

Andrzej Adamski

AN ALGEBRAIC NOMOGRAMMABILITY TEST
FOR FUNCTIONS OF n VARIABLES

1. One of the fundamental problems in theoretical nomography is that of reducing functions of n variables to a canonical form. In the case of $n = 3$ this problem has been thoroughly discussed by M. Warmus [5] and E. Otto [4]. In [4] (cf. § 31 pp.293-301) an old idea of E. Duporcq [3] was successfully developed. In another paper [2] M. Czyżykowski adapted the methods used in [4] to the problem of reduction of a function of $n = 4$ variables to Soreau form. In this paper an extension of the results of [4] and [2] to arbitrary $n > 3$ is presented.

2. First we shall list the principal notions and definitions used throughout this paper.

Let K be a number field, Ω_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) - arbitrary sets, and let Ω denote their Cartesian product: $\Omega = \prod_{i=1}^n \Omega_i$. F will always denote a function of n variables x_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$):

$$(1) \quad F : \Omega \ni (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in K.$$

D e f i n i t i o n 1. We say that F is reducible to Soreau form or shortly, to S-form in its domain Ω if such functions

$$(2) \quad \bar{X}_1^k : \Omega_1 \ni x_1 \rightarrow \bar{X}_1^k(x_1) \in K, \quad i, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

exist that for each $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \Omega$

$$(S) \quad F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \det [\bar{X}_1^k(x_1)]_{n \times n},$$

where the indices i, k number the rows and columns of the matrix $[\bar{X}_1^k(x_1)]_{n \times n}$, respectively. It is obvious that not every function F described by (1) is reducible to S-form.

Let now ϕ_u and ϕ_v denote two arbitrary non-empty sets, and let ϕ be their Cartesian product, $\phi = \phi_u \times \phi_v$. Consider a function G such that

$$(3) \quad G : \phi \ni (u, v) \rightarrow G(u, v) \in K.$$

We shall define the rank of G (cf. [5]) with respect to the variables $u \in \phi_u$ and $v \in \phi_v$.

Definition 2. The rank $R(G)$ of the function G (3) is not higher than m , $R(G) \leq m$, if such functions

$$(4) \quad \begin{cases} U_i : \phi_u \ni u \rightarrow U_i(u) \in K, & i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ V_i : \phi_v \ni v \rightarrow V_i(v) \in K, & i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \end{cases}$$

exist, that

$$(5) \quad G(u, v) = \sum_{i=1}^m U_i(u) V_i(v).$$

Definition 2a. The rank $R(G)$ of G is higher than m , $R(G) > m$, if it is not true that the rank $R(G)$ is not higher than m , i.e.

$$[R(G) > m] \Leftrightarrow [\sim(R(G) \leq m)].$$

Definition 3. The function G has the rank $R(G) = 0$, if $G(u, v) \equiv 0$, i.e.

$$[R(G) = 0] \Leftrightarrow [G = 0].$$

Definition 4. The rank $R(G)$ of G is equal to m if $R(G) \leq m$ and $R(G) > m-1$. Then we write $R(G) = m$.

From these definitions we can see that G is of rank 1 if G has the form

$$G(u, v) = U_1(u)V_1(v),$$

where neither U_1 nor V_1 is identically equal to zero, i.e. there is such an $(u_1, v_1) \in \Phi$ that $U_1(u_1) \neq 0$ and $V_1(v_1) \neq 0$.

In the function $F(1)$ we can distinguish the variable x_i for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then, we can define a function G_i of two variables $u = x_i$ and $v = (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$ in the domain

$$\Phi = \Phi_u \times \Phi_v = \Omega_i \times \left(\bigtimes_{j \neq i} \Omega_j \right),$$

by the relations

$$(3a) \quad \begin{cases} G_i : \Phi \ni (u, v) \rightarrow G_i(u, v) \in K, \\ G_i((x_i), (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)) = F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), \\ \text{for all } (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Definition 5. We say that F in (1) is of rank m (or, alternatively, of rank $\leq m$, $> m$) with respect to the variable x_i , if the function G_i defined by (3a) is of rank m ($\leq m$ or $> m$) with respect to $u = x_i$ and $v = (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$.

