

Włodzimierz Waliszewski

## INDUCING AND COINDUCING IN GENERAL DIFFERENTIAL SPACES

0. Introduction

The concept of an analytical premanifold (see [4]) is a slight modification of the R. Sikorski's concept of a differential space. Therefore in the present paper analytical premanifolds and complex premanifolds (see [5]) as well will be called general differential spaces. An analytical premanifold will be also called an  $\mathbb{R}$ -differential space ( $\mathbb{R}$ -d.s.). For any indexed set of mappings, a  $\mathbb{K}$ -d.s. ( $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ ) induced by this indexed set is defined and characterized by a universal property. Similarly, a  $\mathbb{K}$ -d.s. coinduced by any indexed set of mappings is defined and universally characterized. It is proved that the topology of the induced (coinduced)  $\mathbb{K}$ -d.s. is the induced (coinduced) topology by the indexed set of the mappings. In particular, the topology of the quotient  $\mathbb{K}$ -d.s.  $M/\equiv$ , where  $M$  is a  $\mathbb{K}$ -d.s. and  $\equiv$  is any equivalence relation on the set of all points of  $M$ , is equal to the quotient topology  $(\text{top}M)/\equiv$ , where  $\text{top}M$  is the topology of  $M$ . It leads to quite a different situation than in the theory of R. Sikorski's differential spaces (see [1]), where the topology of the quotient differential space may be essentially weaker than the quotient topology even in the case of a division of a differentiable manifold by a foliation.

For any function  $f$  (treated here as a set of ordered pairs) its domain is denoted by  $D_f$ . The  $f$ -counterimage of any set  $T$  will be denoted by  $f^{-1}T$ . For any set  $S \subset D_f$  the  $f$ -image

of  $S$  is denoted by  $fS$ . For any functions  $f$  and  $g$  we have the well defined function  $g \circ f$  in such a way that  $D_{g \circ f} = f^{-1}D_g$  and  $(g \circ f)(x) = g(f(x))$  for  $x \in D_{g \circ f}$ . For any functions  $g, f_1, \dots, f_n$  we have the function  $f$  with the domain  $D_f$  of the shape  $D_{f_1} \cap \dots \cap D_{f_n}$  and  $f(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x))$  for any  $x \in D_f$ , and the function  $g \circ f$  being denoted by  $g(f_1, \dots, f_n)$ .

We recall the concept of a  $K$ -d.s. ( $K = \mathbb{R}$  or  $K = \mathbb{C}$ ). For any set  $M$  of functions with values in  $K$  the set  $\bigcup_{a \in M} D_a$  is denoted by  $\underline{M}$  (see [4] and [5]). The smallest topology on  $\underline{M}$  such that all the sets  $a^{-1}A$ , where  $a \in \underline{M}$  and  $A$  is open in  $K$ , are open is denoted by  $\text{top}M$ . For any  $S \subset \underline{M}$  the set of all functions  $b$  with values in  $K$  and satisfying the condition: for any  $p \in D_b$  there exist  $U \in \text{top}M$  and  $a \in M$  for which  $p \in U \cap S \subset D_b$ ,  $U \subset D_a$  and  $b|U \cap S = a|U \cap S$ , is denoted by  $M_S$ .

For a topology  $X$  on a set  $\underline{X}$  and for any  $S \subset \underline{X}$ , the topology induced from  $X$  to  $S$  we denote by  $X|S$ . We have then  $\text{top}M_S = (\text{top}M)|S$ . The set of all functions  $g(a_0, \dots, a_n)$ , where  $a_0, \dots, a_n \in M$  and  $g$  is any function with values in  $K$  and analytic on the set  $D_g$  open in  $K^{n+1}$ ,  $n$  is any in  $\mathbb{N}$ , is denoted by  $\text{an}M$ . A set  $M$  of functions with values in  $K$  satisfying the condition  $M = \underline{M}_M = \text{an}M$  is said to be a  $K$ -d.s. For any set  $G$  of functions with values in  $K$ , the set  $(\text{an}G)_{\underline{G}}$  being the smallest  $K$ -d.s. containing  $G$  is called the  $K$ -d.s. generated by  $G$ .

