

Antoni Chronowski

DECOMPOSITIONS AND EXTENSIONS OF HOMOMORPHISMS  
OF  $n$ -GROUPS

In this paper we present necessary and sufficient conditions for decompositions of  $n$ -groups determined by  $p$ -ideals to be semiselective and selective. Next, we apply these results to the theorems concerning extensions of homomorphisms of  $n$ -groups.

We shall consider  $n$ -groups for  $n \geq 3$ . Theorems, definitions, and notation related to the theory of  $n$ -groups are based on papers [1], [2], [4], [5], [6]. Definitions and theorems about decompositions of sets are based on the paper [3].

We begin with decompositions of  $n$ -groups. Let  $(A, f)$  be an  $n$ -group. A skew element for an arbitrary element  $a \in A$  will be denoted by  $\bar{a}$ .

Let us quote an important theorem (cf [4]).

**Theorem 1.** An  $n$ -groupoid  $(A, f)$  is an  $n$ -group if and only if

$f(x_1^n) = x_1 \cdot \alpha(x_2) \cdot \alpha^2(x_3) \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha^{n-1}(x_n) \cdot a$ , where:

- (i)  $(A, \cdot)$  is a group,
- (ii)  $a \in A$  is a fixed element,
- (iii)  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(A, \cdot)$ ,  $\alpha(a) = a$ ,  $\alpha^{n-1}(x) = a \cdot x \cdot a^{-1}$  for  $x \in A$ .

The system  $(A, \cdot, \alpha, a)$  will be called a binary retract of an  $n$ -group  $(A, f)$  (this terminology is partly different from the terminology used in paper [2]). In the sequel the binary retract  $(A, \cdot, \alpha, a)$  will often be treated as a group  $(A, \cdot)$  and called simply the retract.

Let us recall the useful method of constructing a retract  $(A, \cdot, \alpha, a)$  for an  $n$ -group  $(A, f)$  (cf. [6]).

Namely,

$$\begin{aligned} x \cdot y &= f(x, \overset{(n-2)}{p}, y), \\ \alpha(x) &= f(\bar{p}, x, \overset{(n-2)}{p}), \\ a &= \overset{(n)}{p} \end{aligned}$$

for an arbitrary fixed element  $p \in A$  and for all  $x, y \in A$ .

The set  $A$  with the operation  $\cdot$  forms a group with an identity element  $\bar{p}$ . In this case we shall say that  $(A, \cdot, \alpha, a)$  is a binary retract of an  $n$ -group  $(A, f)$  with respect to an element  $p$  and write  $(A, \cdot, \alpha, a)_p$ . In the paper [2] the above  $(A, \cdot)$  is called a binary retract of an  $n$ -group  $(A, f)$  with respect to an element  $p$ .

Notice that the following statement results immediately from the definition of the operation  $\cdot$  in the set  $A$ .

**Proposition 1.** If  $\sigma$  is a congruence on an  $n$ -group  $(A, f)$ , then  $\sigma$  is a group congruence on a retract  $(A, \cdot, \alpha, a)_p$ ,  $p \in A$ .

The converse theorem is not true as may be seen from the following example.

**Example.** We shall give an example of a group congruence on a retract of the form  $(A, \cdot, \alpha, a)_p$  of a 3-group  $(A, f)$  which is not a congruence on this 3-group  $(A, f)$ .

Consider the Klein group  $A = \{e, a, b, c\}$  i.e.

.	e	a	b	c
e	e	a	b	c
a	a	e	c	b
b	b	c	e	a
c	c	b	a	e

It is easy to check that the mapping  $\alpha: A \rightarrow A$  defined by  $\alpha(e) = e$ ,  $\alpha(a) = b$ ,  $\alpha(b) = a$ ,  $\alpha(c) = c$  is an automorphism of the second order of the Klein group. Let us define the 3-ary operation on the set  $A$  as follows

$$f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1 \cdot \alpha(x_2) \cdot x_3$$

for arbitrary  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in A$ . By Theorem 1  $(A, f)$  is a 3-group.

Notice that the Klein group  $(A, \cdot)$  is a retract of the form  $(A, \cdot, \alpha, e)_e$  of the 3-group  $(A, f)$ . Let  $\sigma$  be a congruence on the Klein  $(A, \cdot)$  determined by the normal subgroup  $\{e, a\}$ . Therefore, we have  $A/\sigma = \{\{e, a\}, \{b, c\}\}$ . The relation  $\sigma$  is not a congruence on the above 3-group  $(A, f)$ . Indeed, for  $f(e, e, e) = e$  and  $f(e, a, e) = b$  we have  $(e, b) \notin \sigma$ .

