

Wojciech Wojdowski

## DENSITY TOPOLOGY INVOLVING MEASURE AND CATEGORY

In their papers [3], [4] Poreda, Wagner and Wilczyński introduced and examined a categorial equivalent of measure density on real line. Let us recall their definition, restricted to sets  $\mathcal{B}$ , having the Baire property :

**D e f i n i t i o n 1.** [3] We shall say that 0 is an  $I$ -density point of a set  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  if and only if  $\chi_{(nA) \cap [-1,1]} \xrightarrow{I}_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1$ . By  $I$  we denote  $\sigma$ -ideal of sets of the first category ; see [3] for other denotations.

It follows from Theorem 2 in [3] that in the definition mentioned above we can replace the set  $A$  with any of the open sets  $G$ , which occur if we present  $A$  in the form  $A = G \Delta P$ ; where  $G$  is open and  $P$  is of the first category. Especially we can require  $G$  to be the biggest of them, i.e. regular open. This leads us in natural way to the following definitions.

**D e f i n i t i o n 2.** We shall say that a set  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  has at point  $x$   $c$ -density  $g$  if and only if  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{m(G \cap [x-h, x+h])}{2h}$  exists and is equal to  $g$ . The set  $G$  is here regular open and such that  $A = G \Delta P$  ; where  $P$  is of the first category,  $m$  is Lebesgue measure.

It means that a set  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  has at point  $x$   $c$ -density  $g$  iff the regular open set  $G = A \Delta P$ , where  $P$  is of the first category, has the usual measure density equal to  $g$  at point  $x$ .

**D e f i n i t i o n 3.** We shall say that  $x$  is a  $c$ -density point of  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  if and only if  $A$  has at point  $x$  the  $c$ -density, equal to 1.

By  $A \sim B$  we mean  $A \Delta B \in I$  and, to simplify the notation for  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ , we shall use frequently  $G(A)$  for the regular open set in the unique representation  $A = (G \setminus P_1) \cup P_2$  or  $A = G \Delta P$  where  $P_1, P_2, P \in I$ .

Now we shall show that  $c$ -density as defined above is really a density, i.e. the operation  $\varphi_c : \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow 2^R$  defined  $\varphi_c(A) = \{x \in R : x \text{ is a } c\text{-density point of } A\}$  for  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  is so called "lower density" (see [2]). Observe first that

**R e m a r k 1.** For every  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  we have  $\varphi_c(A) = \varphi_d(G(A))$  where  $\varphi_d$  is usual measure lower density.

We need also the following

**L e m m a 1.** For  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$  we have

- a) If  $A \sim B$ , then  $G(A) = G(B)$ ,
- b)  $G(A \cap B) = G(A) \cap G(B)$  in particular  $G(R \setminus A) \cap G(A) = \emptyset$ .

**P r o o f.** We can present the sets  $A, B$  and  $A \cap B$  in a unique form  $A = G_1 \Delta P_1$ ,  $B = G_2 \Delta P_2$ ,  $A \cap B = G_3 \Delta P_3$ , where  $G_1 = G(A)$ ,  $G_2 = G(B)$ ,  $G_3 = G(A \cap B)$  and  $P_1, P_2, P_3$  are from  $I$ . To prove a) let us notice that  $A \sim B$  implies  $B = A \Delta P$  where  $P$  is from  $I$  and from  $B = A \Delta P = (G_1 \Delta P_1) \Delta P = G_1 \Delta (P_1 \Delta P)$  we have  $G_1 = G_2$ .

