

Marek Łatko

ON A CONSTRUCTION OF ALL DE BRUIJN CYCLES DEFINED
BY LEMPEL'S HOMOMORPHISM1. Introduction

The de Bruijn graph G_n is a directed graph which vertices are elements of the set $B^n = \{0,1\}^n$. Two vertices $\underline{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and $\underline{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$ are joined by an arc directed from \underline{x} to \underline{y} (\underline{y} is a successor of \underline{x}) iff $y_i = x_{i+1}$, for $i=1, \dots, n-1$. A factor of G_n is each of its subgraphs formed by a set of disjoint cycles, containing all the vertices G_n . There is a one-to-one relation between each factor of G_n and a certain boolean function $f: B^n \rightarrow B$ which determines connections among vertices in the cycles of the factor of G_n . A vertex (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) is connected with a vertex $(x_2, \dots, x_n, f(x_1, \dots, x_n))$ in a factor of G_n determined by the function f .

There exist $2^{2^{n-1}-n}$ factors of G_n , each of which has a cycle enfolding all vertices of G_n [1]. Such a cycle is called the de Bruijn cycle of span n . The problem of determination of de Bruijn cycles is a basic problem of the theory of de Bruijn graphs. Fredricksen [3] reviewed methods of determining such cycles. Most of these methods are based upon Yoeli's [8] theorem describing the ways of joining and disjoining of the cycles, e.g. if two conjugated vertices $\underline{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and $\hat{\underline{x}} = (x_1+1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ are in two disjoint cycles we can join these cycles by exchanging their

successors. In the opposite situation, the cycles are disjointed. So, it is possible to construct the de Bruijn cycle of span n out of the factors with $r \geq 2$ cycles. In order to do that $r-1$ pairs of conjugated vertices should be determined. It is obvious that it is simplest when a factor has only two cycles ($r=2$).

Lempel [4] described the construction of a certain class of such factors basing on a homomorphism D from G_n to G_{n-1} . The inverse $D^{-1}\underline{s}$ of any de Bruijn cycle \underline{s} of span $n-1$ is a factor of G_n . Such a factor, called D -factor of \underline{s} , has exactly two cycles, each of which enfolds 2^{n-1} vertices. The only problem left is joining of its cycles. Lempel [4] showed two connections of this type for any D -factor; other were studied by [6,7].

Also Games [2] described a method of constructing some of two-cycle factors having the same connections as D -factor $D^{-1}\underline{s}$.

The present paper deals with the construction of all such **factors**. In addition the fact that there is no need to construct D -factor $D^{-1}\underline{s}$ as a cycle \underline{s} itself determines the connections. It means a complete recurrence of this problem.

2. Preliminaria

We recall here notions and notations from [2].

A cycle $\underline{s} = (s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{p-1})$ in the de Bruijn graph G_n is a sequence of distinct vertices s_i , $i=0, 1, \dots, p-1$, with s_i adjacent to s_{i+1} , $i=0, 1, \dots, p-2$, and s_{p-1} adjacent to s_0 . The image of a cycle \underline{s} is the set $\text{Im } \underline{s} = \{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{p-1}\}$.

A convenient method of representing such a cycle \underline{s} is by means a sequence of p binary digits $(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{p-1})$, where vectors $\underline{s}_i = (s_i, s_{i+1}, \dots, s_{i+n-1})$ (subscripts taken mod p), are elements of \underline{s} .

The D -morphism means mapping D from G_n onto G_{n-1} such that for each $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in B^n$ we have

$$D\underline{x} = (x_1 + x_2, x_2 + x_3, \dots, x_{n-1} + x_n).$$

D-morphism is a 2-to-1 map; it maps $\underline{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and the complement $\bar{\underline{x}} = (x_1+1, x_2+1, \dots, x_n+1)$ of \underline{x} , to the same element. Conversely, if $\underline{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n-1}) \in B^{n-1}$, then an element of $D^{-1}\underline{y}$ is determined by its first coordinate, and we define two maps D_0^{-1} and D_1^{-1} from B^{n-1} to B^n , by

$$D_a^{-1}\underline{y} = \left(a, a+y_1, a+y_1+y_2, \dots, a + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i \right)$$

for $a \in \{0, 1\}$.

The D-morphism can be applied to a cycle $\underline{s} = (s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{p-1})$ in G_n to yield a closed path $D\underline{s} = (Ds_0, \dots, Ds_{p-1})$ in G_{n-1} . $D\underline{s}$ is a cycle only in the situation when no complementary vertices occur in $\text{Im}\underline{s}$. If the cycle \underline{s} is written in a binary sequence form $\underline{s} = (s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{p-1})$, then $D\underline{s} = (s_0+s_1, \dots, s_{p-1}+s_0)$.

