

Jacek Wesolowski

## CONDITIONING IN LIMIT PROBLEM FOR ORDER STATISTICS

1. Introduction

The Lévy's book [9] contains some results which suggest the following idea of obtaining limit theorems for sums of dependent random variables: to replace all probabilities and expectations in known limit theorems for row-wise independent double sequences into the analogous conditional quantities, where the conditioning is with respect to some specially chosen  $\mathcal{G}$ -fields, and change the convergence of numbers into the convergence in probability. Brown and Eagleson first applied the idea in [1]. They considered the conditioning in respect to the  $\mathcal{G}$ -fields from a double row-wise increasing array. Later, the theory with such a conditioning was developed by Eagleson ([2]), Kłopotowski ([3], [4]), Jakubowski ([5]) and others - still for sums only.

Applying this idea and making use of the conditioning proposed in [1] we prove in the present paper two limit theorems for order statistics in the case of dependent random variables. They are generalizations of some results for row-wise independent double sequence which belong to Loève (see [6]).

We consider a double sequence  $\{\{X_{nk}\}\}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, k_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , of random variables defined on a common probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . The double sequence of  $\mathcal{G}$ -fields  $\{\{\mathcal{F}_{nk}\}\}$  is row-wise increasing and adapted to  $\{\{X_{nk}\}\}$ . For  $\omega \in \Omega$  we order the realizations  $X_{n1}(\omega), \dots, X_{nk_n}(\omega)$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , in the in-

creasing sequence  $\{z_{nk}\}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, k_n$ . Let us define a random variable  $Z_{nk}$  by:  $Z_{nk}(\omega) = z_{nk}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, k_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . The random variable  $Z_{n, k_n - k + 1}$  is the  $k$ -th order statistic. Obviously

$$Z_{n1} = \min\{X_{n1}, \dots, X_{nk_n}\},$$

$$Z_{nk_n} = \max\{X_{n1}, \dots, X_{nk_n}\}.$$

In this paper all the equations between random variables are in the almost sure sense; the symbols  $\sum_{k=1}^{k_n}$ ,  $\prod_{k=1}^{k_n}$  denote  $\sum_{k=1}^{k_n}$ ,  $\prod_{k=1}^{k_n}$ , respectively.

The following lemma taken from [5] is the basic tool in the theory of limit theorems for sums of dependent random variables with the conditioning described above:

**L e m m a .** Let  $\{\{X_{nk}\}\}$ ,  $\{\{\mathcal{F}_{nk}\}\}$  be defined as above. If

$$\prod_k E(\exp(itX_{nk}) \mid \mathcal{F}_{n, k-1}) \xrightarrow{P} z_t \neq 0,$$

then

$$E(\exp(it \sum_k X_{nk})) \rightarrow z_t,$$

where  $t$  is any real number and  $z_t$  is a certain complex number.

We apply the above lemma in the proof of our results for order statistics.

## 2. The case of $r$ -th order statistics

For the double sequences  $\{\{X_{nk}\}\}$ ,  $\{\{\mathcal{F}_{nk}\}\}$  defined above we denote by  $F_{nk}(\cdot \mid \mathcal{F}_{n, k-1})$  the conditional distribution function of  $X_{nk}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, k_n$ , and we have

**T h e o r e m 1.** If the random variables  $X_{nk}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, k_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , are row-wise conditionally uniformly asymptotically identically distributed, i.e.

$$(1) \quad \max_k |F_{nk}(x | \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1}) - F_n(x)| \xrightarrow{P} 0$$

for certain sequence of distribution functions  $F_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , and

$$(2) \quad \sum_k (1 - F_{nk}(x | \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1})) \xrightarrow{P} L(x),$$

then

$$(3) \quad P(Z_{n,k_n-r+1} < x) \rightarrow \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \frac{L^k(x)}{k!} e^{-L(x)},$$

where  $x$  is a real number.

It should be emphasized that the conditions (1) and (2) are the conditional versions of those from the theorem for row-wise independent double sequence. As in [6] the proof is divided into three parts, and also similarly as in [6] the result obtained in the first part (a) follows from the known limit results - see the papers [7], [8] or the book [10]. We present it to make our paper selfcontained and to show how useful Jakubowski's lemma is in proving limit results.

