

Jan Rogulski

## ON A SINGULAR VOLTERRA EQUATION

### 1. Introduction

The importance of equation

$$(1.1) \quad f(p) = 2 \int_p^{\infty} \frac{F(r)}{\sqrt{1 - (p/r)^2}} dr$$

in investigations of electromagnetic cascades was pointed out by B. Słowiński [5]. Here  $f$  is a given  $C^1$  function on  $[0, \infty[$  such that  $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} pf(p)$  does exist. The unique solution  $F$  continuous on  $]0, \infty[$  has the form

$$(1.2) \quad F(r) = \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} [pf(p)] - \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \int_r^{\infty} \frac{d}{dp} [pf(p)] \frac{dp}{\sqrt{1 - (r/p)^2}}.$$

Consult also [6]. This solution can be obtained in the following way. When one changes variables in (1.1) by introducing

$$(1.3) \quad y := \frac{1}{r}, \quad t := \frac{1}{p}$$

and by defining new functions

$$(1.4) \quad \eta(t) := f\left(\frac{1}{t}\right), \quad u(y) := \frac{2}{y^2} F\left(\frac{1}{y}\right),$$

then the equation (1.1) becomes

$$(1.5) \quad \eta(t) = \int_0^t \frac{u(y) dy}{\sqrt{1 - (y/t)^2}}.$$

The equation (1.5) was derived by H. Wagner [9] in a connection with his studies on landing of seaplanes. The unique continuous on  $]0, \infty[$  solution of

---

This paper has been presented at the Vth Symposium on Integral Equations and Their Applications, 10-13 December 1991, held at the Institute of Mathematics, Warsaw University of Technology.

equation (1.5) is (see W. Tollmien [8])

$$(1.6) \quad u(y) = \frac{2}{\pi} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \left[ \frac{\eta(t)}{t} \right] + \frac{2}{\pi} y \int_0^y \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \frac{\eta(t)}{t} \right] \frac{dt}{\sqrt{y^2 - t^2}}.$$

Then the formula (1.2) follows from (1.6) by means of (1.3) and (1.4).

More general Abel-type equations were investigated by M. Soupline [7]. We refer to W. Schmeidler [4] for further informations on Volterra equations and for useful references to original papers.

For some physical reasons (consult, e.g., G. A. Acopdjanov [1] and B. Słowiński papers), the most interesting solution of (1.1) is for the function

$$(1.7) \quad f(p) = \frac{1}{a} e^{-p/a},$$

where  $a > 0$  is constant. For this function we obtain, by (1.2),

$$(1.8) \quad F(r) = \frac{1}{\pi a^2} \int_0^1 \left( \frac{1}{s} - \frac{a}{r} \right) e^{-r/as} \frac{ds}{s^2 \sqrt{1-s^2}}.$$

The integrand in (1.8) is a  $C^\infty$  function of  $s$  on  $[0, 1[$  (if we let 0 for  $s = 0$ ) and the singularity of this function at 1 is weak. Moreover, the function  $F$  defined by (1.8) is a very well defined analytic function on  $]0, \infty[$ .

Our aim is to study the behaviour of  $F$  at infinity and at zero. Some results in this topic were announced in [3].

## 2. On Kostritsa conjecture

A. A. Kostritsa [2] suggests that the solution of (1.1) for the function (1.7) has the form

$$(2.1) \quad F(r) = \text{const. } K_0 \left( \frac{r}{a} \right),$$

where  $K_0$  is the MacDonals's function. If the function  $F$  were as in (2.1) it would satisfy the equation

$$(2.2) \quad r^2 F''(r) + r F'(r) - \frac{r^2}{a^2} F(r) = 0.$$

But the result of substitution of  $F$  described in (1.8) into the left-hand side of equation (2.2) is

$$\frac{1}{\pi a^2} \int_0^1 \left( \frac{r}{a} - \frac{a}{r} - \frac{1}{s} - \frac{r^2}{a^2 s} - \frac{2r}{as^2} + \frac{r^2}{a^2 s} \right) e^{-r/as} \frac{ds}{s^2 \sqrt{1-s^2}}.$$

It is clear that the above function does not identically vanish, and hence,

for every real constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , there exists a positive  $r$  such that

$$F(r) \neq c_1 I_0\left(\frac{r}{a}\right) + c_2 K_0\left(\frac{r}{a}\right).$$

In particular, (2.1) does not hold.

