

M. D. Upadhyay, V. C. Gupta

INVARIANT SUBMANIFOLDS OF AN  $f(3, -1)$ -MANIFOLD  
WITH COMPLEMENTED FRAMES

The  $f(3,+1)$ -manifolds have been studied by Yano [1], Ishihara and Yano [2], Goldberg and Yano ([3], [4]) have studied the  $f(3,1)$ -manifolds with complemented frames. Recently, Yano [5] has obtained certain results on invariant submanifolds of an  $f(3,1)$  manifold with complemented frames. The purpose of the present paper is to study invariant submanifolds of an  $f(3,-1)$ -manifold with complemented frames.

In section 2 we have defined and studied the normality of an  $f(3,-1)$ -structure with complemented frames. In section 3 we have proved that such a structure induces an almost product structure in the manifold, and in section 4 we have studied the relation between the integrability of this almost product structure and the normality of the  $f(3,-1)$ -structure with complemented frames.

In sections 5 and 6 we have studied invariant submanifolds of a normal  $f(3,-1)$ -manifold with complemented frames. In section 7 we have defined the  $f(3,-1)$ -structure with metric and complemented frames. We have also established some results.

1. Preliminaries

In an  $m$ -dimensional differentiable manifold  $M$  of class  $C^\infty$ , a tensor field  $f$  ( $f \neq 0, I$ ) of type  $(1,1)$  which satisfies

$f^3 - f = 0$  and is of constant rank  $r$ , at each point of  $M$ , is called  $f(3,-1)$ -structure of rank  $r$  and  $M$  with an  $f(3,-1)$ -structure  $f(3,-1)$ -manifold.

If we put

$$(1.1) \quad l = f^2 \quad \text{and} \quad m = I - f^2,$$

$I$  being the unit tensor field. Then it can be easily proved that

$$(1.2) \quad l + m = I, \quad l^2 = l, \quad m^2 = m, \quad lm = ml = 0.$$

This shows that the tensor fields  $f^2$  and  $I - f^2$ ,  $I$  being the unit tensor field, are complementary projection operators which define two complementary distributions in  $M$  corresponding to the projection operators  $f^2$  and  $I - f^2$  respectively. The distribution corresponding to  $f^2$  is  $r$ -dimensional and that corresponding to  $I - f^2$ ,  $(m-r)$ -dimensional.

Let there exist  $(m-r)$  vector fields  $U_\alpha$  ( $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, m-r$ ) spanning the distribution corresponding to  $I - f^2$  and  $(m-r)$  1-forms  $u^\alpha$  satisfying

$$(1.3) \quad f^2 = I - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m-r} u^\alpha \otimes U_\alpha;$$

$$(1.4) \quad f \cdot U_\alpha = 0, \quad u^\alpha \circ f = 0, \quad u^\alpha(U_\beta) = \delta_\beta^\alpha,$$

$(\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, \dots, m-r)$ , where  $\delta_\beta^\alpha$  is the Kronecker delta. Then we call the set  $f(3,-1), \{U_\alpha, u^\alpha\}$  an  $f(3,-1)$ -structure with complemented frames and  $M$  an  $f(3,-1)$ -manifold with complemented frames.

#### Invariant submanifold

Suppose that an  $n$ -dimensional differentiable manifold  $\tilde{M}$  is immersed in a  $f(3,-1)$ -manifold  $M$  by the immersion  $i: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ . If the tangent space of  $i(\tilde{M})$  is invariant by the action of  $f$ , then  $i(\tilde{M})$  is called an invariant submanifold of  $M$ .

In the present paper we consider an  $f(3, -1)$ -structure with complemented frames such that  $r = m-2$ .

2.  $f(3, -1)$ -structure with complemented frames

Let  $M$  be an  $m$ -dimensional differentiable manifold and let there be given a tensor field  $f$  of type  $(1, 1)$  and of rank  $m-2$ , two vector fields  $U, V$  and two 1-forms  $u, v$ . If the set  $\{f(3, -1), U, V, u, v\}$  satisfies

$$(2.1) \quad f^2 = I - u \circ U - v \circ V;$$

$$(2.2) \quad fU = 0, \quad fV = 0, \quad u \circ f = 0, \quad v \circ f = 0;$$

$$(2.3) \quad u(U) = 1, \quad v(U) = 0, \quad u(V) = 0, \quad v(V) = 1,$$

then we call  $\{f(3, -1), U, V, u, v\}$  an  $f(3, -1)$ -structure with complemented frames and  $M$  an  $f(3, -1)$ -manifold with complemented frames.

