

Czesław Dyjak

BV-SOLUTIONS OF NONLINEAR FUNCTIONAL EQUATIONS OF HIGHER ORDER

Introduction

In [1] we have considered BV-solutions of the nonlinear functional equations of first order, i.e. the case $m = 1$. In this paper we shall be concerned with BV-solutions of a nonlinear functional equations of the order $m \geq 2$, i.e.

$$(1) \quad \varphi(x) = h(x, \varphi[f_1(x)], \dots, \varphi[f_m(x)]),$$

$$(2) \quad \varphi[f^m(x)] = g(x, \varphi[f^{m-1}(x)], \dots, \varphi[f(x)], \varphi(x)),$$

where φ is an unknown function and f^i denotes i -th iterate of the function f .

Let (X, d) be a metric space. By $P(I)$ we denote the set of all the finite partitions $p: x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_s$ of an interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, where $x_i \in I$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, s$. Similarly as in [1], for the function $\varphi: I \rightarrow X$ we define

$$(3) \quad \text{Var } \varphi := \sup_{p \in P(I)} \sum_{i=1}^s d(\varphi(x_i), \varphi(x_{i-1})).$$

By $BV(I)$ we denote the set of all functions $\varphi: I \rightarrow X$ such that $\text{Var } \varphi < \infty$.

1. In this section we assume that

(i) (X, d) is a complete metric space,

(ii) $h: I \times X^m \rightarrow X$,

(iii) there are a function $H \in BV(I)$ and a positive constants l_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, such that $0 < \sum_{i=1}^m l_i < 1$ and $d(h(x, y_1, \dots, y_m),$

$h(\bar{x}, \bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_m)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m l_i d(y_i, \bar{y}_i) + d(H(x), H(\bar{x}))$, for $(x, y_1, \dots, y_m),$
 $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_m) \in I \times X^m$,

(iv) $f_i: I \rightarrow I$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, are continuous and strictly increasing in I .

Theorem 1. If hypotheses (i)-(iv) are fulfilled then the equation (1) has a unique solution $\varphi \in BV(I)$ given by formula $\varphi = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n$ (uniformly in I), where

$$\varphi_{n+1}(x) = h(x, \varphi_n[f_1(x)], \dots, \varphi_n[f_m(x)]), \quad x \in I, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

and $\varphi_0 \in BV(I)$ is arbitrarily choosen. Moreover

$$(4) \quad \text{Var } \varphi \leq \frac{\text{Var } H}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^m l_i}.$$

Proof. Let us take an arbitrary $M \geq \frac{\text{Var } H}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^m l_i}$ and define

$A_M := \left\{ \varphi \in BV(I) : \text{Var } \varphi \leq M \right\}$. The set A_M with the metric $\rho(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) :=$

$:= \sup_{x \in I} d(\varphi_1(x), \varphi_2(x))$, $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in A_M$ is a complete metric space. In the

space A_M let us consider the transformation $\varphi \rightarrow T[\varphi]$ defined by the formula

$$(5) \quad T[\varphi](x) = h(x, \varphi[f_1(x)], \dots, \varphi[f_m(x)]), \quad x \in I.$$

We shall prove that (5) maps A_M into itself. By (iii) and (iv), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Var}_I T[\varphi] &= \sup_{P(I)} \sum_{j=1}^s d(h(x_j, \varphi[f_1(x_j)], \dots, \varphi[f_m(x_j)]), \\
 &\quad, h(x_{j-1}, \varphi[f_1(x_{j-1})], \dots, \varphi[f_m(x_{j-1})])) \leq \\
 &\leq \sup_{P(I)} \sum_{j=1}^s \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m l_i d(\varphi[f_i(x_j)], \varphi[f_i(x_{j-1})]) + d(H(x_j), H(x_{j-1})) \right\} \leq \\
 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m l_i \sup_{P(I)} \sum_{j=1}^s d(\varphi[f_i(x_j)], \varphi[f_i(x_{j-1})]) + \\
 &+ \sup_{P(I)} \sum_{j=1}^s d(H(x_j), H(x_{j-1})) = \sum_{i=1}^m l_i \text{Var}_{f_i(I)} \varphi + \text{Var}_I H \leq \\
 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m l_i \text{Var}_I \varphi + \text{Var}_I H \leq M \sum_{i=1}^m l_i + M - M \sum_{i=1}^m l_i = M.
 \end{aligned}$$