The inverse of D, when applied to a binary sequence, can take two forms [4]. If the number of nonzero entries in the vector \underline{s} (the weight of \underline{s}) is even, then the inverse image is composed of two complementary sequences:

$$D_0^{-1}\underline{s} = \left(0, s_0, s_0+s_1, \dots, \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} s_i \right),$$

$$D_1^{-1}\underline{s} = \left(1, 1+s_0, 1+s_0+s_1, \dots, 1 + \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} s_i \right),$$

otherwise a single sequence of period $2p$ results

$$D^{-1}\underline{s} = \left\{ \left(0, s_0, \dots, \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} s_i, 1, 1+s_0, \dots, 1 + \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} s_i \right) \right\}.$$

Now let us define a function $I_{\underline{s}}: T_{\underline{s}} \times T_{\underline{s}} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, where $T_{\underline{s}} = \{ \underline{x} \in B^n : \{ \underline{x}, \hat{\underline{x}} \} \in \text{Im}\underline{s} \}$ as follows

$$I_{\underline{s}}(\underline{x}, \underline{y}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if there are positive integers } i, j, k, l \text{ such} \\ & \text{that } \underline{s} = (\underline{s}_0, \dots, \underline{s}_i, \dots, \underline{s}_j, \dots, \underline{s}_k, \dots, \underline{s}_l, \dots, \underline{s}_{p-1}) \\ & \text{and } \{\underline{x}, \hat{\underline{x}}\} = \{\underline{s}_i, \underline{s}_k\} \text{ and } \{\underline{y}, \hat{\underline{y}}\} = \{\underline{s}_j, \underline{s}_l\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The range of $I_{\underline{s}}$ will be presented in the form of a square binary matrix and denoted by $L_{\underline{s}}$. We assume that rows and columns of $L_{\underline{s}}$ are ordered according to lexicographical order of elements of the set $T_{\underline{s}}$.

For a nonempty subset T of $T_{\underline{s}}$ we denote the submatrix of $L_{\underline{s}}$ restricted to $T \times T$ by means of $L_{\underline{s}}|_T$. By \underline{s}^T we denote the set of all cycles obtained by interchanging the successors of conjugated elements \underline{x} and $\hat{\underline{x}}$, where $\underline{x} \in T$. If a set \underline{s}^T contains exactly one cycle, such a cycle will be denoted also by means symbol \underline{s}^T .

Let $T_{\underline{s}}^0 = \{\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in T_{\underline{s}} : x_1 = 0\}$.

Theorem 2.1. [5]. For any cycle \underline{s} in G_n and nonempty $T \subset T_{\underline{s}}^0$ the set \underline{s}^T contains a single cycle iff $L_{\underline{s}}|_T$ is a nonsingular matrix over $GF(2)$.

Moreover $\text{Im } \underline{s} = \text{Im } \underline{s}^T$.

Remark. It follows immediately from the definition of $T_{\underline{s}}^0$ that if $\underline{x}, \underline{y} \in T_{\underline{s}}^0$ then we have

$$I_{\underline{s}}(\underline{x}, \underline{y}) = I_{\underline{s}}(\hat{\underline{x}}, \underline{y}) = I_{\underline{s}}(\underline{x}, \hat{\underline{y}}) = I_{\underline{s}}(\hat{\underline{x}}, \hat{\underline{y}}) = I_{\underline{s}}(\underline{y}, \underline{x}).$$

Thereby the matrix $L_{\underline{s}}$ has a form

$$L_{\underline{s}} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} L_{\underline{s}}|_{T_{\underline{s}}^0} & L_{\underline{s}}|_{T_{\underline{s}}^0} \\ \hline L_{\underline{s}}|_{T_{\underline{s}}^0} & L_{\underline{s}}|_{T_{\underline{s}}^0} \end{array} \right]$$

For any two natural numbers i, j ($i < j$) the sequence of consecutive elements $(\underline{s}_i, \underline{s}_{i+1}, \dots, \underline{s}_j)$ of the cycle \underline{s} will be denoted as $\langle \underline{s}_i, \underline{s}_j \rangle_{\underline{s}}$, and the sum $\underline{s}_i + \underline{s}_{i+1} + \dots + \underline{s}_j \pmod{2}$ - as $|\langle \underline{s}_i, \underline{s}_j \rangle_{\underline{s}}|$.