**Proposition 2** (cf. [2]). Let  $(B, f)$  be an  $n$ -subgroup of an  $n$ -group  $(A, f)$ . Let  $p \in B$  be an arbitrary fixed element. Then the retract  $(B, \cdot, \alpha, a)_p$  is a subgroup of the retract  $(A, \cdot, \alpha, a)_p$ .

**Definition 1** (cf. [5]). Let  $(A, f)$  be an  $n$ -group and  $p \in A$ . A  $p$ -ideal of  $n$ -group  $(A, f)$  is a set of the form  $p/\sigma$  for some congruence relation  $\sigma$  in  $(A, f)$ .

Let  $I$  be a  $p$ -ideal of an  $n$ -group  $(A, f)$  and let  $\sigma \subset A \times A$  be a relation defined in the following way

$$x \sigma y \Leftrightarrow f(x, \bar{y}, (n-3), p) \in I$$

for arbitrary  $x, y \in A$ . Theorems 2.5 and 2.6 of [5] imply that the relation  $\sigma$  is the only congruence on the  $n$ -group  $(A, f)$  for which the  $p$ -ideal  $I$  is its equivalence class. Therefore, we shall say that the  $p$ -ideal  $I$  determines the congruence  $\sigma$  on the  $n$ -group  $(A, f)$ . The decomposition of the set  $A$  designated by the congruence  $\sigma$  is actually determined by the  $p$ -ideal  $I$ .

In the sequel we shall need the following definitions (cf. [3]). Let  $A$  be an arbitrary set,  $B$  an arbitrary subset of the set  $A$  and let  $\{A_t\}_{t \in T}$  and  $\{B_s\}_{s \in S}$  be decompositions of the sets  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively.

**Definition 2.** We shall say that  $\{B_s\}_{s \in S}$  is the subdecomposition of the decomposition  $\{A_t\}_{t \in T}$  if the following condition holds

$$(1) \quad \forall s \in S \ \exists t \in T : B_s \subset A_t.$$

**Definition 3.** We shall say that the decomposition  $\{B_s\}_{s \in S}$  is semiselective for the decomposition  $\{A_t\}_{t \in T}$  if the condition (1) holds and

$$(2) \quad \forall t \in T \quad \forall s_1, s_2 \in S : [(B_{s_1} \subset A_t \wedge B_{s_2} \subset A_t) \rightarrow B_{s_1} = B_{s_2}].$$

**Definition 4.** We shall say that the decomposition  $\{B_s\}_{s \in S}$  is selective for the decomposition  $\{A_t\}_{t \in T}$  if the conditions (1) and (2) hold and

$$\forall t \in T \quad \exists s \in S : B_s \subset A_t.$$

Let  $(A, f)$  be an  $n$ -group and  $p \in A$ . Consider subsets  $X$  and  $Y$  of the set  $A$ . Farther on we shall use the following notation

$$f(X, \binom{n-2}{p}, Y) = \{f(x, \binom{n-2}{p}, y) \in A : x \in X, y \in Y\}.$$

**Theorem 2.** Let  $(B, f)$  be an  $n$ -subgroup of an  $n$ -group  $(A, f)$  and  $p \in B$ . If  $D_A$  (resp.  $D_B$ ) stands for the decomposition of the set  $A$  (resp.  $B$ ) determined by the  $\bar{p}$ -ideal  $A_0$  (resp.  $\bar{p}$ -ideal  $B_0$ ) of  $(A, f)$  (resp.  $(B, f)$ ). Then

1°  $D_B$  is a subdecomposition of  $D_A$  iff

$$(3) \quad B_0 \subset A_0;$$

2°  $D_B$  is semiselective for  $D_A$  iff

$$(4) \quad B_0 = B \cap A_0;$$

3°  $D_B$  is selective for  $D_A$  iff the condition (4) holds and

$$(5) \quad A = f(B, \binom{n-2}{p}, A_0).$$

**Proof.** Let us consider the retracts  $(A, \cdot, \alpha, a)_p$  and  $(B, \cdot, \alpha, a)_p$  of the  $n$ -groups  $(A, f)$  and  $(B, f)$ , respectively. The skew element  $\bar{p}$  is an identity element of the groups  $(A, \cdot, \alpha, a)_p$  and  $(B, \cdot, \alpha, a)_p$ . Therefore, by Proposition 1  $A_0$  and  $B_0$  are normal subgroups of the groups  $(A, \cdot, \alpha, a)_p$  and  $(B, \cdot, \alpha, a)_p$ , respectively. The normal subgroups  $A_0$  and  $B_0$  dictate the decompositions  $D_A$  and  $D_B$ , respectively. Notice that under these assumptions the condition (5) is equivalent to the condition  $A = B \cdot A_0$ . Now, it is enough to apply Theorems 1-3 of [3].