To prove b) we have  $A \cap B = (G_1 \Delta P_1) \cap (G_2 \Delta P_2) = [(G_1 \Delta P_1) \cap G_2] \Delta [(G_1 \Delta P_1) \cap P_2] = [(G_1 \cap G_2) \Delta (P_1 \cap G_2)] \Delta$

$\Delta [(G_1 \cap P_2) \Delta (P_1 \cap P_2)] = (G_1 \cap G_2) \Delta \{ (P_1 \cap G_2) \Delta [(G_1 \cap P_2) \Delta (P_1 \cap P_2)] \}$ . The sets  $P_1 \cap P_2$ ,  $G_1 \cap P_2$ ,  $P_1 \cap G_2$  are from  $I$  hence  $\{ (P_1 \cap G_2) \Delta [(G_1 \cap P_2) \Delta (P_1 \cap P_2)] \}$  is from  $I$ , too. As  $G_1 \cap G_2$  is a regular open set (see [2]) we have  $G_3 = G_1 \cap G_2$ .

**Theorem 1.** For every  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$

- 1)  $\varphi_c(A) \sim A$ ,
- 2) if  $A \sim B$  then  $\varphi_c(A) = \varphi_c(B)$ ,
- 3)  $\varphi_c(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ ,  $\varphi_c(R) = R$ ,
- 4)  $\varphi_c(A \cap B) = \varphi_c(A) \cap \varphi_c(B)$ .

**Proof.** We shall start with 1). The set  $A = (G \setminus P_1) \cup P_2$  where  $G = G(A)$  and  $P_1, P_2$  are from  $I$ . It is clear that  $G \subset \varphi_c(A)$ ; hence  $A \setminus \varphi_c(A)$ , as a subset of  $A \setminus G \subset P_2$ , is from  $I$ . On the other hand,  $\varphi_c(A) \subset Cl\ G$ , and therefore  $\varphi_c(A) \setminus A$  is a subset of  $Cl(G) \setminus A$  and hence of  $Cl(G) \setminus (G \setminus P_1)$ . The last set is a union of nowhere dense set  $Cl(G) \setminus G$  and  $Cl(G) \cap P$  of the first category, which implies that  $\varphi_c(A) \setminus A$  is of the first category too.

To prove 2) let us notice that from  $A \sim B$  we have  $G(A) = G(B)$  by a) of Lemma 1 and consequently  $\varphi_c(A) = \varphi_c(B)$ , directly from Remark 1.

As 3) is simply the consequence of the definition of  $\varphi_c$ , we come to 4). From b) of Lemma 1 we have  $G(A \cap B) = G(A) \cap G(B)$ , hence  $\varphi_c(A \cap B) = \varphi_d[G(A \cap B)] = \varphi_d[G(A) \cap G(B)] = \varphi_d[G(A)] \cap \varphi_d[G(B)] = \varphi_c(A) \cap \varphi_c(B)$  in view of Remark 1 and according to properties of  $\varphi_d$ .

It is not difficult to prove the following

**Theorem 2.** If  $x_0$  is a c-density point of a set  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ , then the c-density of a set  $R \setminus A$  at  $x_0$  is equal to 0.

**Proof.** Since  $x_0$  is a d-density point of  $G(A)$  and from b) of Lemma 1  $G(R \setminus A) \subset R \setminus G(A)$  we have d-density of  $G(R \setminus A)$  at  $x_0$  equal to 0 - which was required.

**Remark 2.** It is worth noticing that the above theorem cannot be converted. Let  $C$  be the Cantor set of positive measure in closed interval  $[0,1]$  and  $\{(a_n, b_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  the family of components of  $[0,1] \setminus C$ . It is not difficult to

see that both sets  $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ a_n, \frac{a_n + b_n}{2} \right)$  and  $B = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{a_n + b_n}{2}, b_n \right]$

are regular open and  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Let  $x_0$  be a d-density point of  $C$ . It can be easily seen that  $G(R \setminus A) = B$ , hence  $C \cap [G(A) \cup G(R \setminus A)] = \emptyset$ ; so c-density of  $A$ , as well as of  $R \setminus A$  at point  $x_0$ , is equal to 0.

One can ask now if we obtained something really different from well known concept of d-density (measure density) and I-density (category density). The following examples will give positive answer to this question.