**P r o o f .** Since  $(P(Z_{n,k_n-r+1} < x))$  is a compact sequence, then for its convergence to  $s$  it suffices to prove that from every subsequence we can choose a subsequence convergent to  $s$ . The sequence  $\{F_n(x)\}$  is also compact and consequently we can assume that

$$(4) \quad \max_k |F_{nk}(x | \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1}) - F(x)| \xrightarrow{P} 0$$

for certain distribution function  $F(x)$ . Applying the routine technique of subsequences we can change the convergence in probability in (2) and (4) into the a.s. convergence.

Let us observe that

$$(5) \quad P(Z_{n,k_n-r+1} < x) = P\left(\sum_k I(X_{nk} > x) < r\right)$$

for any  $r = 1, \dots, k_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . Now we consider three possible cases: (a)  $F(x) = 1$ ,  $L(x) < \infty$ , (b)  $0 < F(x) \leq 1$ ,  $L(x) = \infty$ , (c)  $F(x) = 0$ . (Obviously for  $0 \leq F(x) < 1$  we have  $L(x) = \infty$ ).

(a) From the expansion of the exponential function we get

$$\begin{aligned} \log \prod_k E_{n,k-1}(\exp(itI(X_{nk} > x))) &= \\ &= \sum_k \log(1 + (1 - F_{nk}(x | \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1}))(e^{it} - 1)) = \\ &= \sum_k (1 - F_{nk}(x | \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1}))(e^{it} - 1) + \\ &+ \frac{\theta(x)}{2} (e^{it} - 1)^2 \sum_k (1 - F_{nk}(x | \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1}))^2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $|\theta(x)| \leq 1$  and  $E_{n,k-1}(\cdot) = E(\cdot | \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1})$  for any  $k = 1, \dots, k_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k (1 - F_{nk}(x | \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1}))^2 &\leq \\ &\leq \max_k (1 - F_{nk}(x | \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1})) \sum_k (1 - F_{nk}(x | \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1})). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently (2) implies

$$\prod_k E_{n,k-1}(\exp(itI(X_{nk} > x))) \xrightarrow{P} \exp(L(x)(e^{it} - 1)) > 0.$$

Now applying the lemma with  $X_{nk}$  changed into  $I(X_{nk} > x)$  we see that the random variable  $\sum_k I(X_{nk} > x)$  is Poissonian with the mean  $L(x)$  in limit. Hence (3) follows from (5).

(b) Since

$$P(Z_{n,k_n-r+1} < x) = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} P \sum_k I(X_{nk} > x) = 1,$$

then it suffices to show that for any  $i = 0, 1, \dots, r-1$  we have

$$(6) \quad P\left(\sum_k I(X_{nk} > x) = i\right) \rightarrow 0.$$

At first let us observe that

$$(7) \quad P\left(\sum_k I(X_{nk} > x) = i\right) = \\ = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_i \leq k_n} E\left(\prod_{k \neq j_1, \dots, j_i} I(X_{nk} \leq x) \prod_{l=1}^i I(X_{nj_l} > x)\right).$$

Now, we introduce the following definition

$$A = \left\{ \omega \in \Omega : \max_k |F_{nk}(x | \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1})(\omega) - F(x)| \rightarrow 0 \right.$$

$$\text{and } \sum_k (1 - F_{nk}(x | \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1})(\omega)) \rightarrow \infty \left. \right\}.$$

Since the double sequence  $\{\{\mathcal{F}_{nk}\}\}$  is row-wise increasing and  $P(A) = 1$  (consequently  $EX = EXI(A)$  for any integrable random variable  $X$ ) so this together with (7) implies that

$$(8) \quad P\left(\sum_k I(X_{nk} > x) = i\right) \leq \\ \leq \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_i \leq k_n} \sup_{\omega \in A} \prod_{k \neq j_1, \dots, j_i} F_{nk}(x | \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1})(\omega) \times \\ \times \prod_{l=1}^i (1 - F_{nj_l}(x | \mathcal{F}_{n,j_l-1})(\omega)).$$

