

Kazimierz Urbanik

## JOINT DISTRIBUTIONS AND COMMUTABILITY OF OBSERVABLES

*Dedicated to the memory  
of Professor Roman Sikorski*

In the quantum probability theory the  $\sigma$ -field of random events is replaced by the lattice of orthogonal projectors in a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space  $H$ . A countably additive function from this lattices to the unit interval constitutes a state, the non-commutative analogue of a probability measure. The Theorem of Gleason [4] asserts that every state is of the form  $\pi \rightarrow \text{tr } \pi T$ , where  $\pi$  runs over all projectors and  $T$  is a probability operator on  $H$ , i.e. a positive linear operator of unit trace. Conversely, every probability operator determines a state by the Gleason formula. From now onwards let  $S$  stand for the set of all states, i.e. all probability operators on  $H$ . We shall denote by  $T_1$  the space of all nuclear linear operators acting in  $H$  with the norm  $\|T\|_1 = \text{tr}(TT^*)^{1/2}$ . Of course  $S$  is a closed and convex subset of  $T_1$ .

In quantum theory to every physical quantity or observable there corresponds a self-adjoint not necessarily bounded linear operator on  $H$ . By  $O$  we shall denote the set of all observables. Given  $A \in O$ , the probability distribution of  $A$  at the state  $T$  is defined for all Borel subsets  $E$  of the real

line  $R$  by the formula  $P_T^A(E) = \text{tr } \pi_A(E)T$ , where  $\pi_A$  is the projector-valued spectral measure associated with  $A$ , i.e.

$A = \int_R \lambda \pi_A(d\lambda)$ . The characteristic function of  $P_T^A$ , i.e. its

Fourier transform  $\hat{P}_T^A$  is then given by the formula

$$\hat{P}_T^A(\tau) = \text{tr } e^{i\tau A} T \quad (\tau \in R).$$

A system  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k$  ( $k \geq 2$ ) of observables is said to be regular if there exists a dense linear manifold  $D$  in  $H$  such that for arbitrary real numbers  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k$  the operator  $\sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j A_j$  is well defined on  $D$  and is essentially self-adjoint, so that the probability distribution of  $\sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j A_j$  at every

state  $T$  is well defined. Of course, all systems of bounded observables are regular. The set of all regular systems

$A = (A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k)$  of observables will be denoted by  $O_k$ .

Further, we shall use the following notation. For  $a, b \in R^k$

$(a, b)$  will denote the inner product in  $R^k$ ,  $|a| = (a, a)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and

$a \in R^k$  and  $A \in O_k$   $(a, A) = \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j A_j$  if  $a = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$  and

$A = (A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k)$ . Of course,  $(a, A) \in O$ . In [10] I introduced the concept of the joint probability distribution for  $A \in O_k$ .

Namely, a Borel probability measure  $P_T^A$  on the  $k$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $R^k$  is said to be the joint probability distribution of the system  $A$  of observables at the state  $T$  if for every  $a \in R^k$  the projection of  $P_T^A$  onto the real line defined by  $x \rightarrow (a, x)$  ( $x \in R^k$ ) coincides with  $P_T^{(a, A)}$ . It is clear that the joint probability distribution is uniquely determined provided it exists. Moreover, the characteristic function of  $P_T^A$  is given by the formula

$$(1) \quad \hat{P}_T^A(t) = \text{tr } e^{i(t, A)} T \quad (t \in R^k).$$

Given  $A \in O_k$ , by  $S(A)$  we shall denote the set of all states  $T$  for which  $P_T^A$  exists. It is evident that  $T \in S(A)$  if and only if the function  $t \rightarrow \text{tr } e^{i(t,A)} T$  ( $t \in R^k$ ) is continuous and positive definite on  $R^k$ . Hence it follows that always  $S(A)$  is a convex and closed in the topology of  $T_1$  subset of  $S$ . It may happen that  $S(A)$  is empty.

A relation between the existence of joint probability distribution at every state and the commutability of observables is given by the following statement.

Let  $A \in O_k$ . Then  $S(A) = S$  if and only if  $A$  consists of commuting observables, i.e. observables with commuting spectral measures.

For observables with purely point spectrum this statement was proved in [10]. Recently, an elementary proof was given by Ruymgaart [9]. Without any restriction on the spectrum a proof can be found in [5] and [7]. In the more general framework of quantum logics the theorem was proved by Varadarajan [12].