Let us define a tensor field  $S$  of type  $(1, 2)$  by

$$(2.4) \quad S(X, Y) = N(X, Y) - (du)(X, Y)U - (dv)(X, Y)V,$$

where  $du, dv$  are 2-forms and  $N$  is the Nijenhuis tensor formed with  $f$ , defined by [2]

$$(2.5) \quad N(X, Y) = [fX, fY] - f[fX, Y] - f[X, fY] + f^2[X, Y].$$

**Definition 2.1.** If the tensor field  $S$  vanishes identically, then the structure is said to be normal.

In consequence of (2.2) and (2.5), we have from (2.4)

$$(2.6) \quad S(X, U) = -f[fX, U] + f^2[X, U] - (du)(X, U)U - (dv)(X, U)V.$$

Let  $\mathcal{L}_U$  denotes the Lie-differentiation with respect to  $U$ . Then we have (see [2])

$$-f[fX, U] + f^2[X, U] = f\{f[X, U] - [fX, U]\} = f(\mathcal{L}_U f)X,$$

$$\begin{aligned} (du)(X, U) &= X(u(U)) - U(u(X)) - u([X, U]) = \\ &= -\{u([X, U]) - [u(X), U]\} = -(\mathcal{L}_U u)(X). \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Similarly, } (dv)(X, U) = -(\mathcal{L}_U v)(X).$$

Therefore from (2.6) we have

$$(2.7) \quad S(X, U) = f(\mathcal{L}_U f)X + (\mathcal{L}_U u)(X)U + (\mathcal{L}_U v)(X)V.$$

We can also prove that

$$(2.8) \quad S(X, V) = f(\mathcal{L}_V f)X + (\mathcal{L}_V u)(X)U + (\mathcal{L}_V v)(X)V.$$

Also from (2.4), we have in consequence of (2.2), (2.3) and (2.5)

$$(2.9) \quad u(S(X, Y)) = u([fX, fY]) - (du)(X, Y).$$

But we have

$$(du)(fX, fY) = (fX)u(fY) - (fY)u(fX) = u([fX, fY]),$$

which in view of (2.2) implies that

$$u([fX, fY]) = -(du)(fX, fY).$$

Thus from (2.9) we obtain

$$(2.10) \quad u(S(X, Y)) = -(du)(X, Y) - (du)(fX, fY).$$

Replacing  $X$  by  $fX$  in (2.10) and using (2.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (2.11) \quad u(S(fX, Y)) &= -(du)(fX, Y) - (du)(X - u(X)U - v(X)V, fY) = \\ &= -(du)(fX, Y) - (du)(X, fY) + \\ &\quad + u(X)(du)(U, fY) + v(X)(du)(V, fY). \end{aligned}$$

But we have

$$\begin{aligned}(du)(U, fY) &= U u(fY) - (fY)u(U) - u([U, fY]) = \\ &= u([fY, U]) - [u(fY), U] = (\mathcal{L}_U u)(fY).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$(du)(V, fY) = (\mathcal{L}_V u)(fY).$$

Hence, we have from (2.11)

$$\begin{aligned}(2.12) \quad u(S(fX, Y)) &= -(du)(fX, Y) - (du)(X, fY) + \\ &+ u(X)(\mathcal{L}_U u)(fY) + v(X)(\mathcal{L}_V u)(fY).\end{aligned}$$

We can also prove that

$$\begin{aligned}(2.13) \quad v(S(fX, Y)) &= -(dv)(fX, Y) - (dv)(X, fY) + \\ &+ u(X)(\mathcal{L}_U v)(fY) + v(X)(\mathcal{L}_V v)(fY).\end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 2.1.** If a  $f(3, -1)$ -structure with complemented frames  $\{f(3, -1), U, V, u, v\}$  is normal, then

$$(2.14) \quad \mathcal{L}_U f = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_U u = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_U v = 0;$$

$$(2.15) \quad \mathcal{L}_V f = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_V u = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_V v = 0;$$

$$(2.16) \quad du \pi f = 0, \quad dv \pi f = 0, \quad [U, V] = 0.$$

**Proof.** Let us assume that the  $f(3, -1)$ -structure with complemented frames  $\{f(3, -1), U, V, u, v\}$  is normal. Then from (2.7) we have

$$f(\mathcal{L}_U f)X + (\mathcal{L}_U u)(X)U + (\mathcal{L}_U v)(X)V = 0,$$

which in view of (2.2) and (2.3) implies that

$$(2.17) \quad \mathcal{L}_U u = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_U v = 0, \quad f(\mathcal{L}_U f) = 0.$$