### 3. Behaviour of $F$ at infinity

Let us define the function  $h : ]0, 1[ \times R_+ \rightarrow R$  by the formula

$$(3.1) \quad h(s, x) := \left(\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{x}\right) \frac{\exp(-x/s)}{s^2 \sqrt{1-s^2}}$$

We see that for  $F$  defined by (1.8)

$$(3.2) \quad F(r) = \frac{1}{\pi a^2} \int_0^1 h\left(s, \frac{r}{a}\right) ds.$$

Hence, the behaviour of  $F$  for small  $r > 0$  and range  $r$ , say  $r \gg a$ , is completely described by estimates of the function

$$(3.3) \quad H(x) := \int_0^1 h(s, x) ds$$

for small  $x > 0$  and  $x \gg 1$ , respectively.

**LEMMA 3.4.** *There exists  $C^+ > 0$  such that  $H(x) < C^+ e^{-x}$  for every  $x > 1$ .*

**Proof.** We see from formulae (3.1) and (3.3) that

$$H(x) < \int_0^1 e^{-x/s} \frac{ds}{s^3 \sqrt{1-s^2}}.$$

Since  $\frac{x}{s} = \frac{1}{s} + \frac{x-1}{s}$  and  $\frac{x-1}{s} > x-1$  for  $s \in ]0, 1[$ , we infer that

$$H(x) < \int_0^1 e^{-1/s} e^{-(x-1)/s} \frac{ds}{s^3 \sqrt{1-s^2}} < e^{1-x} \int_0^1 \frac{e^{-1/s} ds}{s^3 \sqrt{1-s^2}}.$$

The result follows with

$$(3.4') \quad C^+ = e \int_0^1 \frac{e^{-1/s} ds}{s^3 \sqrt{1-s^2}}. \quad \blacksquare$$

**LEMMA 3.5.** *Let  $c \in R$  and  $c > 1$ . Then there exists  $C^- > 0$  such that*

$$H(x) > C^- e^{-x^2/2}$$

*for every  $x > c$ .*

**Proof.** By means of estimates  $x \cdot \frac{1}{s} < \frac{1}{2}(x^2 + \frac{1}{s^2})$  and  $\frac{1}{s^2} < \frac{1}{s^3}$  for  $s \in ]0, 1[$ , we derive inequality

$$\begin{aligned} H(x) &> \int_0^1 \left( \frac{1}{s^3} - \frac{1}{xs^3} \right) \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2}x^2 - \frac{1}{2s^2} \right) \frac{ds}{\sqrt{1-s^2}} \\ &= \left( 1 - \frac{1}{x} \right) e^{-x^2/2} \int_0^1 \frac{e^{-1/2s^2}}{s^3 \sqrt{1-s^2}} ds > \left( 1 - \frac{1}{c} \right) e^{-x^2/2} \int_0^1 \frac{e^{-1/2s^2}}{s^3 \sqrt{1-s^2}} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the result holds with

$$(3.5') \quad C^- = \frac{c-1}{c} \int_0^1 \frac{e^{-1/2s^2}}{s^3 \sqrt{1-s^2}} ds. \quad \blacksquare$$

**Remark 3.6.** It is easy to see that

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{s^3} e^{-1/2s^2} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{1-s^2}} > \int_0^1 \frac{1}{s^3} e^{-1/2s^2} ds = \frac{1}{\sqrt{e}}.$$

Thus, one can take the constant  $C^- = \frac{c-1}{c\sqrt{e}}$  in Lemma 3.5. and  $C^- = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{e}}$  if  $c \geq 2$ .

Now, composing (3.2 ÷ 5), we obtain the following result.

