

Pawel Olszewski

SOME PROPERTIES OF GENERALIZED THERMAL POTENTIALS
RELATED TO A CERTAIN PARABOLIC EQUATION OF ORDER $2p$ 1. Introduction

Let R_T^n be the zone $R^n \times (0, T)$, where $n \geq 2$, $0 < T < \infty$ and let us define the following operator

$$(1.1) \quad L^{(u)} \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} (-1)^j \binom{p}{j} \sum_{i_1 \dots i_k=1}^n A_{i_1 \dots i_k} (D^{\alpha_m} u) \frac{\partial^{k+j}}{\partial x_{i_1} \dots \partial x_{i_k} \partial t^j} +$$

$$+ (-1)^p \frac{\partial^p}{\partial t^p}$$

where

$$D^{\alpha_m} = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|+m}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n} \partial t^m} \quad \left(|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i, \quad 0 \leq |\alpha| + 2m \leq 2p-1 \right)$$

and

$$A_{i_1 \dots i_k} = a_{i_1 i_2} \cdot \dots \cdot a_{i_{k-1} i_k} \quad (k=2(p-j)) \text{ with } a_{ij} = a_{ji}.$$

In this paper we shall examine the regular continuity of some integrals related to the equation

$$(1.2) \quad L^{(u)} [u(x, t)] = 0.$$

We assume that a_{ij} ($i,j=1,\dots,n$) are continuous and bounded functions of X, t and $z^{\alpha m}$ for

$$(1.3) \quad (X, t) \in \bar{R}_T^n, \quad |z^{\alpha m}| < \infty \quad (0 \leq |\alpha| + 2m \leq 2p-1, \quad X = (x_1, \dots, x_n))$$

and satisfy the Hölder condition

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & |a_{ij}(X, t, z^{\alpha m}) - a_{ij}(\bar{X}, \bar{t}, \bar{z}^{\alpha m})| \leq \\ & \leq \text{const} \left\{ |X\bar{X}|^{h'} + |t-\bar{t}|^{h''} + \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{|\alpha|+2m=0}^{2p-1} \left[\exp(-b|OX|) |z^{\alpha m} - \bar{z}^{\alpha m}| \right]^{h^*} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where $|OX| \leq |O\bar{X}|$; $h', h'', h^* \in (0, 1)$, $b \geq 0$.

Moreover, we assume that the characteristic form

$\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(X, t, z^{\alpha m}) \lambda_i \lambda_j$ is positive-definite in the domain
(1.3) and satisfies the inequality

$$(1.5) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(X, t, z^{\alpha m}) \lambda_i \lambda_j \geq c_0 \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k^2 \quad (c_0 > 0).$$

Let us note that if the functions $a_{ij}(X, t, z^{\alpha m})$ are constant (i.e. $a_{ij}(X, t, z^{\alpha m}) = a_{ij}$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$) then the operator (1.1) is p -th iterate of the operator

$$L_0 \equiv \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}.$$

The results of this paper will be used in our next paper concerning the Cauchy problem for a certain system of integro-differential equations of even order.

2. A fundamental solution

We shall construct the fundamental solution of equation (1.1) applying the idea of W.Pogorzelski presented in paper [3] and basing on the results of A.Borzymowski obtained in paper [1].

Let $u(X, t)$ be a real function defined and possessing the derivatives $D^{\alpha m}u(X, t)$ ($0 \leq |\alpha|+2m \leq 2p-1$) in \bar{R}_t^n , satisfying the conditions

$$(2.1) \quad |D^{\alpha m}u(X, t)| \leq \text{const} \exp(b|OX|),$$

$$(2.2) \quad |D^{\alpha m}u(X, t) - D^{\alpha m}u(\bar{X}, \bar{t})| \leq \text{const} \exp(b|OX|) |X\bar{X}|^h + |t-\bar{t}|^{\frac{1}{2}h},$$

where $|OX| \leq |O\bar{X}|$, $0 < h \leq 1$ and b is the constant appearing in (1.4).

