

Ali A. A. Daw

A FORMULA FOR THE NUMBER OF RETRACTS  
OF FINITE BOOLEAN ALGEBRAS

In this paper we will construct and count the retracts of a finite Boolean algebra. The construction is based on some of the already known facts from the theory of Boolean algebras. We denote a Boolean algebra by  $\underline{A}$ .

1. Let  $\Delta$  be the (principal) ideal of  $\underline{A}$  generated by an element  $-E \in \underline{A}$ . Then

$$\underline{A} \mid E \cong \underline{A} / \Delta .$$

The isomorphism is given by

$$h(A) = [A] \quad \text{for } A \in \underline{A} \mid E$$

(Sikorski, [1] pp. 30-31).

2. Let  $h : \underline{A} \longrightarrow \underline{A}$  be an endomorphism,  $h$  is a retract if  $h|h(\underline{A}) = \text{identity}$ , i.e.

$$h(x) = x \quad \text{for all } x \in h(\underline{A}).$$

Since,  $h(\underline{A}) \cong \underline{A} / \Delta$ , we can find retracts as follows.

We take  $\Delta$  (generated by  $-E \in \underline{A}$ ). We find  $\underline{A} / \Delta$  and the isomorphism  $h : \underline{A} \longrightarrow \underline{A} / \Delta$ . We have

$$\underline{A} \mid E \cong \underline{A} / \Delta \quad \text{where } h(A_{-1}) = h(A) .$$

Now let  $A_1$  be a subalgebra of  $\underline{A}$  with  $k$  elements such that,

$h(A_1) = \underline{A} / \Delta$ . Then  $\underline{A}_1 \cong \underline{A} / \Delta$  (both subalgebras have the same number of elements, so they are isomorphic).

Let  $i : \underline{A} / \Delta \longrightarrow \underline{A}_1$  be this isomorphism. Then  $i[x] = x$ ,  $h_1 = i \circ h$  is a retract.

**P r o o f.**  $h_1 : \underline{A} \longrightarrow \underline{A}_1 \subseteq \underline{A}$  is an endomorphism  
 $h_1(\underline{A}) = \underline{A}_1$ . For  $x \in \underline{A}_1$  we have

$$h_1(x) = i \circ h(x) = i([x]) = x .$$

3. Theorem. Let  $\underline{A}$  be a finite Boolean algebra  $\underline{A} = 2^n$ ,  $n < \omega$ . There are exactly  $n$  retractions onto two elements subalgebra  $\underline{A}_0 = \{\emptyset, 1\}$ .

**P r o o f.** Take  $k = 1$  and let  $E = \{a_1\}$ ,  $-E = \{a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ .  
 Then  $\underline{A} | E = \{\emptyset, \{a_1\}\}$  and  $\Delta = 2^{\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}}$ . Then  $\underline{A} | E \cong \underline{A} / \Delta$  where the isomorphism is given by  $h(A) = [A]$  for  $A \in \underline{A} | E$  (Sikorski [1], pp. 30-31). We have

$$[\emptyset] = \{\emptyset, \{a_2\}, \dots, \{a_n\}, \dots, \{a_2, \dots, a_n\}\}$$

$$[a_1] = \{\{a_1\}, \{a_1, a_2\}, \dots, \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}\}$$

$\underline{A}_1 = \{\emptyset, \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}\}$ , we find only one possibility. Hence the number of possible four selections of  $E$  is  $\binom{n}{1}$ . Hence, the number of possibilities is  $\binom{n}{1} \cdot 1 = n$ .

4. Theorem. Let  $\underline{A}$  be a finite Boolean algebra  $|\underline{A}| = 2^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . There are exactly  $\binom{n}{2} 2^{n-2}$  retractions onto four elements subalgebras.