Definition 6. The function F in (1) is called nomographic (cf. L. Warmus [5]) if

- 1^o. F can be reduced to Soreau form S , and
- 2^o. F is of rank higher than 1 with respect to each variables x_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

In this paper a necessary and sufficient condition for a nomographic function of n variables is given.

3. In order to formulate in a concise way our first theorem, we make use of the following assumptions and notations:

- i) The ordered set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ will be denoted by I .
- ii) It is assumed that $\bar{\Omega}_i > 2$ for all $i \in I$. Using this assumption we can select from each Ω_i two distinct elements $a_i \neq b_i$, $a_i, b_i \in \Omega_i$.
- iii) The value $F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ of the function F at the point (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) will be also denoted by $F[(x_s)_{s \in I}]$.
- iv) For each $(i, k) \in I^2$, x_1^k will be the restriction of F to the domain $\Omega_{ik} = \bigtimes_{s \in I} \bar{\Omega}_s$, where

$$(6) \quad \bar{\Omega}_s = \begin{cases} \Omega_s & \text{for } s = i \\ \{b_s\} & \text{for } (s \neq i) \wedge (s = k) \\ \{a_s\} & \text{for } (s \neq i) \wedge (s \neq k). \end{cases}$$

Thus we can express the values of x_1^k in terms of F in the following way

$$(7) \quad x_1^k(x_1) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F[(z_s)_{s \in I}], \quad \text{where } z_s = \begin{cases} x_s & \text{for } s = i \\ b_s & \text{for } (s \neq i) \wedge (s = k) \\ a_s & \text{for } (s \neq i) \wedge (s \neq k). \end{cases}$$

v) We denote further

$$(8a) \quad F_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F[(a_s)_{s \in I}] = F(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$$

and also

$$(8b) \quad F_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F[(z'_s)_{s \in I}], \quad \text{where } z'_s = \begin{cases} b_s & \text{for } s = i \\ a_s & \text{for } s \neq i. \end{cases}$$

If $t_1 \neq t_2$ ($t_1, t_2 \in I$) then we define

$$(8c) \quad F_{t_1 t_2} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F_{t_2 t_1} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F[(z''_s)_{s \in I}], \quad \text{where } z''_s = \begin{cases} b_s & \text{for } (s=t_1) \vee (s=t_2) \\ a_s & \text{for } (s \neq t_1) \wedge (s \neq t_2). \end{cases}$$

For $t_1 < t_2 < t_3$ ($t_1, t_2, t_3 \in I$) we define

$$(8d) \quad F_{t_1 t_2 t_3} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F[(z'''_s)_{s \in I}], \quad \text{where } z'''_s = \begin{cases} b_s & \text{for } s=t_j \ (j=1,2,3) \\ a_s & \text{for } (s \neq t_1) \wedge (s \neq t_2) \wedge (s \neq t_3). \end{cases}$$

From (8a-8d) it follows that for all $i, k \in I$ we have

$$(9) \quad x_i^k(a_i) = \begin{cases} F_0 & \text{for } i = k \\ F_k & \text{for } i \neq k, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(10) \quad x_i^k(b_i) = \begin{cases} F_k & \text{for } i = k \\ F_{ik} & \text{for } i \neq k. \end{cases}$$

vi) We shall assume that

$$(11) \quad F_0 \neq 0,$$

$$(12) \quad \bigwedge_{t_1 \in I} \bigwedge_{t_2 \in I} [(t_1 \neq t_2) \Rightarrow (F_{t_1 t_2} \neq 0)],$$

$$(13) \quad \bigwedge_{i \in I} (F_i = 0).$$

vii) Let us finally assume that for each $t_1 < t_2 < t_3$ ($t_j \in I$, $j = 1, 2, 3$), there are elements $T_{t_2 t_3 t_1}$ and $T_{t_3 t_1 t_2}$ of the field K satisfying the following system of equations