Applying  $f$  to the last equation of (2.17) and using (2.1), we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_U f = u \circ (\mathcal{L}_U f) \otimes U + v \circ (\mathcal{L}_U f) \otimes V = 0,$$

or

$$\mathcal{L}_U f + \{(\mathcal{L}_U u) \circ f\} \otimes U + \{(\mathcal{L}_U v) \circ f\} \otimes V = 0.$$

Hence in view of (2.17) we have

$$(2.18) \quad \mathcal{L}_U f = 0.$$

Similarly, from (2.8) we can prove that

$$(2.19) \quad \mathcal{L}_V u = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_V v = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_V f = 0.$$

Let us put

$$(2.20) \quad (w\pi f)(X, Y) = w(fX, Y) + w(X, fY),$$

for a 2-form  $w$ . Then in consequence of (2.17), (2.19) and (2.20), from (2.12) and (2.13), we have

$$(du)\pi f = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (dv)\pi f = 0.$$

Now, computing  $\mathcal{L}_U(fV) = 0$ , we find

$$(2.21) \quad f \mathcal{L}_U V = 0.$$

Applying  $f$  to (2.21) and using (2.1), we get

$$\mathcal{L}_U V = u(\mathcal{L}_U V)U + v(\mathcal{L}_U V)V = 0,$$

or

$$\mathcal{L}_U V = 0, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad [U, V] = 0.$$

3. Almost product structure  $\zeta$ 

Let us define a tensor field  $\zeta$  of type  $(1,1)$  by

$$(3.1) \quad \zeta X = fX + v(X)U + u(X)V,$$

for an arbitrary vector field  $X$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** In order that a manifold  $M$  may admit a  $f(3,-1)$ -structure with complemented frames  $\{f(3,-1), U, V, u, v\}$ , it is necessary and sufficient that the manifold admits an almost product structure  $\zeta$ , a vector field  $U$  and a 1-form  $u$  such that

$$u(U) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad u(\zeta U) = 0.$$

**Proof.** In consequence of (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) and (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta^2 X &= \zeta(\zeta X) = f(fX + v(X)U + u(X)V) + \\ &+ v(fX + v(X)U + u(X)V)U + u(fX + v(X)U + u(X)V)V = \\ &= f^2 X + u(X)U + v(X)V = X. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\zeta^2 = I$ . Thus  $\zeta$  is an almost product structure.

Also, in view of (2.2), (2.3) and (3.1), we can easily verify that

$$(3.2) \quad \zeta U = V, \quad \zeta V = U;$$

$$(3.3) \quad u \circ \zeta = v, \quad v \circ \zeta = u.$$

Conversely, suppose that a manifold  $M$  admits an almost product structure  $\zeta$ , a vector field  $U$  and a 1-form  $u$  such that

$$(3.4) \quad u(U) = 1, \quad u(\zeta U) = 0.$$

We define a vector field  $V$ , a 1-form  $v$  and a tensor field  $f$ , respectively, by

$$(3.5) \quad V = \zeta U,$$

$$(3.6) \quad v = u \circ \zeta,$$

$$(3.7) \quad f = \zeta - v \circ U - u \circ V.$$

Now, in consequence of (3.4), we have from (3.5) and (3.6)

$$(3.8) \quad u(V) = 0, \quad v(U) = 0, \quad v(V) = 1.$$

Also, in view of (3.4), (3.5), (3.6) and (3.8), we have from (3.7)

$$(3.9) \quad fU = 0, \quad fV = 0, \quad u \circ f = 0, \quad v \circ f = 0.$$

Further, by virtue of (3.6), (3.7) and (3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f^2X &= f(fX) = f\{\zeta X - v(X)U - u(X)V\} = \\ &= \zeta(\zeta X) - v(\zeta X)U - u(\zeta X)V = \zeta^2X - (u \circ \zeta)(\zeta X)U + \\ &\quad - (u \circ \zeta)(X)V = X - u(X)U - v(X)V. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(3.10) \quad f^2 = I - u \circ U - v \circ V.$$

Equations (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10) show that  $M$  admits a  $f(3, -1)$ -structure with complemented frames  $\{f(3, -1), U, V, u, v\}$ .

