

Josef Tkadlec

## A NOTE ON DISTRIBUTIVITY IN ORTHOPOSETS

It is well-known that distributive ortholattices are Boolean (i.e., they fulfil the condition  $a \wedge b = 0 \Rightarrow a' = b'$ ). In this note we formulate some distributivity-like condition valid in Boolean orthoposets and prove that Boolean  $\omega$ -orthocomplete poset has to be orthomodular. Our results generalize results of Klukowski [2,3] and, also, might find application in the axiomatics of quantum theories (see [1,5]).

Notions and results

Let us first review basic notions as we shall use them throughout the paper.

1. **Definition.** An orthoposet is a triple  $(P, \leq, ')$  such that
  - (1)  $(P, \leq)$  is a partially ordered set with a least element, 0, and greatest element 1,
  - (2)  $' : P \rightarrow P$  is a orthocomplementation, i.e., (i)  $a'' = a$ ,
  - (iii)  $a \leq b \Rightarrow b' \leq a'$ ,
  - (iii)  $a \wedge a' = 0$  for every  $a, b \in P$ .

An orthoposet  $(P, \leq, ')$  is called an  $\omega$ -orthocomplete poset if  $\text{avb}$  exists in  $P$  for every  $S \subset P$  such that  $s_1 \leq s_2$  for any pair  $s_1, s_2 \in S$ .

Further, an  $\omega$ -orthocomplete poset is called orthomodular if  $b = \text{av}(b \wedge a')$  for every  $a, b \in P$  such that  $a \leq b$ .

An orthoposet  $(P, \leq, ')$  is called Boolean ([2]) if the condition  $a \wedge b = 0$  implies  $b \leq a'$ .

For any orthoposet  $(P, \leq, ')$ , let us write  $[a, b] = \{c \in P; a \leq c \leq b\}$  for every  $a, b \in P$ ,  $S_1 \leq S_2$  ( $S_1, S_2 \subset P$ ) if  $s_1 \leq s_2$  for every  $s_1 \in S_1$  and for every  $s_1 \in S_2$ ,  $s \leq S$  ( $s \in P, S \subset P$ ) if

$$\{s \leq s_1 \wedge \dots \wedge s_n = \{s_1 \wedge \dots \wedge s_n; s_1 \in S_1, \dots, s_n \in S_n\} (S_1, \dots, S_n \subset P).$$

2. Lemma. Suppose that  $(P, \leq, ')$  is a Boolean orthoposet and that  $s_1, \vee \dots \vee s_n \in P$ . Let us write

$$L = \bigcup \{[0, s_1] \cap \dots \cap [0, s_n]; (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in S_1 \times \dots \times S_n\},$$

$$U = \bigcup_{k=1}^n \bigcap_{s_k \in S_k} [s_k, 1].$$

Then  $L \leq U$  and  $l \leq u$  for every  $l \in L$  and for every  $u \geq U$ .

Proof. The inequality  $L \leq U$  is evident. Suppose that  $l \not\leq u$ . Then there is an  $a \in P \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $a \leq l, u'$ . Since  $l \leq \bigcap_{s_1 \in S_1} [s_1, 1]$ , we obtain  $a' \not\in \bigcap_{s_1 \in S_1} [s_1, 1]$ . It means that

$s_1 \not\leq a'$  for some  $s_1 \in S_1$ . Hence, there is an  $a_1 \in P \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $a_1 \leq s_1, a, u'$ . Proceeding by induction, we obtain an  $a_n \in P \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $a_n \leq s_1, \dots, s_n, u'$  for some  $s_1 \in S_1, \dots, s_n \in S_n$ . Therefore we have  $a_n \in L \cup U$  and  $a_n \leq u \wedge u' = 0$ , which is a contradiction.

3. Theorem. Suppose that  $(P, \leq, ')$  is a Boolean orthoposet and that  $s_1 \vee \dots \vee s_n \in P$  such that  $s_1 \wedge \dots \wedge s_n, \vee s_1, \dots, \vee s_n$  exist in  $(P, \leq, ')$ . Then

$$\vee(s_1 \wedge \dots \wedge s_n) = (\vee s_1) \wedge \dots \wedge (\vee s_n)$$

if at least one side of this equality exists.