Consider now the equation

$$(2.3) \quad L^{(u)}[v(X, t)] = 0$$

and introduce the functions

$$(2.4) \quad v_{(u)}^{P, \bar{Y}}(X, Y) = \sum_{i, j=1}^n a^{ij}(P, \bar{Y}, D^{\alpha m}u(P, \bar{Y}))(x_i - y_i)(x_j - y_j)$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad w_{(u)}^{P, \bar{Y}}(X, t; Y, \tau) = (t-\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2}+p-1} \exp \left[-\frac{v_{(u)}^{P, \bar{Y}}(X, Y)}{4(t-\tau)} \right],$$

where $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $Y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ are two points of R^n , (P, \bar{Y}) is a fixed point of \bar{R}_t^n and $a^{ij}(P, \bar{Y}, D^{\alpha m}u(P, \bar{Y}))$ ($i, j = 1, \dots, n$) denote the elements of the inverse matrix to $[a_{ij}(P, \bar{Y}, D^{\alpha m}u(P, \bar{Y}))]$.

From the boundedness of a_{ij} and the inequality (1.5) we deduce the following inequalities

$$(2.6) \quad C_0 |XY|^2 \leq \sigma_{(u)}^{p,k}(X, Y) \leq C'_0 |XY|^2 \quad (C'_0 > C_0).$$

From (2.6) it follows that the function (2.5) and its derivatives satisfy the inequalities (see [2], p.24 and [4], p.147-148 and 153)

$$(2.7) \quad \left| D^{\nu k} \omega_{(u)}^{p,k}(X, t; Y, \tau) \right| \leq \text{const}(t-\tau)^{-\frac{n+2+|\nu|+2k-2p}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{C|XY|^2}{4(t-\tau)}\right) \leq \\ \leq \text{const}(t-\tau)^{-\mu} |XY|^{-(n+2+|\nu|+2k-2p-2\mu)} \exp(-C' |XY|),$$

$$(2.8) \quad \left| D^{\nu k} \omega_{(u)}^{p,k}(X, t; Y, \tau) - D^{\nu k} \bar{\omega}_{(u)}^{p,k}(X, t; Y, \tau) \right| \leq \\ \leq \text{const} |P\bar{P}|^{h'_0(t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}(n+2+|\nu|+2k-2p)}} \exp\left(-\frac{C|XY|^2}{4(t-\tau)}\right) \leq \\ \leq \text{const} |P\bar{P}|^{h'_0(t-\tau)^{-\mu}} |XY|^{-(n+2+|\nu|+2k-2p-2\mu)} \exp(-C' |XY|),$$

where $h'_0 = \min(h', h-h^*)$, $C < C_0$, $C' > 0$ and $\mu < \min\left(1, \frac{n+|\nu|}{2} + 1 + k - p\right)$.

Let us note that if the operator (1.1) acts on the function $\omega_{(u)}^{Y,\tau}(X, t; Y, \tau)$ then the arguments $D^{\alpha m} u(Y, \tau)$ are not differentiated and hence the construction of the fundamental solution of equation (2.3) is analogous as in [1] (see sections II and IV).

The fundamental solution of equation (2.3) is of the form

$$(2.9) \quad \Gamma_{(u)}(X, t; Y, \tau) = \omega_{(u)}^{Y,\tau}(X, t; Y, \tau) + \\ + \int\limits_{\tau}^t \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega_{(u)}^{Z,\tau}(X, t; Z, \tilde{z}) \Phi_{(u)}(Z, \tilde{z}; Y, \tau) dZ d\tilde{z},$$

where $\Phi_{(u)}$ is a solution of the Volterra integral equation (see (71) in [1])

$$(2.10) \quad \Phi_{(u)}(x, t; y, \tau) = \frac{1}{(2\sqrt{\pi})^n (p-1)!} \left[\det \left| a^{ij}(x, t, D^{\alpha m} u(x, t)) \right| \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left\{ L^{(u)} \left[\omega_{(u)}^{Y, \tau}(x, t; y, \tau) \right] + \int_{\tau}^t \int_{R^n} L^{(u)} \left[\omega_{(u)}^{Z, \bar{z}}(x, t; z, \bar{z}) \right] \Phi_{(u)}(z, \bar{z}; y, \tau) dz d\bar{z} \right\}.$$

3. The quasi-potential of spatial charge

In the present section we consider the integral

$$(3.1) \quad V_{(u)}(x, t) = \int_{\tau}^t \int_{R^n} \omega_{(u)}^{Y, \tau}(x, t; y, \tau) \varrho(y, \tau) dy$$

assuming that (2.1) and (2.2) are fulfilled.