**Example 1.** There exists a set  $A_1 \in \mathcal{B}$  such that 0 is a d-density point of  $A_1$  but not a c-density point of  $A_1$ . As a set  $A_1$  we can take the set  $A$  from Theorem 1.6. in [2].  $A$  is there a set of the first category such that  $m(R \setminus A) = 0$ .

**Example 2.** There exists a set  $A_2 \in \mathcal{B}$  such that 0 is a c-density point of  $A_2$  but not a d-density point of  $A_2$ . As a set  $A_2$  we can take the set  $R \setminus A_1$  where  $A_1$  is from Example 1.

**E x a m p l e 3.** There exists a set  $A_3 \in \mathcal{B}$  such that 0 is a c-density point but not an I-density point of  $A_3$ . The sequence  $\frac{1}{n!}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  converges to 0 from the right side.

Let  $\epsilon_n$  be a given monotone sequence of positive reals, convergent to 0. From each interval  $\left[ \frac{1}{(n+1)!}, \frac{1}{n!} \right]$  let us remove the open set  $B_n$  of the form

$$B_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \left[ \frac{i}{n!(n+1)} ; \frac{i + \delta_n}{n!(n+1)} \right], \text{ where } \delta_n \text{ is a positive}$$

number small enough to ensure  $m(B_n) < \epsilon_n \left[ \frac{1}{n!} - \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \right]$ . As

a set  $A_3$  we can take  $R \setminus \text{Cl} \left[ \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \right]$ . Really, it is not

difficult to notice that  $A_3$  is regular open and for each  $h \in$

$\left[ \frac{1}{(n+1)!}, \frac{1}{n!} \right]$  we have

$$\frac{m \left[ \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \cap [0, h] \right]}{h} \leq \frac{\frac{1}{(n+1)!} \epsilon_n + \delta_n}{\frac{1}{(n+1)!} + \delta_n} = \frac{\epsilon_n + \delta_n (n+1)!}{1 + \delta_n (n+1)!}.$$

In view of  $\delta_n (n+1)! < \epsilon_n$  we have also

$$0 \leq \frac{\epsilon_n + \delta_n (n+1)!}{1 + \delta_n (n+1)!} < \frac{\epsilon_n + \delta_n (n+1)!}{1} < 2 \epsilon_n$$

which implies that 0 is d-dispersion point of  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$ .

As  $m \left[ \text{Cl} \left[ \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \right] \right] = m \left[ \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \right]$ , 0 is a d-density point of

$A_3$ ; hence 0 is a c-density point of  $A_3$ .

Now we shall show that 0 is not an I-density point of

$A_3$ . It is enough to point out a subsequence  $m_n$  of natural numbers such that  $\chi_{(m_n A_3) \cap [-1,1]}$  does not converge I-almost everywhere to 1. A subsequence  $m_n = n!$  is good here. In fact, we have  $n!(R \setminus A_3) \supset n! \cdot B_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \left[ \frac{i}{n+1}, \frac{i+\delta_n}{n+1} \right]$ .

Let  $D_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \left[ \frac{i}{n+1}, \frac{i+\delta_n}{n+1} \right]$ . We have

$\limsup_n n!(R \setminus A_3) \supset \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty} D_n$ . It is not difficult to

observe that the set  $\bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty} D_n$  is open and dense in  $[0,1]$  for

every  $n$  and we have  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty} D_n$  to be a residual on  $[0,1]$  and

$G_\delta$  set. That implies  $\liminf_n (n! \cdot A_3)$  to be a subset of the first category set and hence 0 is not an I-density point of  $A_3$ .

**Example 4.** There exists a set  $A_4 \in \mathcal{B}$  such that 0 is an I-density point but not a c-density point of  $A_4$ . As a set  $A_4$  we can take the set  $R \setminus E_4$  where  $E_4$  is the set from Theorem 1 d) in [6].

Let us now list some properties of operation  $\varphi_c$ .

