

M. D. Upadhyay, V. C. Gupta

INVARIANT SUBMANIFOLDS OF AN $f(3, -1)$ -MANIFOLD WITH COMPLEMENTED FRAMES

The $f(3, +1)$ -manifolds have been studied by Yano [1], Ishihara and Yano [2], Goldberg and Yano ([3], [4]) have studied the $f(3, 1)$ -manifolds with complemented frames. Recently, Yano [5] has obtained certain results on invariant submanifolds of an $f(3, 1)$ manifold with complemented frames. The purpose of the present paper is to study invariant submanifolds of an $f(3, -1)$ -manifold with complemented frames.

In section 2 we have defined and studied the normality of an $f(3, -1)$ -structure with complemented frames. In section 3 we have proved that such a structure induces an almost product structure in the manifold, and in section 4 we have studied the relation between the integrability of this almost product structure and the normality of the $f(3, -1)$ -structure with complemented frames.

In sections 5 and 6 we have studied invariant submanifolds of a normal $f(3, -1)$ -manifold with complemented frames. In section 7 we have defined the $f(3, -1)$ -structure with metric and complemented frames. We have also established some results.

1. Preliminaries

In an m -dimensional differentiable manifold M of class C^∞ , a tensor field f ($f \neq 0, I$) of type $(1, 1)$ which satisfies

$f^3 - f = 0$ and is of constant rank r , at each point of M , is called $f(3,-1)$ -structure of rank r and M with an $f(3,-1)$ -structure $f(3,-1)$ -manifold.

If we put

$$(1.1) \quad l = f^2 \quad \text{and} \quad m = I - f^2,$$

I being the unit tensor field. Then it can be easily proved that

$$(1.2) \quad l + m = I, \quad l^2 = l, \quad m^2 = m, \quad lm = ml = 0.$$

This shows that the tensor fields f^2 and $I - f^2$, I being the unit tensor field, are complementary projection operators which define two complementary distributions in M corresponding to the projection - operators f^2 and $I - f^2$ respectively. The distribution corresponding to f^2 is r -dimensional and that corresponding to $I - f^2$, $(m-r)$ -dimensional.

Let there exist $(m-r)$ vector fields U_α ($\alpha=1,2,\dots,m-r$) spanning the distribution corresponding to $I - f^2$ and $(m-r)$ 1-forms u^α satisfying

$$(1.3) \quad f^2 = I - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m-r} u^\alpha \otimes U_\alpha;$$

$$(1.4) \quad f \cdot U_\alpha = 0, \quad u^\alpha \circ f = 0, \quad u^\alpha(U_\beta) = \delta_\beta^\alpha,$$

($\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, \dots, m-r$), where δ_β^α is the Kronecker delta. Then we call the set $f(3,-1), \{U_\alpha, u^\alpha\}$ an $f(3,-1)$ -structure with complemented frames and M an $f(3,-1)$ -manifold with complemented frames.

Invariant submanifold

Suppose that an n -dimensional differentiable manifold \tilde{M} is immersed in a $f(3,-1)$ -manifold M by the immersion $i: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$. If the tangent space of $i(\tilde{M})$ is invariant by the action of f , then $i(\tilde{M})$ is called an invariant submanifold of M .

In the present paper we consider an $f(3,-1)$ -structure with complemented frames such that $r = m-2$.

2. $f(3,-1)$ -structure with complemented frames

Let M be an m -dimensional differentiable manifold and let there be given a tensor field f of type $(1,1)$ and of rank $m-2$, two vector fields U, V and two 1-forms u, v . If the set $\{f(3,-1), U, V, u, v\}$ satisfies

$$(2.1) \quad f^2 = I - u \otimes U - v \otimes V;$$

$$(2.2) \quad fU = 0, \quad fV = 0, \quad u \circ f = 0, \quad v \circ f = 0;$$

$$(2.3) \quad u(U) = 1, \quad v(U) = 0, \quad u(V) = 0, \quad v(V) = 1,$$

then we call $\{f(3,-1), U, V, u, v\}$ an $f(3,-1)$ -structure with complemented frames and M an $f(3,-1)$ -manifold with complemented frames.