#### 4. Integrability condition of $\zeta$

In this section, we shall obtain the relation between the integrability of an almost product structure  $\zeta$  and the normality of the  $f(3, -1)$ -structure with complemented frames.

Let  $N^*(X, Y)$  be the Nijenhuis tensor formed with  $\zeta$ . Then we have

$$(4.1) \quad N^*(X, Y) = [\zeta X, \zeta Y] - \zeta [\zeta X, Y] - \zeta [X, \zeta Y] + \zeta^2 [X, Y].$$

or

$$(4.2) \quad N^*(X, Y) = [\zeta X, \zeta Y] - \zeta [\zeta X, Y] - \zeta [X, \zeta Y] + [X, Y].$$

Now from (2.1), (3.1) and (4.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} N^*(X, Y) &= [fX + v(X)U + u(X)V, fY + v(Y)U + u(Y)V] - \\ &\quad - f[fX + v(X)U + u(X)V, Y] - v([fX + v(X)U + u(X)V, Y])U - \\ &\quad - u([fX + v(X)U + u(X)V, Y])V - f[X, fY + v(Y)U + u(Y)V] - \\ &\quad - v([X, fY + v(Y)U + u(Y)V])U - u([X, fY + v(Y)U + u(Y)V])V + \\ &\quad + f^2[X, Y] + u([X, Y])U + v([X, Y])V. \end{aligned}$$

After some calculations, the above expression, in consequence of (2.3), (2.5) and (2.20), reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} (4.3) \quad N^*(X, Y) &= N(X, Y) - (du)(X, Y)U - (dv)(X, Y)V + \\ &\quad + (dv\pi f)(X, Y)U + (du\pi f)(X, Y)V - \\ &\quad - v(X)(\mathcal{L}_U f)Y + v(Y)(\mathcal{L}_U f)X - \\ &\quad - u(X)(\mathcal{L}_V f)Y + u(Y)(\mathcal{L}_V f)X - \\ &\quad - \{v(X)(\mathcal{L}_U v)Y - v(Y)(\mathcal{L}_U v)X + u(X)(\mathcal{L}_V v)Y - \\ &\quad - u(Y)(\mathcal{L}_V v)X\}U - \{u(X)(\mathcal{L}_V u)Y - u(Y)(\mathcal{L}_V u)X + \\ &\quad + v(X)(\mathcal{L}_U u)Y - v(Y)(\mathcal{L}_U u)X\}V - . \\ &\quad - \{u(X)v(Y) - u(Y)v(X)\}[U, V]. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 4.1.** If a  $f(3, -1)$ -structure with complemented frames  $\{f(3, -1), U, V, u, v\}$  is normal, then the almost product structure  $\zeta$  defined by (3.1) is integrable.

**Proof.** If a  $f(3, -1)$ -structure with complemented frames  $\{f(3, -1), U, V, u, v\}$  is normal, then from definition (2.1), we have  $S = 0$ .

Thus by virtue of (2.4), (2.14), (2.15), (2.16) and (4.3), we obtain

$$N^*(X, Y) = 0.$$

Hence the almost product structure  $\xi$  defined by (3.1) is integrable.

### 5. Invariant submanifolds

Let  $\tilde{M}$  be an  $n$ -dimensional differentiable manifold ( $1 < n < m$ ) and suppose that  $\tilde{M}$  is immersed in  $M$  by the immersion  $i: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ . Let us denote by  $B$  the differential  $di$  of the immersion  $i$ . Let us assume that the vector field  $U$  is tangent to  $i(\tilde{M})$ . Therefore we have

$$(5.1) \quad U = B\tilde{U},$$

for a vector field  $\tilde{U}$  of  $\tilde{M}$ ,

$$(5.2) \quad v(B\tilde{X}) = 0,$$

for any vector field  $\tilde{X}$  of  $\tilde{M}$  and

$$(5.3) \quad f(B\tilde{X}) = B\tilde{f}\tilde{X},$$

for a tensor field  $\tilde{f}$  of  $\tilde{M}$  and an arbitrary vector field  $\tilde{X}$  of  $\tilde{M}$ . For convenience, we call such a submanifold an invariant submanifold with respect to  $U$  and  $v$ . Similarly, we can define an invariant submanifold with respect to  $V$  and  $u$ .