For any  $\omega \in A$  and sufficiently large  $n$  we have

$$F_{nk}(x | \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1})(\omega) \geq \frac{q}{2},$$

where  $q = F(x)$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, k_n$ . Consequently

$$\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{k \neq j_1, \dots, j_i} F_{nk}(x \mid \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1})(\omega) = \\
& = \left( \prod_{l=1}^i F_{nj_l}(x \mid \mathcal{F}_{n,j_l-1})(\omega) \right)^{-1} \prod_k F_{nk}(x \mid \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1})(\omega) \leq \\
& \leq \left( \frac{2}{q} \right)^i \prod_k F_{nk}(x \mid \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1})(\omega).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
\log \prod_k F_{nk}(x \mid \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1})(\omega) &= \sum_k \log(1 - (1 - F_{nk}(x \mid \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1})(\omega))) \\
&\leq - \sum_k (1 - F_{nk}(x \mid \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1})(\omega))
\end{aligned}$$

and thus for any  $\omega \in A$  and sufficiently large  $n$  we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_i \leq k_n} \prod_{k \neq j_1, \dots, j_i} F_{nk}(x \mid \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1})(\omega) \times \\
& \times \prod_{l=1}^i (1 - F_{nj_l}(x \mid \mathcal{F}_{n,j_l-1})(\omega)) \leq \\
& \leq \left( \frac{2}{q} \right)^i \exp \left( - \sum_k (1 - F_{nk}(x \mid \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1})(\omega)) \right) \times \\
& \times \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_i \leq k_n} \prod_{l=1}^i (1 - F_{nj_l}(x \mid \mathcal{F}_{n,j_l-1})(\omega)) \leq \\
& \leq \left( \frac{2}{q} \right)^i \exp \left( - \sum_k (1 - F_{nk}(x \mid \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1})(\omega)) \right) \times \\
& \times \left( \sum_k (1 - F_{nk}(x \mid \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1})(\omega)) \right)^i.
\end{aligned}$$

For any  $\omega \in A$  we have  $\sum_k (1 - F_{nk}(x | \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1})(\omega)) \rightarrow \infty$ , consequently (8) and the above inequality imply (6).

(c) Similarly as in the case (b) we get

$$\begin{aligned} P(Z_{n,k_n-r+1} > x) &= P\left(\sum_k I(X_{nk} > x) \geq r\right) \geq \\ &\geq E \prod_{i=1}^r I(X_{ni} > x) \geq \inf_B \prod_{i=1}^r (1 - F_{ni}(x | \mathcal{F}_{n,i-1})(\omega)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $B = \{\omega \in \Omega : \max_k F_{nk}(x | \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1})(\omega) \rightarrow 0\}$ . Since for any  $\omega \in B$

$$\prod_{i=1}^r (1 - F_{ni}(x | \mathcal{F}_{n,i-1})(\omega)) \rightarrow 1,$$

then  $P(Z_{n,k_n-r+1} < x) \rightarrow 0$ .

Since  $L(x) = \infty$ , we obtain (3). The proof is completed.

### 3. The case of $(k_n-r)$ -th order statistics

For the double sequences  $\{\{X_{nk}\}\}$ ,  $\{\{\mathcal{F}_{nk}\}\}$  defined above we have

**Theorem 2.** If the condition (1) is fulfilled and

$$(9) \quad \sum_k F_{nk}(x | \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1}) \xrightarrow{P} M(x),$$

$x$  is a real number, then

$$(10) \quad P(Z_{n,r+1} < x) \rightarrow \sum_{k=r+1}^{\infty} \frac{M^k(x)}{k!} e^{-M(x)}.$$

**Proof.** Let us observe that

$$(11) \quad P(Z_{n,r+1} < x) = 1 - P\left(\sum_k I(X_{nk} < x) < r+1\right).$$

Repeating the proof of Theorem 1 with  $F_{nk}(x | \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1})$ ,  $F$ ,  $L$  and  $I(X_{nk} < x)$  changed respectively into  $1 - F_{nk}(x | \mathcal{F}_{n,k-1})$ ,  $1 - F$ ,  $M$  and  $I(X_{nk} > x)$  we get from (1) and (9)

$$P\left(\sum_k I(X_{nk} < x) < r + 1\right) \rightarrow \sum_{k=0}^r \frac{M^k(x)}{k!} e^{-M(x)}.$$

Consequently (11) implies (10).