Theorem 1. If the function $\varrho(x, t)$ is continuous in R_T^n and satisfies the conditions

$$(3.2) \quad |\varrho(x, t)| \leq M_{\varrho} t^{-\mu_{\varrho}} \exp(b_{\varrho} |OX|),$$

$$(3.3) \quad |\varrho(x, t) - \varrho(\bar{x}, \bar{t})| \leq M'_{\varrho} t^{-\mu_{\varrho}} \exp(b_{\varrho} |OX|) (|\bar{x}x|^h + |t - \bar{t}|^{\frac{1}{2}h_{\varrho}})$$

where $|OX| \geq |\bar{x}x|$, $t \leq \bar{t}$, $0 \leq \mu_{\varrho} < 1$, $0 < h_{\varrho} \leq 1$;
 $M_{\varrho}, M'_{\varrho} > 0$, $b_{\varrho} \geq 0$ then the equality

$$(3.4) \quad L^{(u)} \left[V_{(u)}(x, t) \right] = -(2\sqrt{\pi})^n (p-1)! \left[\det \left| a^{ij}(x, t, D^{\alpha m} u(x, t)) \right| \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \varrho(x, t) + \\ + \int_{\tau}^t \int_{R^n} L^{(u)} \left[\omega_{(u)}^{Y, \tau}(x, t; y, \tau) \right] \varrho(y, \tau) dy d\tau$$

holds in R_T^n and the derivatives $D^{\nu k}V_{(u)}(X, t)$ for $|\nu|+2k=2n$, satisfy the following estimates

$$(3.5) \quad |D^{\nu k}V_{(u)}(X, t)| \leq (C_1 M_\rho + C_2 M'_\rho) t^{1-\mu-\mu_\rho} \exp(b_\rho |OX|).$$

$$(3.6) \quad |D^{\nu k}V_{(u)}(X, t) - D^{\nu k}V_{(u)}(\bar{X}, \bar{t})| \leq$$

$$\leq (C'_1 M_\rho + C'_2 M'_\rho) \bar{t}^{-\mu_\rho} \exp(b_\rho |OX|) (|\bar{X}|^{\bar{h}} + |\bar{t}|^{\frac{1}{2}\bar{h}})$$

where $|OX| \geq |\bar{X}|$, $\bar{t} \leq t$, $1 - \frac{1}{2} \min(h_0, h'_0) < \mu < 1$,

$\bar{h} = \min(h_\rho, \theta h'_0)$ (h'_0 is the constant appearing in (2.8) while $\theta \in (0, 1)$) and C_1, C_2, C'_1, C'_2 are positive constants.

Proof. Because the relation (3.4) is an extension of the thesis of Theorem 18 in [1] to the case when the coefficients of the equation (1.2) depend on $D^{\alpha m}u(X, t)$ and the density $\rho(X, t)$ satisfies the inequality (3.2), thus the proof is analogous to that of Theorem 18 in [1].

In order to prove the inequalities (3.5) and (3.6) first we consider the case $0 \leq k < p$ and decompose the derivatives $D^{\delta k}V_{(u)}(X, t)$, where $|\delta|+2k = 2p-1$, as follows

$$(3.7) \quad D^{\delta k}V_{(u)}(X, t) = \int_0^t \rho(P, \tau) \int_{R^n} D^{\delta k} \omega_{(u)}^{P, \tau}(X, t; Y, \tau) dY d\tau +$$

$$+ \int_0^t \rho(P, \tau) \int_{R^n} \left[D^{\delta k} \omega_{(u)}^{Y, \tau}(X, t; Y, \tau) - D^{\delta k} \omega_{(u)}^{P, \tau}(X, t; Y, \tau) \right] dY d\tau +$$

$$+ \int_0^t \int_{R^n} D^{\delta k} \omega_{(u)}^{Y, \tau}(X, t; Y, \tau) [\rho(Y, \tau) - \rho(P, \tau)] dY d\tau,$$

where P is an arbitrary point of R^n .

It is easy to see that the first integral in (3.7) is equal to zero. Thus, differentiating (3.7) with respect to x_i and substituting $P = X$, we obtain the formula ^{*)}

$$(3.9) \quad D^{\nu k} v_{(u)}(X, t) = \int_0^t \varrho(X, \tau) \int_{R^n} \left[D^{\nu k} \omega_{(u)}^{Y, \tau}(X, t; Y, \tau) - D^{\nu k} \omega_{(u)}^{X, \tau}(X, t; Y, \tau) \right] dY d\tau +$$

$$+ \int_0^t \int_{R^n} D^{\nu k} \omega_{(u)}^{Y, \tau}(X, t; Y, \tau) [\varrho(Y, \tau) - \varrho(X, \tau)] dY d\tau =$$