**Theorem 5.1.** An invariant submanifold with respect to  $U$  and  $v$  of a manifold with  $f(3, -1)$ -structure and complemented frames  $\{f(3, -1), U, V, u, v\}$  admits a  $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{U}, \tilde{u})$ -structure.

**Proof.** Let  $\tilde{M}$  be an invariant submanifold with respect to  $U$  and  $v$  of a manifold  $M$  with  $f(3, -1)$ -structure and complemented frames  $\{f(3, -1), U, V, u, v\}$ .

Now, applying  $f$  to (5.1) and using (2.2) and (5.3), we obtain

$$0 = fU = f(B\tilde{U}) = B\tilde{f}\tilde{U},$$

which gives

$$(5.4) \quad \tilde{f}\tilde{U} = 0.$$

Applying  $f$  to (5.3) and using (2.1) and (5.3), we get

$$(5.5) \quad B\tilde{X} - u(B\tilde{X})U - v(B\tilde{X})V = B\tilde{f}^2\tilde{X}.$$

Let us put

$$(5.6) \quad \tilde{u}(\tilde{X}) = u(B\tilde{X}).$$

Then, in view of (5.1), (5.2) and (5.6), equation (5.5) yields

$$(5.7) \quad \tilde{f}^2\tilde{X} = \tilde{X} - \tilde{u}(\tilde{X})\tilde{U}.$$

Also from (5.3) we have

$$u(f(B\tilde{X})) = u(B\tilde{f}\tilde{X}),$$

which in view of (2.2) and (5.6) gives

$$(5.8) \quad \tilde{u}(\tilde{f}\tilde{X}) = 0.$$

Further from (5.1) we have

$$u(U) = u(B\tilde{U}),$$

which in view of (2.3) and (5.6) yields

$$(5.9) \quad \tilde{u}(\tilde{U}) = 1.$$

Combining (5.4), (5.7), (5.8) and (5.9), we have

$$(5.10) \quad \begin{cases} \tilde{f}^2 = I - \tilde{u} \circ \tilde{U}; \\ \tilde{f}\tilde{U} = 0, \tilde{u} \circ \tilde{f} = 0; \\ \tilde{u}(\tilde{U}) = 1. \end{cases}$$

Structure satisfying (5.10) is called  $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{U}, \tilde{u})$ -structure.

**Theorem 5.2.** An invariant submanifold with respect to  $V$  and  $u$  of a manifold with  $f(3,-1)$ -structure and complemented frames  $\{f(3,-1), U, V, u, v\}$  admits a  $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{V}, \tilde{v})$ -structure.

**P r o o f .** The proof follows from the pattern of the proof of Theorem 5.1.

6. Invariant submanifolds of a normal  $f(3, -1)$ -manifold with complemented frames

Now we shall compute the expression  $S(B\tilde{X}, B\tilde{Y})$  for an invariant submanifold with respect to  $U$  and  $v$ .

In consequence of (2.4), (2.5) and (5.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(B\tilde{X}, B\tilde{Y}) &= [fB\tilde{X}, fB\tilde{Y}] - f[fB\tilde{X}, B\tilde{Y}] - f[B\tilde{X}, fB\tilde{Y}] + \\ &\quad + f^2[B\tilde{X}, B\tilde{Y}] - (du)(B\tilde{X}, B\tilde{Y})U - (dv)(B\tilde{X}, B\tilde{Y})V = \\ &= [B\tilde{f}\tilde{X}, B\tilde{f}\tilde{Y}] - f[B\tilde{f}\tilde{X}, B\tilde{Y}] - f[B\tilde{X}, B\tilde{f}\tilde{Y}] + \\ &\quad + f^2[B\tilde{X}, B\tilde{Y}] - (du)(B\tilde{X}, B\tilde{Y})U - (dv)(B\tilde{X}, B\tilde{Y})V. \end{aligned}$$