Let us define a tensor field S of type $(1,2)$ by

$$(2.4) \quad S(X,Y) = N(X,Y) - (du)(X,Y)U - (dv)(X,Y)V,$$

where du, dv are 2-forms and N is the Nijenhuis tensor formed with f , defined by [2]

$$(2.5) \quad N(X,Y) = [fX, fY] - f[fX, Y] - f[X, fY] + f^2[X, Y].$$

D e f i n i t i o n 2.1. If the tensor field S vanishes identically, then the structure is said to be normal.

In consequence of (2.2) and (2.5), we have from (2.4)

$$(2.6) \quad S(X,U) = -f[fX, U] + f^2[X, U] - (du)(X,U)U - (dv)(X,U)V.$$

Let \mathcal{L}_U denotes the Lie-differentiation with respect to U . Then we have (see [2])

$$-f[fX, U] + f^2[X, U] = f\{f[X, U] - [fX, U]\} = f(\mathcal{L}_U f)X,$$

$$\begin{aligned} (du)(X, U) &= X(u(U)) - U(u(X)) - u([X, U]) = \\ &= -\{u([X, U]) - [u(X), U]\} = -(\mathcal{L}_U u)(X). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $(dv)(X, U) = -(\mathcal{L}_U v)(X)$.

Therefore from (2.6) we have

$$(2.7) \quad S(X, U) = f(\mathcal{L}_U f)X + (\mathcal{L}_U u)(X)U + (\mathcal{L}_U v)(X)V.$$

We can also prove that

$$(2.8) \quad S(X, V) = f(\mathcal{L}_V f)X + (\mathcal{L}_V u)(X)U + (\mathcal{L}_V v)(X)V.$$

Also from (2.4), we have in consequence of (2.2), (2.3) and (2.5)

$$(2.9) \quad u(S(X, Y)) = u([fX, fY]) - (du)(X, Y).$$

But we have

$$(du)(fX, fY) = (fX)u(fY) - (fY)u(fX) - u([fX, fY]),$$

which in view of (2.2) implies that

$$u([fX, fY]) = -(du)(fX, fY).$$

Thus from (2.9) we obtain

$$(2.10) \quad u(S(X, Y)) = -(du)(X, Y) - (du)(fX, fY).$$

Replacing X by fX in (2.10) and using (2.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (2.11) \quad u(S(fX, Y)) &= -(du)(fX, Y) - (du)(X - u(X)U - v(X)V, fY) = \\ &= -(du)(fX, Y) - (du)(X, fY) + \\ &\quad + u(X)(du)(U, fY) + v(X)(du)(V, fY). \end{aligned}$$

But we have

$$\begin{aligned} (du)(U, fY) &= U u(fY) - (fY)u(U) - u([U, fY]) = \\ &= u([fY, U]) - [u(fY), U] = (\mathcal{L}_U u)(fY). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$(du)(V, fY) = (\mathcal{L}_V u)(fY).$$

Hence, we have from (2.11)

$$\begin{aligned} (2.12) \quad u(S(fX, Y)) &= -(du)(fX, Y) - (du)(X, fY) + \\ &+ u(X)(\mathcal{L}_U u)(fY) + v(X)(\mathcal{L}_V u)(fY). \end{aligned}$$

We can also prove that

$$\begin{aligned} (2.13) \quad v(S(fX, Y)) &= -(dv)(fX, Y) - (dv)(X, fY) + \\ &+ u(X)(\mathcal{L}_U v)(fY) + v(X)(\mathcal{L}_V v)(fY). \end{aligned}$$

T h e o r e m 2.1. If a $f(3, -1)$ -structure with complemented frames $\{f(3, -1), U, V, u, v\}$ is normal, then

$$(2.14) \quad \mathcal{L}_U f = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_U u = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_U v = 0;$$

$$(2.15) \quad \mathcal{L}_V f = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_V u = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_V v = 0;$$

$$(2.16) \quad du \pi f = C, \quad dv \pi f = 0, \quad [U, V] = 0.$$

P r o o f . Let us assume that the $f(3, -1)$ -structure with complemented frames $\{f(3, -1), U, V, u, v\}$ is normal. Then from (2.7) we have

$$f(\mathcal{L}_U f)X + (\mathcal{L}_U u)(X)U + (\mathcal{L}_U v)(X)V = 0,$$

which in view of (2.2) and (2.3) implies that

$$(2.17) \quad \mathcal{L}_U u = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_U v = 0, \quad f(\mathcal{L}_U f) = 0.$$