$$= I_1(X, t) + I_2(X, t).$$

Making use of assumption (3.3), inequality (2.7) (where we take $C' > b_\varrho$) and relation $|OY| \leq |OX| + |XY|$, we obtain the following estimate of the integral $I_2(X, t)$

$$|I_2(X, t)| \leq \text{const } M'_\varrho \int_0^t \tau^{-\mu} \varrho(t-\tau)^{-\mu} d\tau \cdot$$

$$\cdot \int_{R^n} |XY|^{-(n+2-2\mu-h_\varrho)} \exp(b_\varrho |OX|) \exp(-C' |XY|) dY \leq$$

$$\leq \text{const } M'_\varrho t^{1-\mu-\mu_\varrho} \exp(b_\varrho |OX|) \cdot$$

$$\cdot \int_{R^n} |XY|^{-(n+2-2\mu-h_\varrho)} \exp(-(C'-b_\varrho) |XY|) dY \leq$$

$$\leq \text{const } M'_\varrho t^{1-\mu-\mu_\varrho} \exp(b_\varrho |OX|), \quad \text{where } 1 - \frac{1}{2} h_\varrho < \mu < 1.$$

^{*)} $D^{\nu k}$ denotes $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} D^{\nu k}$.

By a similar argument we get for $I_1(X, t)$ the inequality

$$|I_1(X, t)| \leq \text{const } M_\rho' t^{1-\mu-\mu_\rho} \exp(b_\rho |OX|),$$

where $1 - \frac{1}{2} h'_\rho < \mu < 1$ and combining the above-obtained results we arrive at the estimate (3.5).

We shall prove Hölder's condition (3.6). (It is enough to consider the case $2|\bar{X}X| < r_0$, $\sqrt{t-t} < r_0$, where r_0 denotes a fixed positive number, since in the opposite case the validity of (3.6) follows from (3.5)).

Basing on the formula (3.9) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & |I_1(X, t) - I_1(\bar{X}, t)| \leq \\ & \leq \int_0^t \rho(X, \tau) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \left[D^{\nu k} \omega_{(u)}^{Y, \tau}(X, t; Y, \tau) - D^{\nu k} \omega_{(u)}^{X, \tau}(X, t; Y, \tau) \right] - \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \left[D^{\nu k} \omega_{(u)}^{Y, \tau}(\bar{X}, t; Y, \tau) - D^{\nu k} \omega_{(u)}^{\bar{X}, \tau}(\bar{X}, t; Y, \tau) \right] \right| dY d\tau + \\ & + \int_0^t |\rho(X, \tau) - \rho(\bar{X}, \tau)| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| D^{\nu k} \omega_{(u)}^{Y, \tau}(\bar{X}, t; Y, \tau) - \right. \\ & \quad \left. - D^{\nu k} \omega_{(u)}^{\bar{X}, \tau}(\bar{X}, t; Y, \tau) \right| dY d\tau = \bar{I}_1 + \tilde{I}_1. \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of (2.8) and (3.3) we get for \tilde{I}_1 the estimate

$$\tilde{I}_1 \leq \text{const } M_\rho' t^{1-\mu-\mu_\rho} \exp(b_\rho |OX|) |\bar{X}X|^{h_\rho},$$

where $1 - \frac{1}{2} h_\rho < \mu < 1$.

Next we break the integral \bar{I}_1 into three components with the integration over K_0 , $K_0 - K$ and $R^n - K_0$, where K_0 and K denote the balls with the centers at X and the radii r_0 and $2|X\bar{X}|$ respectively. We estimate the integral over K by the sum of the appropriate integrals and in order to estimate the integrals over $K_0 - K$ and $R^n - K_0$ we apply the mean-value theorem to the function

$$F(X, t; Y, \tau; P) = D^{\nu k} \omega_{(u)}^{Y, \tau}(X, t; Y, \tau) - D^{\nu k} \omega_{(u)}^{P, \tau}(X, t; Y, \tau) \quad (P \in R^n)$$

and we use the relations (2.7) and (2.8). As a result we obtain

$$\bar{I}_1 \leq \text{const } M_\rho t^{-\mu_\rho} \exp(b_\rho |OX|) |X\bar{X}|^{\theta h'_0}, \quad \theta \in (0, 1).$$

Proceeding analogously as in the examination of the difference $|I_1(X, t) - I_1(\bar{X}, t)|$ we get for $I_2(X, t)$ the inequality

$$|I_2(X, t) - I_2(\bar{X}, t)| \leq \text{const } M'_\rho t^{-\mu_\rho} \exp(b_\rho |OX|) |X\bar{X}|^{h'_0}.$$