$$(14) \quad T_{t_2 t_3 t_1} + T_{t_3 t_1 t_2} = F_{t_1 t_2 t_3}$$

$$(15) \quad T_{t_2 t_3 t_1} \cdot T_{t_3 t_1 t_2} = -F_{t_1 t_2} \cdot F_{t_1 t_3} \cdot F_{t_2 t_3} / F_0$$

and for $t_1 < t_2 < t_3 < t_4$ ($t_j \in I$, $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$) the additional system of equations

$$\left. \begin{aligned} (16) \quad & T_{t_2 t_3 t_1} T_{t_4 t_1 t_2} T_{t_3 t_4 t_1} T_{t_4 t_2 t_3} \\ (17) \quad & T_{t_3 t_1 t_2} T_{t_2 t_4 t_1} T_{t_4 t_1 t_3} T_{t_3 t_4 t_2} \end{aligned} \right\} =$$

$$= \frac{F_{t_1 t_2} F_{t_1 t_3} F_{t_1 t_4} F_{t_2 t_3} F_{t_2 t_4} F_{t_3 t_4}}{(F_0)^2}.$$

There are $2 \binom{n}{3}$ equations (14)-(15) and $2 \binom{n}{4}$ equations (16)-(17).

4. Using the above assumptions and notation we are able to formulate the following theorem:

Theorem 1. Under the assumptions i)-vii) a necessary and sufficient condition for the function $|F$ in (1) to be nomographic, is that for each $s \in I$ the following identity holds in Ω

$$(18a) \quad F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \equiv M_s \det W_s,$$

where

$$(18b) \quad M_s = - \left(\prod_{m \in I \setminus \{s\}} F_{ms} \right) / (F_0)^{n-2},$$

$$(18c) \quad W_s \equiv$$

$i < k < s$ $\frac{X_1^k}{T_{sik}}$ $\frac{X_k^k}{F_{ks}}$ $\frac{X_1^k}{T_{isk}}$	$i < s < k$ $\frac{X_1^s}{F_{is}}$ $\frac{X_1^k}{T_{ski}}$
$k < i < s$ $\frac{X_s^k}{F_{ks}}$	$\frac{X_s^s}{-F_0}$
$k < s < i$ $\frac{X_1^k}{T_{iks}}$	$s < i < k$ $\frac{X_1^s}{F_{si}}$ $\frac{X_k^k}{F_{sk}}$ $\frac{X_1^k}{T_{ksi}}$ $\frac{X_i^k}{T_{kis}}$ $s < k < i$

Now follows the proof of this theorem.

5. Proof. We shall show first the necessity of the condition (18). Thus, we have to prove that (18a,b,c) follows from the assumption that F is reducible to the S -form (S) in the sense of Definition 6.

Under the assumption that F has the form (S) we can treat the i -th row of the determinant on the r.h.s. of (S) as an element of a linear vector space K^n :

$$\bar{r}_i(x_i) = [\bar{x}_i^1(x_i), \bar{x}_i^2(x_i), \dots, \bar{x}_i^n(x_i)] .$$

Let h denote a linear nonsingular mapping of K^n into itself:

$$h : K^n \ni \bar{r} \rightarrow h(\bar{r}) = \bar{r} A \in K^n,$$

(where A is an $n \times n$ matrix) such that the value of h at the point $\bar{r}_1(x_1)$ is

$$\bar{w}_1(x_1) = h(\bar{r}_1(x_1)) = [f_1^1(x_1), f_1^2(x_1), \dots, f_1^n(x_1)].$$

Then for each $i \in I$ the identity

$$[\bar{x}_1^1(x_1), \bar{x}_1^2(x_1), \dots, \bar{x}_1^n(x_1)] = [f_1^1(x_1), f_1^2(x_1), \dots, f_1^n(x_1)] A^{-1},$$

holds, and we have

$$(19) \quad [\bar{x}_1^k(x_1)]_{n \times n} = [f_1^k(x_1)]_{n \times n} \cdot A^{-1}.$$