Let now i, j, k, l be natural numbers such that $0 < i < j < k < l < p-1$ and $\{\underline{a}, \hat{\underline{a}}\} = \{\underline{s}_i, \underline{s}_k\}$, $\{\underline{b}, \hat{\underline{b}}\} = \{\underline{s}_j, \underline{s}_l\}$. The cycle \underline{s} can be described as follows

$$\underline{s} = \langle \underline{s}_0, \underline{s}_i \rangle_{\underline{s}} \langle \underline{s}_{i+1}, \underline{s}_j \rangle_{\underline{s}} \langle \underline{s}_{j+1}, \underline{s}_k \rangle_{\underline{s}} \langle \underline{s}_{k+1}, \underline{s}_l \rangle_{\underline{s}} \langle \underline{s}_{l+1}, \underline{s}_{p-1} \rangle_{\underline{s}}.$$

As $\mathcal{I}_{\underline{s}}(\underline{a}, \underline{b}) = 1$, then it follows from Theorem 2.1 that

$$\underline{s}\{\underline{a}, \underline{b}\} = \langle \underline{s}_0, \underline{s}_i \rangle_{\underline{s}} \langle \underline{s}_{k+1}, \underline{s}_l \rangle_{\underline{s}} \langle \underline{s}_{j+1}, \underline{s}_k \rangle_{\underline{s}} \langle \underline{s}_{i+1}, \underline{s}_j \rangle_{\underline{s}} \langle \underline{s}_{l+1}, \underline{s}_{p-1} \rangle_{\underline{s}}.$$

Obviously, $\text{Im}_{\underline{s}} = \text{Im}_{\underline{s}}\{\underline{a}, \underline{b}\}$.

Example 2.1. The cycle $\underline{s} = (0000, 0001, 0011, 0111, 1111, 1110, 1101, 1010, 0101, 1011, 0110, 1100, 1001, 0010, 0100, 1000)$ is a de Bruijn cycle of span 4. Then

$$\mathcal{T}_{\underline{s}}^0 = \{0000, 0001, 0010, 0011, 0100, 0101, 0110, 0111\}$$

and

$$L_{\underline{s}}|_{\mathcal{T}_{\underline{s}}^0} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

For $\mathcal{T} = \{0001, 0011, 0100, 0110\}$ we obtain a nonsingular matrix

$$L_{\underline{s}}|\mathcal{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and $\underline{s}^T = (0000, 0001, 0010, 0100, 1001, 0011, 0110, 1101, 1010, 0101, 1011, 0111, 1111, 1110, 1100, 1000)$.

There exist 15 different nonsingular submatrices of $L_{\underline{s}}|T_{\underline{s}}^0$ each of which determines one de Bruijn sequence of span 4.

3. Joining of cycles of D-factor

Here a relation between sets of joints of cycles of two different D-factors of G_{n+1} will be shown.

Let $\underline{s} = (\underline{s}_0, \underline{s}_1, \dots, \underline{s}_{2^n-1})$ be a de Bruijn cycle of span n . Since the weight of the sequence \underline{s} is even, we have $D^{-1}\underline{s} = \{\underline{s}_{(0)}, \underline{s}_{(1)}\}$, where

$$\underline{s}_{(0)} = D_0^{-1}\underline{s} \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{s}_{(1)} = D_1^{-1}\underline{s}.$$

Cycles $\{\underline{s}_{(0)}, \underline{s}_{(1)}\}$ form D-factor of G_{n+1} [4]. Our aim here is to join the cycles $\underline{s}_{(0)}$ and $\underline{s}_{(1)}$ into one cycle \underline{u} which is a de Bruijn cycle of span $n+1$. It is possible if and only if there exists $\underline{x} \in B^{n+1}$ such that

$$\underline{u}(\underline{x}) = \{\underline{s}_{(0)}, \underline{s}_{(1)}\}.$$

Let $A_{\underline{s}}$ denote the set of all such joints, e.g.

$$\{\underline{x} \in B^{n+1}: \underline{x} \in \text{Im}\underline{s}_{(0)} \text{ and } \hat{\underline{x}} \in \text{Im}\underline{s}_{(1)} \text{ or } \hat{\underline{x}} \in \text{Im}\underline{s}_{(0)} \text{ and } \underline{x} \in \text{Im}\underline{s}_{(1)}\}.$$

Lempel [4] showed that for any de Bruijn cycle \underline{s} of span n the set $A_{\underline{s}}$ is nonempty.

Let us consider the mapping $\lambda_{\underline{s}}: B^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ such that

$$\lambda_{\underline{s}}(\underline{x}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } \underline{x} \in D(A_{\underline{s}}) \\ 1 & \text{for } \underline{x} \in D(B^{n+1} - A_{\underline{s}}) \end{cases}$$

where $D(A_{\underline{s}})$ is an image of the set $A_{\underline{s}}$ under D . It is worth to note that $\lambda_{\underline{s}}(\underline{x}) = \lambda_{\underline{s}}(\hat{\underline{x}})$.

Determination of the mapping $\lambda_{\underline{s}}$ means exactly the same as determination of all joints of the cycles of D-factor $\{\underline{s}_{(0)}, \underline{s}_{(1)}\}$.