The proof of the Hölder condition with respect to t is similar to that above and is based on the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| D^{\nu k} v_{(u)}(X, t) - D^{\nu k} v_{(u)}(X, \bar{t}) \right| \leq \\ & \leq \int_t^{\bar{t}} \rho(X, \tau) \int_{R^n} \left| D^{\nu k} \omega_{(u)}^{Y, \tau}(X, \bar{t}; Y, \tau) - D^{\nu k} \omega_{(u)}^{X, \tau}(X, \bar{t}; Y, \tau) \right| dY d\tau + \\ & + \int_t^{\bar{t}} \int_{R^n} \left| D^{\nu k} \omega_{(u)}^{Y, \tau}(X, \bar{t}; Y, \tau) \right| |\rho(Y, \tau) - \rho(X, \tau)| dY d\tau + \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_0^t |\varrho(x, \tau)| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \left[D^{\vartheta k} \omega_{(u)}^{Y, \tau}(x, t; Y, \tau) - D^{\vartheta k} \omega_{(u)}^{X, \tau}(x, t; Y, \tau) \right] - \right. \\
& \left. - \left[D^{\vartheta k} \omega_{(u)}^{Y, \tau}(x, \bar{t}; Y, \tau) - D^{\vartheta k} \omega_{(u)}^{X, \tau}(x, \bar{t}; Y, \tau) \right] \right| dY d\tau + \\
& + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| D^{\vartheta k} \omega_{(u)}^{Y, \tau}(x, t; Y, \tau) - D^{\vartheta k} \omega_{(u)}^{Y, \tau}(x, \bar{t}; Y, \tau) \right| \cdot |\varrho(Y, \tau) - \varrho(x, \tau)| dY d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

The examination of the first two integrals is based on the inequalities (2.7), (2.8), (3.2), (3.3) and on the following decomposition $\mathbb{R}^n = K_0 \cup (\mathbb{R}^n - K_0)$.

The remaining integrals can be estimated similarly as the corresponding integrals in the proof of Hölder's condition with respect to X , replacing the ball K by the ball K_1 with the center at X and radius $\sqrt{\bar{t}-t}$.

As a consequence we obtain the following result

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| D^{\vartheta k} \omega_{(u)}(x, t) - D^{\vartheta k} \omega_{(u)}(x, \bar{t}) \right| \leq \\
& \leq \text{const } t^{-\mu_\varrho} \exp(b_\varrho |OX|) \left(M_\varrho |\bar{t}-t|^{\frac{1}{2}h_0} + M'_\varrho |\bar{t}-t|^{\frac{1}{2}h'_0} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the proof of inequality (3.6) in the case $k < p$ is completed. The validity of the estimates (3.5) and (3.6) for $k = p$ follows from the formula (3.4), the assumptions (1.3), (2.2) and from the results proved above for $k < p$.

Note that the estimates of the integral in (3.4) do not cause any difficulty, due to the weak singularity of the integrand (comp. (72) in [1]).

Theorem 2. If the density $\varrho(x, t)$ is continuous in \mathbb{R}_T^n and the inequality (3.2) is valid with $0 < \mu_\varrho < \frac{1}{2}$,

then the derivatives $D^{\nu k} V_{(u)}(X, t)$ ($0 \leq |\nu| + 2k \leq 2p-1$) satisfy in \bar{R}_T^n the following conditions

$$(3.10) \quad |D^{\nu k} V_{(u)}(X, t)| \leq \text{const } M_\rho t^{1-\mu-\mu_\rho} \exp(b_\rho |OX|),$$

$$(3.11) \quad |D^{\nu k} V_{(u)}(X, t) - D^{\nu k} V_{(u)}(\bar{X}, \bar{t})| \leq \\ \leq \text{const } M_\rho \bar{t}^{\theta_0} \exp(b_\rho |OX|) \left(|\bar{X} - X|^{\tilde{h}} + |\bar{t} - t|^{\frac{1}{2}\tilde{h}} \right)$$

where $|OX| \geq |\bar{X}|$, $\bar{t} \geq t$, $\frac{1}{2} < \mu < 1 - \mu_\rho$, $0 < \tilde{h} < 1 - 2\mu_\rho$, $0 < \theta_0 < \frac{1-\tilde{h}}{2} - \mu$.

