

Jacek Hejduk

SOME PROPERTIES OF TOPOLOGICAL  $\sigma$ -IDEALS

This note is concerned with  $\delta$ -ideals in a measurable space  $(X, S)$ , such that convergence with respect to a  $\delta$ -ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  induces the Fréchet topology on the space of all  $S \vee \mathcal{J}$ -measurable real functions, where  $S \vee \mathcal{J}$  is the smallest  $\delta$ -field containing both  $S$  and  $\mathcal{J}$ . These  $\delta$ -ideals are called  $S$ -topological.

Suppose that we are given a nonempty set  $X$ . In all that follows below, we consider  $\delta$ -fields and  $\delta$ -ideals of subsets of  $X$ .

To begin with, let us recall two definitions.

**D e f i n i t i o n 1.** (cf. [4]). Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a  $\delta$ -ideal. We say that a property holds  $\mathcal{J}$ -almost everywhere on  $X$  (abbr.  $\mathcal{J}$ -a.e.) if the set of all points which do not have this property belongs to  $\mathcal{J}$ .

**D e f i n i t i o n 2.** (cf. [4]). We say that a sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of real functions defined on  $X$  converges with respect to a  $\delta$ -ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  to a function  $f$  (abbr.  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{J}} f$ ) if each subsequence of  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  contains a subsequence converging  $\mathcal{J}$ -a.e. on  $X$  to  $f$ .

For a  $\delta$ -field  $S$  and a  $\delta$ -ideal  $\mathcal{J}$ , denote by  $S \vee \mathcal{J}$  the smallest  $\delta$ -field containing both  $S$  and  $\mathcal{J}$ . One can easily check that

is the collection of all sets of the form  $(A-B) \cup C$  where  $A \in S$  and  $B, C \in \mathcal{J}$ ; moreover,  $S \vee \mathcal{J} = S$  if and only if  $\mathcal{J} \subset S$ .

Without difficulty we can check that, for every  $\delta$ -field  $S$  and any  $\delta$ -ideal  $\mathcal{J}$ , the set  $\mathcal{L}^*(S, \mathcal{J})$  of all  $S \vee \mathcal{J}$ -measurable

real functions defined on  $X$ , equipped with convergence with respect to  $\mathcal{J}$ , is an  $\mathcal{L}^*$ -space (cf. [1; Problem Q, p.89]). Hence it is possible to define the closure operator on  $\mathcal{L}^*(S, \mathcal{J})$  by letting  $f \in \bar{A}$  if and only if  $A$  contains a sequence converging with respect to  $\mathcal{J}$  to the function  $f$  (cf. [4]). This closure operator has the properties:  $\bar{\emptyset} = \emptyset$ ,  $A \subset \bar{A}$  and  $\overline{A \cup B} = \bar{A} \cup \bar{B}$  for any sets  $A, B \subset \mathcal{L}^*(S, \mathcal{J})$ ; however, the equality  $\bar{\bar{A}} = \bar{A}$  holds for each  $A \subset \mathcal{L}^*(S, \mathcal{J})$  if and only if the following condition, usually labelled by (L4), is satisfied:

(L4) If  $f_{j,n} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{J}} f_j$  and  $f_j \xrightarrow[j \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{J}} f$  for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  
then there exist sequences  $\{j_p\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $\{n_p\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  
positive integers such that  $f_{j_p, n_p} \xrightarrow[p \rightarrow \infty]{\mathcal{J}} f$ .

**Definition 3.** (cf. [4] and [5]). Let  $S$  be a  $\delta$ -field. We say that a  $\delta$ -ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  is  $S$ -topological if the space  $\mathcal{L}^*(S, \mathcal{J})$  fulfils (L4).

The above definition characterizes  $S$ -topological  $\delta$ -ideals by their relations to space of  $S$ -measurable functions. In order to give some characterization of  $S$ -topological  $\delta$ -ideals  $\mathcal{J}$  in terms of members of  $S$  and  $\mathcal{J}$ , we shall now introduce condition (E''). We concentrate on this condition, but some similar conditions (E), (E') also exist (cf. [4] and [5]).