Let  $I$  be the unit operator on  $H$ . Given  $a, b \in R^k$  and  $A \in O_k$  we shall use the notation

$$aA + b = (\alpha_1 A_1 + \beta_1 I, \alpha_2 A_2 + \beta_2 I, \dots, \alpha_k A_k + \beta_k I)$$

where  $a = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$ ,  $b = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_k)$  and  $A = (A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k)$ . It is clear that  $aA + b \in O_k$ . Moreover,

$$(2) \quad \text{tr } e^{i(t, aA+b)} T = e^{i(t, b)} \text{tr } e^{i(at, A)} T$$

where  $a, b, t \in R^k$ ,  $T \in S$ ,  $t = (\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_k)$  and  $at = (\alpha_1 \tau_1, \alpha_2 \tau_2, \dots, \alpha_k \tau_k)$ . By formula (1) we have the following lemma.

**L e m m a 1.** If  $a = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k) \in R^k$ ,  $\alpha_j \neq 0$  ( $j=1, 2, \dots, k$ ) and  $b \in R^k$ , then  $A \in O_k$  if and only if  $aA + b \in O_k$  and  $S(A) = S(aA + b)$ .

Let  $A \in O_k$ . We say that  $A$  fulfils the probabilistic commutation condition if there exists a system  $B \in O_k$  consisting of commuting observables such that  $P_T^A = P_T^B$  for all  $T \in S(A)$ .

By Lemma 1 and formulas (1) and (2) we have the following simple lemma.

**L e m m a 2.** If  $a = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k) \in R^k$ ,  $\alpha_j \neq 0$  ( $j=1, 2, \dots, k$ ),  $b \in R^k$  and  $A \in O_k$ , then  $A$  and  $aA+b$  fulfil or do not fulfil the probabilistic commutation condition simultaneously.

Using the method introduced by Ruymgaart in [9] we shall prove the following theorem.

**T h e o r e m 1.** Let  $A \in O_k$  and  $A$  consists of one-sided bounded observables with purely point spectrum. Then  $A$  fulfils the probabilistic commutation condition.

**P r o o f .** If  $S(A)$  is empty, then our assertion is obvious. Consequently, we assume that  $S(A)$  is non empty. Moreover, by Lemma 2, we may assume without loss of generality that  $A = (A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k)$  where all observables  $A_j$  ( $j=1, 2, \dots, k$ ) are non-negative. Let  $E_j$  be the spectrum of  $A_j$ , which under our assumptions coincides with the set of all eigen values of  $A_j$ . Consequently  $E_j$  is at most denumerable and for any  $T \in S$  the probability measure  $P_T^{A_j}$  is concentrated on  $E_j$ . Thus for any  $T \in S(A)$  the joint probability distribution  $P_T^A$  is concentrated on at most denumerable set  $E = E_1 \times E_2 \times \dots \times E_k$ . Hence we get the formula

$$(3) \quad \hat{P}_T^A(t) = \sum_{e \in E} e^{i(t, e)} P_T^A(\{e\}) \quad (t \in R^k).$$

Further, for any  $a \in R^k$  the probability measure  $P_T^{(a, A)}$  is concentrated on the set  $(a, E) = \{(a, e) : e \in E\}$  and, by (1) and (3)

$$(4) \quad \hat{P}_T^{(a, A)}(\tau) = \hat{P}_T^A(\tau a) = \sum_{e \in E} e^{i\tau(a, e)} P_T^A(\{e\}).$$

Let  $F$  be the subset of  $R^k$  consisting of all elements  $a = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$  with linearly independent coordinates  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k$  over the denumerable field generated by the set  $\bigcup_{j=1}^k E_j$ . It is clear that  $F$  is dense in  $R^k$ . Moreover for  $a \in F$  the mapping  $e \rightarrow (a, e)$  from  $E$  onto  $(a, E)$  is one-to-one. Consequently, for  $a \in F$  we have the formula

$$P_T^{(a, A)}(\tau) = \sum_{e \in E} e^{i\tau(a, e)} P_T^{(a, A)}(\{(a, e)\}).$$

Taking into account (4) we infer that

$$(5) \quad P_T^{(a, A)}(\{(a, e)\}) = P_T^A(\{e\}) \quad (T \in S(A), e \in E, A \in F).$$