So, if $\lambda_{\underline{s}}(\underline{x}) = 0$, then

(3.1) $D_0^{-1}\underline{x}$, $D_1^{-1}\underline{x}$, $D_0^{-1}\hat{\underline{x}}$, $D_1^{-1}\hat{\underline{x}}$ are elements of the set $A_{\underline{s}}$;

(3.2) $D_0^{-1}\underline{x}$, $D_1^{-1}\hat{\underline{x}}$ and $D_0^{-1}\hat{\underline{x}}$, $D_1^{-1}\underline{x}$ are conjugates in B^{n+1} , respectively.

In [7] the following theorem determining the values of mapping $\lambda_{\underline{s}}$ was proved.

Theorem 3.1. For a de Bruijn cycle $\underline{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_{2^n-1})$ of span n and any $\underline{x} \in B^n$, if i and k ($i < k$) are natural numbers such that $\{\underline{x}, \hat{\underline{x}}\} = \{s_i, s_k\}$, then

$$(3.3) \quad \lambda_{\underline{s}}(\underline{x}) = s_i + s_{i+1} + \dots + s_{k-1} \pmod{2}.$$

Let us consider two elements \underline{a} and \underline{b} from the cycle \underline{s} such that $I_{\underline{s}}(\underline{a}, \underline{b}) = 1$. Let \underline{r} denote a de Bruijn cycle of span n being the only element of the set $\underline{s}\{\underline{a}, \underline{b}\}$. Our aim here is to show the relation between sets $A_{\underline{s}}$ and $A_{\underline{r}}$ containing, respectively, joints of the cycles of the D-factors $\{\underline{s}_{(0)}, \underline{s}_{(1)}\}$ and $\{\underline{r}_{(0)}, \underline{r}_{(1)}\}$. This relation can be expressed as a relation between functions $\lambda_{\underline{s}}$ and $\lambda_{\underline{r}}$.

Theorem 3.2. For any elements \underline{a} and \underline{b} from the set B^n and a de Bruijn cycle \underline{s} of span n , if $I_{\underline{s}}(\underline{a}, \underline{b}) = 1$, then for the de Bruijn cycle $\underline{r} = \underline{s}\{\underline{a}, \underline{b}\}$ of span n the function $\lambda_{\underline{r}}$ is determined as follows

$$(3.4) \quad \lambda_{\underline{r}}(\underline{x}) = \begin{cases} \lambda_{\underline{s}}(\underline{b}) & \text{for } \underline{x} = \underline{a} \\ \lambda_{\underline{s}}(\underline{a}) & \text{for } \underline{x} = \underline{b} \\ \lambda_{\underline{s}}(\underline{x}) + I_{\underline{s}}(\underline{a}, \underline{x})(\lambda_{\underline{s}}(\underline{b}) + 1) + & \text{for } \underline{x} \neq \underline{a}, \underline{b} \\ \quad + I_{\underline{s}}(\underline{b}, \underline{x})(\lambda_{\underline{s}}(\underline{a}) + 1) & \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let i, j, k, l be the natural numbers such that $0 \leq i < j < k < l \leq 2^n - 1$ and $\{\underline{a}, \hat{\underline{a}}\} = \{s_i, s_k\}$, $\{\underline{b}, \hat{\underline{b}}\} = \{s_j, s_l\}$. Moreover let

$$\underline{s} = \langle s_0, s_1 \rangle_{\underline{s}} \langle s_{i+1}, s_j \rangle_{\underline{s}} \langle s_{j+1}, s_k \rangle_{\underline{s}} \langle s_{k+1}, s_l \rangle_{\underline{s}} \langle s_{l+1}, s_{2^{n-1}} \rangle_{\underline{s}}.$$

The proof will be made for consecutive cases:

A. Let $\underline{x} \in \{\underline{a}, \underline{b}\}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{r} = \underline{s}\{\underline{a}, \underline{b}\} &= \langle s_0, s_1 \rangle_{\underline{s}} \langle s_{k+1}, s_l \rangle_{\underline{s}} \langle s_{j+1}, s_k \rangle_{\underline{s}} \langle s_{i+1}, s_j \rangle_{\underline{s}} \\ &\quad \langle s_{i+1}, s_{2^{n-1}} \rangle_{\underline{s}} \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} (3.5) \quad \lambda_{\underline{r}}(\underline{a}) &= |\langle s_1, s_{k-1} \rangle_{\underline{r}}| = s_1 + |\langle s_{k+1}, s_l \rangle_{\underline{s}}| + |\langle s_{j+1}, s_{k-1} \rangle_{\underline{s}}| = \\ &= s_j + |\langle s_{j+1}, s_{k-1} \rangle_{\underline{s}}| + s_k + |\langle s_{k+1}, s_{l-1} \rangle_{\underline{s}}| + \\ &+ s_1 + s_j + s_k + s_l = |\langle s_j, s_{l-1} \rangle_{\underline{s}}| = \lambda_{\underline{s}}(\underline{b}). \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way we arrive at the equality

$$(3.6) \quad \lambda_{\underline{r}}(\underline{b}) = \lambda_{\underline{s}}(\underline{a}).$$

B. Let $\underline{x} \notin \{\underline{a}, \underline{b}\}$ and p, q ($p < q$) be the natural numbers such that $\{\underline{x}, \hat{\underline{x}}\} = \{\underline{s}_p, \underline{s}_q\}$.

