$ ,  $h \in K$ . This follows from the Cauchy theorem about multiplication of two series.

Hence,

$$[T_{f,h} T_{g,h}]x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_{w,h} R^n F D^n x = T_{w,h} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R^n F D^n x \right) = T_{w,h} x$$

for  $T_{w,K} = T_{fg,K} \in T^c(K)$ .

(ii) The proof is along going the same lines as the proofs of the mentioned theorems which concern the sets  $S$ ,  $E_\lambda$  and  $S_K(K)$  (cf. [5], [7]).

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *Suppose that all assumptions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied and  $R \in \mathcal{R}_D$  is continuous. Then following formula*

$$(3.1) \quad \mathfrak{P}T_{f,h} = (Pf)(hD)D$$

*holds on the set  $A_R(D)$  for all  $h \in K$ .*

**Proof.** Fix  $x \in A_R(D)$  and take  $y = Dx$ . The continuity of  $R \in \mathcal{R}_D$  implies that

$$A_R(D) \subset S_{Pf}(D), \quad D(A_R(D)) \subset A_R(D).$$

First inclusion follows from Theorem 3.1. and Formula (1.8), second from [15] (Theorem 3.2 p. 22). This and Formula (1.7) together imply

$$\begin{aligned}
[(Pf)(hD)D]x &= (Pf)(hD)y = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n h^{n-1} D^{n-1} y = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n h^{n-1} D^{n-1} Dx \\
&= h^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n h^n D^n x = h^{-1} (f(hD) - f(0)I) = \mathfrak{P}T_{f,h} \quad \text{for all } 0 \neq h \in K.
\end{aligned}$$

For  $h = 0$  Formula (3.1) follows from definition.

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Suppose that all assumptions of Proposition 3.1 are satisfied,  $f^{(1)}(0) \neq 0$  and  $f(h) \neq f(0)$  for  $0 \neq h \in K$ . Then  $T_{Pf,K}^c$  is invertible in the ring  $T_{A_R(D)}^c$  and its inverse  $[T_{Pf,K}^c]^{-1} = T_{g,K}^c \in T_{A_R(D)}^c$ , where the function  $g$  is defined by Formula (1.11).*

Theorem 3.3. and Proposition 3.1. together imply

THEOREM 3.4. *Suppose that all assumptions of Theorem 3.3 are satisfied. Then Formulas (1.13.a), (1.13.b) hold on the set  $A_R(D)$ .*

Clearly, Theorem 3.3 implies

REMARK 3.1. Suppose that all assumptions of Proposition 3.1. are satisfied and  $f(h) \neq 0$  for  $h \in K$ . Then Formula (1.14) holds on  $A_R(D)$ .

### References

- [1] Z. Binderman, *Complex R-shifts for right invertible operators*, Demonstratio Math. 23 (1990), 1043–1053.
- [2] Z. Binderman, *On some properties of complex R-shifts*, Demonstratio Math. 25 (1992), 207–217.
- [3] Z. Binderman, *Some properties of operators of complex differentiation and shifts*, Zeszyty Naukowe Politechniki Łódź, Matematyka 24 (1993), 5–18.
- [4] Z. Binderman, *Cauchy integral formula induced by right invertible operators*, Demonstratio Math. 25 (1992), 671–690.
- [5] Z. Binderman, *Functional shifts induced by right invertible operators*, Math. Nachr., 157 (1992), 211–224.
- [6] Z. Binderman, *On periodic solutions of equations with right invertible operators induced by functional shifts*, Demonstratio Math. 26 (1993), 535–543.
- [7] Z. Binderman, *A unified approach to shifts induced by right invertible operators*, Math. Nachr. 161 (1993), 239–252.
- [8] Z. Binderman, *On singular boundary value problems for generalized analytic functions*, Zeszyty Nauk. Politech. Łódź, Matematyka, 23 (1993), 11–16.
- [9] I. Gradshteyn and I. Ryzhik, *Tables of integrals, sums, series and products*, (Russian), Nauka, Moskva, 1971.
- [10] N. Linchuk, *Representation of commutants of the Pommiez operator and their applications*, (Russian), Mat. Zametki 44 (1988), 794–802.
- [11] J. B. Miller, *The standard summation operator, the Euler–Maclaurin sum formula and the Laplace transformation*, J. Australian Math. Soc. 39 (1985), 376–390.
- [12] Nguyen Van Mau, *Boundary value problems and controllability of linear systems with right invertible operators*, Dissertationes Math., 316, Warszawa, 1992.
- [13] D. Przeworska-Rolewicz, *Shift and periodicity for right invertible operators*, Research Notes in Mathematics 43, Pitman Advanced Publish. Program, Boston-London-Melbourne, 1980.
- [14] D. Przeworska-Rolewicz, *Algebraic Analysis*, PWN-Polish Scientific Publishers and D. Reidel Publish. Comp. Warszawa-Dordrecht, 1988.
- [15] D. Przeworska-Rolewicz, *Spaces of D-paraanalytic elements*, Dissertationes Math. 302, Warszawa, 1990.
- [16] D. Przeworska-Rolewicz, *True Shifts*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 170, (1992), 27–48.
- [17] D. Przeworska-Rolewicz, *Advantages of one-dimensional kernels*, Math. Nachr. 149 (1990), 133–147.
- [18] D. Przeworska-Rolewicz, *Generalized Bernoulli operator and Euler–Maclaurin formula*, In: Advances in Optimization, Proc. 6-th. French-German Colloquium on Optimization, Lambrecht, FRG, 2–9 June 1991, Lecture Notes in Economic and Math. Systems, 382, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1992, 355–368.

- [19] D. Przeworska-Rolewicz, *The operator  $\exp(hD)$  and its inverse formula*, Demonstratio Math., 26 (1993), 545–552.
- [20] H. von Trotha, *Structure properties of  $D - R$  spaces*, Dissertationes Math. 180, (1981).

ACADEMY OF AGRICULTURE

Nowoursynowska 166

02-766 WARSZAWA, POLAND

*Received March 13, 1993.*