Since  $F$  is dense in  $R^k$  we can find an element  $b \in F$  with positive coordinates. Let  $\pi$  be the spectral measure associated with  $(b, A)$ , i.e.  $(b, A) = \int_{(b, E)} \lambda \pi(d\lambda)$ . Then for the domain of  $(b, A)$  we have the inclusion

$$D((b, A)) \subset D = \left\{ x: \sum_{e \in E} (b, e)^2 \|\pi(\{(b, e)\})x\|^2 < \infty \right\}$$

which shows that the set  $D$  is dense in  $H$ . Using the notation  $e = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_k)$  we put

$$B_j = \sum_{e \in E} \varepsilon_j \pi(\{(b, e)\}) \quad (j=1, 2, \dots, k).$$

Since  $\varepsilon_j^2 \beta^2 \leq (b, e)^2$  ( $j=1, 2, \dots, k$ ) where  $\beta > 0$  and all coordinates of  $b$  are greater than  $\beta$ , we infer that  $D(B_j) \supset D$  ( $j=1, 2, \dots, n$ ) which shows that  $B = (B_1, B_2, \dots, B_k) \in O_k$ . The observables  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_k$  commute with one another and  $(a, B) = \sum_{e \in E} (a, e) \pi(\{(b, e)\})$  for every  $a \in R^k$ . Consequently, by (5)

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{P}_T^{(a,B)}(\tau) &= \sum_{e \in E} e^{i\tau(a,e)} \operatorname{tr} \pi(\{(b,e)\})T = \\ &= \sum_{e \in E} e^{i\tau(a,e)} P_T^A(\{e\}) \quad (T \in S(A)),\end{aligned}$$

and, by (4),  $\hat{P}_T^{(a,B)} = \hat{P}_T^{(a,A)}$  for every  $T \in S(A)$  and  $a \in R^k$ .

This yields the equation  $P_T^B = P_T^A$  for all  $T \in S(A)$  which completes the proof.

Our next aim is to show that this result cannot be extended to all systems  $A$  from  $O_k$ . Namely, we shall prove that the pair of canonical observables does not fulfil the condition in question.

Given a subset  $X$  of  $\mathcal{T}_1$ , by  $[X]$  we shall denote the linear subspace of  $\mathcal{T}_1$  spanned by  $X$ .

First we shall prove the following simple lemma.

**L e m m a 3.** If  $A \in O_k$  and  $A$  fulfils the probabilistic commutation condition, then

$$S(A) = S \cap [S(A)].$$

**P r o o f .** Let  $A, B \in O_k$ ,  $B$  consists of commuting observables and  $P_T^A = P_T^B$  for all  $T \in S(A)$ . Let  $S_0$  be the set of all operators  $T$  from  $\mathcal{T}_1$  for which the equation

$$(6) \quad \operatorname{tr} e^{i(t,A)}T = \operatorname{tr} e^{i(t,B)}T$$

holds for all  $t \in R^k$ . It is clear that  $S_0$  is a linear subspace of  $\mathcal{T}_1$  and, by (1),  $S(A) \subset S_0$ . Consequently,  $[S(A)] \subset S_0$ . Since for every  $T \in S$  the right-hand side of (6) is continuous and positive definite on  $R^k$ , we infer that for every  $T \in S \cap [S(A)]$  the left-hand side of (6) is also continuous and positive definite on  $R^k$ . In other words we have the inclusion  $S(A) \supset \supset S \cap [S(A)]$ . The converse inclusion is obvious which completes the proof.

From Theorem 1 and Lemma 3 we get the following corollary.

**C o r o l l a r y .** Let  $A \in O_k$  and  $A$  consists of one-sided bounded observables with purely point spectrum. If  $[S(A)] = \tau_1$ , then  $A$  consists of commuting observables.

By a pair of canonical observables we mean a pair  $C = (P, Q)$  for which there exists a dense linear manifold  $D$  in  $H$  contained in the domains of  $P, Q$  and invariant under  $P, Q$ . When restricted to  $D$ , the observables  $P, Q$  satisfy the Heisenberg commutation relation  $PQ - QP = iI$  and the operator  $P^2 + Q^2$  is essentially self-adjoint. From von Neumann [8] and Dixmier [2] results it follows that  $C \in O_2$  and the function  $t \rightarrow \text{tr } e^{i(t, C)} T$  ( $t \in R^2$ ) is continuous for all  $T \in \tau_1$  ([1], Proposition 3). Put  $\tilde{T}(t) = \text{tr } e^{i(t, C)} T$  ( $t \in R^2, T \in \tau_1$ ). Then, by (1)  $\hat{P}_T^C = \tilde{T}$  and, consequently,  $T \in S(C)$  if and only if  $\tilde{T}$  is positive definite on  $R^2$ . Let  $\tau_2$  be the space of all Hilbert-