Applying f to the last equation of (2.17) and using (2.1), we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_U f - u \circ (\mathcal{L}_U f) \otimes U - v \circ (\mathcal{L}_U f) \otimes V = 0,$$

or

$$\mathcal{L}_U f + \{(\mathcal{L}_U u) \circ f\} \otimes U + \{(\mathcal{L}_U v) \circ f\} \otimes V = 0.$$

Hence in view of (2.17) we have

$$(2.18) \quad \mathcal{L}_U f = 0.$$

Similarly, from (2.8) we can prove that

$$(2.19) \quad \mathcal{L}_V u = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_V v = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_V f = 0.$$

Let us put

$$(2.20) \quad (w\pi f)(X, Y) = w(fX, Y) + w(X, fY),$$

for a 2-form w . Then in consequence of (2.17), (2.19) and (2.20), from (2.12) and (2.13), we have

$$(du)\pi f = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (dv)\pi f = 0.$$

Now, computing $\mathcal{L}_U(fV) = 0$, we find

$$(2.21) \quad f \mathcal{L}_U V = 0.$$

Applying f to (2.21) and using (2.1), we get

$$\mathcal{L}_U V - u(\mathcal{L}_U V)U - v(\mathcal{L}_U V)V = 0,$$

or

$$\mathcal{L}_U V = 0, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad [U, V] = 0.$$

3. Almost product structure ζ

Let us define a tensor field ζ of type (1,1) by

$$(3.1) \quad \zeta X = fX + v(X)U + u(X)V,$$

for an arbitrary vector field X .

Theorem 3.1. In order that a manifold M may admit a $f(3,-1)$ -structure with complemented frames $\{f(3,-1), U, V, u, v\}$, it is necessary and sufficient that the manifold admits an almost product structure ζ , a vector field U and a 1-form u such that

$$u(U) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad u(\zeta U) = 0.$$

Proof. In consequence of (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) and (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta^2 X &= \zeta(\zeta X) = f(fX + v(X)U + u(X)V) + \\ &+ v(fX + v(X)U + u(X)V)U + u(fX + v(X)U + u(X)V)V = \\ &= f^2 X + u(X)U + v(X)V = X. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\zeta^2 = I$. Thus ζ is an almost product structure.

Also, in view of (2.2), (2.3) and (3.1), we can easily verify that

$$(3.2) \quad \zeta U = V, \quad \zeta V = U;$$

$$(3.3) \quad u \circ \zeta = v, \quad v \circ \zeta = u.$$

Conversely, suppose that a manifold M admits an almost product structure ζ , a vector field U and a 1-form u such that

$$(3.4) \quad u(U) = 1, \quad u(\zeta U) = 0.$$

We define a vector field V , a 1-form v and a tensor field f , respectively, by

$$(3.5) \quad V = \zeta U,$$

$$(3.6) \quad v = u \circ \zeta,$$

$$(3.7) \quad f = \zeta - v \otimes U - u \otimes V.$$

Now, in consequence of (3.4), we have from (3.5) and (3.6)

$$(3.8) \quad u(V) = 0, \quad v(U) = 0, \quad v(V) = 1.$$

Also, in view of (3.4), (3.5), (3.6) and (3.8), we have from (3.7)

$$(3.9) \quad fU = 0, \quad fV = 0, \quad u \circ f = 0, \quad v \circ f = 0.$$

Further, by virtue of (3.6), (3.7) and (3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f^2 X &= f(fX) = f\{\zeta X - v(X)U - u(X)V\} = \\ &= \zeta(\zeta X) - v(\zeta X)U - u(\zeta X)V = \zeta^2 X - (u \circ \zeta)(\zeta X)U + \\ &\quad - (u \circ \zeta)(X)V = X - u(X)U - v(X)V. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(3.10) \quad f^2 = I - u \otimes U - v \otimes V.$$

Equations (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10) show that M admits a $f(3, -1)$ -structure with complemented frames $\{f(3, -1), U, V, u, v\}$.