Let us note that inequality (3.10) is given in [4] p.197 (comp. (174)) and Hölder's condition (3.11) is a modification of the condition (175) in [4] (p.197) (both of these results concern the parabolic systems).

4. The Fourier-Poisson integral

In paper [1] there was considered the integral of the form

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{K}_i(X, t) = \int_{R^n} v_i(X, t; Y) g(Y) dY, \quad (i=1, \dots, p),$$

where

$$(4.2) \quad v_i(X, t; Y) = t^{-\frac{n}{2}+p-1} \exp\left(-\frac{|XY|^2}{4t}\right).$$

The integral (4.1) satisfies the p -th iterate of the heat equation.

In the present section we prove some theorems concerning the derivatives $D^{\nu k} \mathcal{K}_i(X, t)$ ($0 \leq |\nu| + 2k \leq 2p$; $i=1, \dots, p$), analogous to those obtained in previous section for the integral (3.1).

Theorem 3. If the function $g(x)$ has in R^n continuous partial derivatives of all orders including the $(2p-2i+1)$ -th which satisfy the inequality

$$(4.3) \quad |D^\alpha g(x)| \leq M_g \exp(b_g |ox|),$$

where $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq 2p-2i+1$; $D^\alpha = D^{\alpha 0}$ and, besides that the derivatives of order $2p-2i+1$ satisfy in R^n the Hölder's condition of the form

$$(4.4) \quad |D^\delta g(x) - D^\delta g(\bar{x})| \leq M'_g \exp(b_g |ox|) |x\bar{x}|^{h_g}$$

where $|\delta| = 2p-2i+1$; $|ox| \geq |\bar{x}|$; $0 < h_g \leq 1$, $M_g > 0$, $M'_g > 0$, $b_g > 0$, then the derivatives $D^{\nu k} \mathcal{X}_1(x, t)$ ($|\nu|+2k=2p$) of the integral (4.1) fulfil the following inequalities

$$(4.5) \quad |D^{\nu k} \mathcal{X}_1(x, t)| \leq \text{const}(M_g + M'_g) t^{-\mu} \exp(b_h |ox|),$$

$$(4.6) \quad |D^{\nu k} \mathcal{X}_1(x, t) - D^{\nu k} \mathcal{X}_1(\bar{x}, \bar{t})| \leq \text{const}(M_g + M'_g) t^{-\frac{1-(1-\theta)h_g}{2}} \exp(b_g |ox|) |x\bar{x}|^{\theta h_g} + |\bar{t}-t|^{\frac{1-\theta h_g}{2}}$$

where $(x, t), (\bar{x}, \bar{t}) \in R_T^n$; $t \leq \bar{t}$, $\frac{1-h_g}{2} < \mu < 1$ and $\theta \in (0, 1)$.

Proof. This theorem is a modification of Theorem 17 in [1]. Let $|\nu|+2k=2p$. We shall consider two cases:
(i) $k \leq i-1$, and (ii) $i-1 < k \leq p$.

In the case (i) we make use of the following formula

$$(4.7) \quad D^{\nu k} \mathcal{X}_1(x, t) = (i-1)! \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{1}{(i-1-k+j)!} \binom{k}{j} t^{i-1-k+j} D^{\nu 0} \Delta^j \mathcal{X}_1(x, t),$$

where Δ^j denotes the j -th iterate of the Laplace operator.

In the case (ii), basing on the formula (228) in [1], we obtain

$$(4.8) \quad D^{\varphi^k} \chi_1(x, t) = k! \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{i-1}{j} \frac{1}{(k-j)!} t^{i-1-j} D^{\varphi^0} \Delta^{k-j} \chi_1(x, t).$$

We shall estimate the expression $t^{i-1-k+j} D^{\varphi^0} \Delta^j \chi_1(x, t)$ ($j=0, \dots, k$) appearing in (4.7) and $t^{i-1-j} D^{\varphi^0} \Delta^{k-j} \chi_1(x, t)$ ($j=0, \dots, i-1$) in (4.8).

As we shall further see, both of these expressions have the estimates of the same order of singularity.