But in view of (5.1), (5.2) and (5.6), we have

$$(du)(B\tilde{X}, B\tilde{Y}) = (d\tilde{u})(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}), \quad (dv)(B\tilde{X}, B\tilde{Y}) = 0.$$

Therefore

$$(6.1) \quad S(B\tilde{X}, B\tilde{Y}) = B[f\tilde{X}, f\tilde{Y}] - fB[f\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}] - fB[\tilde{X}, f\tilde{Y}] + \\ + f^2B[\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}] - (d\tilde{u})(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})U.$$

Hence, by virtue of (5.1), equation (6.1) yields

$$(6.2) \quad S(B\tilde{X}, B\tilde{Y}) = B\{[f\tilde{X}, f\tilde{Y}] - \tilde{f}[f\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}] - \tilde{f}[\tilde{X}, f\tilde{Y}] + \\ + \tilde{f}^2[\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}] - (d\tilde{u})(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})U\}.$$

**T h e o r e m 6.1.** An invariant submanifold with respect to  $U$  and  $v$  of a manifold with normal  $f(3, -1)$ -structure and complemented frames  $\{f(3, -1), U, V, u, v\}$  admits a normal  $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{U}, \tilde{u})$ -structure.

**P r o o f .** If a  $f(3, -1)$ -structure with complemented frames  $\{f(3, -1), U, V, u, v\}$  is normal, then  $S = 0$ . Therefore from (6.2) we have

$$(6.3) \quad [\tilde{f}\tilde{X}, \tilde{f}\tilde{Y}] - \tilde{f}[\tilde{f}\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}] - \tilde{f}[\tilde{X}, \tilde{f}\tilde{Y}] + \\ + \tilde{f}^2[\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}] - (d\tilde{u})(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})\tilde{U} = 0.$$

Thus by virtue of (6.3) and Theorem 5.1, the result follows.

**Theorem 6.2.** An invariant submanifold with respect to  $V$  and  $u$  of a manifold having normal  $f(3, -1)$ -structure and complemented frames  $\{f(3, -1), U, V, u, v\}$  admits a normal  $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{V}, \tilde{v})$ -structure.

**Proof.** The proof is similar to that of Theorem 6.1.

#### 7. $f(3, -1)$ -structure with metric and complemented frames

Let  $M$  be an  $m$ -dimensional differentiable manifold with  $f(3, -1)$ -structure and with complemented frames  $\{f(3, -1), U, V, u, v\}$ . Let there exist on  $M$  a Riemannian metric  $g$  satisfying

$$(7.1) \quad g(fX, fY) = g(X, Y) - u(X)u(Y) - v(X)v(Y);$$

$$(7.2) \quad u(X) = +g(U, X), \quad v(X) = +g(V, X),$$

for arbitrary vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$ . Then we call the structure  $\{f(3, -1), U, V, u, v\}$  a  $f(3, -1)$ -structure with metric and complemented frames. Let us denote it by  $\{f(3, -1), g, u, v\}$ .

**Theorem 7.1.** If a  $f(3, -1)$ -structure with metric and complemented frames  $\{f(3, -1), g, u, v\}$  is normal. Then the almost product structure  $\zeta$  defined by (3.1) is integrable and the manifold  $M$  defined by  $(\zeta, g)$  is an almost product manifold.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $M$  admits a  $\{f(3, -1), g, u, v\}$ -structure. In section 3 we have proved that the tensor field  $\zeta$  of type  $(1, 1)$  defined by (3.1) is an almost product structure.

Also, in consequence of (2.2), (2.3), (3.1) and (7.2), we have

$$g(\zeta X, \zeta Y) = g(fX + v(X)U + u(X)V, fY + v(Y)U + u(Y)V) = \\ = g(fX, fY) + v(X)v(Y) + u(X)u(Y).$$

Hence, in view of (7.1), we have

$$(7.3) \quad g(\zeta X, \zeta Y) = g(X, Y).$$

Thus  $(\zeta, g)$  defines an almost product manifold. The remaining part of the theorem follows from theorem (4.1).

**Theorem 7.2.** An invariant submanifold with respect to  $U$  and  $v$  of a manifold having  $f(3, -1)$ -structure with metric and complemented frames  $\{f(3, -1), g, u, v\}$  admits a  $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{U}, \tilde{u})$ -structure with a metric.