We define now h as the linear mapping satisfying the following condition for each $i \in I$:

$$(20) \quad \bar{r}_i(a_i) \xrightarrow{h} \bar{w}_i(a_i) = [f_i^1(a_i), f_i^2(a_i), \dots, f_i^n(a_i)], \text{ where } f_i^k(a_i) = \delta_{ik}$$

(δ_{ik} denotes here a Kronecker's delta). From Eqs. (19) and (20) we obtain now that

$$[\bar{x}_1^k(a_i)]_{n \times n} = J A^{-1},$$

where J is a $n \times n$ unit matrix. From Eqs. (S) and (8a) we get

$$(21) \quad \det A^{-1} = \det [\bar{x}_1^k(a_i)]_{n \times n} = F_0.$$

It follows now from Eqs. (5), (19) and (21) that

$$(22) \quad F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \equiv \det [f_1^k(x_1)]_{n \times n} \cdot P_0.$$

We will be able now to express the values $X_1^k(x_1)$ defined by (7) in terms of the values $f_1^k(x_1)$ appearing in the identity (22). For brevity's sake, we shall write X_1^k instead of $X_1^k(x_1)$. First, we see from Eqs. (7), (20) and (22) that for each $k \in I$

$$(23) \quad X_k^k \equiv X_k^k(x_k) = f_k^k(x_k) \cdot P_0.$$

From the above identity and from Eqs. (10) and (13) we obtain

$$(24) \quad f_k^k(b_k) = 0, \quad \text{for each } k \in I.$$

In the same way as for (23) we get for each $i, k \in I$ the identity

$$(25) \quad X_1^k \equiv X_1^k(x_1) = -f_1^k(x_1) f_k^1(b_k) \cdot P_0 \quad \text{for } i \neq k,$$

which, together with Eq. (10) gives for all $i \neq k, i, k \in I$

$$(26) \quad F_{ik} = -f_1^k(b_1) f_k^1(b_k) \cdot P_0.$$

Now using the assumption (12) together with (26) we see that for all $i, k \in I$

$$(27) \quad f_1^k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f_1^k(b_1) \neq 0, \quad \text{for } i \neq k.$$

In a similar way as for (23) and (25) we deduce that for all $t_1 < t_2 < t_3, t_1, t_2, t_3 \in I$

$$(28) \quad F_{t_1 t_2 t_3} = \left(f_{t_1}^{t_2} \cdot f_{t_2}^{t_3} \cdot f_{t_3}^{t_1} + f_{t_1}^{t_3} \cdot f_{t_2}^{t_1} \cdot f_{t_3}^{t_2} \right) P_0,$$

Putting

$$(29) \quad T_{t_2 t_3 t_1} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f_{t_1}^{t_2} f_{t_2}^{t_3} f_{t_3}^{t_1} F_0, \quad T_{t_3 t_1 t_2} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f_{t_1}^{t_3} f_{t_2}^{t_1} f_{t_3}^{t_2} F_0$$

and inserting these values into (28) we obtain all $\binom{n}{3}$ equations of the form (14).

Now we shall show that (29) satisfy also Eqs. (15) for all $(t_1, t_2, t_3) \in I^3$ such that $t_1 < t_2 < t_3$. From (26) and (27) we get

