

Andrzej Walendziak

## STRONGNESS IN LATTICES

*Dedicated to Professor Tadeusz Traczyk*

Faigle [3] introduced the notion of a strong join-irreducible element in lattices of finite length. In this note we extend the concept of strongness to arbitrary lattices being not necessarily of finite length. Here we give a generalization of some results of papers [3] and [5].

### 1. Preliminaries

Let  $L$  be a lattice. If  $L$  contains a least or a greatest element, these elements will be denoted by  $0$  or  $1$ , respectively. An element  $u \in L - \{0\}$  is called join-irreducible iff, for all  $a, b \in L$   $u = a \vee b$  implies  $u = a$  or  $u = b$ . Denote by  $J(L)$  the set of all join-irreducible elements of  $L$ . We say that  $a$  is covered by  $b$  and write  $a \prec b$  if  $a < b$  and if  $a \leq c < b$  implies  $c = a$  for all  $c$ . For two elements  $a, b \in L$  ( $a \leq b$ ) we define  $[a, b] = \{c \in L : a \leq c \leq b\}$ .

A lattice  $L$  is called strongly dually atomic if for any  $a, b \in L$  with  $a < b$  there is  $p \in [a, b]$  such that  $p \prec b$ . (This notion is the dual of the concept "strongly atomic" as used in [2].) In a strongly dually atomic lattice  $L$  the unique lower cover of a join-irreducible element  $u$  is denoted by  $u'$ .

A lattice  $L$  is said to be consistent (see [4]) iff  $a \in L$  and  $u \in J(L)$  imply that  $a \vee u \in J([a, a \vee u])$ . We remark that in [1], p. 249, one finds a condition equivalent to dual consistence (see also [2], p. 53).

A complete lattice  $L$  is lower continuous, if

$$a \vee \bigwedge C = \bigwedge (a \vee c : c \in C)$$

for all  $a \in L$  and for all chains  $C$  in  $L$ .

Now we introduce the concept of a strong lattice. For lattices of finite length the definition of strongness is given by Stern (see [8] or [9]) by the

property

$$(S) \quad u \in J(L), a \in L \text{ and } u \leq a \vee u' \text{ imply } u \leq a.$$

We extend the notion of strongness from lattices of finite length to arbitrary lattices. Namely, we say that a lattice  $L$  is strong if the following condition is satisfied:

$$(S') \quad u \in J(L), a, b \in L \text{ and } b < u \leq a \vee b \text{ imply } u \leq a.$$

It is easy to see that in strongly dually atomic lattices (in particular: in lattices of finite length) properties (S), (S') and

$$(DO) \quad u \in J(L), a \in L \text{ and } u \not\leq a \text{ imply } a \vee u' < a \vee u$$

(given in [7], p. 68) are equivalent.

We remark that any atomistic lattice (in particular: each geometric lattice) is strong. (Indeed, each join-irreducible element of an atomistic lattice is an atom.)

Now we observe that any modular lattice is strong. Let  $L$  be a modular lattice and let  $u \in J(L)$ ,  $a, b \in L$  with  $b < u \leq a \vee b$ . By the modular law,  $u = (a \wedge u) \vee b$ . Since  $u$  is join-irreducible this implies  $u = a \wedge u$ , that is  $u \leq a$  which means that  $L$  is strong.

Also, it is not difficult to give examples of lattices which are strong but neither modular nor atomistic (see Section 23 of [10]).

As a preparation for the next result we need the following

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $L$  be a strong lattice and  $c, d \in L$  with  $c < d$ . If  $u \in J(L)$  and  $b \in L$  are elements such that  $b < u \leq d$  but  $u \not\leq c$ , then  $b \leq c$ .*

**P r o o f.** Suppose that  $b \not\leq c$ . We have  $c \leq b \vee c \leq d$  and  $c < d$ . Then  $u \leq d = b \vee c$  and strongness implies  $u \leq c$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $b \leq c$ .

## 2. Results

The first major result is

**THEOREM 1.** *A semimodular lower continuous strongly dually atomic lattice is consistent iff it is strong.*

**P r o o f.** Let  $L$  be a semimodular lower continuous strongly dually atomic lattice. Assume first that  $L$  is consistent but not strong. Let a join-irreducible element  $u \in J(L)$  be such that  $u \leq a \vee u'$  and  $u \not\leq a$  for some  $a \in L$ . Thus the set  $T := \{x \in L : u \leq x \vee u' \text{ and } u \not\leq x\}$  is not empty. Let  $C$  be a chain in  $T$ . The lower continuity follows

$$u' \vee \bigwedge C = \bigwedge (c \vee u' : c \in C) \geq u.$$

Clearly,  $u \not\leq \bigwedge C$ . Therefore  $\bigwedge C \in T$ , and  $T$  contains a minimal element  $b$ , by Zorn's lemma. Since  $L$  is strongly dually atomic we may choose  $p \in L$  with  $p \prec b$ . Observe that

$$(1) \quad p \vee u' < p \vee u.$$

Indeed, if  $p \vee u' = p \vee u$ , then  $p \in T$ , contradicting the minimality of  $b$ .

