

Jacek Jakubowski

REMARK ON MULTIPLICATIVE SYSTEMS OF FUNCTIONS

Let us start from the following definition.

D e f i n i t i o n . A sequence (X_i) of random variables is called a multiplicative system (MS system), if $EX_{i_1}X_{i_2}\dots X_{i_n} = 0$ for every sequence of different indices.

If, moreover, $EX_{i_1}^2X_{i_2}\dots X_{i_n} = 0$ for every sequence of different indices, (X_i) is called a strongly multiplicative system (SMS system).

The multiplicative systems contain a wide class of random variables, for example a sequence of bounded martingale differences is a MS system, a sequence of independent random variables in L^2 with the expected value equal to zero is a SMS system.

In this note we shall use the notation $X = (X_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$, $Y = (Y_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$. P (resp. Q , P_n , Q_n) will denote the distribution of the sequence $(X_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ [resp. $(Y_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$, $(X_i)_{i=1}^n$, $(Y_i)_{i=1}^n$].

T h e o r e m . Let X be a MS system and Y be a bounded SMS system, a.e. for every n $|Y_n(\omega)| \leq K_n$ for some $K_n > 0$ and

all ω . If $\sup |X_i| \leq \frac{D^2 Y_1}{\sup |Y_1|}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$ (when $\sup |Y_1| = 0$ we assume that $\sup |X_i| = 0$), then there exist a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{M}, M) , a σ -algebra $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$ and a random vector Y' equidistributed with the random vector Y such that the random vectors X and $E(Y' | \mathcal{N})$ are equidistributed.

This result is a generalization of the result from paper [2], which was obtained for finite sequences. Another generalizations are given in [3]. The theorem explains the structure of MS systems, which contain infinitely many bounded functions, for example an infinite lacunary trigonometric system can be represented as a conditional expectation of Rademacher system with respect to some σ -algebra. Using the previous result [2] we can obtain such representation only for finite systems.

L e m m a . Under the assumptions of the theorem for every continuous convex function $f: R^\infty \rightarrow R$, where topology on R^∞ is generated by metric $\varphi(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} |x_i - y_i|$, there holds $Ef(X) \leq Ef(Y)$.

P r o o f . Without loss of generality we may assume that Y_n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, are uniformly bounded by one. In the opposite case let $K_m > 0$ denote a bound for Y_m . We may consider sequences $X'_n = \frac{1}{K_n} X_n$, $Y'_n = \frac{1}{K_n} Y_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, for which the assumptions of this lemma are fulfilled and if the lemma is true for the sequences (X'_n) , (Y'_n) , then it is also true for the sequences (X_n) and (Y_n) . So we take this additional assumption that Y_n are uniformly bounded by one. Taking $I = [-1, 1]^\infty$

with the metric $\varphi(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} |x_n - y_n|$ we obtain the compact, metric space in which the random vectors X and Y take their values. For a fixed arbitrary convex, continuous function $f: I \rightarrow R$ we define a sequence $(f_m)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ of convex continuous functions, $f_m: I \rightarrow R$, by formula $f_m(x_1, x_2, \dots) = f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m, 0, 0, \dots)$. The sequence (f_m) is uniformly convergent to f . Let us fix arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$. Since f is uniformly continuous there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for every x, y satisfying $\varphi(x, y) < \delta$ there holds $|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$. If we take N such that $\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} 2 \frac{1}{2^k} < \delta$, then for every $n \geq N$ and every $x \in I$ there holds $\varphi(x, h_n(x)) < \delta$, where $h_n(x) = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, 0, 0, \dots)$. So we have for $n \geq N$ and every $x \in I$ $|f(x) - f_n(x)| < \varepsilon$. This means that the sequence (f_m) is uniformly convergent to f and as a consequence we get

$$(1) \quad \int_I f_m dP \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_I f dP, \quad \int_I f_m dQ \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_I f dQ.$$

By Corollary 1 of [2] we obtain $\int_{R^m} g dP_m \leq \int_{R^m} g dQ_m$ for every convex, continuous function $g: R^m \rightarrow R$. This implies $Ef(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m, 0, 0, \dots) \leq Ef(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n, 0, 0, \dots)$. Hence, for $n \geq m$,

$$\int_I f_m dP = \int_I f_m dP_n \leq \int_I f_m dQ_n = \int_I f_m dQ.$$

This gives

$$(2) \quad \int_I f_m dP \leq \int_I f_m dQ.$$

If we take m which tends to infinity, then, by (1), we receive from (2) the desired result $\int_I f dP \leq \int_I f dQ$. The lemma is proved.

Now the theorem follows from Edgar's result (Theorem 2.2 of [1]), which asserts that the theorem and the lemma are equivalent.

REFERENCES

- [1] G.A. Edgar : On the Random-Nikodym property and martingale convergence, Proceedings of Conference on Vector Space Measures and Applications, Vol. II, Dublin, 1977, Lecture Notes in Math. 645 (1978) 62-76.
- [2] J. Jakubowski, S. Kwapien : On multiplicative system of functions, Bull. Acad. Polon. 27 (1979) 689-694.

- [3] J. J a k u b o w s k i : Structural theorems for multiplicative system of functions, to appear in Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. 46/1-2 (1985).

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, WARSAW UNIVERSITY,
PKiN, 00-901 WARSAW, POLAND
Received January 24, 1985.