**Definition 4.** Let  $S$  be a  $\delta$ -field and  $\mathcal{J}$  a  $\delta$ -ideal. We say that the pair  $(S, \mathcal{J})$  fulfils condition (E'') if, for each set  $D \in S - \mathcal{J}$  and for each double sequence  $\{B_{j,n}\}_{j,n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of members of  $S$  such that

$$(1) \quad B_{j,n} \subset B_{j,n+1} \quad \text{for any } j, n \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$(2) \quad \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{j,n} = D \quad \text{for each } j \in \mathbb{N},$$

there exists a positive integer-valued function  $n(i, j)$  of positive integers  $i$  and  $j$  such that  $\left(D - \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} B_{j, n(i, j)}\right) \in \mathcal{J}$ .

Studying condition (I) of [5; p. 99] formulated in the language of Boolean algebras, one easily observes that, in the case where  $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{S}$ , our condition (E'') is equivalent to (I) of [4].

**Theorem 1.** For any  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  and any  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{S}$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) the  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  is  $\mathcal{S}$ -topological;
- (ii) the pair  $(\mathcal{S} \Delta \mathcal{J}; \mathcal{J})$  fulfils (E'');
- (iii) the pair  $(\mathcal{S}; \mathcal{J})$  fulfils (E'').

**Proof.** Since  $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{S} \Delta \mathcal{J}$ , the equivalence (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii) is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1 of [5]. The implication (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) follows from the inclusion  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{S} \Delta \mathcal{J}$ . Hence, the proof will be completed if we show that (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii).

Let us consider any sets  $D \in (\mathcal{S} \Delta \mathcal{J}) - \mathcal{J}$  and  $B_{j,n} \in \mathcal{S} \Delta \mathcal{J}$  ( $j, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) which satisfy conditions (1) and (2) of definition 4. There exist sets  $A_{j,n} \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $C_{j,n} \in \mathcal{J}$  and  $E_{j,n} \in \mathcal{J}$  such that  $B_{j,n} =$

$$(A_{j,n} - C_{j,n}) \cup E_{j,n} \text{ where } j, n \in \mathbb{N}. \text{ Denote } D^* = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{j,n}$$

and  $B_{j,n}^* = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_{j,k} \cap D^*$  for  $j, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Of course,  $D^* \in \mathcal{S}$  and

$$B_{j,n}^* \in \mathcal{S} \text{ for } j, n \in \mathbb{N}. \text{ Moreover, } B_{j,n}^* \subset B_{j,n+1}^* \text{ and } D^* = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{j,n}^*$$

whenever  $j, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . As  $D = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{j,n}$ , then  $D - D^* =$

$$= \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \left[ \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_{j,n} - C_{j,n}) \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{j,n} \right] - \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{j,n} \subset$$

$$\subset \left[ \left( \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{j,n} \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{j,n} \right) \right] - \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{j,n} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{j,n}.$$

Since  $\left( \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{j,n} \right) \in \mathcal{J}$ , we have that  $D - D^* \in \mathcal{J}$ . This implies

that  $D^* \notin \mathcal{J}$ , because  $D \notin \mathcal{J}$  and  $D = (D \cap D^*) \cup (D - D^*)$ . If the pair  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{J})$  fulfils (E''), then there exists a positive integer-valued function  $n(i, j)$  such that  $\left( D^* - \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} B_{j,n(i,j)}^* \right) \in \mathcal{J}$ .

Denote  $B = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} B_{j,n(i,j)}$ ,  $B^* = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} B_{j,n(i,j)}^*$  and

$C = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=1}^{n(i,j)} C_{j,k}$ . Since  $D-B \subset (D-D^*) \cup (D^*-B)$ ,  $C \in \mathcal{J}$  and  $D^*-B^* \in \mathcal{J}$ , in order to prove that  $D-B \in \mathcal{J}$ , it suffices to show that  $D^*-B \subset (D^*-B^*) \cup C$ .

Let us observe that  $B = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=1}^{n(i,j)} [(A_{j,k} - C_{j,k}) \cup B_{j,k}] \supset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=1}^{n(i,j)} (A_{j,k} - C_{j,k}) \supset (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=1}^{n(i,j)} A_{j,k}) - C$ ; this yields that  $D^*-B \subset D^* - [\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=1}^{n(i,j)} A_{j,k} - C] \subset (D^*-B^*) \cup C$ . Hence,  $D-B \in \mathcal{J}$  and (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii).

It is worth mentioning that, in the case where a  $\delta$ -ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  is contained in a  $\delta$ -field  $\mathcal{S}$ , there are weaker conditions (E) or (E') which, together with the countable chain condition for the pair  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{J})$ , are equivalent for  $\mathcal{J}$  to be  $\mathcal{S}$ -topological (cf. [3]).