4. Integrability condition of ζ

In this section, we shall obtain the relation between the integrability of an almost product structure ζ and the normality of the $f(3, -1)$ -structure with complemented frames.

Let $N^*(X, Y)$ be the Nijenhuis tensor formed with ζ . Then we have

$$(4.1) \quad N^*(X, Y) = [\zeta X, \zeta Y] - \zeta[\zeta X, Y] - \zeta[X, \zeta Y] + \zeta^2[X, Y],$$

or

$$(4.2) \quad N^*(X, Y) = [\zeta X, \zeta Y] - \zeta [\zeta X, Y] - \zeta [X, \zeta Y] + [X, Y].$$

Now from (2.1), (3.1) and (4.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} N^*(X, Y) = & [fX + v(X)U + u(X)V, fY + v(Y)U + u(Y)V] - \\ & - f[fX + v(X)U + u(X)V, Y] - v([fX + v(X)U + u(X)V, Y])U - \\ & - u([fX + v(X)U + u(X)V, Y])V - f[X, fY + v(Y)U + u(Y)V] - \\ & - v([X, fY + v(Y)U + u(Y)V])U - u([X, fY + v(Y)U + u(Y)V])V + \\ & + f^2[X, Y] + u([X, Y])U + v([X, Y])V. \end{aligned}$$

After some calculations, the above expression, in consequence of (2.3), (2.5) and (2.20), reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} (4.3) \quad N^*(X, Y) = & N(X, Y) - (du)(X, Y)U - (dv)(X, Y)V + \\ & + (dv\pi f)(X, Y)U + (du\pi f)(X, Y)V - \\ & - v(X)(\mathcal{L}_U f)Y + v(Y)(\mathcal{L}_U f)X - \\ & - u(X)(\mathcal{L}_V f)Y + u(Y)(\mathcal{L}_V f)X - \\ & - \{v(X)(\mathcal{L}_U v)Y - v(Y)(\mathcal{L}_U v)X + u(X)(\mathcal{L}_V v)Y - \\ & - u(Y)(\mathcal{L}_V v)X\}U - \{u(X)(\mathcal{L}_V u)Y - u(Y)(\mathcal{L}_V u)X + \\ & + v(X)(\mathcal{L}_U u)Y - v(Y)(\mathcal{L}_U u)X\}V - \\ & - \{u(X)v(Y) - u(Y)v(X)\}[U, V]. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.1. If a $f(3, -1)$ -structure with complemented frames $\{f(3, -1), U, V, u, v\}$ is normal, then the almost product structure ζ defined by (3.1) is integrable.

Proof. If a $f(3, -1)$ -structure with complemented frames $\{f(3, -1), U, V, u, v\}$ is normal, then from definition (2.1), we have $S = 0$.

Thus by virtue of (2.4), (2.14), (2.15), (2.16) and (4.3), we obtain

$$N^*(X, Y) = 0.$$

Hence the almost product structure ζ defined by (3.1) is integrable.

5. Invariant submanifolds

Let \tilde{M} be an n -dimensional differentiable manifold ($1 < n < m$) and suppose that \tilde{M} is immersed in M by the immersion $i: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$. Let us denote by B the differential di of the immersion i . Let us assume that the vector field U is tangent to $i(\tilde{M})$. Therefore we have

$$(5.1) \quad U = B\tilde{U},$$

for a vector field \tilde{U} of \tilde{M} ,

$$(5.2) \quad v(B\tilde{X}) = 0,$$

for any vector field \tilde{X} of \tilde{M} and

$$(5.3) \quad f(B\tilde{X}) = B\tilde{f}\tilde{X},$$

for a tensor field \tilde{f} of \tilde{M} and an arbitrary vector field \tilde{X} of \tilde{M} . For convenience, we call such a submanifold an invariant submanifold with respect to U and v . Similarly, we can define an invariant submanifold with respect to V and u .