-Schmidt operators on  $H$  with the norm  $\|T\|_2 = (\text{tr } TT^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Obviously,  $\tau_1 \subset \tau_2$  and  $\|T\|_2 \leq \|T\|_1$  for  $T \in \tau_1$ . It is well-known ([6], Chapter 5) that the map  $T \rightarrow \tilde{T}$  ( $T \in \tau_1$ ) extends uniquely to a linear isometric transformation from  $\tau_2$  onto the space  $L^2(R^2)$  of all complex-valued square integrable functions with respect to the Lebesgue measure functions on  $R^2$  with the norm

$$\|f\|_2 = ((2\pi)^{-1} \int_{R^2} |f(t)|^2 dt)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \text{ Moreover}$$

$$(7) \quad \tilde{T}^*(t) = \tilde{T}(-t) \quad (t \in R^2, T \in \tau_2).$$

Let  $A$  be the subset of  $\tau_2$  consisting of all operators  $T$  with continuous  $\tilde{T}$  vanishing at  $\infty$ . The set  $A$  with the norm

$$\|T\| = \|T\|_2 + \max \{ |\tilde{T}(t)| : t \in R^2 \}$$

becomes a Banach space. Moreover, we have the inclusion

$$\tau_1 \subset A \subset \tau_2.$$

Further,  $A$  is a Banach algebra under the convolution  $*$  defined by setting

$$(8) \quad \widetilde{T} * U = \widetilde{T} \widetilde{U} \quad (T, U \in A)$$

(see [11]). Given,  $a, b \in R^2$ ,  $a = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ ,  $b = (\beta_1, \beta_2)$  we put  $\Delta(a, b) = \alpha_1 \beta_2 - \alpha_2 \beta_1$ . A complex-valued function  $f$  on  $R^2$  is said to be  $\Delta$ -positive definite if for arbitrary vectors  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n \in R^2$  the  $n \times n$  matrix  $f(t_j - t_k) e^{i/2 \Delta(t_j, t_k)}$  is positive definite. An analogue of Bochner's Theorem asserts that  $f = \widetilde{T}$  for a certain  $T \in S$  if and only if  $f$  is  $\Delta$ -positive definite, continuous at the origin and  $f(0) = 1$  ([6], p.243). It is clear that  $fg$  is  $\Delta$ -positive definite whenever  $f$  is positive-definite and  $g$   $\Delta$ -positive definite. Hence and from (8) we get the following lemma.

**L e m m a 4.** If  $T \in S$ ,  $U \in T_1$ ,  $\widetilde{U}(0) = 1$  and  $\widetilde{U}$  is positive definite, then  $T * U \in S$ .

Further, using (7), we infer that for every pair  $T, U \in S$  the product  $\widetilde{T} \widetilde{U}$  is positive definite. Consequently, by Lemma 4 and formula (8) we get the next lemma.

**L e m m a 5.** If  $T \in S(C)$  and  $U \in S$ , then  $T * U \in S(C)$ .

We are now in a position to prove the following lemma.

**L e m m a 6.**  $[S(C)] = T_1$ .

**P r o o f .** For every complex number  $\gamma$  with  $\operatorname{Re} \gamma > 0$  we define the operators  $G_\gamma$  from  $T_2$  by setting  $\widetilde{G}_\gamma(t) = e^{-\gamma/4 |t|^2}$  ( $t \in R^2$ ). It is known ([6], Chapter 5) that for real  $\gamma \geq 1$   $G_\gamma$  are Gaussian probability operators and, consequently,  $G_\gamma \in S$  ( $\gamma \geq 1$ ). Since in this case  $\widetilde{G}_\gamma$  is positive definite on  $R^2$  we have also

$$(9) \quad G_\gamma \in S(C) \quad (\gamma \geq 1).$$

Moreover,  $G_\gamma$  have a representation

$$(10) \quad G_\gamma = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2}{(\gamma+1)} \left( \frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} \right)^n \Pi_n \quad (\gamma \geq 1)$$

where  $\Pi_n$  are commuting one-dimensional projectors. ([6], Chapter 5). Since for every  $t \in R^2$  the function