**P r o o f.** Let  $\underline{A} \cong 2^n$ ,  $\underline{A} = 2^X$ , where  $X = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  are atoms. Take an element  $E \in \underline{A}$  and  $-E$  complement of  $E \in \underline{A}$ .  $E = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}$ ,  $-E = \{a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n\}$ . Take  $k = 2$ . We have  $E = \{a_1, a_2\}$ ,  $-E = \{a_3, a_4, \dots, a_n\}$ ,

A | E =  $\{\emptyset, \{a_1\}, \{a_2\}, \{a_1, a_2\}\}$  and the principle ideals

$\Delta = \{\emptyset, \{a_3\}, \dots, \{a_3, a_4, \dots, a_n\}\}$

$\Delta = 2^{\{a_3, \dots, a_n\}}$  and  $\underline{A} | E \cong \underline{A} / \Delta$

$[\emptyset] = \{\emptyset, \{a_3\}, \dots, \{a_n\}, \{a_3, \dots, a_n\}\}$

$[a_1] = \{\{a_1\}, \{a_1, a_3\}, \{a_1, a_4\}, \dots, \{a_1, a_3, \dots, a_n\}\}$

$[a_2] = \{\{a_2\}, \{a_2, a_3\}, \dots, \{a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n\}\}$

$[a_1, a_2] = \{\{a_1, a_2\}, \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}, \dots, \{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n\}\}$

$\underline{A}_1 = \{\emptyset, \{a_1, a_3\}, \{a_2, a_4, \dots, a_n\}, \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}\}.$

In general, we have

$\underline{A} = \{\emptyset, A, -A, \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}\}$

where  $A \in [a_1], -A \in [a_2] .$

The number of elements in the class equals the number of all elements of  $\Delta$  i.e. the number of all subsets of  $\{a_3, \dots, a_n\}$ , that is  $2^{n-2}$ . The number of possibilities for selection of E is  $\binom{n}{2}$ . Hence, the number of possibilities  $\binom{n}{2} \cdot 2^{n-2}$ .

5. Definition. Let  $P(n, k)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$  denote the number of partitions of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  into  $k$  non-empty disjoint subsets (blocks) such that no member of these partitions is a subset of  $\{k+1, \dots, n\}$ .

6. Theorem. Let  $\underline{A}$  be a finite Boolean algebra.  $|\underline{A}| = 2^n$ . The number of retracts of  $\underline{A}$  onto the  $2^k$  - elements subalgebras of  $\underline{A}$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n$ ) is exactly  $\binom{n}{k} P(n, k)$ . Consequently, the number of all retracts of  $\underline{A}$  is

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} P(n, k) .$$

P r o o f. For  $k = 1$  we have  $P(n, 1) = 1$ . Hence the number of retracts of  $\underline{A}$  onto two elements subalgebras is  $\binom{n}{1} \cdot 1 = n$ , is agreement with Theorem 3.

(  $P(n, 1) = 1$  because there is only one partition of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  into 1 block - namely  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ; and this set is not a subset of  $\{2, 3, \dots, n\}$ . For  $k=2$  we have  $P(n, 2) = 2^{n-2}$  (in fact, there are  $2^{n-2}$  partitions of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  into two blocks in such a way that no member of this partition in a subset of  $\{3, 4, \dots, n\}$ ). One member of such a partition is of the form  $\{1\} \cup A$ , where  $A$  is a subset of  $\{3, 4, \dots, n\}$ , the other member of this partition is  $\{2\} \cup B$ , where  $B = \{3, 4, \dots, n\} - A$ ). Since there are  $2^{n-2}$  subsets of  $\{3, 4, \dots, n\}$ , we obtain  $P(n, 2) = 2^{n-2}$  as above.

Hence the number of retracts of  $\underline{A} \cong 2^n$  onto the four elements subalgebras is  $\binom{n}{2} 2^{n-2}$  as proved in Theorem 4. Hence Theorem 3 and 4 are special cases of Theorem 6.

We now prove Theorem 6 in general case for arbitrary  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . We may assume that  $\underline{A} = 2^X$  where  $X = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ . To obtain retracts onto  $2^k$  elements subalgebras we take e.g.  $E = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}$ . We have  $\binom{n}{k}$  such selections for possible  $E$  with  $k$  elements.