$$\begin{aligned} T_{t_2 t_3 t_1} T_{t_3 t_1 t_2} &= f_{t_1}^{t_2} f_{t_2}^{t_3} f_{t_3}^{t_1} f_{t_1}^{t_3} f_{t_2}^{t_1} f_{t_3}^{t_2} (F_0)^2 = \\ &= \left(-f_{t_1}^{t_2} f_{t_2}^{t_1} F_0 \right) \cdot \left(-f_{t_1}^{t_3} f_{t_3}^{t_1} F_0 \right) \cdot \left(-f_{t_2}^{t_3} f_{t_3}^{t_2} F_0 \right) / F_0 = \\ &= -F_{t_1 t_2} F_{t_1 t_3} F_{t_2 t_3} / F_0. \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way we obtain all $\binom{n}{4}$ equations of the form (16) for all $(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) \in I^4$ such that $t_1 < t_2 < t_3 < t_4$:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{t_2 t_3 t_1} T_{t_4 t_1 t_2} T_{t_3 t_4 t_1} T_{t_4 t_2 t_3} &= \\ &= f_{t_1}^{t_2} f_{t_2}^{t_3} f_{t_3}^{t_1} \cdot F_0 \cdot f_{t_1}^{t_4} f_{t_2}^{t_1} f_{t_4}^{t_2} \cdot F_0 \cdot f_{t_1}^{t_3} f_{t_3}^{t_4} f_{t_4}^{t_1} \cdot F_0 \cdot f_{t_2}^{t_4} f_{t_3}^{t_2} f_{t_4}^{t_3} \cdot F_0 = \\ &= \left(-f_{t_1}^{t_2} f_{t_2}^{t_1} F_0 \right) \cdot \left(-f_{t_1}^{t_3} f_{t_3}^{t_1} F_0 \right) \cdot \left(-f_{t_1}^{t_4} f_{t_4}^{t_1} F_0 \right) \cdot \left(-f_{t_2}^{t_3} f_{t_3}^{t_2} F_0 \right) \cdot \\ &\cdot \left(-f_{t_2}^{t_4} f_{t_4}^{t_2} F_0 \right) \cdot \left(-f_{t_3}^{t_4} f_{t_4}^{t_3} F_0 \right) \cdot (F_0)^{-2} = \\ &= F_{t_1 t_2} F_{t_1 t_3} F_{t_1 t_4} F_{t_2 t_3} F_{t_2 t_4} F_{t_3 t_4} \cdot (F_0)^{-2}, \end{aligned}$$

as well as all $\binom{n}{4}$ equations of the form (17).

Finally we show that the identity (18a-c) holds for F . Starting from Eq. (22) and using (23) and (25) we get first the values of $f_i^k(x_i)$ for all $i, k \in I$:

$$(30) \quad \begin{cases} f_i^k(x_i) = -x_i^k / (f_k^i F_0) & \text{for } i \neq k, \\ f_k^k(x_k) = x_k^k / F_0. \end{cases}$$

Then, denoting

$$(31) \quad u_{ik} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} -f_k^i F_0 & \text{for } i \neq k, \\ F_0 & \text{for } i = k, \end{cases}$$

we can rewrite the identity (22) as

$$(32) \quad F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \equiv \det \begin{bmatrix} x_i^k \\ u_{ik} \end{bmatrix}_{n \times n} \cdot F_0.$$

Since all x_i^k ($i, k \in I$) were defined by (7) as particular values of F , we must now find only the elements of the $n \times n$ matrix $[u_{ik}]$ ($i, k \in I$). They must also be expressed in terms of F in n different ways, corresponding to n possible values of s in the condition (18a,b,c). Using Eq. (31) we obtain for all $k \in I \setminus \{s\}$

$$(33) \quad \frac{u_{sk}}{u_{ss}} : \frac{u_{kk}}{u_{ks}} = -F_{sk} / F_0.$$

For all $i, k \in I \setminus \{s\}$, such that $i < k$ we have

$$(34) \quad \frac{u_{ik}}{u_{is}} : \frac{u_{kk}}{u_{ks}} = \frac{f_k^i}{f_s^i} : \frac{-1}{f_s^k} = \frac{f_s^k f_i^s f_k^i F_0}{-f_s^i f_i^s F_0} =$$

$$= \begin{cases} T_{ksi}/F_{si} & \text{for } s < i < k, \\ T_{ski}/F_{is} & \text{for } i < s < k, \\ T_{sik}/F_{is} & \text{for } i < k < s \end{cases}$$

and for $k < i$ we get

$$(35) \quad \frac{u_{ik}}{u_{is}} : \frac{u_{kk}}{u_{ks}} = \begin{cases} T_{kis}/F_{si} & \text{for } s < k < i, \\ T_{iks}/F_{si} & \text{for } k < s < i, \\ T_{isk}/F_{si} & \text{for } k < i < s. \end{cases}$$