Let  $M$  and  $N$  be  $K$ -d.s. and  $f$  be a function with  $D_f = \underline{M}$  and  $f\underline{M} \subset \underline{N}$ , i. e.  $f: \underline{M} \longrightarrow \underline{N}$ . We say that  $f$  maps smoothly  $M$  into  $N$  what we write in the form

$$(0.1) \quad f: M \longrightarrow N$$

iff for any  $b \in N$  we have  $b \circ f \in M$ . If  $N$  is generated by  $G$  then we have (0.1) iff for any  $b \in G$  the function  $b \circ f$  belongs to  $M$ .

For any set  $S$  the set of all functions with values in  $K$  and domains contained in  $S$  will be denoted by  $\text{discr}(S, K)$  and called the discreet  $K$ -d.s. on  $S$ .

### 1. Inducing of $K$ -d.s.

Let  $f = (f_i; i \in I)$  and  $N = (N_i; i \in I)$ , where  $f_i$  are

functions,  $N_i$  are  $K$ -d.s. and  $f_i D_{f_i} \subset N_i$  for  $i \in I$ . For any function  $b$  with values in  $K$  and the domain  $D_b$  contained in  $\bigcup_j N_j$ , assume  $f_i^*(b) = b \circ f_i$ . We have then  $f_i^* N_i = \{b \circ f_i; b \in N_i\}$ . This yields

$$(1.1) \quad \bigcup_i f_i^* N_i = \bigcup_i D_{f_i}.$$

The  $K$ -d.s.  $f^* N$  of the form  $(\text{an } \bigcup_i f_i^* N_i) \bigcup_j D_{f_j}$  will be called the induced  $K$ -d.s. from the indexed set  $N$  of  $K$ -d.s. by the indexed set  $f$  of functions.

The following theorem gives a universal characterization of the induced  $K$ -d.s.  $f^* N$ .

**Theorem 1.0**  $f^* N$  is the exactly one  $K$ -d.s. fulfilling the condition

(i) for any  $K$ -d.s.  $L$  and any  $h$  we have  $h: L \longrightarrow M$  iff

$$h: L \longrightarrow \bigcup_j D_{f_j}, \quad h^{-1} D_{f_i} \in \text{top} L \quad \text{and}$$

$$f_i \circ h: L \xrightarrow{h^{-1} D_{f_i}} N_i \quad \text{for } i \in I.$$

For this  $M$  the equality  $M = \bigcup_j D_{f_j}$  holds.

By a direct verification we get the following lemmas for any  $K$ -d.s.  $L$  and  $M$ .

**Lemma 1.1** If  $a \in M$  and  $D_a \subset S \subset M$  then  $a \in M_S$ .

**Lemma 1.2** If  $h: L \longrightarrow M$  and  $S \subset M$  then

$$h|_{h^{-1}S}: L \xrightarrow{h^{-1}S} M_S.$$

**Lemma 1.3** If  $S \in \text{top} L$  then  $L_S \subset L$ .

**Proof.** (of Th. 1.0.) First we prove that (i) yields

$$(1.2) \quad M = \bigcup_i D_{f_i}.$$

Let  $j \in I$ . We set  $L = \text{discr}(D_{f_j}, K)$  and  $h = \text{id}_L$ . We have then

$h: \underline{L} \longrightarrow \bigcup_i D_{f_i}$  and, for any  $i \in I$ ,  $h^{-1}D_{f_i} = D_{f_j} \cap D_{f_j}$ .

Thus 
$$L_{h^{-1}D_{f_i}} = \text{discr}(D_{f_j} \cap D_{f_i}, K)$$

and 
$$f_i \circ h = f_i|_{D_{f_j} \cap D_{f_i}}: L_{h^{-1}D_{f_i}} \longrightarrow N_i.$$

Moreover,  $h^{-1}D_{f_i} \in \text{topdiscr}(D_{f_j}, K) = \text{top}L$ . According to (i)

we have:  $L \longrightarrow M$ . In other words,  $h: \text{discr}(D_{f_j}, K) \longrightarrow M$ .

This yields  $D_{f_j} \subset \underline{M}$  for  $j \in I$ . Now, we take  $h = \text{id}_{\underline{M}}$ .

We have then  $h: M \longrightarrow M$ . From (i) it follows that  $h: \underline{M} \longrightarrow \bigcup_i D_{f_i}$ . This yields  $\underline{M} \subset \bigcup_i D_{f_i}$ , and we get (1.2).