**THEOREM 3.7.** *Let  $c > 1$ . Then the function  $F$  defined by formula (1.8) has the estimates:*

$$\begin{cases} F(r) < \frac{C^+}{\pi a^2} e^{-r/a} & \text{for } r \geq a \\ F(r) > \frac{C^-}{\pi a^2} e^{-r^2/a^2} & \text{for every } r > ca, \end{cases}$$

where positive constants  $C^+$  and  $C^-$  are defined by (3.4') and (3.5'), respectively.

**Remark 3.8.** By the methods used above one can also prove that  $F^{(n)}(r) = 0(e^{-r/a})$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$  for any derivative  $F^{(n)}$  of the function  $F$ .

#### 4. Estimates of $F$ on $]0, a[$

We assume throughout this paragraph that  $0 < x < b < 1$ . It is not difficult to calculate

$$\begin{aligned} (4.1) \quad I_1(x, b) &:= \int_b^1 \left( \frac{1}{s^3} - \frac{1}{xs^3} \right) \frac{ds}{\sqrt{1-s^2}} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{1-b^2}}{2b^2} + \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 + \sqrt{1-b^2}}{b} - \frac{1}{xb} \sqrt{1-b^2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.2) \quad I_2(x, b) := \int_x^b e^{-x/s} \left( \frac{1}{s^3} - \frac{1}{xs^2} \right) ds = \frac{1}{xb} e^{-x/b} - \frac{1}{ex^2},$$

$$(4.3) \quad I_3(x) := \int_0^x e^{-x/s} \left( \frac{1}{s^3} - \frac{1}{xs^2} \right) ds = \frac{1}{ex^2}.$$

Note that  $I_1(x, b) < 0$ ,  $I_2(x, b) < 0$  and  $I_3(x) > 0$ , since the functions under integrals in (4.1)–(4.3) have constant sign.

It is visible from formulae (3.1) and (4.3) that

$$\frac{1}{ex^2} < \int_0^x h(s, x) ds < \frac{1}{ex^2 \sqrt{1-x^2}}$$

for  $x \in ]0, 1[$ . This implies that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x^2 \int_0^x h(s, x) ds = \frac{1}{e}$$

which means that  $h(\cdot, x)$  has a very high local maximum in the interval  $]0, x[$  if  $x > 0$  is a fixed small real number.

Dividing the interval  $[0, 1]$  into three ones:  $[0, x]$ ,  $[x, b]$  and  $[b, 1]$ , and taking into account (3.3), (4.1)–(4.3), we obtain

$$(4.4) \quad H(x) < \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} I_3(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} I_2(x, b) + e^{-x/b} I_1(x, b),$$

$$(4.5) \quad H(x) > I_3(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-b^2}} I_2(x, b) + e^{-x} I_1(x, b).$$

These estimates are valid for all  $x, b$  such that  $0 < x < b < 1$ .

LEMMA 4.6. *If  $0 < x < b < 1$  then*

$$(4.6.1) \quad H(x) < \frac{1}{2} \ln 2 - \frac{1}{2} \ln b + \frac{1}{2b^2} + \frac{1}{xb} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} - \sqrt{1-b^2} \right) =: Q^+(x, b).$$

Moreover, for  $c \in ]0, 1[$  and  $b = x^c$ , we have

$$(4.6.2) \quad \begin{cases} \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x^{2/3} Q^+(x, x^{1/3}) = 1, \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x^{1-c} Q^+(x, x^c) = \frac{1}{2} & \text{for } c \in ]0, \frac{1}{3}[, \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x^{2c} Q^+(x, x^c) = \frac{1}{2} & \text{for } c \in ]\frac{1}{3}, 1[. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By means of the estimate (4.4) and equalities (4.1)–(4.3), we obtain

$$H(x) < \frac{1}{ex^2 \sqrt{1-x^2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \left( \frac{1}{xb} e^{-x/b} - \frac{1}{ex^2} \right) + e^{-x/b} I_1(x, b) <$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&< \frac{1}{xb\sqrt{1-x^2}} + I_1(x, b) \\
&< \frac{1}{xb\sqrt{1-x^2}} + \frac{\sqrt{1-b^2}}{2b^2} + \frac{1}{2} \ln(1 + \sqrt{1-b^2}) - \frac{1}{2} \ln b - \frac{\sqrt{1-b^2}}{xb}
\end{aligned}$$