Now put

$$p_k^s \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{u_{kk}}{u_{ks}},$$

then, making use of Eqs. (31) and (33)-(35) we obtain for all $i, k \in I$, $k \neq s$ the values

$$u_{ik} = \begin{cases} u_{is} p_k^s & \text{for } i = k \neq s \\ u_{is} p_k^s F_{ks}/(-F_0) & \text{for } k < i = s \\ u_{is} p_k^s F_{sk}/(-F_0) & \text{for } i = s < k \\ u_{is} p_k^s T_{ksi}/F_{si} & \text{for } s < i < k \\ u_{is} p_k^s T_{ski}/F_{is} & \text{for } i < s < k \\ u_{is} p_k^s T_{sik}/F_{is} & \text{for } i < k < s \\ u_{is} p_k^s T_{kis}/F_{si} & \text{for } s < k < i \\ u_{is} p_k^s T_{iks}/F_{si} & \text{for } k < s < i \\ u_{is} p_k^s T_{isk}/F_{is} & \text{for } k < i < s \end{cases}$$

Inserting the above values of the coefficients u_{ik} into (32) we get

$$F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \equiv F_0 \det \bar{W}_s,$$

where

$$\bar{W}_s \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x_1^1}{u_{1s} p_1^s} & \dots & \frac{x_1^k}{u_{1s} p_k^s \frac{T_{s1k}}{F_{1s}}} & \dots & \frac{x_1^s}{u_{1s}} & \dots & \frac{x_1^n}{u_{1s} p_n^s \frac{T_{sn1}}{F_{1s}}} \\ \frac{x_2^1}{u_{2s} p_1^s \frac{T_{2s1}}{F_{2s}}} & \dots & \frac{x_2^k}{u_{2s} p_k^s \frac{T_{s2k}}{F_{2s}}} & \dots & \frac{x_2^s}{u_{2s}} & \dots & \frac{x_2^n}{u_{2s} p_n^s \frac{T_{sn2}}{F_{2s}}} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \frac{x_k^1}{u_{ks} p_1^s \frac{T_{ks1}}{F_{ks}}} & \dots & \frac{x_k^k}{u_{ks} p_k^s} & \dots & \frac{x_k^s}{u_{ks}} & \dots & \frac{x_k^n}{u_{ks} p_n^s \frac{T_{snk}}{F_{ks}}} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \frac{x_s^1}{-u_{ss} p_1^s \frac{F_{1s}}{F_0}} & \dots & \frac{x_s^k}{-u_{ss} p_k^s \frac{F_{ks}}{F_0}} & \dots & \frac{x_s^s}{u_{ss}} & \dots & \frac{x_s^n}{-u_{ss} p_n^s \frac{F_{sn}}{F_0}} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \frac{x_n^1}{u_{ns} p_1^s \frac{T_{n1s}}{F_{sn}}} & \dots & \frac{x_n^k}{u_{ns} p_k^s \frac{T_{nks}}{F_{sn}}} & \dots & \frac{x_n^s}{u_{ns}} & \dots & \frac{x_n^n}{u_{ns} p_n^s} \end{bmatrix}$$

We see that all elements of the i -th row of $\det \bar{W}_s$ have a common factor equal to F_{is}/u_{is} for $i \in I \setminus \{s\}$ and to $-F_0/u_{ss}$ for $i = s$. At the same time all elements of the k -th column have the same common factor $1/p_k^s$. Thus we obtain

$$(36) \quad F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) =$$

$$= F_0 \prod_{i \in I \setminus \{s\}} (F_{is}/u_{is}) \prod_{k \in I \setminus \{s\}} (1/p_k^s) (-F_0/u_{ss}) \det W_s$$

where $\det W_s$ has the same form as in (18c). We can compute now the coefficient M_s of $\det W_s$ appearing on the r.h.s of (36). First we obtain

$$(37) \quad \prod_{i \in I \setminus \{s\}} u_{is} = (-1)^{n-1} (F_0)^{n-1} \prod_{i \in I \setminus \{s\}} f_s^i,$$

and

$$(38) \quad \prod_{k \in I \setminus \{s\}} p_k^s = (-1)^{n-1} \left(\prod_{k \in I \setminus \{s\}} f_s^k \right)^{-1}.$$