By the procedure explained previously we take

$$-E = \{a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n\} ,$$

$$\Delta = 2^{-E} = \{\emptyset, \{a_{k+1}\}, \dots, \{a_n\}, \dots, \{a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n\}\} .$$

Since  $\underline{A} | E \cong \underline{A} / \Delta$  we have

Formula for the number of retracts

---

$$[\emptyset] = \{\emptyset, \{a_{k+1}\}, \dots, \{a_n\}, \dots, \{a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n\}\}$$

$$[a_1] = \{\{a_1\}, \{a_1 a_{k+1}\}, \dots, \{a_1 a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n\}\}$$

$$[a_2] = \{\{a_2\}, \{a_2 a_{k+1}\}, \dots, \{a_2 a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n\}\}$$

⋮

$$[a_i] = \{\{a_i\}, \{a_i a_{k+1}\}, \dots, \{a_i a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n\}\}$$

⋮

$$[a_k] = \{\{a_k\}, \{a_k a_{k+1}\}, \dots, \{a_k a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n\}\}$$

$$[a_1 a_2] = \{\{a_1 a_2\}, \{a_1 a_2 a_{k+1}\}, \dots, \{a_1 a_2 a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n\}\}$$

⋮

$$[a_1 a_2, \dots, a_k] = \{\{a_1 a_2, \dots, a_k\}, \dots, \{a_1 a_2, \dots, a_k a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n\}\}.$$

Now we have to select for each class

$$[\emptyset], [a_1], \dots, [a_k], \dots, [a_1, \dots, a_k]$$

exactly one element in such a way that the selected element form a  $2^k$  element subalgebra of  $\underline{A}$  (in this way we obtain an isomorphism

$i : \underline{A} / \Delta \longrightarrow \underline{A}$  ). Clearly we must have

$$i([\emptyset]) = \emptyset = 0 \in \underline{A}$$

$$i([a_1, \dots, a_k]) = \{a_1, \dots, a_k, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n\} = 1 \in \underline{A}.$$

First we make a selection from the  $k$  abstraction classes  $[a_1], \dots, [a_k]$ .

Let  $A_i$  be selected from  $[a_i]$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . We show that all  $A_i$  are pairwise disjoint,  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$ . From the construction of  $[a_i]$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  it is clear that no inclusion  $A_i \subseteq A_j$  or  $A_j \subseteq A_i$  is possible (no member of the class  $[a_i]$  may be contained in a member of the classes  $[a_j]$ ).

- so we have  $a_i \in A_i - A_j$  and  $a_j \in A_j - A_i$ .

Assume that we have

$$A_i \cap A_j \neq \emptyset.$$

Since  $A_i - A_j \neq \emptyset$ ,  $A_j - A_i \neq \emptyset$  we see that the subalgebra generated by the two elements  $A_i, A_j$  would contain at least three atoms (contained in  $A_i - A_j \neq \emptyset$ ,  $A_j - A_i \neq \emptyset$  and  $A_i \cap A_j \neq \emptyset$ ).

Hence every pair of integer elements would give at least 3 atoms and one atom would belong to  $\Delta$ . This means that the subalgebra generated by the elements  $A_1, \dots, A_k$  would contain more than  $2^k$  elements - a contradiction. Hence only selections  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  with disjoint elements are possible.

(\*)  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Let now } \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\} = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_k \text{ be a partition of} \\ \{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \text{ into } k \text{ disjoint subsets such that no subset} \\ A_i \text{ is contained in } \{k+1, \dots, n\}. \end{array} \right.$

We show that every  $A_i$  belongs exactly to one class  $[a_j]$ . It suffices to show that for each  $A_i$  there is  $a_j$  such that  $A_i \in [a_j]$  (the elements  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  are disjoint, no two of them can belong to the same class  $[a_i]$ ). If  $A_i$  is a one-element set, this is clear because  $A_i$  must be then one of the set  $\{a_1\}, \dots, \{a_k\}$ . If  $A_i$  contains more than one element it must be the form

$$\{a_j\} \cup B \quad \text{where } B \subseteq \{a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n\} \\ 1 \leq j \leq k \quad B \neq \emptyset$$

i.e.  $A_i$  must contain no more than one element from  $\{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ .

In fact, if some  $A_i$  would be of the form e.g.

$$A_1 = \{a_1, a_2\} \cup B \quad B \subseteq \{a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n\}$$

then  $A_1$  could not be a member of partitions satisfying the condition (\*). In fact taking

$$\begin{aligned} \{a_1, \dots, a_k, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n\} &= (\{a_1, a_2\} \cup B) \cup (\{a_3\} \cup B_3) \cup \\ &\cup (\{a_4\} \cup B_4) \cup \dots \cup (\{a_k\} \cup B_k) \end{aligned}$$

as possible partitions with  $B_3, B_4, \dots, B_k \subseteq \{a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n\}$  we would obtain a partition into at most  $k-1$  blocks, not into  $k$  blocks as required.

Hence  $A_1$  is of the form  $\{a_i\} \cup B$

$$B \subseteq \{a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n\} \text{ and consequently } A_1 \in [a_i].$$

Hence for every partition  $X = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_k$  satisfying the condition (\*) we have (after suitable remembering)

$$A_1 = \{a_1\} \cup B_1$$

$$A_2 = \{a_2\} \cup B_2$$

⋮

$$A_k = \{a_k\} \cup B_k$$

where  $B_i \in \Delta$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .

Since  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k$  are disjoint, the subalgebra generated by them has exactly  $2^k$  elements. We have exactly  $2^k$  abstractions classes  $\underline{A} / \Delta$ . Every element of this subalgebra is of the form  $A \cup B$  where  $A \subseteq \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ ,  $B \subseteq \{a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n\}$ . Every element belongs to some abstraction class  $\underline{A} / \Delta$ , and no two elements may belong to the same class. In fact, if  $A_1 \cup B_1$  and  $A_2 \cup B_2$  are two different elements, then  $A_1 \neq A_2$

because this subalgebra isomorphic to  $2^{\{a_1, \dots, a_k\}}$  and  $A_1 \cup B_1 \in [A_1], A_2 \cup B_2 \in [A_2]$  belong to a different classes.

Thus we have shown that for every partition of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  into  $k$  blocks such that no block is subset of  $\{k+1, \dots, n\}$  we can have a subalgebra of  $\underline{A}$  formed from elements selected from the abstraction classes  $\underline{A} / \Delta$ , and for different partition we obtain different subalgebras. Since every subalgebra defines a retract of  $\underline{A}$  onto this subalgebra for a fixed  $E$ , we obtain  $P(n, k)$  retracts onto  $2^k$ -elements subalgebras. This ends the proof of Theorem 6.

7. L e m m a. We have

$$P(n, k) = k^{n-k}.$$

P r o o f. We prove first the recurrence formula

$$P(n+1, k) = P(n, k) \cdot k.$$

In fact, from each partition  $T$  of the set

$$\{1, 2, \dots, k, k+1, \dots, n\}$$

into  $k$  non-empty disjoint subsets (satisfying the condition of Def. 5) we can obtain a partition of the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$  into  $k$  non-empty subsets by adding to a member of  $T$  the element  $n+1$ .

Of course, no member of this new partition is a subset of

$$\{k+1, \dots, n, n+1\}.$$

From each partition of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  (satisfying Def. 5) we obtain in this way  $k$  new partitions of

$$\{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}.$$

---

### Formula for the number of retracts

---

Conversely, for partition  $T_1$  of  $\{1, \dots, n+1\}$  into  $k$  disjoint non-empty blocks such that no block is a subset of  $\{k+1, \dots, n, n+1\}$  there is partition of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  (such that one block differs by  $\{n+1\}$  from a block of  $T_1$  (no block of  $T_1$  contains only  $\{n+1\}$ ).

Hence we obtain exactly

$$P(n+1, k) = P(n, k) \cdot k ,$$

$$P(n, k) = P(n-1, k) \cdot k .$$

Since  $P(k, k) = 1$ , we have by induction

$$P(n, k) = k^{n-k}.$$

8. Corollary. The number of all retracts of  $\underline{A} \cong 2^n$  onto its subalgebras is equal to

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} k^{n-k}.$$

This corollary follows directly from Theorem 6 and Lemma 7.

### REFERENCES

[1] R. Sikorski : Boolean algebras, 2nd ed. Springer Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg-New York, 1964.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW,  
00-661 WARSZAWA

Received December 6, 1988.