T h e o r e m 5.1. An invariant submanifold with respect to U and v of a manifold with $f(3, -1)$ -structure and complemented frames $\{f(3, -1), U, V, u, v\}$ admits a $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{U}, \tilde{u})$ -structure.

P r o o f . Let \tilde{M} be an invariant submanifold with respect to U and v of a manifold M with $f(3, -1)$ -structure and complemented frames $\{f(3, -1), U, V, u, v\}$.

Now, applying f to (5.1) and using (2.2) and (5.3), we obtain

$$0 = fU = f(B\tilde{U}) = B\tilde{f}\tilde{U},$$

which gives

$$(5.4) \quad \tilde{f}\tilde{U} = 0.$$

Applying f to (5.3) and using (2.1) and (5.3), we get

$$(5.5) \quad B\tilde{X} - u(B\tilde{X})U - v(B\tilde{X})V = B\tilde{f}^2\tilde{X}.$$

Let us put

$$(5.6) \quad \tilde{u}(\tilde{X}) = u(B\tilde{X}).$$

Then, in view of (5.1), (5.2) and (5.6), equation (5.5) yields

$$(5.7) \quad \tilde{f}^2\tilde{X} = \tilde{X} - \tilde{u}(\tilde{X})\tilde{U}.$$

Also from (5.3) we have

$$u(f(B\tilde{X})) = u(B\tilde{f}\tilde{X}),$$

which in view of (2.2) and (5.6) gives

$$(5.8) \quad \tilde{u}(\tilde{f}\tilde{X}) = 0.$$

Further from (5.1) we have

$$u(U) = u(B\tilde{U}),$$

which in view of (2.3) and (5.6) yields

$$(5.9) \quad \tilde{u}(\tilde{U}) = 1.$$

Combining (5.4), (5.7), (5.8) and (5.9), we have

$$(5.10) \quad \begin{cases} \tilde{f}^2 = I - \tilde{u} \circ \tilde{U}; \\ \tilde{f}\tilde{U} = 0, \tilde{u} \circ \tilde{f} = 0; \\ \tilde{u}(\tilde{U}) = 1. \end{cases}$$

Structure satisfying (5.10) is called $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{U}, \tilde{u})$ -structure.

Theorem 5.2. An invariant submanifold with respect to V and u of a manifold with $f(3, -1)$ -structure and complemented frames $\{f(3, -1), U, V, u, v\}$ admits a $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{V}, \tilde{v})$ -structure.

P r o o f . The proof follows from the pattern of the proof of Theorem 5.1.

6. Invariant submanifolds of a normal $f(3,-1)$ -manifold with complemented frames

Now we shall compute the expression $S(B\tilde{X}, B\tilde{Y})$ for an invariant submanifold with respect to U and v .

In consequence of (2.4), (2.5) and (5.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(B\tilde{X}, B\tilde{Y}) &= [fB\tilde{X}, fB\tilde{Y}] - f[fB\tilde{X}, B\tilde{Y}] - f[B\tilde{X}, fB\tilde{Y}] + \\ &\quad + f^2[B\tilde{X}, B\tilde{Y}] - (du)(B\tilde{X}, B\tilde{Y})U - (dv)(B\tilde{X}, B\tilde{Y})V = \\ &= [B\tilde{f}\tilde{X}, B\tilde{f}\tilde{Y}] - f[B\tilde{f}\tilde{X}, B\tilde{Y}] - f[B\tilde{X}, B\tilde{f}\tilde{Y}] + \\ &\quad + f^2[B\tilde{X}, B\tilde{Y}] - (du)(B\tilde{X}, B\tilde{Y})U - (dv)(B\tilde{X}, B\tilde{Y})V. \end{aligned}$$