**Theorem 3.** For any  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  we have

- a)  $\text{Int}(\varphi_c(A)) = \emptyset$  if and only if  $A$  is of the first category,
- b)  $\varphi_c(A) \setminus G(A)$  is a nowhere dense set of measure 0,
- c)  $G(A) = \text{Int} \varphi_c(A) = \text{Int Cl}(G(A))$ .

**Proof.** a) If  $A \in I$  we have  $\text{Int} \varphi_c(A) = \emptyset$  directly from Theorem 1.2). If  $A \notin I$  then  $G(A) \neq \emptyset$  and in view of

$G(A) \subset \varphi_c(A)$  we have  $\text{Int } \varphi_c(A) \supset G(A) \neq \emptyset$ .

b) As  $\varphi_c(A) \subset \text{Cl } G(A)$  we have  $\varphi_c(A) \setminus G(A) \subset \text{Cl } G(A) \setminus G(A)$  and the last set is nowhere dense. The fact that  $\varphi_c(A) \setminus G(A)$  is a set of measure zero comes directly from the Lebesgue density theorem.

c) Is obvious in view of  $G(A) = \text{Int } \text{Cl}(G(A))$  and  $G(A) \subset \varphi_c(A) \subset \text{Cl } G(A)$ .

We shall introduce now the topology related to the concept of  $c$ -density. Put  $\mathcal{T}_c = \{ \varphi_c(A) \setminus P : A \in \mathcal{B}, P \in \mathcal{I} \}$ .

**Theorem 4.**  $\mathcal{T}_c$  is the topology on the real line.

**Proof.** Dealing with the same  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  and in view of the fact that operation  $\varphi_c$  is the lower density we can carry out the proof exactly the same way as proof of Theorem 3 in [3].

Let us now list some properties of  $\mathcal{T}_c$ -topology.

**Theorem 5.** The following conditions on a subset  $A$  of space  $(R, \mathcal{T}_c)$  are equivalent :

- a)  $A$  is of the first category ,
- b)  $A$  is  $\mathcal{T}_c$ -nowhere dense ,
- c)  $A$  is  $\mathcal{T}_c$ -of the first category ,
- d)  $A$  is  $\mathcal{T}_c$ -closed discrete .

**Proof.** a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  b) can be proved in an analogous way to the proof of Theorem 22.6 in [2].

b)  $\Leftrightarrow$  c) comes directly from a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  b).

a)  $\Rightarrow$  d) any set of the first category  $P$  is  $\mathcal{T}_c$ -closed since we have  $P = R \setminus (R \setminus P)$  where  $R \setminus P$  is  $\mathcal{T}_c$ -open. Any subset of  $P$  being of the first category is  $\mathcal{T}_c$ -closed, too.

d)  $\Rightarrow$  a) if closed discrete set were not a first category set it would include a set not having the Baire property hence not  $\mathcal{T}_c$ -closed.

**C o r o l l a r y 1.**  $(R, \mathcal{T}_c)$  is neither separable nor locally separable at any point.

**P r o o f.** It is clear since we have from Theorem 5 that countable sets are closed and no point of  $(R, \mathcal{T}_c)$  has countable neighbourhood.

**C o r o l l a r y 2.** Any  $\mathcal{T}_c$ -compact subset of  $(R, \mathcal{T}_c)$  is finite.

**P r o o f.** Let  $K$  be any  $\mathcal{T}_c$ -compact subset of  $R$ . Suppose  $A = \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_0} \{x_\alpha\}$  is the set of all points of the first category set which has the cardinality  $\aleph_0$  and is a subset of  $K$ . We have  $(R \setminus A) \cup \{x_\alpha\}, \alpha < \omega_0$  to be  $\mathcal{T}_c$ -open cover of  $K$  which has no finite subcover - that contradicts that  $K$  is  $\mathcal{T}_c$ -compact.