Further, we shall prove that (5) is a contraction map. Let us take arbitrary $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in A_M$. Taking into account (iii) and (iv), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho(T[\varphi_1], T[\varphi_2]) &= \sup_{x \in I} d(h(x, \varphi_1[f_1(x)], \dots, \varphi_1[f_m(x)]), \\
 &\quad, h(x, \varphi_2[f_1(x)], \dots, \varphi_2[f_m(x)])) \leq \\
 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m l_i \sup_{x \in I} d(\varphi_1(x), \varphi_2(x)) = \rho(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \sum_{i=1}^m l_i.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in virtue of the Banach principle there exists a unique fixed point of (5) in the space A_M . In other words there exists a unique solution $\varphi \in A_M$ of the equation (1). Because M is arbitrarily large, this is a unique $BV(I)$ solution of equation (1).

Next, we shall prove that estimation (4) holds. Using successively hypotheses (iii) and (iv), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var } \varphi &= \sup_{P(I)} \sum_{j=1}^s d(h(x_j, \varphi[f_1(x_j)], \dots, \varphi[f_m(x_j)]), \\ &\quad, h(x_{j-1}, \varphi[f_1(x_{j-1})], \dots, \varphi[f_m(x_{j-1})])) \leq \\ &\leq \sup_{P(I)} \sum_{j=1}^s \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m l_i d(\varphi[f_i(x_j)], \varphi[f_i(x_{j-1})]) + d(H(x_j), H(x_{j-1})) \right\} \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m l_i \text{Var}_{f_i(I)} \varphi + \text{Var}_I H \leq \text{Var}_I \varphi \sum_{i=1}^m l_i + \text{Var}_I H, \end{aligned}$$

which proves inequality (4). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

2. Now let us consider equation (2) and assume that

- (i) (X, d) is a complete metric space,
- (ii) $g: (a, b) \times X^m \rightarrow X$,
- (iii) there are function $G \in BV(a, b)$ and positive constants l_i ($i=1, 2, \dots, m$) such that $d(g(x, y_1, \dots, y_m), g(\bar{x}, \bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_m)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m l_i d(y_i, \bar{y}_i) + d(G(x), G(\bar{x}))$ for $(x, y_1, \dots, y_m), (\bar{x}, \bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_m) \in (a, b) \times X^m$,
- (iv) $f: (a, b) \rightarrow (a, b)$ is continuous and strictly increasing in (a, b) , and $a < f(x) < x$ for $x \in (a, b)$,
- (v) all the roots of the polynomial $p(z) = z^m - l_1 z^{m-1} - \dots - l_m$ have absolute values less than 1.

Theorem 2. By hypotheses (i)-(v), the equation (2) has a solution $\varphi \in BV(a, b)$ depending on an arbitrary function. More precisely: for any system of functions $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1,2,\dots,m}$ such that $\varphi_i \in BV(I_i)$, where $I_i = \langle f^i(b), f^{i-1}(b) \rangle$ and fulfilling the conditions $\varphi_{i+1}[f^i(b)] = \varphi_i[f^i(b)]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$, there exists the unique function $\varphi \in BV(a, b)$ satisfying the equation (2) in (a, b) and such that $\varphi(x) = \varphi_k(x)$ for $x \in I_k$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