**Proof.** Let  $i(\tilde{M})$  be an invariant submanifold with respect to  $U$  and  $v$  of a manifold  $M$  having a  $f(3, -1)$ -structure with metric and complemented frames. The manifold  $M$  being a Riemannian manifold with metric tensor  $g$ , and  $i(\tilde{M})$  is also a Riemannian manifold with metric tensor  $\tilde{g}$  defined by

$$(7.4) \quad \tilde{g}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = g(B\tilde{X}, B\tilde{Y}).$$

Replacing  $X$  by  $B\tilde{X}$  and  $Y$  by  $B\tilde{Y}$  in (7.1), we obtain

$$g(fB\tilde{X}, fB\tilde{Y}) = g(B\tilde{X}, B\tilde{Y}) - u(B\tilde{X})u(B\tilde{Y}) - v(B\tilde{X})v(B\tilde{Y}).$$

But  $i(\tilde{M})$  being invariant, so using (5.2) and (5.3) in the above equation, we have

$$g(fB\tilde{X}, fB\tilde{Y}) = g(B\tilde{X}, B\tilde{Y}) - u(B\tilde{X})u(B\tilde{Y}),$$

which in view of (5.6) and (7.4) yields

$$(7.5) \quad \tilde{g}(f\tilde{X}, f\tilde{Y}) = \tilde{g}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) - \tilde{u}(\tilde{X})\tilde{u}(\tilde{Y}).$$

From the first equation of (7.2), we have

$$u(B\tilde{X}) = g(U, B\tilde{X}),$$

or

$$u(B\tilde{X}) = g(B\tilde{U}, B\tilde{X}),$$

in consequence of (5.1). This in view of (5.6) and (7.4) yields

$$(7.6) \quad \tilde{u}(\tilde{X}) = \tilde{g}(\tilde{U}, \tilde{X}).$$

Also from (7.2) we have

$$v(\tilde{B}\tilde{X}) = g(V, \tilde{B}\tilde{X}),$$

which in view of (5.2) reduces to

$$(7.7) \quad 0 = g(V, \tilde{B}\tilde{X}).$$

This shows that  $V$  is a unit normal to the submanifold  $i(\tilde{M})$ . Now the theorem follows by virtue of the equations (7.5), (7.6), (7.7) and Theorem 5.1.

**Theorem 7.3.** An invariant submanifold with respect to  $V$  and  $u$  of a manifold having  $f(3, -1)$ -structure with metric and complemented frames  $\{f(3, -1), g, u, v\}$  admits a  $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{V}, \tilde{v})$ -structure with a metric.

**Proof.** The proof follows from the pattern of the proof of Theorem 7.2.

**Theorem 7.4.** An invariant submanifold with respect to  $U$  and  $v$  of a manifold having normal  $f(3, -1)$ -structure with metric and complemented frames  $\{f(3, -1), g, u, v\}$  admits a normal  $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{U}, \tilde{u})$ -structure with a metric.

**Proof.** The proof of the theorem follows from Theorems 6.1 and 7.2.

**Theorem 7.5.** An invariant submanifold with respect to  $V$  and  $u$  of a manifold having normal  $f(3, -1)$ -structure with metric and complemented frames  $\{f(3, -1), g, u, v\}$  admits a normal  $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{V}, \tilde{v})$ -structure with a metric.

**Proof.** The proof of the theorem follows from Theorems 6.2 and 7.3.

#### REFERENCES

[1] K. Yano : On a structure defined by a tensor field  $f$  of type  $(1,1)$  satisfying  $f^3 + f = 0$ , Tensor, N.S. 14 (1963) 99-109.

- [2] S. Ishihara, K. Yano : On integrability conditions of a structure  $f$  satisfying  $f^3 + f = 0$ , Quart. J. Math. Oxford ser. (2). Vol.15 (1964) 217-222.
- [3] S.I. Goldberg, K. Yano : On normal globally framed  $f$ -manifolds, Tôhoku Math. J., 22 (1970) 362-370.
- [4] S.I. Goldberg, K. Yano : Globally framed  $f$ -manifolds, Illinois J. Math., 15 (1971) 456-474.
- [5] K. Yano : Invariant submanifolds of an  $f$ -manifold with complemented frames, Kodai Math. Sem. Report, 25 (1973) 163-174.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LUCKNOW UNIVERSITY, LUCKNOW, INDIA

Received October 28, 1983.