

Z. Benedykt, J. Matkowski

## REMARKS ON SOME FIXED POINT THEOREM

1. In [1] the second author of this note proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a nonempty complete metric space and let  $T: X \rightarrow X$ . If:

1°  $\varphi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is nondecreasing,

2°  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^n(t) = 0$  for  $t \in [0, \infty)$ ,

3°  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (t - \varphi(t)) = \infty$  for  $t > 0$ ,

4° for every  $x \in X$  there is a positive integer  $n = n(x)$  such that for every  $y \in X$

$$(1) \quad d(T^n(x), T^n(y)) \leq \varphi(d(x, y)),$$

then  $T$  has exactly one fixed point  $a \in X$  and for every  $x \in X$   $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} T^k(x) = a$ .

(Here  $\varphi^k$  as well as  $T^k$  denotes the  $k$ -th iteration of  $\varphi$  and  $T$ , respectively).

Let us note that conditions 1° and 2° imply

$$t - \varphi(t) > 0 \quad \text{for } t > 0$$

(cf. Lemma in [1]). On the other hand condition 3° requires that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (t - \varphi(t)) = \infty$ . One can easily observe that condition 3° is superfluous for bounded metric  $d$ .

In this note we shall construct an example which shows that in general case condition  $3^0$  is essential and therefore cannot be omitted.

E x a m p l e 1. Let  $X = \{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$  where

$$a_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k}$$

and put

$$d(a_k, a_l) = |a_k - a_l|, \quad (k, l \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Evidently,  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space.

It is easily seen that the shifting map  $T: X \rightarrow X$  defined as follows

$$T(a_n) = a_{n+1}, \quad (n \in \mathbb{N})$$

has no fixed point in  $X$ . We shall show that besides  $3^0$  all the conditions of Theorem 1 are fulfilled.

L e m m a . If  $l, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $l > 1$  and

$$s = s(n, l) = \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{1}{n+i}, \quad s^*(n, l) = \sum_{i=1}^{\left[\frac{l+1}{2}\right]} \frac{1}{n+i}$$

then

$$s^* < s - \frac{s}{3(1+s)}.$$

P r o o f . For an odd  $l > 1$  we have

$$s = \sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} \frac{1}{n+i},$$

and

$$s^* = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{1}{n+i},$$

where  $k = \frac{1}{2}(l-1)$ . Therefore, we have

$$s - s^* = \sum_{i=k+2}^{2k+1} \frac{1}{n+i} > \frac{k}{n+2k+1} > \frac{1}{\frac{n}{k} + 3}$$

and

$$s < \frac{1}{n} = \frac{2k+1}{n} < \frac{3}{\frac{n}{k}}.$$

Hence we get

$$\frac{n}{k} < \frac{3}{s}$$

and consequently,

$$s - s^* > \frac{1}{\frac{3}{s} + 3} = \frac{s}{3(s+1)},$$

which completes the proof for an odd  $l$ .

Suppose now that  $l$  is even. Then

$$s = \sum_{k=1}^{2k} \frac{1}{n+i} \quad \text{and} \quad s^* = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{n+i}$$

and we have

$$s < \frac{2k}{n} = \frac{2}{\frac{n}{k}}.$$

Hence  $\frac{n}{k} < \frac{2}{s}$  and, consequently,

$$s - s^* = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{n+k+1} > \frac{k}{n+2k} = \frac{1}{\frac{n}{k} + 2} > \frac{s}{2(1+s)} > \frac{s}{3(1+s)}$$

which completes the proof of the lemma.

Now put in our example

$$n(a_k) = k+1 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(t) = t - \frac{t}{3(1+t)}.$$

If  $x = a_k$ ,  $y = a_{k+1}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$d\left(T^{n(a_k)}(a_k), T^{n(a_k)}(a_{k+1})\right) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{k+1} = \frac{1}{2} d(a_k, a_{k+1}) < \varphi(d(a_k, a_{k+1})).$$

If  $x = a_k$ ,  $y = a_{k+l}$  where  $l > 1$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\left(T^{n(a_k)}(a_k), T^{n(a_k)}(a_{k+l})\right) &= a_{2k+l+1} - a_{2k+1} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2(k+1)} + \frac{1}{2(k+1)+1} + \frac{1}{2(k+1)+2} + \frac{1}{2(k+1)+3} + \dots + \frac{1}{2(k+1)+(l-1)} = \\ &= \frac{1}{k+1} + \frac{2}{k+2} + \dots + \frac{1}{k + \left[\frac{l+1}{2}\right]} = s^*(k, l). \end{aligned}$$

Applying our Lemma we get

$$d\left(T^{n(a_k)}(a_k), T^{n(a_k)}(a_{k+l})\right) < s - \frac{s}{3(1+s)} = \varphi(s) = \varphi(d(a_k, a_{k+l})).$$

This shows that  $T$  satisfies condition 4<sup>0</sup>.

It is easily seen that conditions 1<sup>0</sup> and 2<sup>0</sup> are fulfilled.

2. In this section we shall show that by a slight modification of inequality (1) condition 3<sup>0</sup> can be omitted.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a nonempty complete metric space and let  $T: X \rightarrow X$ . If  $\varphi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  fulfils  $1^\circ$ ,  $2^\circ$  and the following condition

(5) there exist a function  $n: X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that for every  $x \in X$  and  $y \in X$

$$d(T^{n(x)}(x), T^{n(y)}(y)) \leq \varphi(d(x, y)),$$

then  $T$  has exactly one fixed point  $a \in X$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n(x) = a$  for every  $x \in X$ .

**Proof.** Putting  $S(x) = T^{n(x)}(x)$ ,  $x \in X$  we obtain

$$d(S(x), S(y)) \leq \varphi(d(x, y)), \quad x, y \in X.$$

Theorem 1.2 in [2] implies that  $S$  has exactly one fixed point  $a \in X$ . One can easily verify that  $a$  is a unique fixed point of  $T$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n(x) = a$  for  $x \in X$ .

**Example 2.** Let  $X = [0, \infty)$ ,  $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ ,

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} \sin x, & x \in [0, 1], \\ k, & x \in (k, k+1], \quad k=1, 2, \dots, \end{cases}$$

$$\varphi(t) = \begin{cases} 2\sin \frac{t}{2}, & t \in [0, 1], \\ 1, & t > 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$n(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in [0, 1], \\ k, & x \in (k, k+1], \quad k=1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

A simple calculation shows that all the conditions of Theorem 2 are fulfilled. On the other hand there is no such a function  $\varphi$  that condition  $3^\circ$  and inequality (1) hold.

For an indirect proof suppose that there is such a function  $\sigma$ .

Thus, by inequality (1), for  $x = 0$  there exist a positive integer  $n = n(0)$  such that for every  $y \in [0, \infty)$

$$|T^n y| < \sigma(y).$$

Hence, by  $1^0$ ,  $2^0$  and the definition of  $T$  we have

$$[y] - n < T^n y < \sigma(y) < y, \quad y > n = n(0)$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \sup (y - \sigma(y)) \leq \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \sup (y - [y] + n) \leq n+1.$$

This contradiction shows that condition  $3^0$  does not hold.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Matkowski: Fixed point theorems for the mappings with a contractive iterate at a point, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 2 (1977) 344-348.
- [2] J. Matkowski: Integrable solutions of functional equations, Dissertationes Math. 127 (1975) 1-68.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, ŁÓDŹ, BRANCH IN  
BIELSKO-BIAŁA

Received October 29, 1979.