Proof. The left side of this equality exists if and only if  $\vee L$  exists ( $L$  taken from Lemma 2) and both expressions are equal. The right side of this equality exists if and only if  $\wedge U$  exists ( $U$  taken from Lemma 2) and both expressions are equal. According to Lemma 2,  $\vee L$  exists if and only if  $\wedge U$  exists and then  $\vee L = \wedge U$ .

4. Corollary. Every Boolean  $\omega$ -orthocomplete poset is orthomodular.

5. Corollary. Every Boolean ortholattice is a Boolean algebra.

Let us recall that an orthoposet  $(P, \leq, ')$  is called *atomic* if for any  $b \in P \setminus \{0\}$  there is an  $a \in P \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $[0, a] = \{0, a\}$  (i.e.,  $a$  is an atom) and  $a \leq b$ .

**6. Theorem.** Every atomic Boolean orthocomplete poset is a Boolean algebra.

**Proof.** It follows from Corollary 4 and from [2], Theorem 2.

Let us note that Boolean orthoposets are *concrete* (i.e., they are set-representable in such a manner that the supremum of a finite number of mutually disjoint sets (if it exists) is the set-theoretic union, see [4] for Boolean orthomodular posets - the orthomodularity was not used in the proof). As the following simple example shows, not every Boolean orthoposet is orthomodular.

**7. Example.** Let  $X$  be a four-element set and let  $(P, \leq, ')$  be a triple such that  $P$  consists of  $\emptyset$ , one-element subsets of  $X$  and sets-complements of these sets,  $\leq$  means the inclusion in  $X$  and ' the set-theoretic complementation. Then  $(P, \leq, ')$  is a Boolean orthoposet which is not orthomodular.

Finally, let us state for comparison results analogous to Theorem 3. We shall need the following definition.

**8. Definition.** Let  $(P, \leq, ')$  be an orthoposet. Then elements  $a, b \in P$  are called *compatible* (denoted by  $(a \leftrightarrow b)$ ) if there are  $a_1, b_1, c \in P$  such that  $a = a_1 \vee c$ ,  $b = b_1 \vee c$  and  $a_1 \leq b_1$ ,  $a_1 \leq c'$ ,  $b_1 \leq c'$ .

**9. Proposition.** Suppose that  $(P, \leq, ')$  is an orthomodular lattice and that  $s_1 \cup \dots \cup s_n \subset P$  such that  $s_1 \wedge \dots \wedge s_n$ ,  $\vee s_1, \dots, \vee s_n$  exists in  $(P, \leq, ')$  and such that  $s_i \leftrightarrow s_j$  for every pair  $s_i \in s_i$ ,  $s_j \in s_j$ ,  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  with  $i \neq j$ . Then

$$\vee(s_1 \wedge \dots \wedge s_n) = (\vee s_1) \wedge \dots \wedge (\vee s_n)$$

if the right side of this equality exists.

**Proof.** It follows from [5], Proposition 1.3.10, if we proceed by the induction.

**10. Proposition.** Suppose that  $(P, \leq, ')$  is an orthomodular poset and that  $\{s_1\} \cup S_2 \subset P$  such that  $\{s_1\} \wedge S_2, \vee\{s_1\}, \vee S_2$  exists in  $(P, \leq, ')$  and such that  $s_1 \leftrightarrow s_2$  for every  $s_2 \in S_2$ . Then

$$\vee(\{s_1\} \wedge S_2) = (\vee\{s_1\} \wedge (\vee S_2))$$

if the left side of this equality exists.

**Proof.** See [1], Lemma 3.7.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S.P. Gudder: Stochastic Methods in Quantum Mechanics, Elsevier North Holland, New York (1979).
- [2] J. Klukowski: On Boolean orthomodular posets, Demonstratio Math. 8 (1975) 5-14.
- [3] J. Klukowski: On the representation of Boolean orthomodular partially ordered sets, Demonstratio Math. 8 (1975) 405-423.
- [4] M. Navara, P. Pták: Almost Boolean orthomodular posets, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 60 (1989) 105-111.
- [5] P. Pták, S. Pulmannová: Orthomodular Structures as Quantum Logics. Kluwer, 1991 (to appear)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF PRAGUE

166-27 PRAHA, CZECHOSLOVAKIA

Received May 10, 1990.