**C o r o l l a r y 3.** In  $(R, \mathcal{T}_c)$  each sequence of different points contains no convergent subsequence.

**T h e o r e m 6.**  $(R, \mathcal{T}_c)$  is not regular.

**P r o o f.** Let  $Q$  be any dense in  $R$  and  $\mathcal{T}_c$ -closed set and a point  $x_0$  from  $R \setminus Q$ . Let  $Q$  be a subset of  $\varphi_c(A) \setminus P$ , where  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $P \in \mathcal{I}$ . As  $Q \subset \varphi_c(A)$  we have  $\varphi_c(A)$  dense in  $R$  and therefore  $G(A)$  dense in  $R$ , in view of Theorem 3 b). The set  $G(A)$  being regular open and dense in  $R$  must be equal to  $R$  - hence  $\varphi_c(A) = R$ . The set  $R \setminus (\varphi_c(A) \setminus P) = P$  contains no nonempty set from  $\mathcal{T}_c$ , so  $x_0$  and  $Q$  cannot be separated by  $\mathcal{T}_c$ -open sets.



**Theorem 7.** The family of  $\mathcal{T}_c$ -Borel sets coincide with the family of sets having the Baire property.

**Proof.** Let  $A$  be any  $\mathcal{T}_c$ -open set then it has the Baire property, in view of Theorem 1 1). Hence every  $\mathcal{T}_c$ -Borel set has the Baire property. Conversely, if a set  $A$  has the Baire property we can present it in a form  $A = (G \setminus P_1) \cup P_2$ , where  $G = G(A)$  and  $P_1, P_2 \in I$ . We have  $G = \varphi_c(A) \setminus (\varphi_c(A) \setminus G)$  and  $\varphi_c(A) \setminus G$  is of the first category, according to Theorem 3 b). Hence  $G \setminus P$  is  $\mathcal{T}_c$ -open set. As  $P_2$  is  $\mathcal{T}_c$ -closed we have  $A$  to be  $\mathcal{T}_c$ -Borel set.

**Corollary 4.** Every  $\mathcal{T}_c$ -Borel set is the union of  $\mathcal{T}_c$ -open set and  $\mathcal{T}_c$ -closed set.

**Theorem 8.**

1) The set  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  is  $\mathcal{T}_c$ -regular open if and only if  $A = \varphi_c(D)$  for some  $D \in \mathcal{B}$ .

2) Every  $\mathcal{T}_c$ -regular open set is  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ .

**Proof.** 1) analogous to the proof of Theorem 22.8 in [2].

2) follows from the fact that every  $\mathcal{T}_d$ -regular open set is  $F_{\sigma\delta}$  (see [5]).

**Theorem 9.**  $(R, \mathcal{T}_c)$  satisfies countable chain condition.

**Proof.** It follows from the fact that every  $\mathcal{T}_c$ -open set has the Baire property and the family of Baire sets satisfies countable chain condition.

**Theorem 10.**  $(R, \mathcal{T}_c)$  is a Baire space.

**Proof.** Comes directly from Theorem 5.

**Theorem 11.**  $(R, \mathcal{T}_c)$  is connected.

**P r o o f.** Suppose  $R$  is a union of two nonempty disjoint sets  $A$  and  $B$ , both  $\mathcal{T}_c$ -open. We have  $A = \varphi_d(G) \setminus P$  and  $B = \varphi_d(Q) \setminus S$ , where  $G = G(A)$ ,  $Q = G(B)$  and  $P, S$  are of the first category. We can assume here  $P \subset \varphi_d(G)$  and  $S \subset \varphi_d(Q)$  and as  $A \cup B = R$  and  $A \cap B = \emptyset$  we have also  $P \subset \varphi_d(Q)$  and  $S \subset \varphi_d(G)$ , hence  $P \cup S \subset \varphi_d(G) \cap \varphi_d(Q)$ . The sets  $G$  and  $Q$  are regular open - thus  $\varphi_d(G) = \varphi_c(G)$  and  $\varphi_d(Q) = \varphi_c(Q)$ .