Let us assume that a  $K$ -d.s.  $M_1$  also fulfils (i). Hence it follows that  $\underline{M}_1 = \bigcup_i D_{f_i}$ . Taking  $L = M_1$  and  $h = \text{id}_{\underline{L}}$ , according to (i) fulfilled by  $M_1$ , we get  $h: M_1 \longrightarrow M$ . Hence  $M \subset M_1$ . Similarly,  $M_1 \longrightarrow M$ . It is to be proved that the  $K$ -d.s.  $M$  of the form  $f^*N$  fulfils (i). To do this let us take any  $K$ -d.s.  $L$  and  $h: L \longrightarrow M$ . By definition of  $f^*N$  from 1.1 it follows that  $f_i: M_{D_{f_i}} \longrightarrow N_i$ . By 1.2 we get

$$h|_{h^{-1}D_{f_i}}: L_{h^{-1}D_{f_i}} \longrightarrow M_{D_{f_i}}.$$

This yields

$$(1.3) \quad h: \underline{L} \longrightarrow \bigcup_i D_{f_i},$$

$$(1.4) \quad h^{-1}D_{f_i} \in \text{top}L \text{ and } f_i \circ h: L_{h^{-1}D_{f_i}} \longrightarrow N_i$$

for  $i \in I$ .

Now, assume (1.3) and (1.4). Let us take  $b \in \bigcup_i f_i^*N_i$ . Then  $b = a \circ f_i$ , where  $a \in N_i$  and  $i \in I$ . According to (1.3) we get  $b \circ h = a \circ f_i \circ h \in L$ . Thus,  $h: L \longrightarrow M$ . Q.E.D.

The Cartesian product  $\mathbb{P}_i N_i$  of an arbitrary indexed set  $(N_i; i \in I)$  of  $K$ -d.s. is said to be the induced  $K$ -d.s. from this indexed set by  $(f_i; i \in I)$ , where  $f_i(p) = p(i)$  for  $i \in \mathbb{P}_j N_j$ . According to 1.0, the product  $\mathbb{P}_i N_i$  is exactly one

$K$ -d.s. such that for any  $K$ -d.s.  $L$  and any function  $h$  we have  
 $h: L \longrightarrow M$  iff  $h: \underline{L} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}_j N_j$ ,  $h^{-1} \mathbb{P}_j N_j \in \text{top} L$  and  
 $h_i: L|_{h^{-1} \mathbb{P}_j N_j} \longrightarrow N_i$ , where  $h_i(q) = h(q)(i)$  for  $q \in \underline{L}$  and  
 $i \in I$ .

**Theorem 1.4** The topology  $\text{top} f^* N$  is the smallest topology  $X$  on the set  $\bigcup_i D_{f_i}$  such that

(ii)  $D_{f_i} \in X$  and  $f_i: X|_{D_{f_i}} \longrightarrow \text{top} N_i$  for  $i \in I$ .

**Proof.** Let us set  $M = f^* N$ . By (i) we get  $D_{f_i} \in \text{top} M$  and  
 $f: M|_{D_{f_i}} \longrightarrow N_i$ . Then  $f_i: \text{top} M|_{D_{f_i}} \longrightarrow \text{top} N_i$  for  $i \in I$ . Now,  
assume that a topology  $X$  on the set  $\bigcup_i D_{f_i}$  fulfills (ii). Take  
any  $a \in f^* N_i$  and any set  $A$  open in  $K$ . Then  $a = b \circ f_i$ , where  
 $b \in N_i$ . Thus  $a^{-1} A \in X|_{D_{f_i}} \subset X$ . This yields  $a^{-1} \in X$ . Hence it  
follows that for any  $a \in \bigcup_i f_i^* N_i$  and any  $A$  open in  $K$  we have  
 $a^{-1} A \in X$ . Therefore  $\text{top} M \subset X$ . Q.E.D.