and, since  $\sqrt{1-b^2} < 1$  and  $\ln(1 + \sqrt{1-b^2}) < \ln 2$ , we see that (4.6.1) holds. To prove (4.6.2) let us notice that  $x^c > x$  for any  $c \in ]0, 1[$  and  $x \in ]0, 1[$ . Hence, by (4.6.1)  $H(x) < Q^+(x, x^c)$ , where

$$\begin{aligned}
Q^+(x, x^c) &= \frac{1}{2} \ln 2 - \frac{c}{2} \ln x + \frac{1}{2} x^{-2x} \\
&\quad + x^{c-1} \frac{x^{2-2c} - x^2 + 1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}(1 + \sqrt{(1-x^2)(1-x^{2c})})}.
\end{aligned}$$

This formula for  $Q^+(x, x^c)$  makes all limits in (4.6.2) evident. ■

**LEMMA 4.7.** *If  $0 < x < b < 1$  then*

$$(4.7.1) \quad H(x) > \frac{-b^2}{x^2 e \sqrt{1-b^2}} - \frac{1}{b^2 \sqrt{1-b^2}} + \frac{e^{-x} \sqrt{1-b^2}}{2b^2} =: Q^-(x, b)$$

and, for  $c \in ]0, 1[$  and  $b = x^c$ , we have

$$(4.7.2) \quad \begin{cases} \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x^{2-2c} Q^-(x, x^c) = -\frac{1}{e} & \text{if } c \in ]0, \frac{1}{2}[ , \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x Q^-(x, \sqrt{x}) = -\frac{1}{e} - \frac{1}{2}, \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x^{2c} Q^-(x, x^c) = -\frac{1}{2} & \text{if } c \in ]\frac{1}{2}, 1[ . \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** By (4.5) and (4.1)–(4.3), we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.7.3) \quad H(x) &> \frac{1}{ex^2} + \frac{e^{-x/b}}{xv\sqrt{1-b^2}} - \frac{1}{ex^2\sqrt{1-b^2}} \\
&\quad + \frac{\sqrt{1-b^2}}{2b^2} e^{-x} - \frac{\sqrt{1-b^2}}{xb} e^{-x}.
\end{aligned}$$

We dropped the term with  $\ln(\dots)$ , since it is positive for all  $b \in ]0, 1[$  and, when multiplied by  $x^c$ , it tends to zero as  $x \rightarrow 0$  for  $b = x^c$ . Combining the first term with third, and the second one with last in (4.7.3),

$$\begin{aligned}
H(x) &> \frac{-b^2}{x^2 e \sqrt{1-b^2}(1 + \sqrt{1-b^2})} + \frac{1}{xb\sqrt{1-b^2}} (e^{-x/b} - e^{-x} + b^2 e^{-x}) \\
&\quad + \frac{\sqrt{1-b^2}}{2b^2} e^{-x} \\
&> \frac{-b^2}{x^2 e \sqrt{1-b^2}} + \frac{1}{xb\sqrt{1-b^2}} (e^{-x/b} - e^{-x}) + \frac{b}{x} e^{-x} + \frac{\sqrt{1-b^2}}{2b^2} e^{-x}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$e^{-x/b} - e^{-x} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \left(\frac{x}{b}\right)^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k!} x^k$$

and, clearly,

$$0 < \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k!} x^k < x \quad \text{for } x \in ]0, 1[,$$

the following inequality holds

$$(4.7.4) \quad H(x) > \frac{-b^2}{x^2 e \sqrt{1-b^2}} + \frac{1}{b^2 \sqrt{1-b^2}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \left(\frac{x}{b}\right)^{k-1} + \frac{\sqrt{1-b^2}}{2b^2} e^{-x},$$

where we dropped  $\frac{b}{x} e^{-x}$ , having  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x(\frac{b}{x} e^{-x}) = 0$  for every  $c > 0$ . Since  $0 < \frac{x}{b} < 1$  and  $\frac{1}{k!} (\frac{x}{b})^{k-1}$  tends monotonically to zero as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we have the estimate

$$-1 < \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \left(\frac{x}{b}\right)^{k-1} < 0$$

which, used in (4.7.4), gives (4.7.1).