If $\tau_{\underline{s}}(\underline{a}, \underline{x}) = \tau_{\underline{s}}(\underline{b}, \underline{x}) = 0$, then from the definition of \underline{r} follows the equality

$$(3.7) \quad \lambda_{\underline{r}}(\underline{x}) = \lambda_{\underline{s}}(\underline{x}).$$

If $\tau_{\underline{s}}(\underline{a}, \underline{x}) = 1$ and $\tau_{\underline{s}}(\underline{b}, \underline{x}) = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{s} &= \langle s_0, s_p \rangle_{\underline{s}} \langle s_{p+1}, s_1 \rangle_{\underline{s}} \langle s_{i+1}, s_q \rangle_{\underline{s}} \langle s_{q+1}, s_j \rangle_{\underline{s}} \langle s_{j+1}, s_k \rangle_{\underline{s}} \langle s_{k+1}, s_l \rangle_{\underline{s}} \\ &\quad \langle s_{l+1}, s_{2^{n-1}} \rangle_{\underline{s}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{r} = \underline{s}\{\underline{a}, \underline{b}\} &= \langle s_0, s_p \rangle_{\underline{s}} \langle s_{p+1}, s_1 \rangle_{\underline{s}} \langle s_{k+1}, s_l \rangle_{\underline{s}} \langle s_{j+1}, s_k \rangle_{\underline{s}} \langle s_{i+1}, s_q \rangle_{\underline{s}} \\ &\quad \langle s_{q+1}, s_j \rangle_{\underline{s}} \langle s_{l+1}, s_{2^{n-1}} \rangle_{\underline{s}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.8) \quad \lambda_{\underline{x}}(\underline{x}) &= |\langle \underline{s}_p, \underline{s}_{q-1} \rangle_{\underline{x}}| = s_p + |\langle \underline{s}_{p+1}, \underline{s}_1 \rangle_{\underline{s}}| + |\langle \underline{s}_{k+1}, \underline{s}_1 \rangle_{\underline{s}}| + \\
 &|\langle \underline{s}_{j+1}, \underline{s}_k \rangle_{\underline{s}}| + |\langle \underline{s}_{1+1}, \underline{s}_{q-1} \rangle_{\underline{s}}| = s_p + |\langle \underline{s}_{p+1}, \underline{s}_1 \rangle_{\underline{s}}| + \\
 &|\langle \underline{s}_{1+1}, \underline{s}_{q-1} \rangle_{\underline{s}}| + s_j + |\langle \underline{s}_{j+1}, \underline{s}_k \rangle_{\underline{s}}| + |\langle \underline{s}_{k+1}, \underline{s}_{1-1} \rangle_{\underline{s}}| + \\
 &s_1 + s_j = |\langle \underline{s}_p, \underline{s}_{q-1} \rangle_{\underline{s}}| + |\langle \underline{s}_j, \underline{s}_{1-1} \rangle_{\underline{s}}| + 1 = \\
 &\lambda_{\underline{s}}(\underline{x}) + \lambda_{\underline{s}}(\underline{b}) + 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if $\tau_{\underline{s}}(\underline{a}, \underline{x}) = 0$ and $\tau_{\underline{s}}(\underline{b}, \underline{x}) = 1$, then

$$(3.9) \quad \lambda_{\underline{x}}(\underline{x}) = \lambda_{\underline{s}}(\underline{x}) + \lambda_{\underline{s}}(\underline{a}) + 1.$$