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a  $\delta$ -field. If a  $\delta$ -ideal  $\mathcal{J}_1$  is  $\mathcal{S}$ -topological, then any  $\delta$ -ideal  $\mathcal{J}_2 \supset \mathcal{J}_1$  is  $\mathcal{S}$ -topological.

**Proof.** If  $\mathcal{J}_1$  is an  $\mathcal{S}$ -topological  $\delta$ -ideal, then, by theorem 1, the pair  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{J}_1)$  fulfils (E''). This implies that every pair  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{J}_2)$ , where  $\mathcal{J}_2$  is a  $\delta$ -ideal containing  $\mathcal{J}_1$ , fulfils (E''). Hence, the proposition follows from Theorem 1.

One can easily prove the following

**Lemma.** Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a  $\delta$ -field and  $\mathcal{J}$  - a  $\delta$ -ideal. The pair  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{J})$  fulfils condition (E'') if and only if, for an arbitrary double sequence  $\{B_{j,n}\}_{j,n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\mathcal{S}$ -measurable sets such that

$$(1) \quad B_{j,n} \subset B_{j,n+1} \quad \text{for any } j, n \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$(2) \quad \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{j,n} = X \quad \text{for each } j \in \mathbb{N},$$

there exists a positive integer-valued function  $n(i,j)$  such that  $(X - \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} B_{j,n(i,j)}) \in \mathcal{J}$ .

**Theorem 3.** Suppose that  $S$  is a  $\delta$ -field and  $\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2$  are  $\delta$ -ideals. Then the  $\delta$ -ideal  $\mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$  is  $S$ -topological if and only if both  $\mathcal{I}_1$  and  $\mathcal{I}_2$  are  $S$ -topological.

**Proof.** By virtue of Theorem 2, it suffices to assume that both  $\mathcal{I}_1$  and  $\mathcal{I}_2$  are  $S$ -topological  $\delta$ -ideals, and to show that the  $\delta$ -ideal  $\mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$  is  $S$ -topological.

Let  $\{B_{j,n}\}_{j,n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an arbitrary double sequence of  $S$ -measurable sets which satisfies conditions (1) and (2) of our lemma. According to Theorem 1 and the lemma, there exist positive integer-valued functions  $n_1(i,j)$  and  $n_2(i,j)$  such that  $(X - \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} B_{j,n_k(i,j)}) \in \mathcal{I}_k$  for  $k = 1, 2$ . Let  $n(i,j) = \max(n_1(i,j); n_2(i,j))$  for any  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . As  $B_{j,n} \subset B_{j,n+1}$  for any  $j, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $X - \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} B_{j,n(i,j)} \in \mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$ . Thus, it follows from our lemma that the pair  $(\mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2; S)$  fulfils condition (E"). Using Theorem 1, we conclude that the  $\delta$ -ideal  $\mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$  is  $S$ -topological.

**Corollary.** Let  $S$  be a  $\delta$ -field. If, for a  $\delta$ -ideal  $\mathcal{I}_1$ , there exists an  $S$ -topological  $\delta$ -ideal  $\mathcal{I}_2$  such that the  $\delta$ -ideal  $\mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2$  is not  $S$ -topological, then the  $\delta$ -ideal  $\mathcal{I}_1$  is not  $S$ -topological.

In view of Theorems 2 and 3, a  $\delta$ -ideal is  $S$ -topological if and only if it contains the common part of two  $S$ -topological  $\delta$ -ideals.

Let us note still another consequence of the lemma and Theorem 1.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $S$  be a  $\delta$ -field. If a  $\delta$ -ideal  $\mathcal{I}_1$  is  $S$ -topological, then every  $\delta$ -ideal  $\mathcal{I}_2$ , for which  $\mathcal{I}_1 \cap S = \mathcal{I}_2 \cap S$ , is  $S$ -topological, too.

For any  $\delta$ -ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  and any  $\delta$ -field  $S$ , let us denote

$$\mathcal{I}[S] = \{A \subset X: \text{there is } B \in S \subset \mathcal{I} \text{ such that } A \subset B\}.$$

It is readily seen that the family  $\mathcal{I}[S]$  is a  $\delta$ -ideal for which  $\mathcal{I} \cap S = \mathcal{I}[S] \cap S$ ; moreover,  $\mathcal{I}[S]$  is contained in every  $\delta$ -ideal  $\mathcal{I}^*$  such that  $\mathcal{I}^* \cap S = \mathcal{I} \cap S$ .