These values inserted together with (31) into (36) give

$$M_s = \left(- \prod_{i \in I \setminus \{s\}} F_{is} \right) / (F_0)^{n-2}$$

which is the same as in (18a), thus completing the proof that (18a,b,c) is a necessary condition for the part 1° of Definition 6.

The proof of sufficiency of (18a,b,c) for the part 1° of Definition 6 is extremely simple. Namely multiplying an arbitrary i -th row or k -th column of the s -th determinant on the r.h.s. of (18c) by the number M_s we obtain from (18a) the identity (S).

6. Finally we shall show that the rank of our function $F(1)$ satisfying i)-vii) is higher than 1 with respect to each variable x_i , i.e. that from i)-vii) follows that F satisfies

part 2^o of Definition 6. To this end we shall need the following lemma (cf. Warmus [5]).

L e m m a 1. If for any function G_i , $i \in I$ defined by (3a) there are such elements $u_1, u_2 \in \phi_u$ and $v_1, v_2 \in \phi_v$ that

$$(39) \quad \begin{vmatrix} G_i(u_1, v_1) & G_i(u_1, v_2) \\ G_i(u_2, v_1) & G_i(u_2, v_2) \end{vmatrix} \neq 0,$$

then G_i is of rank higher than 1 with respect to the variable x_i .

P r o o f . From assumption (39) one can see that the rank of G_i is higher than 0, since $G_i \neq 0$. If we assumed the rank of G_i to be equal to 1 i.e. G_i have the form

$$G_i(u, v) = U_1(u)V_1(v), \text{ where } U_1 \neq 0, V_1 \neq 0,$$

the determinant in (39) would be equal to 0 for all $u \in \phi_u$ and $v \in \phi_v$, which would contradict our assumption (39). Thus the rank of G_i cannot be 1 nor 0, so it must be higher than 1, $R(G_i) > 1$.

Making use of this lemma we shall show that the rank of F under the assumptions i)-vii) is higher than 1 with respect to each variable x_i , $i \in I$. Putting

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= a_i, & u_2 &= b_i \\ v_1 &= (a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{k-1}, a_k, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n) \\ v_2 &= (a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{k-1}, b_k, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n) \end{aligned}$$

and inserting these values into the determinant (39) we obtain using definitions (3a), (8a)-(8d)

$$\begin{vmatrix} G_i(u_1, v_1) & G_i(u_1, v_2) \\ G_i(u_2, v_1) & G_i(u_2, v_2) \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} F_0 & F_k \\ F_i & F_{ik} \end{vmatrix} \neq 0$$

since we have assumed (cf. (11)-(13)) that $F_0 \neq 0$, $F_{ik} \neq 0$ and $F_i = F_k = 0$. Thus according to Definition 5 function F is of rank higher than 1 with respect to each variable x_i , $i \in I$.

In this way we have shown that according to Definition 6, the function F in (1) satisfying the assumptions i)-vii) is nomographic if and only if conditions (18a-c) are satisfied.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] A. A d a m s k i : On the representability of functions in the form of a sum, product, and a product of sums, Demonstratio Math. 9 (1976) 5-14.
- [2] M. C z y ż y k o w s k i : Kryteria nomogramowości funkcji czterech zmiennych, Zesz. Nauk. Politech. Warszaw. nr 83 Matematyka nr 1 (1964) 27-60.
- [3] E. D u p o r q : Sur la théorie des abaques é alignements, Comptes Rendus 127 (1898).
- [4] E. O t t o : Nomography, New York-Warszawa 1963.
- [5] M. W a r m u s : Nomographic functions, Rozprawy Matematyczne 16, Warszawa 1959.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW
Received April 23, 1980.