The above results will be used to solve some problems related to extensions of homomorphisms of  $n$ -groups.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $(B, f)$  be an  $n$ -subgroup of an  $n$ -group  $(A, f)$  and  $p \in B$ . Let  $h: B \rightarrow C$  be a homomorphism from the  $n$ -group  $(B, f)$  into an  $n$ -groupoid  $(C, f)$ . Let  $B_0$  be a  $p$ -ideal determined by the congruence  $\text{ker } h$  on the  $n$ -group  $(B, f)$ . Then the homomorphism  $h: B \rightarrow C$  from the  $n$ -group  $(B, f)$  into the  $n$ -groupoid  $(C, f)$  can be extended to a homomorphism  $\tilde{h}: A \rightarrow C$  from the  $n$ -group  $(A, f)$  into the  $n$ -groupoid  $(C, f)$  under the assumption that  $\text{Im } \tilde{h} = \text{Im } h$  if and only if there exists a  $\bar{p}$ -ideal  $A_0$  of the  $n$ -group  $(A, f)$  such that the conditions (4) and (5) are satisfied.

**Proof.** (i) It is easy to notice that  $\text{ker } h \subset \text{ker } \tilde{h}$ . Let  $A_0$  be a  $\bar{p}$ -ideal determined by the congruence  $\text{ker } \tilde{h}$  on the  $n$ -group  $(A, f)$ . Hence  $B_0 \subset B \cap A_0$ . Now take an arbitrary  $x \in B \cap A_0$ . Since  $x \in A_0$ , we have  $\tilde{h}(x) = \tilde{h}(\bar{p}) = h(\bar{p})$ . But  $x \in B$ , so  $\tilde{h}(x) = h(x)$ . Hence  $h(x) = h(\bar{p})$ , i.e.  $x \in B_0$ . Thus the condition (4) is fulfilled. Since  $\text{Im } \tilde{h} = \text{Im } h$ , for an arbitrary  $c \in A$  there exists a  $b \in B$  such that  $\tilde{h}(c) = h(b)$ . Hence  $\tilde{h}(c) = \tilde{h}(b)$ . Thus  $(b, c) \in \text{ker } \tilde{h}$ . Consequently, the decomposition of the set  $B$  determined by the  $\bar{p}$ -ideal  $B_0$  is selective for the decomposition of the set  $A$  which is determined by the  $\bar{p}$ -ideal  $A_0$ . Thus using Theorem 2(3°) we get (5).

(ii) Let us assume that there exists a  $\bar{p}$ -ideal  $A_0$  of the  $n$ -group  $(A, f)$  such that the conditions (4) and (5) hold. Thus, the decomposition  $\{B_s\}_{s \in S}$  of the set  $B$  determined by the  $\bar{p}$ -ideal  $B_0$  is selective for the decomposition  $\{A_t\}_{t \in T}$  of the set  $A$  which is determined by the  $\bar{p}$ -ideal  $A_0$ . Let  $\sigma$  be a congruence on the  $n$ -group  $(A, f)$  determined by the  $\bar{p}$ -ideal  $A_0$ . Define the mapping  $\tilde{h}: A \rightarrow C$  by

$$\tilde{h}(x) = h(b)$$

for an arbitrary  $x \in A$ , where  $b \in B$  is an arbitrary fixed element such that  $(x, b) \in \sigma$ . Let us notice that  $\text{Im } \tilde{h} = \text{Im } h$ . Take arbitrary elements  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in A$ . There exist elements  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n \in B$  such that  $(x_1, b_1) \in \sigma, (x_2, b_2) \in \sigma, \dots, (x_n, b_n) \in \sigma$ . Since  $\sigma$  is a congruence on the  $n$ -group  $(A, f)$  we have  $(f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), f(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)) \in \sigma$ . Hence

$\tilde{h}(f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)) = h(f(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)) = f(h(b_1), h(b_2), \dots, h(b_n)) = f(\tilde{h}(x_1), \tilde{h}(x_2), \dots, \tilde{h}(x_n))$ . Thus  $\tilde{h}$  is a homomorphism from the  $n$ -group  $(A, f)$  into the  $n$ -groupoid  $(C, f)$ .