Theorems 2 and 4 imply

**Theorem 5.** Let  $S$  be a  $\delta$ -field. Then a  $\delta$ -ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  is  $S$ -topological if and only if the  $\delta$ -ideal  $\mathcal{J}[S]$  is  $S$ -topological.

Denote by  $\mathcal{B}$  the  $\delta$ -field of all Borel subsets of the real line  $R$ .

The last theorem leads to the notion of Borel  $\delta$ -ideals.

**Definition 5.** (cf. [1]). We say that a  $\delta$ -ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  of subsets of  $R$  is Borel if  $\mathcal{J}[\mathcal{B}] = \mathcal{J}$ .

**Example 1.** It is well known and easy to observe that the Borel  $\delta$ -ideal  $\mathcal{L}$  of all subsets of  $R$  of Lebesgue measure zero is  $\mathcal{B}$ -topological. Let  $\mathcal{J}_0$  denote the  $\delta$ -ideal of all subsets of  $R$  having the property  $(s_0)$  (cf. [2]). Then the smallest  $\delta$ -ideal  $\mathcal{J}_1$ , containing both  $\mathcal{J}_0$  and  $\mathcal{L}$ , is  $\mathcal{B}$ -topological. At the same time, there exists a nonmeasurable set having the property  $(s_0)$  (cf. [2]), hence  $\mathcal{J}_1 \neq \mathcal{L}$ . But the  $\delta$ -ideal  $\mathcal{J}_1$  is not Borel because  $\mathcal{J}_1[\mathcal{B}] = \mathcal{L}$ . In connection with this example, one can ask the following questions:

**Question 1.** Does there exist a proper  $\mathcal{B}$ -topological Borel  $\delta$ -ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  of subsets of  $R$  such that  $\mathcal{J} \neq \mathcal{L}$ ?

**Question 2.** Does there exist a  $\mathcal{B}$ -topological Borel  $\delta$ -ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  of subsets of  $R$  such that  $\mathcal{J} \not\subseteq \mathcal{L}$ ?

In the case of the second question, it would be sufficient to find some  $\mathcal{B}$ -topological  $\delta$ -ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  such that  $\mathcal{J} \not\subseteq \mathcal{L}$ , because the  $\delta$ -ideal  $\mathcal{J}[\mathcal{B}]$  would be Borel.

**Example 2.** The  $\delta$ -ideal  $\mathcal{K}$  of all sets of the first category in  $R$  is not  $\mathcal{B}$ -topological (cf. [4]). Let  $\mathcal{J}_p$  be the  $\delta$ -ideal of porous sets in  $R$  (cf. [6]). The  $\delta$ -ideal  $\mathcal{J}_p$  is Borel and, obviously,  $\mathcal{J}_p \subset \mathcal{K}$ . Hence, by Theorem 2,  $\mathcal{J}_p$  is not  $\mathcal{B}$ -topological. We can formulate the following problem:

**Question 3.** Does there exist a non- $\mathcal{B}$ -topological Borel  $\delta$ -ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  of subsets of  $R$  such that  $\mathcal{J} \not\subseteq \mathcal{K}$ ?

The answers to questions 1-3 are not known to the author.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. B a l c e r z a k : A classification of  $\delta$ -ideals on the real line, Zeszyty Naukowe Wyższej Szkoły Pedagogicznej w Bydgoszczy, Problemy Matematyczne 7 (1985) 51-61.
- [2] R. E n g e l k i n g : Topologia ogólna. Warszawa, 1975.
- [3] E. S z p i l r a j n - M a r c z e w s k i : Sur une classe de fonctions de W. Sierpiński et la classe correspondante d'ensembles, Fund. Math. 24 (1935) 17-24.
- [4] E. W a g n e r : Sequences of measurable functions, Fund. Math. 112 (1981) 89-102.
- [5] E. W a g n e r , W. W i l c z y ŋ s k i : Spaces of measurable functions, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, Serie II, 30 (1981) 97-110.
- [6] L. Z a j i č e k : Sets of  $\delta$ -porosity and sets of porosity  $q$ . Časopis Pest. Mat. 101 (1976) 350-358.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ŁÓDŹ,  
90-238 ŁÓDŹ, POLAND

Received April 28, 1988.