If $\tau_{\underline{s}}(\underline{a}, \underline{x}) = \tau_{\underline{s}}(\underline{b}, \underline{x}) = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \underline{s} &= \langle \underline{s}_0, \underline{s}_1 \rangle_{\underline{s}} \langle \underline{s}_{1+1}, \underline{s}_p \rangle_{\underline{s}} \langle \underline{s}_{p+1}, \underline{s}_j \rangle_{\underline{s}} \langle \underline{s}_{j+1}, \underline{s}_k \rangle_{\underline{s}} \langle \underline{s}_{k+1}, \underline{s}_q \rangle_{\underline{s}} \langle \underline{s}_{q+1}, \underline{s}_1 \rangle_{\underline{s}} \\
 &\langle \underline{s}_{1+1}, \underline{s}_{2^{n-1}} \rangle_{\underline{s}}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \underline{x} = \underline{s} \{ \underline{a}, \underline{b} \} &= \langle \underline{s}_0, \underline{s}_1 \rangle_{\underline{s}} \langle \underline{s}_{k+1}, \underline{s}_q \rangle_{\underline{s}} \langle \underline{s}_{q+1}, \underline{s}_1 \rangle_{\underline{s}} \langle \underline{s}_{j+1}, \underline{s}_k \rangle_{\underline{s}} \langle \underline{s}_{1+1}, \underline{s}_p \rangle_{\underline{s}} \\
 &\langle \underline{s}_{p+1}, \underline{s}_j \rangle_{\underline{s}} \langle \underline{s}_{1+1}, \underline{s}_{2^{n-1}} \rangle_{\underline{s}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thereby

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.10) \quad \lambda_{\underline{x}}(\underline{x}) &= |\langle \underline{s}_p, \underline{s}_{q-1} \rangle_{\underline{x}}| = |\langle \underline{s}_q, \underline{s}_{p-1} \rangle_{\underline{x}}| = s_q + |\langle \underline{s}_{q+1}, \underline{s}_1 \rangle_{\underline{s}}| + \\
 &|\langle \underline{s}_{j+1}, \underline{s}_k \rangle_{\underline{s}}| + |\langle \underline{s}_{1+1}, \underline{s}_{p-1} \rangle_{\underline{s}}| = (s_p + |\langle \underline{s}_{p+1}, \underline{s}_j \rangle_{\underline{s}}| + \\
 &|\langle \underline{s}_{j+1}, \underline{s}_k \rangle_{\underline{s}}| + |\langle \underline{s}_{k+1}, \underline{s}_{q-1} \rangle_{\underline{s}}|) + (s_1 + |\langle \underline{s}_{1+1}, \underline{s}_{p-1} \rangle_{\underline{s}}| + \\
 &s_p + |\langle \underline{s}_{p+1}, \underline{s}_j \rangle_{\underline{s}}| + |\langle \underline{s}_{j+1}, \underline{s}_{k-1} \rangle_{\underline{s}}|) + \\
 &(s_j + |\langle \underline{s}_{j+1}, \underline{s}_{k-1} \rangle_{\underline{s}}| + s_k + |\langle \underline{s}_{k+1}, \underline{s}_{q-1} \rangle_{\underline{s}}| + \\
 &|\langle \underline{s}_q, \underline{s}_{1-1} \rangle_{\underline{s}}|) + (s_1 + s_j + s_k + s_1) = \lambda_{\underline{s}}(\underline{x}) + \lambda_{\underline{s}}(\underline{a}) + \lambda_{\underline{s}}(\underline{b}).
 \end{aligned}$$

From (3.7)-(3.10) we arrive the following equality

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{\underline{x}}(\underline{x}) &= \lambda_{\underline{s}}(\underline{x}) + \lambda_{\underline{s}}(\underline{a})\mathcal{I}_{\underline{s}}(\underline{b}, \underline{x}) + \lambda_{\underline{s}}(\underline{b})\mathcal{I}_{\underline{s}}(\underline{a}, \underline{x}) + \mathcal{I}_{\underline{s}}(\underline{a}, \underline{x}) + \\ &+ \mathcal{I}_{\underline{s}}(\underline{b}, \underline{x}) = \lambda_{\underline{s}}(\underline{x}) + \mathcal{I}_{\underline{s}}(\underline{a}, \underline{x})(1 + \lambda_{\underline{s}}(\underline{b})) + \\ &+ \mathcal{I}_{\underline{s}}(\underline{b}, \underline{x})(1 + \lambda_{\underline{s}}(\underline{a})).\end{aligned}$$

E x a m p l e 3.1. Let us consider a de Bruijn cycle \underline{s} of span 4 presented in Example 2.1. There exist seven different pairs $(\underline{a}_i, \underline{b}_i) \in T_{\underline{s}}^0 \times T_{\underline{s}}^0$, $i=1, \dots, 7$:

$$\begin{aligned}(\underline{a}_1, \underline{b}_1) &= (0001, 0010), \\ (\underline{a}_2, \underline{b}_2) &= (0001, 0100), \\ (\underline{a}_3, \underline{b}_3) &= (0010, 0011), \\ (\underline{a}_4, \underline{b}_4) &= (0010, 0100), \\ (\underline{a}_5, \underline{b}_5) &= (0010, 0101), \\ (\underline{a}_6, \underline{b}_6) &= (0010, 0110), \\ (\underline{a}_7, \underline{b}_7) &= (0011, 0110),\end{aligned}$$

such that $\mathcal{I}_{\underline{s}}(\underline{a}_i, \underline{b}_i) = 1$.