For  $b = x^c$  we have

$$Q^-(x, x^c) = \frac{-1}{e \sqrt{1-x^{2c}}} x^{-2+2c} + \left( \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1-x^{2c}} e^{-x} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^{2c}}} \right) x^{-2c}$$

and thus all limits in (4.7.2) are evident. ■

**Remarks.** Taking the right-hand side of (4.4) as the upper bound  $Q^+(x, b)$  of  $H$  in (4.6.1), we obtain the same limits for such  $Q^+(x, b)$  as written down in (4.6.2). Similarly, if one replaces  $Q^-(x, x^c)$  by the lower bound written in (4.5) with  $b = x^c$ , then the limits (4.7.2) remain still valid. From (4.6.2) it is easy to see that the best upper bound near zero (with  $b = x^c$ ) is for  $c = \frac{1}{3}$ . The best lower bound that may be obtained by this method is for  $c = \frac{1}{2}$ .

Lemmas 4.6 and 4.7 imply the result as follows.

**COROLLARY 4.8.** For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x^{1+\varepsilon} H(x) = 0$ .

**Proof.** For  $x \in ]0, 1[$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we obtain the following estimates, by virtue of (4.6.1) and (4.7.1),

$$x^\varepsilon x Q^-(x, \sqrt{x}) < x^{1+\varepsilon} H(x) < x^{1/3+\varepsilon} x^{2/3} Q^+(x, \sqrt[3]{x}).$$

Applying (4.6.2) and (4.7.2) to the above lower and upper bounds, we obtain the result. ■

Let us define for  $r \in ]0, a[$  the following functions

$$(4.9) \quad F^+(r) := \frac{1}{\pi a^2} Q^+ \left( \frac{r}{a}, \sqrt[3]{\frac{r}{a}} \right); \quad F^-(r) := \frac{1}{\pi a^2} Q^- \left( \frac{r}{a}, \sqrt[3]{\frac{r}{a}} \right).$$

We now formulate results concerning asymptotics of  $F$  near zero.

**THEOREM 4.10.** *For every  $r \in ]0, a[$*

$$(4.10.1) \quad F^-(r) < F(r) < F^+(r)$$

*and  $F(r) = 0(\frac{1}{r})$  as  $r \rightarrow 0$ . In particular,  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} r^{1+\varepsilon} F(r) = 0$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Moreover,*

$$(4.10.2) \quad \begin{cases} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} r^{2/3} F^+(r) = \frac{1}{\pi a^{4/3}}, \\ \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} r F^-(r) = -\frac{1}{\pi a} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{e} \right). \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** From (3.2) and (3.3) it follows that

$$(4.10.3) \quad F(r) = \frac{1}{\pi a^2} H \left( \frac{r}{a} \right).$$

Hence, viewing (4.6.1), (4.7.1) and (4.9), we obtain (4.10.1).

Now, by virtue of (4.6.2) and (4.9),

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} r^{2/3} F^+(r) = a^{2/3} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \left( \frac{r}{a} \right)^{2/3} \frac{1}{\pi a^2} Q^+ \left( \frac{r}{a}, \sqrt[3]{\frac{r}{a}} \right) = \frac{1}{\pi a^{4/3}}.$$

Similarly, by virtue of (4.7.2) and (4.9),

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} [r F^-(r)] &= a \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \left[ \frac{r}{a} \cdot \frac{1}{\pi a^2} Q^- \left( \frac{r}{a}, \sqrt[3]{\frac{r}{a}} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi a} \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} [x Q^-(x, \sqrt{x})] = -\frac{1}{\pi a} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{e} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, equalities (4.10.2) are valid. The remaining statements easily follow from (4.10.2) and from the fact that  $r^c \ln r \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow 0^+$  for any  $c > 0$ . ■

Theorems 3.7 and 4.10 imply the following result.