Now we observe that  $b \leq p \vee u'$  is not possible, since  $b \leq p \vee u'$  would imply,  $b \vee u' \leq p \vee u' < p \vee u \leq b \vee u'$ , a contradiction. Since  $p \prec b$  and  $b \not\leq p \vee u'$  we get

$$b \wedge (p \vee u') = p \prec b.$$

Hence, by semimodularity we conclude that

$$p \vee u' \prec b \vee p \vee u' = b \vee u' = b \vee u.$$

Thus we have

$$p \vee u' < p \vee u \leq b \vee u \text{ and } p \vee u' \prec b \vee u.$$

Consequently,

$$(2) \quad p \vee u = b \vee u,$$

and therefore  $p \vee u = (p \vee u') \vee b$ . Consistency implies that  $p \vee u$  is a join-irreducible element of the sublattice  $[p, 1]$ . This together with (1) and (2) yields  $b \vee u = p \vee u = b$ , which contradicts the fact that  $u \not\leq b$ . It follows that  $L$  must be strong.

Conversely assume that  $L$  is strong but not consistent. This means that there exist  $a \in L$  and  $u \in J(L)$  with  $a \vee u \notin J([a, 1])$ . Thus there are two distinct elements  $c_1, c_2 \in [a, a \vee u]$  which are covered by  $a \vee u$ . Since  $u \not\leq c_1, c_2$ , by Lemma 1 we get  $u' \leq c_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Thus we have  $u' \leq u \wedge (c_1 \wedge c_2) \leq u$  and obviously  $u \not\leq c_1 \wedge c_2$ . Hence we obtain  $u \wedge (c_1 \wedge c_2) = u' \prec u$ . By semimodularity we conclude that

$$c_1 \wedge c_2 \prec (c_1 \wedge c_2) \vee u = a \vee u.$$

This is a contradiction since by our construction we have  $c_1 \wedge c_2 < c_1 \prec a \vee u$ .

**Remark 1.** The preceding theorem generalizes Theorem 27.1 of [10] (see also [3], p. 33 and [5], p. 125), since any lattice of finite length is lower continuous and strongly dually atomic.

As a preparation for the next result we recall the following concepts:

If  $a$  is an element of a complete lattice  $L$ , then a representation  $a = \bigvee T$  with  $T \subseteq J(L)$  is called a join-decomposition of  $a$ . A join-decomposition  $a = \bigvee T$  is irredundant if  $\bigvee(T - \{t\}) \neq a$  for all  $t \in T$ .

We say that a complete lattice  $L$  has the Kuroš-Ore replacement property (KORP) for join-decompositions (see [10], p. 30) if each element of  $L$  has at least one irredundant join-decomposition, and whenever  $a = \bigvee T = \bigvee R$  are

two irredundant join-decompositions of an element  $a \in L$ , for each  $t_0 \in T$  there exists an  $r_0 \in R$  such that  $a = \bigvee(T - \{t_0\}) \vee r_0$  is also an irredundant join decomposition.

G. Richter ([6], Theorem 11) has shown that a strongly dually atomic lower continuous lattice has the KORP for join-decompositions iff it is consistent. From this and Theorem 1 we obtain

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $L$  be a semimodular, lower continuous, strongly dually atomic lattice. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  *$L$  has the KORP for join-decompositions,*
- (ii)  *$L$  is consistent,*
- (iii)  *$L$  is strong.*

**Remark 2.** From this theorem we obtain Corollary 27.2 of [10] (see also [5], Theorem 4).

#### References

- [1] P. Crawley: *Decomposition theory for nonsemimodular lattices*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 99(1961) 246–254.
- [2] P. Crawley, R. P. Dilworth: *Algebraic Theory of Lattices*, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs (N.J.), 1973.
- [3] U. Faigle: *Geometries on partially ordered sets*, J. Combinatorial Theory, Ser. B 28(1980) 26–51.
- [4] J. P. S. Kung: *Matchings and Radon transforms in lattices. I. Consistent lattices*, Order 2 (1985), 105–112.
- [5] K. Reuter: *The Kurosh-Ore exchange property*, Acta Math. Hung. 53(1989) 119–127.
- [6] G. Richter: *The Kurosh-Ore theorem, finite and infinite decompositions*, Studia Sci. Math. Hung. 17(1982) 243–250.
- [7] G. Richter: *Strongness in  $J$ -lattices*, Studia Sci. Math. Hung. 26(1991) 67–80.
- [8] M. Stern: *On complemented strong lattices*, Portugal. Math. 46(1989) 225–227.
- [9] M. Stern: *Strongness in semimodular lattices*, Discrete Math. 82(1990) 79–88.
- [10] M. Stern: *Semimodular Lattices*, B.G. Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft, Stuttgart-Leipzig, 1991.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
AGRICULTURAL AND PEDAGOGICAL UNIVERSITY  
08-110 SIEDLCE, POLAND

Received October 20, 1992.