Suppose that  $P \cup S$  is nonempty. This implies  $\varphi_c(G) \cap \varphi_c(Q) \neq \emptyset$ , hence from Theorem 1 4) and 1)  $G \cap Q$  is nonempty, hence of the second category. As  $G \cap Q \subset \varphi_c(G) \cap \varphi_c(Q)$  we have  $\varphi_c(G) \cap \varphi_c(Q)$ , as well as  $(\varphi_c(G) \setminus P) \cap (\varphi_c(Q) \setminus S)$  to be of the second category. The last is in contradiction with earlier supposition, that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , so we have  $P \cup S$  to be empty. Thus  $R = \varphi_c(G) \cup \varphi_c(Q)$  and  $\varphi_c(G) \cap \varphi_c(Q) = \emptyset$ . Which in view of  $\varphi_c(G), \varphi_c(Q) \in \mathcal{T}_d$  is in contradiction with the fact that  $(R, \mathcal{T}_d)$  is connected space.

**T h e o r e m 12.**  $(R, \mathcal{T}_c)$  is not locally connected at any point.

**P r o o f.** The set  $[0,1] \setminus Q$ , where  $Q$  is the set of rationals is the neighbourhood of the point  $x = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$  in the  $\mathcal{T}_c$ -topology, and no subset of it is  $\mathcal{T}_c$ -open and  $\mathcal{T}_c$ -connected. Indeed, suppose that there exists  $B \subset [0,1] \setminus Q$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_c$ -open and  $\mathcal{T}_c$ -connected and containing  $x$ . Let  $\alpha$  be any element of the set  $(\inf B, \sup B) \cap Q$ . We have the sets  $(0, \alpha) \cap B$  and  $(\alpha, 1) \cap B$  to be  $\mathcal{T}_c$ -open and as  $B$  is a subset of  $(0, \alpha) \cup \cup (\alpha, 1)$  it cannot be  $\mathcal{T}_c$ -connected. As  $\sigma$ -algebras

and  $\sigma$ -ideals, we are dealing with, are invariant in respect to linear transformation the property holds at any point from  $R$ .

**T h e o r e m 13.** Every set of measure zero is contained in  $\mathcal{T}_c$ - open set of measure zero. Every set of the first category is contained in  $\mathcal{T}_d$ - open set of the first category.

**P r o o f.** We can represent  $R$  as the union of two disjoint sets  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ , where  $A_1$  is of the first category and  $A_2$  is of measure zero. If  $A$  is a set of measure zero then  $A_2 \cup A$  is the required  $\mathcal{T}_c$ - open set of measure zero. If  $A$  is a set of the first category, then  $A_1 \cup A$  is the required  $\mathcal{T}_d$ - open set of the first category.

Let us consider now some properties of continuous functions from  $(R, \mathcal{T}_c)$  into  $R$  equipped with the natural topology.

**D e f i n i t i o n 4.** We shall say that a function  $f : R \longrightarrow R$  is  $c$ -approximately continuous at  $x_0$  if and only if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  the set  $f^{-1}((f(x_0)-\varepsilon, f(x_0)+\varepsilon))$  has  $x_0$  as a  $c$ -density point.

**D e f i n i t i o n 5.** We shall say that a function  $f : R \longrightarrow R$  is  $c$ -approximately continuous if and only if for every interval  $(y_1, y_2)$  the set  $f^{-1}((y_1, y_2))$  belongs to  $\mathcal{T}_c$ .

From the above definitions we obtain immediately the following theorem.

**T h e o r e m 14.** A function  $f : R \longrightarrow R$  is  $c$ -approximately continuous if and only if it is  $c$ -approximately

continuous at every point.

We have also

**T h e o r e m 15.** A function  $f : R \longrightarrow R$  has a Baire property if and only if it is  $c$ -approximately continuous I - a.e.