The smallest topology  $X$  on the set  $\bigcup_i D_{f_i}$  satisfying the  
condition

(iii)  $D_{f_i} \in X$  and  $f_i: X|_{D_{f_i}} \longrightarrow Y_i$  for  $i \in I$

is the induced topology from the indexed set  $(Y_i; i \in I)$  of  
topologies by  $f$  of the form  $(f_i; i \in I)$ . According to 1.4, the  
topology of the induced  $K$ -d.s. from  $(N_i; i \in I)$  by  $f$  is the  
induced topology from  $(\text{top} N_i; i \in I)$  by  $f$ . In particular,  
 $\text{top} \mathbb{P}_i N_i = \mathbb{P}_i \text{top} N_i$ .

## 2. Coinducing of $K$ -d.s.

Let us consider the indexed set  $M$  of the form  $(M_i; i \in I)$ ,  
where the  $K$ -d.s.  $M_i$  satisfies  $\underline{M}_i = D_{f_i}$  for  $i \in I$ . For any  
function  $b$  with values in  $K$  and  $D_b \subset \bigcup_i f_i D_{f_i}$  we set  
 $f_j^*(b) = b \circ f_j$  for  $j \in I$ . It is easy to check that

$$\bigcap_i f_i^{*-1} M_i = \text{an} \bigcap_i f_i^{*-1} M_i.$$

Let us set

$$f_* M = \left( \bigcap_i f_i^{*-1} M_i \right) \bigcup_j D_{f_j}.$$

The K-d.s.  $f_* M$  will be called the coinduced K-d.s. from  $M$  by  $f$ .

**Theorem 2.0**  $f_* M$  is the exactly one K-d.s.  $N$  fulfilling the condition

(iv) for any K-d.s.  $P$  and any  $h$  we have  $h: N \longrightarrow P$  iff

$$(2.1) \quad h: \bigcup_i f_i M_i \longrightarrow P \text{ and } h \circ f_i: M_i \longrightarrow P \text{ for } i \in I.$$

**Proof.** Let us set  $N = f_* M$ . We have then  $f_i: M_i \longrightarrow N$ . Assuming that  $h: N \longrightarrow P$  we get (2.1). Now, let us assume (2.1) and take  $b \in P$ . Then  $b \circ h \circ f_i \in M_i$  for  $i \in I$ . Thus,  $b \circ h \in \bigcap_i f_i^{*-1} M_i$ , i. e.  $b \circ h \in f_* M = N$ . Therefore  $h: N \longrightarrow P$ .

Let us take any K-d.s.  $N$  and  $N_1$  fulfilling (iv). Setting  $P = N$  and  $h = \text{id}_N$  we get  $N = \bigcup_i f_i M_i$  and  $f_i: M_i \longrightarrow N$  for  $i \in I$ . Similarly,  $N_1 = \bigcup_i f_i M_i$  and  $f_i: M_i \longrightarrow N_1$  for  $i \in I$ . By (iv) we have  $h: N \longrightarrow N_1$  and  $h: N_1 \longrightarrow N$ . Therefore  $N = N_1$ . Q.E.D.

**Theorem 2.1** The topology  $\text{top} f_* M$  is the largest topology  $Y$  on the set  $\bigcup_i f_i M_i$  such that

$$(v) \quad f_i: \text{top} M_i \longrightarrow Y \text{ for } i \in I.$$

**Proof.** We have  $f_i: M_i \longrightarrow f_* M$ . Thus  $f_i: \text{top} M_i \longrightarrow \text{top} f_* M$  for  $i \in I$ . Assume that a topology  $Y$  on  $\bigcup_i f_i M_i$  fulfills (v). Let us take any set  $V \in Y$ . Then  $f_i^{-1} V \in \text{top} M_i$ . Hence it follows that the function  $0_{f_i^{-1} V}$  with the domain equal to  $f_i^{-1} V$  and the only value 0 belongs to  $M_i$ . We remark that  $0_V \circ f_i = 0_{f_i^{-1} V}$  and  $V \subset \bigcup_j f_j M_j$ . Thus,  $0_V \in f_i^{*-1} M_i$  for  $i \in I$ . Hence it follows that  $0_V \in f_* M$ . Q.E.D.

According to 2.1 the topology of the coinduced K-d.s. from  $(M_i; i \in I)$  by  $f$  of the form  $(f_i; i \in I)$  is the coinduced topology from  $(\text{top}M_i; i \in I)$  by  $f$  (cf. [2]).

A very important case is that  $I$  is a one element set and the only function  $f_1$  is the quotient mapping of an equivalence relation on the set of all points of a given K-d.s.