Proof. Let $I_i = \langle f^i(b), f^{i-1}(b) \rangle$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$. By (iv), we have $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} I_i = (a, b)$. Let us take arbitrary functions $\varphi_i: I_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, fulfilling the conditions of the theorem. Notice that if $x \in I_{1+m}$, then $f^{-1}(x) \in I_{1+m-1}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. In particular $f^{-m}(x) \in I_1$. So let us define the function $\varphi_{1+m}: I_{1+m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $x \in I_{1+m}$ as follows

$$(6) \quad \varphi_{1+m}(x) = g(f^{-m}(x), \varphi_{1+m-1}[f^{-1}(x)], \dots, \varphi_1[f^{-m}(x)]).$$

We shall prove that $\varphi_{1+m} \in BV(I_{1+m})$. Using successively (6), (iii) and (iv), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var } \varphi_{1+m} &= \sup_{P(I_{1+m})} \sum_{j=1}^s d(g(f^{-m}(x_j), \varphi_{1+m-1}[f^{-1}(x_j)], \dots, \varphi_1[f^{-m}(x_j)]), \\ &\quad , g(f^{-m}(x_{j-1}), \varphi_{1+m-1}[f^{-1}(x_{j-1})], \dots, \varphi_1[f^{-m}(x_{j-1})])) \leq \\ &\leq \sup_{P(I_{1+m})} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^s l_i d(\varphi_{1+m-i}[f^{-i}(x_j)], \varphi_{1+m-i}[f^{-i}(x_{j-1})]) + \\ &+ \sup_{P(I_{1+m})} \sum_{j=1}^s d(G[f^{-m}(x_j)], G[f^{-m}(x_{j-1})]) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m l_i \text{Var } \varphi_{1+m-i} + \text{Var } G. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\varphi_{1+m} \in BV(I_{1+m})$. More generally, let us take a function $\varphi_{k+m-1}: I_{k+m-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and let $\varphi_{k+m-1} \in BV(I_{k+m-1})$, $k = 2, 3, \dots$. Evidently, if $x \in I_{k+m}$ then $f^{-m}(x) \in I_k$. Therefore the function $\varphi_{k+m}: I_{k+m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by the formula

$$(7) \quad \varphi_{k+m}(x) = g(f^{-m}(x), \varphi_{k+m-1}[f^{-1}(x)], \dots, \varphi_k[f^{-m}(x)]), \quad k=2, 3, \dots$$

is well defined. Moreover, by a similar calculation as above, one can prove that $\varphi_{k+m} \in BV(I_{k+m})$ and that

$$(8) \quad \text{Var}_{I_{k+m}} \varphi_{k+m} \leq \sum_{i=1}^m l_i \text{Var}_{I_{k+m-i}} \varphi_{k+m-i} + \text{Var}_{I_k} G, \quad k = 2, 3, \dots$$

Now we introduce the following notations

$$a_k := \text{Var}_{I_k} \varphi_k, \quad b_k := \text{Var}_{I_k} G, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Using these notations we can write (8) as follows

$$(9) \quad a_{k+m} \leq \sum_{i=1}^m l_i a_{k+m-i} + b_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

We define the function $\varphi: (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in the following way

$$(10) \quad \varphi(x) = \begin{cases} \varphi_i(x) & \text{for } x \in I_i, \quad i=1, 2, \dots, m, \\ \varphi_i(x) & \text{for } x \in I_i, \quad i=m+1, m+2, \dots \end{cases}$$

From (6), (7) and (10) it follows that φ satisfies equation (2) in (a, b) . The uniqueness of the solution is obvious. To prove that (10) belongs to the class $BV(a, b)$, we write $\text{Var}_{(a, b)} \varphi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$. Since the sequence a_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfies inequality (9) it follows from Lemma 5.1 in [2] that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges. This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] Cz. Dyjak, J. Matkowski: BV-solutions of a nonlinear functional equation, *Glasnik Mat.* 22 (1987), 335-342.
- [2] J. Matkowski: Integrable solutions of functional equations, *Dissertationes Math.* CXXVII, Warszawa 1975, 68 pp.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY,
42-201 CZĘSTOCHOWA, POLAND

Received November 7, 1988.