**P r o o f.** We shall start with the following lemma.

**L e m m a 2.** If the function  $f : R \longrightarrow R$  is  $c$ -approximately continuous at point  $x_0$ , then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  the set  $f^{-1}((f(x_0)-\varepsilon, f(x_0)+\varepsilon))$  includes a neighbourhood of  $x_0$  in the  $\mathcal{T}_c$ -topology, which has the Baire property.

**P r o o f of the lemma.** Let  $f : R \longrightarrow R$  be  $c$ -approximately continuous at point  $x_0$  and  $\varepsilon$  be an arbitrary positive real number. According to Def. 4. the set  $D = f^{-1}((f(x_0)-\varepsilon, f(x_0)+\varepsilon))$  has  $x_0$  as a  $c$ -density point i.e.  $x_0 \in \varphi_c(D)$ . Obviously,  $x_0 \in D \cap \varphi_c(D)$  which is  $\mathcal{T}_c$ -open since  $D \cap \varphi_c(D) = \varphi_c(D) \setminus (\varphi_c(D) \setminus D)$ , where  $\varphi_c(D) \setminus D$ , is from I. From Theorem 7 we have  $D \cap \varphi_c(D)$  to have the Baire property. This ends the proof of the lemma.

The theorem can be now be proved in a way similar to the proof of Theorem 7 in [3].

**T h e o r e m 16.** If a function  $f : R \longrightarrow R$  is  $c$ -approximately continuous, then it is continuous in a.e.-topology.

**P r o o f.** Suppose  $f : R \longrightarrow R$  is  $c$ -approximately continuous function.

Let  $(a,b) \subset R$ . We have  $f^{-1}((a,b)) = (G \setminus H) \cup P$  where  $G$  is regular open,  $P \subset \varphi_c(G) = \varphi_d(G)$  and  $H, P \in I$  and we can assume  $H \subset G$  and  $P \cap G = \emptyset$ . From Theorem 3.b it is clear

that  $P$  is nowhere dense set of measure zero. Let  $x \in H$ . We shall show that  $f(x) \in [a, b]$ . Really, as  $f$  is  $c$ -approximately continuous we have from Theorem 2 that the set  $f^{-1}((f(x)-\varepsilon, f(x)+\varepsilon))$  has common points with  $f^{-1}((a, b))$  for every  $\varepsilon$  since the last set is residual in  $G$ . Hence for every  $\varepsilon$  the set  $(a, b)$  intersects with  $(f(x)-\varepsilon, f(x)+\varepsilon)$  and therefore  $f(x) \in [a, b]$ . This implies that  $G$  is a subset of  $f^{-1}([a, b])$ .

We can repeat the above considerations for every set of the form  $(a + \frac{1}{n}, b - \frac{1}{n})$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and we have  $f^{-1}((a + \frac{1}{n}, b - \frac{1}{n})) = (G_n \setminus H_n) \cup P_n$ , where  $G_n$  is regular open,  $P_n \subset \varphi_c(G_n) = \varphi_d(G_n)$ ,  $H_n$  and  $P_n$  are from  $I$ ,  $H_n \subset G_n$ ,  $P_n \cap G_n = \emptyset$ ,  $P_n$  is nowhere dense set of measure zero and  $G_n \subset f^{-1}([a + \frac{1}{n}, b - \frac{1}{n}])$ . Hence we have  $f^{-1}((a, b)) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}((a + \frac{1}{n}, b - \frac{1}{n})) \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n \cup P_n \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}([a + \frac{1}{n}, b - \frac{1}{n}]) = f^{-1}((a, b))$ , thus  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n \cup P_n = f^{-1}((a, b))$ .

We have  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n \cup P_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n$  where  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n$  is open and  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n$  is of the first category and of measure zero.

Since for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$   $P_n \subset \varphi_d(G_n) \subset \varphi_d(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n)$  then  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n \subset \varphi_d(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n)$  and we have  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n \cup P_n = f^{-1}((a, b))$  to be an a.e.-

open set.