#### 4. Remarks

Now we present an example of a double sequence of rv's which is row-wise conditionally uniformly asymptotically identically distributed.

We consider two independent double sequences  $\{Y_{nk}\}$ ,  $\{Z_{nk}\}$  of row-wise iid rv's. The common distribution function on  $n$ -th row in  $\{Y_{nk}\}$  is  $F_n$ . Let us take a double sequence  $\{a_{nk}\}$  of positive real numbers such that  $\sum_k a_{nk} \rightarrow 0$  and for any  $k$  and  $n$  consider such a set  $A_{nk}$  that  $P(Y_{nk} \in A_{nk}^c) < a_{nk}$  and  $P(Z_{nk} \in A_{nk}^c) < a_{nk}$ . We define  $X_{n1} = Y_{n1}$ ,

$$X_{nk} = \begin{cases} Y_{nk} & \text{if } X_{n,k-1} \in A_{n,k-1}, \\ Z_{nk} & \text{if } X_{n,k-1} \in A_{n,k-1}^c, \end{cases}$$

$k = 2, \dots, k_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . Then it is easy to see that  $\{X_{nk}\}$  is row-wise conditionally uniformly asymptotically identically distributed.

Theorems 1 and 2 are generalizations of the results for row-wise independent double sequence. To obtain the ones from our theorems it suffices to take  $\mathcal{F}_{nk} = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$  for every  $k = 1, \dots, k_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ ; then the conditions (1), (2) and (9) change into the ones from Loève's theorems (see [6]),

There still remains an open question if Lévy's idea is applicable to the limit theorems for  $r_n$ -th order statistics,



where  $r_n$  and  $k_n - r_n$  tend to infinity as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Another question, still unsolved also for sums is the following: describe the class of  $\phi$ -fields for which the above procedure of obtaining limit theorems for dependent random variables from the known results in the independent case works.

Acknowledgement. I wish to express my thanks to Doc. A. Kłopotowski for many materials which direct my interest to this field. I am also greatly indebted to the referee for valuable remarks (on the formulation of Theorem 1, on the example and others).

## REFERENCES

- [1] Brown B.M., Eagleson G.K.: Martingale convergence to infinitely divisible laws with finite variances, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 162 (1971), 449-453;
- [2] Eagleson G.K.: Martingale convergence to mixtures of infinitely divisible laws, Ann. Prob. 3 (1977), 557-562.
- [3] Kłopotowski A.: Limit theorems for sums of dependent random vectors in  $R^d$ , Dissert. Math. CLI (1977), 1-58.
- [4] Kłopotowski A.: Mixtures of infinitely divisible distributions as limit laws for sums of dependent random variables, Z. Wahr. verw. Geb. 51 (1980), 101-113.
- [5] Jakubowski A.: On limit theorems for sums of dependent Hilbert space valued random variables, Lecture Notes in Stat. 2 (1980), 178-187.
- [6] Lóévé M.: Ranking limit problem, Proc. Third Berkeley Symp. 2 (1956), 177-194.
- [7] Freedman D.: The Poisson approximation for dependent events, Ann. Prob. 2 (1974), 256-269.
- [8] Serfling R.J.: A general Poisson approximation theorem, Ann. Prob. 3 (1975), 726-731.

- [9] L é v y P.; Theorie de l'Addition des Variables Aléatoires, Paris, Gauthier-Villars (1937).
- [10] L e a d b e t t e r M.R., L i n d g r e n G.L., R o o t z é n H.: Extremes and related properties of random sequences and processes, Series in Statistics, Springer Verlag, New York, Berlin 1983.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW,  
00-661 WARSZAWA

Received October 2, 1985; revised version April 10, 1986.