Let us consider now the ball K_0 introduced in p.9 and make the following decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} D^{\varphi} \chi_1(x, t) &= \int_{K_0} D^{\varphi} \Delta^j v_1(x, t; Y) g(Y) dY + \\ &+ \int_{R^n - K_0} D^{\varphi} \Delta^j v_1(x, t; Y) g(Y) dY \quad (|\varphi| = 2p-2k). \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Green theorem to the integral over K_0 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (4.9) \quad \int_{K_0} D^{\varphi} \Delta^j v_1(x, t; Y) g(Y) dY &= \\ &= \int_{K_0} D^{\varphi^0} v_1(x, t; Y) D^{\varphi^*} \Delta^j g(Y) dY + R_1(x, t), \end{aligned}$$

where $R_1(x, t)$ denotes a sum of certain bounded integrals over ∂K_0 , $\varphi^0 = (\varphi_1^0, \dots, \varphi_n^0)$ and $\varphi^* = (\varphi_1^*, \dots, \varphi_n^*)$ satisfy the conditions $|\varphi^0| = 2(i-1+j-k)+1$, $|\varphi^*| = 2p-2i+1-2j$ and $\varphi_m^0 + \varphi_m^* = \varphi_m$ for $m=1, \dots, n$ ($\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)$).

Proceeding analogously as in the case (ii) we obtain

$$D^{\vartheta} \Delta^{k-j} v_1(x, t; Y) = \int_{K_0} D^{\vartheta} \Delta^{k-j} v_1(x, t; Y) g(Y) dY + \\ + \int_{R^n - K_0} D^{\vartheta} \Delta^{k-j} v_1(x, t; Y) g(Y) dY,$$

where

$$(4.10) \quad \int_{K_0} D^{\vartheta} \Delta^{k-j} v_1(x, t; Y) g(Y) dY = \\ = \int_{K_0} D^{\vartheta^0} \Delta^{i-1-j} v_1(x, t; Y) D^{\vartheta^*} \Delta^{k+1-j} g(Y) dY + R_2(x, t)$$

and $R_2(x, t)$ denotes a sum of the same type as in (4.9).

The indices ϑ^0 and ϑ^* satisfy the conditions $|\vartheta^0| = 1$, $|\vartheta^*| = 2p - 2k + 1$ and $\vartheta_m^0 + \vartheta_m^* = \vartheta_m$ for $m = 1, \dots, n$.

Basing on the estimate (see (2.7))

$$(4.11) \quad |D^{\alpha m} v_1(x, t; Y)| \leq \text{const } t^{-\frac{n+|\alpha|+2m}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\tilde{C} |XY|^2}{4t}\right) \leq \\ \leq \text{const } t^{-\mu} |XY|^{-(n+2+|\alpha|+2m-2p-2\mu)} \exp(-\tilde{C}_1 |XY|),$$

where $0 < \tilde{C} < 1$, $\tilde{C}_1 > 0$ and μ is the parameter choosen as in (2.7), we easily observe that the expression appearing in (4.7) and (4.8) has the analogous estimates as $t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{R}(X, t)$, where

$$(4.12) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(x, t) = \int_{K_0} D^\eta v_1(x, t; y) D^\delta g(y) dy$$

$$(0 \leq i \leq i-1, \quad |\eta| = 2i+1, \quad |\delta| = 2p-2i+1).$$

We shall consider only the integral taken over K_0 , since the integrals appearing in $R_1(x, t)$ and $R_2(x, t)$ (see (4.9) and (4.10)) are bounded and the integrals over $R^n - K_0$ have the estimates of the form

$$\left| \int_{R^n - K_0} D^\eta v_1(x, t; y) D^\delta g(y) dy \right| \leq \text{const } M_g \exp(b_g |Ox|)$$

and satisfy Hölder's conditions with an arbitrary exponent from the interval $(0, 1)$ and the exponential coefficient of the same form as that in the right-hand side of the inequality written above.

Since the integral $\int_{K_0} D^\eta v_1(x, t; y) dy$, where $|\eta| = 2i+1$ is equal to zero, the function (4.12) can be written in the form

$$(4.13) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(x, t) = \int_{K_0} D^\eta v_1(x, t; y) \left[D^\delta g(y) - D^\delta g(x) \right] dy,$$

$$(|\eta| = 2i+1, \quad |\delta| = 2p-2i+1).$$

In virtue of assumption (4.4), estimate (4.11) and the relations $|OY| \leq |Ox| + |XY|$, $\exp(b_g |Ox|) \leq \text{const}$, satisfied for $Y \in K_0$, we have

$$|\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(x, t)| \leq \text{const } M'_g t^{-(1+\mu)} \int_{K_0} |XY|^{-(n+1-2\mu-h_g)} \exp(b_g |OY|) dY \leq \\ \leq \text{const } M'_g t^{-(1+\mu)} \exp(b_g |OX|),$$

where $\frac{1-h_g}{2} < \mu < 1$.