**C o r o l l a r y 5.** The a.e.- topology is the coarsests topology, for which every c-approximately continuous function is continuous.

**C o r o l l a r y 6.** Every c-approximately continuous function is d-approximately continuous.

**C o r o l l a r y 7.** Every c-approximately continuous function is of the first class of Baire and has the Darboux property.

**T h e o r e m 17.** There is a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which is d-approximately continuous d-almost everywhere ; but the set of c-approximate discontinuity of  $f$  is of positive measure.

**P r o o f.** Let  $C$  be a Cantor set of positive measure in  $[0,1]$ . We put  $f(x) = 0$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus ([0,1] \setminus C)$  and to be a "hat" function of altitude 1 on every component  $(a_n, b_n)$  of  $[0,1] \setminus C$ , i.e.  $f(a_n) = f(b_n) = 0$ ,  $f(\frac{1}{2}(a_n + b_n)) = 1$  and  $f$  is linear on  $[a_n, \frac{1}{2}(a_n + b_n)]$  and on  $[\frac{1}{2}(a_n + b_n), b_n]$ . Then, obviously,  $f$  is d-approximately continuous at any point of the set  $(C \cap \varphi_d(C)) \cup (\mathbb{R} \setminus C)$ . Now let  $x_0 \in C \cap \varphi_d(C)$ . We shall show that  $f$  is not c-approximately continuous at  $x_0$ . Indeed, the set  $\{x : f(x) < \frac{1}{2}\}$  has not c-density 1 at  $x_0$ . It is not difficult to see, as  $G(\{x : f(x) < \frac{1}{2}\})$ , equal to  $(-\infty, 0) \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n, a_n + \frac{b_n - a_n}{4}) \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (b_n - \frac{b_n - a_n}{4}, b_n) \cup (1, \infty)$ , being disjoint with  $C$ , has not d-density 1 at  $x_0$ .

**T h e o r e m 18.** In  $(R, \mathcal{T}_c)$  space a set is connected iff it has the form  $(a, b)$ ,  $(a, b]$ ,  $[a, b)$ ,  $[a, b]$  ( $a \leq b$ ,  $a, b \in R$ ), or  $(-\infty, \infty)$ ,  $(-\infty, a)$ ,  $(-\infty, a]$ ,  $(a, \infty)$ ,  $[a, \infty)$  ( $a \in R$ ).

**P r o o f.** As Euclidean continuous functions are  $c$ -approximately continuous and  $\mathcal{T}_c$ -connected sets are Euclidean connected we can follow proof of (10.1.16) from [1].

Author was recently informed that  $\mathcal{T}_c$ -topology can be also defined as a \*-modification of a.e.-topology (see [7] for definition).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. C s a s z a r : General topology, Akademiai Kiado, Budapest 1978.
- [2] J. C. O x t o b y : Measure and category, Springer-Verlag, New York (second edition) 1981.
- [3] W. P o r e d a, E. W a g n e r - B o j a k o w s k a, W. W i l c z y ń s k i : A category analogue of the density topology, Fund. Math. 125 (1985), 167-173.
- [4] W. P o r e d a, E. W a g n e r - B o j a k o w s k a, W. W i l c z y ń s k i : Remarks on I-density and I-approximately continuous functions, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae, 26.3 (1985), 553-563.
- [5] S. S c h e i n b e r g : Topologies which generate a complete measure algebra, Adv. Math. 7 (1971), 231-239.

- [6] W. W i l c z y ń s k i, V. A v e r s a : Some remarks on  
I-approximately continuous functions, Ricerche di  
Matematica, Vol. 33 fasc 1 (1984), 63-79.
- [7] L. Z a j i ń c e k : Porosity I-density topology and  
abstract density topologies, Real Analysis Exchange,  
Vol. 12 (1986-87), 313-326.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY,  
93-590 ŁÓDŹ, POLAND

Received October 31, 1987.