$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2}{(\gamma+1)} \left( \frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} \right)^n \pi_n(t)$$

is analytic on the half-plane  $\operatorname{Re} \gamma > 0$  and coincides, by (10), with  $\tilde{G}_\gamma(t)$  on the half-line  $\gamma \geq 1$ , we infer that it coincides with  $e^{-\gamma/4|t|^2}$  on the whole half-plane  $\operatorname{Re} \gamma > 0$ . In other words we have the equation (10) for all  $\gamma$  with  $\operatorname{Re} \gamma > 0$ . Put

$$U_\gamma = \frac{4}{(1+\gamma)^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} \right)^{2n} \pi_{2n} \quad (\gamma > 0).$$

Of course,  $U_\gamma \in S$  ( $\gamma > 0$ ) and

$$(11) \quad U_\gamma = \frac{\gamma}{1+\gamma} G_\gamma + \frac{1}{1+\gamma} G_{\gamma-1} \quad (\gamma > 0),$$

which shows that  $\tilde{U}_\gamma$  is positive definite. Thus

$$(12) \quad U_\gamma \in S(C) \quad (\gamma > 0).$$

Let  $0 < \gamma < 1$ . Then by (9)

$$(13) \quad G_{\gamma-1} \in S(C),$$

which, by (11) and (12), yields  $G_\gamma \in \mathcal{T}_1$ . Since  $\tilde{G}_\gamma$  is positive definite on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\tilde{G}_\gamma(0) = 1$ , we infer, by virtue of Lemma 4, that

$$(14) \quad G_\gamma * T \in S \quad (0 < \gamma < 1, T \in S).$$

Further, by Lemma 5 and formulas (12) and (13), we conclude that for every  $T \in S$  and  $0 < \gamma < 1$  both operators  $U_\gamma * T$  and  $G_{\gamma-1} * T$  belong to  $S(C)$ . Consequently, by (11)

$$(15) \quad G_\gamma * T \in [S(C)] \quad (0 < \gamma < 1, T \in S).$$

It is clear that the functions  $\widetilde{G_\gamma * T} = \tilde{G}_\gamma \tilde{T}$  tend uniformly on every compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to  $\tilde{T}$  when  $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ . Since, by (14),  $G_\gamma * T, T \in S$ , this convergence coincides with the convergence

in  $\mathcal{T}_1$ -topology ([11], Chapter 1). Thus, by (15),  $T \in [S(C)]$ . In other words we have the inclusion  $S \subset [S(C)]$  which yields the assertion of the lemma.

We have  $S(C) \neq S$  because the canonical observables do not commute with one another. Moreover, it was proved by Fischer [3] that all projectors belonging to  $S(C)$  are Gaussian probability operators. On the other hand, by Lemma 6,  $S \cap [S(C)] = S$ . Thus as a consequence of Lemma 3 we get the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** The pair  $P, Q$  of canonical observables does not fulfil the probabilistic commutation condition.

#### REFERENCES

- [ 1 ] C.D. C u s h e n , R.L. H u d s o n : A quantum mechanical central limit theorem, J. Appl. Probability 8 (1971) 454-469.
- [ 2 ] I. D i x m i e r : Sur la relation  $i(PQ - QP) = I$ , Compositio Math. 13 (1958) 263-269.
- [ 3 ] D.R. F i s c h e r : Functions positive definite on  $R^3$  and the Heisenberg group, J. Functional Analysis, 42 (1981) 338-346.
- [ 4 ] A.M. G l e a s o n : Measures on the closed subspaces of a Hilbert space, J. Math. Mech. 6 (1957) 885-894.
- [ 5 ] S.P. G u d d e r : Stochastic methods in quantum mechanics, New York, 1979.
- [ 6 ] A.S. H o l e v o : Probabilistic and statistical aspects of the quantum theory, Moscow, 1980 (in Russian).
- [ 7 ] E. N e l s o n : Dynamical theories of Brownian motion. Princeton, 1967.
- [ 8 ] J. v o n N e u m a n n : Die Eindeutigkeit der Schrödingerschen Operatoren, Math. Ann. 104 (1931) 570-578.
- [ 9 ] F.H. R u y m g a a r t : A note on the concept of joint distributions of pairs of observables, Report 8110, Math. Inst. Katholieke Univ. Nijmegen, 1981.

- [10] K. U r b a n i k : Joint probability distributions of observables in quantum mechanics, *Studia Math.* 21 (1961) 117-133.
- [11] K. U r b a n i k : Non-commutative probability limit theorems, *Studia Math.* 78 (1983) 59-75.
- [12] V.S. V a r a d a r a j a n : Geometry of quantum theory, Vol.I, Princeton, New Jersey, 1968.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WROCLAW,  
50-384 WROCLAW, POLAND  
Received March 8, 1984.