**COROLLARY 4.11.** *For every real  $m \geq 1$  the integral  $\int_0^\infty r^m F(r) dr$  is convergent.*

One important question remains still open. Is the function  $F$  positive everywhere on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ ? From Theorem 3.7 we see that it is the case for  $r \in [a, \infty[$ . It is also not difficult to deduce from (3.1)÷(3.3) that  $F'(r) < 0$  for all  $r \geq a$ . To prove that  $F(r) > 0$  for  $r \in ]0, a[$ , it is sufficient to show that  $H(x) > 0$  for  $x \in ]0, 1[$  (see (3.2) and (3.3)). Unfortunately, for a fixed small  $x > 0$  the function  $]0, 1[ \ni s \mapsto h(s, x) \in \mathbb{R}$  has a high positive local maximum in  $]0, x[$



and a low negative local minimum for  $s > x$ , near zero. This can be seen from equality (4.3) and Lemma 4.6 or from the estimate

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^{2/3}}} I_2(x, \sqrt[3]{x}) < \int_x^{\sqrt[3]{x}} h(s, x) ds < \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} I_2(x, \sqrt[3]{x}),$$

where  $I_2$  is defined by (4.2). Since

$$I_2(x, \sqrt[3]{x}) = x^{-4/3} \exp(-x^{2/3}) - \frac{1}{e} x^{-2},$$

we see that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x^2 I_2(x, \sqrt[3]{x}) = -\frac{1}{e}.$$

The same type of troubles appears for derivative  $H'(x)$  in interval  $]0, 1[$ . Is  $H'(x) < 0$  for all  $x \in ]0, 1[$ ? Computer calculations show that  $H(x) > 0$  and  $H$  decreases for  $x > 10^{-6}$  (Słowiński's private communication). If  $F(r) > 0$  or, equivalently,  $H(x) > 0$  for all positive  $r$  and  $x$ , then one can use  $F$ , after a suitable normalization, as a probability density  $\mu$  on the plane, namely:

$$C \cdot F(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}) = \mu(x, y), \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

where

$$C = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[ \int_0^\infty r F(r) dr \right]^{-1}.$$

The integral above is convergent, by virtue of Corollary 4.11, which would also guarantee the existence of all moments for  $\mu$ .

## References

- [1] G. A. Acopdjanov et al., *Determination of photon coordinates in a hodoscope Cherenkov spectrometer*, NIM 140 (1977), p. 441.
- [2] A. A. Kostritsa, *The radial distribution of energy releasing in the electron-photon cascades*, Report of IPHE 87-20, Alma-Ata, 1988.
- [3] J. Rogulski and B. Słowiński, *Radial profiles of photon initiated electromagnetic showers between 100 and 3500 MeV*, JINR Rapid Communications 4 (55)-92, Dubna, 1992, p. 19.
- [4] W. Schmeidler, *Integralgleichungen mit Anwendungen in Physik und Technik. 1, Linear Integralgleichungen*, Akademische Verlagsgesellschaft Geest Portig K.-G., Leipzig, 1955.
- [5] B. Słowiński, *Phenomenological model of electromagnetic showers*, in Proc. of the Intern. Meeting of Problems of Math. Simulation in Nuclear Physics Researches, 30.09-2.10.1980, Commun. JINR D 10, 11-81-622, Dubna (1981), p. 178.
- [6] B. Słowiński, *Statistical model in phenomenological description of electromagnetic cascade processes produced by high-energy gamma quanta*, Commun. JINR E1-87-76, Dubna (1987).

- [7] M. Soupline, *Résolution des équations intégrales du type d'Abel*, Acad. Sc. URSS Ukraine Inst. Math., Rec. Trav. Inst. Math. 3 (1940), 113–141.
- [8] W. Tollmien, *Zum Landesto von Seeflugzeugen*, ZAMM 14 (1934), p. 251.
- [9] H. Wagner, *Über die Entstehung des dynamischen Auftriebes von Tragflugeln*, Diss. Charlottenburg 1924, ZAMM 5 (1925).

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS  
WARSAW UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY  
Plac Politechniki 1  
00-661 WARSZAWA, POLAND

*Received April 13, 1992.*