But in view of (5.1), (5.2) and (5.6), we have

$$(du)(\tilde{B}\tilde{X}, \tilde{B}\tilde{Y}) = (d\tilde{u})(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}), \quad (dv)(\tilde{B}\tilde{X}, \tilde{B}\tilde{Y}) = 0.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (6.1) \quad S(B\tilde{X}, B\tilde{Y}) &= B[\tilde{f}\tilde{X}, \tilde{f}\tilde{Y}] - fB[\tilde{f}\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}] - fB[\tilde{X}, \tilde{f}\tilde{Y}] + \\ &\quad + f^2B[\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}] - (d\tilde{u})(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})U. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by virtue of (5.1), equation (6.1) yields

$$\begin{aligned} (6.2) \quad S(\tilde{B}\tilde{X}, \tilde{B}\tilde{Y}) &= B\{[\tilde{f}\tilde{X}, \tilde{f}\tilde{Y}] - \tilde{f}[\tilde{f}\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}] - \tilde{f}[\tilde{X}, \tilde{f}\tilde{Y}] + \\ &\quad + \tilde{f}^2[\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}] - (d\tilde{u})(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})\tilde{U}\}. \end{aligned}$$

T h e o r e m 6.1. An invariant submanifold with respect to U and v of a manifold with normal $f(3,-1)$ -structure and complemented frames $\{f(3,-1), U, V, u, v\}$ admits a normal $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{U}, \tilde{u})$ -structure.

P r o o f . If a $f(3,-1)$ -structure with complemented frames $\{f(3,-1), U, V, u, v\}$ is normal, then $S = 0$. Therefore from (6.2) we have

$$(6.3) \quad [\tilde{f}\tilde{X}, \tilde{f}\tilde{Y}] - \tilde{f}[\tilde{f}\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}] - \tilde{f}[\tilde{X}, \tilde{f}\tilde{Y}] + \\ + \tilde{f}^2[\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}] - (d\tilde{u})(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})\tilde{U} = 0.$$

Thus by virtue of (6.3) and Theorem 5.1, the result follows.

Theorem 6.2. An invariant submanifold with respect to V and u of a manifold having normal $f(3, -1)$ -structure and complemented frames $\{f(3, -1), U, V, u, v\}$ admits a normal $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{V}, \tilde{v})$ -structure.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 6.1.

7. $f(3, -1)$ -structure with metric and complemented frames

Let M be an m -dimensional differentiable manifold with $f(3, -1)$ -structure and with complemented frames $\{f(3, -1), U, V, u, v\}$. Let there exist on M a Riemannian metric g satisfying

$$(7.1) \quad g(fX, fY) = g(X, Y) - u(X)u(Y) - v(X)v(Y);$$

$$(7.2) \quad u(X) = +g(U, X), \quad v(X) = +g(V, X),$$

for arbitrary vector fields X and Y . Then we call the structure $\{f(3, -1), U, V, u, v\}$ a $f(3, -1)$ -structure with metric and complemented frames. Let us denote it by $\{f(3, -1), g, u, v\}$.

Theorem 7.1. If a $f(3, -1)$ -structure with metric and complemented frames $\{f(3, -1), g, u, v\}$ is normal. Then the almost product structure ζ defined by (3.1) is integrable and the manifold M defined by (ζ, g) is an almost product manifold.

Proof. Suppose that M admits a $\{f(3, -1), g, u, v\}$ -structure. In section 3 we have proved that the tensor field ζ of type $(1, 1)$ defined by (3.1) is an almost product structure.

Also, in consequence of (2.2), (2.3), (3.1) and (7.2), we have

$$g(\zeta X, \zeta Y) = g(fX + v(X)U + u(X)V, fY + v(Y)U + u(Y)V) = \\ = g(fX, fY) + v(X)v(Y) + u(X)u(Y).$$

Hence, in view of (7.1), we have

$$(7.3) \quad g(\zeta X, \zeta Y) = g(X, Y).$$

Thus (ζ, g) defines an almost product manifold. The remaining part of the theorem follows from theorem (4.1).

Theorem 7.2. An invariant submanifold with respect to U and v of a manifold having $f(3, -1)$ -structure with metric and complemented frames $\{f(3, -1), g, u, v\}$ admits a $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{U}, \tilde{u})$ -structure with a metric.