Making use of the formula (4.13) and the equality $K_0 = K \cup (K_0 - K)$, where K is a ball with the center at X and the radius $2|X\bar{X}| < r_0$ we can write

$$|\mathcal{H}(x, t) - \mathcal{H}(\bar{x}, t)| \leq \int_K |D^\eta v_1(x, t; y)| |D^6 g(y) - D^6 g(x)| dy + \\ + \int_K |D^\eta v_1(\bar{x}, t; y)| |D^6 g(y) - D^6 g(\bar{x})| dy + |D^6 g(\bar{x}) - D^6 g(x)| \int_{K_0 - K} |D^\eta v_1(x, t; y)| dy + \\ + \int_{K_0 - K} |D^\eta v_1(x, t; y) - D^\eta v_1(\bar{x}, t; y)| |D^6 g(y) - D^6 g(\bar{x})| dy = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(1)} + \dots + \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(4)}.$$

In order to estimate the integral $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(1)}$ we consider the inequality (4.11) introducing polar coordinates. As a consequence we have

$$(4.14) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(1)} \leq \text{const } M'_g t^{-(1+\mu)} \exp(b_g |OX|) |X\bar{X}|^{\frac{h_g}{2} + 2\mu - 1},$$

where $\frac{1-h_g}{2} < \mu < 1 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{h_g}{2}$.

In a similar way we estimate $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(2)}$. The component $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(3)}$ is equal to zero. The integral $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(4)}$ is examined by applying the mean-value theorem, the assumption (4.4) and, subsequently, the relations $|\bar{X}Y| < \frac{3}{2} |XY|$, $|X^*Y| > \frac{1}{2} |XY|$, where X^* is a point of the interior of a sector $\bar{X}Y$, $|OY| \leq |OX| + |XY|$ and $\exp(b_g |OX|) \leq \text{const}$.

Hence we obtain the inequality of the form (4.14) with
 $\frac{1-h}{2}h_g < \mu < \frac{1}{2}$.

Joining the results obtained above and substituting
 $h_g + 2 - 1 = \theta h_g$, where $0 < \theta < 1$, we get Hölder's condition
(4.6) with respect to X .

The same condition with respect to t can be proved ana-
logously by introducing a ball with a center at X and ra-
dius $\sqrt{t-t}$ and breaking the domain of integration in $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}(X,t)$
into two domains.

Theorem 4. Under the assumptions of the pre-
vious theorem (see p.12), the derivatives $D^{\alpha m} \mathcal{X}_i(X,t)$
 $(0 \leq |\alpha| + 2m \leq 2p-1; i=1, \dots, p)$ satisfy in \bar{R}_T^n the following
inequalities

$$(4.15) \quad |D^{\alpha m} \mathcal{X}_i(X,t)| \leq \text{const } M^* t^{\theta'_0} \exp(b_g |OX|),$$

$$(4.16) \quad |D^{\alpha m} \mathcal{X}_i(X,t) - D^{\alpha m} \mathcal{X}_i(\bar{X},\bar{t})| \leq \\ \leq \text{const } M^* \bar{t}^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\theta)h_g} \exp(b_g |OX|) \left(|X\bar{X}|^{\tilde{h}} + |\bar{t}-t|^{\frac{1}{2}\tilde{h}} \right),$$

where $0 < \theta'_0 < \frac{1}{2}h_g$, $M^* = \begin{cases} M_g & \text{for } 0 \leq |\alpha| + 2m \leq 2p-3 \\ M'_g & \text{for } |\alpha| + 2m = 2p-2, 2p-1, \end{cases}$

$$\tilde{h} = \begin{cases} \theta h_g & \text{for } |\alpha| + 2m = 2p-1 \\ 1 & \text{for } 0 \leq |\alpha| + 2m \leq 2p-2 \text{ and } \theta \in (0,1). \end{cases}$$

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] A. Boryszkowski: Some partial differential equations of even order, Demonstratio Math. 2 (1970) 5-85.
- [2] A. Friedman: Partial differential equations of parabolic type, Englewood Cliffs, N.J. 1964.

- [3] W. Pogorzelski : Problème aux limites pour l'équation parabolique dont les coéfficients dépendent de la fonction inconnue, Ricerche Mat. 5 (1956) 258-272.
- [4] W. Pogorzelski : Równania całkowe i ich zastosowania, t.IV. Warszawa 1970.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW

Received May 13, 1981.