**Theorem 4.** Let  $(B, f)$  be an  $n$ -subgroup of an  $n$ -group  $(A, f)$  and  $p \in B$ . Let  $h: B \rightarrow C$  be a homomorphism from the  $n$ -group  $(B, f)$  onto an  $n$ -group  $(C, f)$ . Let  $B_0$  be a  $\bar{p}$ -ideal determined by the congruence  $\text{ker } h$  on the  $n$ -group  $(B, f)$ . The  $n$ -group  $(C, f)$  can be extended to an  $n$ -group  $(\tilde{C}, f)$  in such a way that there exists a homomorphism  $\tilde{h}: A \rightarrow \tilde{C}$  from the  $n$ -group  $(A, f)$  onto the  $n$ -group  $(\tilde{C}, f)$  which is the extension of the homomorphism  $h$  if and only if there exists a  $\bar{p}$ -ideal  $A_0$  of the  $n$ -group  $(A, f)$  such that the condition (4) holds.

**Proof.** To prove (4) it is enough to take as  $A_0$  the  $\bar{p}$ -ideal determined by the congruence  $\text{ker } \tilde{h}$  on the  $n$ -group  $(A, f)$  and to apply the same argument as in the proof of the condition (4) in Theorem 3. Let  $A_0$  be a  $\bar{p}$ -ideal of the  $n$ -group  $(A, f)$  such that the condition (4) holds. It follows from Theorem 2 (2<sup>o</sup>) that the decomposition of the set  $B$  determined by the  $\bar{p}$ -ideal  $B_0$  is semiselective for the decomposition of the set  $A$  determined by the  $\bar{p}$ -ideal  $A_0$ . Put  $B_t = h^{-1}(\{t\})$  for any  $t \in C$ . In this manner the elements of the quotient  $n$ -group  $(B/B_0, f)$  are indexed one-to-one by means of the elements of the  $n$ -group  $(C, f)$ . Let  $\tilde{C}$  be an arbitrary set such that  $C \subseteq \tilde{C}$  and  $\text{card } \tilde{C} = \text{card } A/A_0$ . With the aid of the elements of the set  $\tilde{C}$  we index one-to-one the elements of the  $A/A_0$  in such a way that

$$\forall t_1 \in C \quad \forall t_2 \in \tilde{C} : [B_{t_1} \subset A_{t_2} \Leftrightarrow t_1 = t_2] .$$

In the set  $\tilde{C}$  we define an  $n$ -ary operation  $f$  as follows:

$$f(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) = t_{n+1} \Leftrightarrow f(A_{t_1}, A_{t_2}, \dots, A_{t_{n+1}})$$

for arbitrary  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n+1} \in \tilde{C}$ . It is easy to notice that  $(\tilde{C}, f)$  is an  $n$ -group isomorphic to the quotient  $n$ -group  $(A/A_0, f)$ . Moreover,  $(C, f)$  is an  $n$ -subgroup of the  $n$ -group  $(\tilde{C}, f)$ . The function  $\tilde{h}: A \rightarrow \tilde{C}$  defined by

$$\tilde{h}(x) = t \Leftrightarrow x \in A_t$$

for an arbitrary  $x \in A$  is a homomorphism from the  $n$ -group  $(A, f)$  onto the  $n$ -group  $(\tilde{C}, f)$ . The homomorphism  $\tilde{h}$  is the extension of the homomorphism  $h$ . The proof is complete.

## REFERENCES

- [1] V. D. Belousov: *n*-ary quasigroups, (in Russian), Kišinev, 1972.
- [2] W. A. Dudek, J. Michalski: On a generalization of Hosszú theorem, *Demonstratio Math.*, 15 (1982), 783-805.
- [3] A. Grząślewicz: On extensions of homomorphisms, *Aequationes Math.*, 17 (1978), 199-207.
- [4] M. Hosszú: On the explicit form of  $n$ -group operations, *Publ. Math.*, Debrecen, 10 (1963), 88-92.
- [5] J. D. Monk, F.D. Sioson: On general theory of  $m$ -groups, *Fund. Math.*, 72 (1971), 233-244.
- [6] E. I. Sokolov: The Gluskin-Hosszú theorem for Dörnte  $n$ -groups, Nets and quasigroups (in Russian), *Mat. Issled.*, 39 (1976), 187-189.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, PEDAGOGICAL UNIVERSITY,  
30-084 KRAKÓW, POLAND

Received October 25, 1989.