Let  $M$  be a K-d.s. and  $\equiv$  be an equivalence relation on the set  $M$ . We have the set  $M/\equiv$  of all cosets of the relation  $\equiv$  and the natural mapping  $f_1: M \rightarrow M/\equiv$ . Here  $I = \{1\}$ ,  $M_1 = M$ ,  $D_{f_1} = M$  and  $f_1 D_{f_1} = M/\equiv$ . According to 2.1 we have  $\text{top}(M/\equiv) = (\text{top}M)/\equiv$ .

### 3. Comparison between R-d.s. and R. Sikorski's differential spaces

R. Sikorski in the paper [1] introduced the concept of a differential space as a system  $(S, C)$ , where  $S$  is a set and  $C$  is a set of all real valued functions defined on  $S$ . Sikorski assumed that  $C$  is closed with respect to localization and with respect to superposition with all  $C^\infty$ -functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for any natural  $n$ . Recall the meaning of the localization. Following Sikorski denote the weakest topology on  $S$  for which all the functions  $a \in C$  are continuous by  $\tau_C$ . Let  $C_S$  denote the set of all  $b: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that for any  $p \in S$  there exist  $U \in \tau_C$  and  $a \in C$  for which  $b|U = a|U$ ,  $p \in U$ . Closedness with respect to localization means  $C = C_S$ . Similarly, denote the set of all  $g(a_0, \dots, a_n)$ , where  $a_0, \dots, a_n \in C$  and  $g$  is a real valued  $C^\infty$ -function on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (cf. [3]) by  $\text{sc}C$ . Closedness with respect to superposition with  $C^\infty$ -functions means  $C = \text{sc}C$ . Following Sikorski we say that a function  $f$  maps smoothly a differential space  $(M, C)$  into  $(N, D)$  iff  $f: M \rightarrow N$  and for any  $b \in D$  we have  $b \circ f \in C$ .

For any equivalence relation  $\equiv$  on  $S$  we have the smooth natural mapping  $p: \mapsto \equiv(p): (S, C) \rightarrow (S/\equiv, C/\equiv)$ , where  $C/\equiv$  is the set of all functions  $b: S/\equiv \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that the function  $p \mapsto b(\equiv(p))$  belongs to  $C$ . It is easy to state that  $\tau_{C/\equiv} \subset \tau_C/\equiv$ . This inclusion cannot be replaced by the equality

$\tau_{C/\equiv} = \tau_C/\equiv$  even in the case when  $\equiv$  is given by a foliation of a differentiable manifold.

**Example.** Let  $S = \mathbb{R}^2 - \{(0, 1)\}$  and  $F$  be a foliation all the leaves of which are  $\mathbb{R} \times \{y\}$ , when  $y \neq 0$ ,  $(-\infty; 0) \times \{0\}$  and  $(0; +\infty) \times \{0\}$  as well. Let  $p \equiv q$  iff  $p, q \in S$  and for some leaf  $L$  of  $F$  the points  $p$  and  $q$  are in  $L$ . The set of all  $C^\infty$ -functions on  $S$  is denoted by  $C$ . It is easy to check that  $\tau_{C/\equiv} \neq \tau_C/\equiv$ .

The above example shows a lack of coherence of the topology of the quotient Sikorski's differential space and the topology of leaves in the theory of foliations, while the coherence between the topology of quotient  $\mathbb{R}$ -d.s. and the topology of leaves is preserved.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. Sikorski: Abstract covariant derivative, *Colloq. Math.* 18 (1967), 251-272.
- [2] E. Spanier: Algebraic topology, McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1966.
- [3] W. Waliszewski: Regular and coregular mappings of differential spaces, *Ann. Polon. Math.* 30 (1975), 263-281.
- [4] W. Waliszewski: Analytical premanifolds, *Zeszyty Naukowe Politechniki Śląskiej, Seria: Matematyka-Fizyka* 48 (1986), 217-226.
- [5] W. Waliszewski: Complex premanifolds and foliations, *Deformations of mathematical structures* (J. Lawrynowicz ed.) 65-78, © 1989 by Kluwer Academic Publishers.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, ŁÓDŹ  
BRANCH, 90-136 ŁÓDŹ, POLAND

Received May 9, 1991.