Proof. Let $i(\tilde{M})$ be an invariant submanifold with respect to U and v of a manifold M having a $f(3, -1)$ -structure with metric and complemented frames. The manifold M being a Riemannian manifold with metric tensor g , and $i(\tilde{M})$ is also a Riemannian manifold with metric tensor \tilde{g} defined by

$$(7.4) \quad \tilde{g}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = g(B\tilde{X}, B\tilde{Y}).$$

Replacing X by $B\tilde{X}$ and Y by $B\tilde{Y}$ in (7.1), we obtain

$$g(fB\tilde{X}, fB\tilde{Y}) = g(B\tilde{X}, B\tilde{Y}) - u(B\tilde{X})u(B\tilde{Y}) - v(B\tilde{X})v(B\tilde{Y}).$$

But $i(\tilde{M})$ being invariant, so using (5.2) and (5.3) in the above equation, we have

$$g(B\tilde{f}\tilde{X}, B\tilde{f}\tilde{Y}) = g(B\tilde{X}, B\tilde{Y}) - u(B\tilde{X})u(B\tilde{Y}),$$

which in view of (5.6) and (7.4) yields

$$(7.5) \quad \tilde{g}(\tilde{f}\tilde{X}, \tilde{f}\tilde{Y}) = \tilde{g}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) - \tilde{u}(\tilde{X})\tilde{u}(\tilde{Y}).$$

From the first equation of (7.2), we have

$$u(B\tilde{X}) = g(U, B\tilde{X}),$$

or

$$u(B\tilde{X}) = g(B\tilde{U}, B\tilde{X}),$$

in consequence of (5.1). This in view of (5.6) and (7.4) yields

$$(7.6) \quad \tilde{u}(\tilde{X}) = \tilde{g}(\tilde{U}, \tilde{X}).$$

Also from (7.2) we have

$$v(B\tilde{X}) = g(V, B\tilde{X}),$$

which in view of (5.2) reduces to

$$(7.7) \quad 0 = g(V, B\tilde{X}).$$

This shows that V is a unit normal to the submanifold $i(\tilde{M})$. Now the theorem follows by virtue of the equations (7.5), (7.6), (7.7) and Theorem 5.1.

Theorem 7.3. An invariant submanifold with respect to V and u of a manifold having $f(3, -1)$ -structure with metric and complemented frames $\{f(3, -1), g, u, v\}$ admits a $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{V}, \tilde{v})$ -structure with a metric.

Proof. The proof follows from the pattern of the proof of Theorem 7.2.

Theorem 7.4. An invariant submanifold with respect to U and v of a manifold having normal $f(3, -1)$ -structure with metric and complemented frames $\{f(3, -1), g, u, v\}$ admits a normal $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{U}, \tilde{u})$ -structure with a metric.

Proof. The proof of the theorem follows from Theorems 6.1 and 7.2.

Theorem 7.5. An invariant submanifold with respect to V and u of a manifold having normal $f(3, -1)$ -structure with metric and complemented frames $\{f(3, -1), g, u, v\}$ admits a normal $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{V}, \tilde{v})$ -structure with a metric.

Proof. The proof of the theorem follows from Theorems 6.2 and 7.3.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Yano: On a structure defined by a tensor field f of type $(1, 1)$ satisfying $f^3 + f = 0$, Tensor, N.S. 14 (1963) 99-109.

- [2] S. I s h i h a r a , K. Y a n o : On integrability conditions of a structure f satisfying $f^3 + f = 0$, Quart. J. Math. Oxford ser. (2) Vol.15 (1964) 217-222.
- [3] S.I. G o l d b e r g , K. Y a n o : On normal globally framed f -manifolds, Tôhoku Math. J., 22 (1970) 362-370.
- [4] S.I. G o l d b e r g , K. Y a n o : Globally framed f -manifolds, Illinois J. Math., 15 (1971) 456-474.
- [5] K. Y a n o : Invariant submanifolds of an f -manifold with complemented frames, Kôdai Math. Sem. Report, 25 (1973) 163-174.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LUCKNOW UNIVERSITY, LUCKNOW, INDIA
Received October 28, 1983.