Since each of the matrices $L_{\underline{s}}|_{\{\underline{a}_i, \underline{b}_i\}}$ is nonsingular over $\text{GF}(2)$, each of the corresponding cycles $\underline{s}|_{\{\underline{a}_i, \underline{b}_i\}}$, $i=1, \dots, 7$, is a de Bruijn cycle of span 4.

The function $\lambda_{\underline{s}}$ is determined in the following way

$$\lambda_{\underline{s}}(\underline{x}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } \underline{x} \in \{0000, 1000\} \\ 0 & \text{for } \underline{x} \in B^4 - \{0000, 1000\}. \end{cases}$$

The sets of values of the functions $\lambda_i = \lambda_{\underline{s}^i}$ determined for the cycles $\underline{s}^i = \underline{s}|_{\{\underline{a}_i, \underline{b}_i\}}$ is shown in Table I.

$$\mathcal{F}_{\underline{s}}^* = \{ \underline{r} : \text{Imr}_{(0)} = \text{Im}_{(0)} \text{ and } \text{Imr}_{(1)} = \text{Im}_{(1)} \}.$$

Some elements of $\mathcal{F}_{\underline{s}}^*$ have been found by Games [2].

Theorem 4.1. [2]. Let \underline{s} be a de Bruijn cycle of span n . If $\{a, b\} \subset \text{Im}_{(0)}$ and $\text{Tr}_{\underline{s}(0)}(a, b) = 1$, then

$$(4.1) \quad \underline{r} = D(\underline{s}_{(0)}^{\{a, b\}}) \text{ is an element of } \mathcal{F}_{\underline{s}}^*.$$

Condition (4.1) allows for determination of some subset of the class $\mathcal{F}_{\underline{s}}^*$. It can be illustrated by the following example.

Example 4.1. Let us consider two de Bruijn cycles of span 4

$$\underline{s} = (0000, 0001, 0011, 0111, 1111, 1110, 1101, 1011, 0110, 1100, 1001, 0010, 0101, 1010, 0100, 1000) \text{ and}$$

$$\underline{r} = (0000, 0001, 0010, 0101, 1010, 0100, 1001, 0011, 0110, 1101, 1011, 0111, 1111, 1110, 1100, 1000).$$

Since for the cycles \underline{s} and \underline{r} the equalities

$$\text{Im}_{(0)} = \text{Imr}_{(0)} \text{ and } \text{Im}_{(1)} = \text{Imr}_{(1)}$$

hold, the cycle \underline{r} belongs to the class $\mathcal{F}_{\underline{s}}^*$.

On the other hand

$$\underline{r} = D(\underline{s}_{(0)}^{\{00001, 00010, 00100, 01000\}})$$

So, the cycle \underline{r} does not fulfill the condition (4.1). For each $n \geq 4$ it is possible to find de Bruijn cycles with the above mentioned characteristics.

Let us formulate a necessary and sufficient condition for the cycle \underline{r} to belong to the class $\mathcal{F}_{\underline{s}}^*$. The condition utilizes only some features of the cycle \underline{s} .

Theorem 4.2. Let \underline{s} be an arbitrary de Bruijn cycle of span n . For any de Bruijn cycle \underline{r} of span n

$$\underline{r} \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{s}}^* \text{ iff } \underline{r} = \underline{s}^T,$$

where T is a subset of $T_{\underline{s}}^0$ fulfilling conditions

$$(4.2) \quad L_{\underline{s}}|_T \text{ is nonsingular matrix over } GF(2);$$

$$(4.3) \quad \lambda_{\underline{s}}(\underline{x}) = 1, \quad \text{for each } \underline{x} \in T.$$

P r o o f . Necessity. Let us assume that $\underline{r} \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{s}}^*$. This means that $\text{Imr}_{(0)} = \text{Im}_{\underline{s}}(0)$ and $\text{Imr}_{(1)} = \text{Im}_{\underline{s}}(1)$. The existence of the set $\tilde{T} \subset T_{\underline{s}(0)}^0$ such that $L_{\underline{s}(0)}|_{\tilde{T}}$ is a nonsingular matrix over $GF(2)$ and $\underline{r}_{(0)} = \underline{s}_{(0)}^{\tilde{T}}$ follows from the theorem 2.1. According to the definition of D we have the equality

$$\mathcal{I}_{\underline{s}(0)}(\underline{a}, \underline{b}) = \mathcal{I}_{\underline{s}}(\underline{D}\underline{a}, \underline{D}\underline{b}), \quad \text{for each } \underline{a}, \underline{b} \in T_{\underline{s}(0)}.$$

A nonsingular matrix $L_{\underline{s}(0)}|_{\tilde{T}}$ corresponds to a nonsingular matrix $L_{\underline{s}}|_T$, where $T = D(\tilde{T})$, which implies the equality $\underline{r} = \underline{s}^T$. Moreover, from the definition of $\lambda_{\underline{s}}$ follows that $\lambda_{\underline{s}}(\underline{x}) = 1$, for each $\underline{x} \in T$.

Sufficiency. Let $\underline{r} = \underline{s}^T$, $L_{\underline{s}}|_T$ is a nonsingular matrix over $GF(2)$ and $\lambda_{\underline{s}}(\underline{x}) = 1$, for each $\underline{x} \in T$.

It follows from Theorem 3.2 that if $\mathcal{I}_{\underline{s}}(\underline{a}, \underline{b}) = 1$ and $\lambda_{\underline{s}}(\underline{a}) = \lambda_{\underline{s}}(\underline{b}) = 1$, then $\lambda_{\underline{s}}(\underline{x}) = \lambda_{\underline{s}}\{\underline{a}, \underline{b}\}(\underline{x})$, for each $\underline{x} \in B^n$.

On the basis of the considerations from the preceding paragraph and (3.11) we can conclude that

$$\lambda_{\underline{s}}(\underline{x}) = \lambda_{\underline{r}}(\underline{x}) \quad \text{for each } \underline{x} \in B^n.$$

The cycle \underline{r} belongs to the class $\mathcal{F}_{\underline{s}}^*$.

Let us consider now a class $\mathcal{F}_{\underline{s}}$ of pairs of cycles $\{\underline{u}, \underline{v}\}$ such that

$$\text{Imu} = \text{Im}_{\underline{s}}(0) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Imv} = \text{Im}_{\underline{s}}(1),$$

for the de Bruijn cycle \underline{s} of span n .

Each element of the class $\mathcal{F}_{\underline{s}}$ is a factor of G_{n+1} . The cycles of factors of $\mathcal{F}_{\underline{s}}$ have identical joints as cycles of D-factor $\{\underline{s}(0), \underline{s}(1)\}$; what is more, these are the only two-cycle factors of G_{n+1} with this characteristics.

Elements of $\mathcal{F}_{\underline{s}}$ can be obtained directly from $\mathcal{F}_{\underline{s}}^*$ in the following way

$$\mathcal{F}_{\underline{s}} = \bigcup_{\underline{r} \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{s}}^*} \left(\bigcup_{\underline{z} \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{s}}^*} \{D_0^{-1}\underline{r}, D_1^{-1}\underline{z}\} \right).$$

So, if two cycles of a factor $\{\underline{u}, \underline{v}\} \in \mathcal{F}_{\underline{s}}$ are joined on elements $\{\underline{x}, \hat{\underline{x}}\}$ from the set $A_{\underline{s}}$, we obtain a de Bruijn cycle of span $n+1$.

E x a m p l e 4.2. Let us consider the de Bruijn cycle of span 5 represented by a binary sequence

$$\underline{s} = (00000110110011111010010101110001).$$

The class $\mathcal{F}_{\underline{s}}^*$ contains 56 de Bruijn cycles of span 5 and the class $\mathcal{F}_{\underline{s}}$ includes 3136 two-cycle factors of G_6 . The cycles of each of these factors can be joined on 14 conjugated pairs $\{\underline{x}, \hat{\underline{x}}\}$ belonging to the set $A_{\underline{s}}$. It allows for the construction of 43904 de Bruijn cycles of span 6.

REFERENCES

- [1] N.G. De Bruijn: A combinatorial problem, Proc. Kon. Ned. Akad. Wetensch., 49 (1946). 758-764.
- [2] R.A. Gammas: A generalized recursive construction for de Bruijn sequences, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, IT-29, (1983) 843-850.
- [3] H. Fredricksen: A survey of full length non-linear shift register cycle algorithms, SIAM rev., 24 (1982) 195-221.

- [4] A. L e m p e l : On a homomorphism of the de Bruijn graph and its applications to the design of feedback shift registers, IEEE Trans. Computers, C-19 (1970) 1204-1209.
- [5] M. Ł a t k o : The similitude of shift registers, Demonstratio Math. 19 (1986) 469-485.
- [6] J. M y k k e l t v e i t , M.K. S i u , P. T o n g : On the cycle structure of some nonlinear shift register sequences, Information and Control, 43 (1979) 202-215.
- [7] M.K. S i u , P. T o n g : Generation of some de Bruijn sequences, Discrete Math., (1980) 97-100.
- [8] M. Y o e l i : Counting with nonlinear binary feedback shift registers, IEEE Trans. Comput., EC-12 (1963) 357-361.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF LUBLIN,
20-618 LUBLIN, POLAND

Received November 5, 1985.

