

Grzegorz Decewicz, Wacław Leksiński

ON SOME SYSTEM OF INTEGRAL EQUATIONS  
WITH DEVIATED ARGUMENTIntroduction

Let  $\alpha \in (0; 1)$ . Let us consider the set of all continuous and increasing functions  $\varphi : (0; \infty) \rightarrow (0; \infty)$  for which  $\varphi(0) = 0$  holds. Furthermore, let the functions satisfy the following inequalities:

$$(1) \quad \forall_{v \geq 1} \forall_{\delta > 0} \varphi(v\delta) \leq v\varphi(\delta),$$

$$(2) \quad \exists_{A_1 > 0} \int_0^\infty \frac{\varphi(\delta) d\delta}{\delta(1+\delta)^{1-\alpha}} \leq A_1,$$

$$(3) \quad \exists_{A_2 > 0} \forall_{s > 0} \int_0^s \frac{\varphi(\delta)}{\delta} d\delta \leq A_2 \varphi(s),$$

$$(4) \quad \exists_{A_3 > 0} \forall_{0 < a \leq b} \int_a^b \frac{\varphi(\delta)}{\delta^2} d\delta \leq A_3 \frac{\varphi(a)}{a}.$$

This set is denoted by  $\Phi^*$ .

Let  $S$  and  $S_s$  be singular integral operators which are defined by the integral in the sense of Cauchy's principal value, respectively:

$$(5) \quad (Sh)(t) = \int_L \frac{h(\tau)}{\tau - t} d\tau,$$

$$(6) \quad (S_s h)(t) = \int_L \frac{h(\tau)}{\tau - s(t)} d\tau,$$

where  $L$  is a smooth arc with different end-points  $a$  and  $b$ . Further,  $s : L \rightarrow L$ ,  $s(a) = a$ ,  $s(b) = b$  and

$$(7) \quad \bigvee_{t, t_1 \in L} 0 < m_s \leq \left| \frac{s(t) - s(t_1)}{t - t_1} \right| \leq M_s.$$

Let  $m \in (0; \infty)$ . In the papers [1], [2] and [3] on  $L_0 = L - \{a, b\}$  there was defined the class  $\mathcal{H}(m, \alpha, \psi)$ . There was also shown that the conditions  $\psi \in \Phi^*$  and  $\alpha \in (0; 1)$  implied the relation

$$(8) \quad S : \mathcal{H}(m, \alpha, \psi) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(C_1 m, \alpha, \psi),$$

where  $C_1$  is some positive constant. On the other hand in [4] there was proved that if  $\psi \in \Phi^*$  and  $\alpha \in (0; 1)$ , then

$$(9) \quad S_s : \mathcal{H}(m, \alpha, \psi) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(C_2 m, \alpha, \psi)$$

where  $C_2$  is some positive constant.

#### The Problem

Let us consider the system of singular integral equations the cardinality is the same as that of a set  $B$

$$(10) \quad f_b(t) = h_b(t) + \lambda \int_L \frac{K_b \left[ \tau, \{f_b(\tau)\}_{b \in B}, \{f_b[s_{\alpha_1(b)}(\tau)]\}_{b \in B} \right]}{\tau - t} d\tau + \\ + \mu \int_L \frac{K_b^* \left[ \tau, \{f_b(\tau)\}_{b \in B}, \{f_b[s_{\alpha_2(b)}(\tau)]\}_{b \in B} \right]}{\tau - s_{\alpha_3(b)}(t)} d\tau, \quad b \in B,$$

there  $\{f_b\}_{b \in B}$  is a system of unknown functions.

We make the following assumptions:

1.  $\varphi \in \Phi^*$  and  $\alpha \in (0;1)$ .
2. Functions  $h_b : L_0 \rightarrow C$  belong to the class  $\mathcal{H}(M_h, \alpha, \varphi)$  for each  $b \in B$ , where constant  $M_h > 0$ .
3. Let  $C_0 = C$  for each  $b \in B$ . For every  $b \in B$  functions  $K_b : L_0 \times \{C_b\}_{b \in B} \times \{C_b\}_{b \in B} \rightarrow C$  fulfil over their domains the following inequalities:

$$(11) \quad |K_b[t, \{x_b\}_{b \in B}, \{y_b\}_{b \in B}]| \leq \frac{M_k}{|t-t^*|^\alpha} + \\ + N_k (\sup_{b \in B} |x_b| + \sup_{b \in B} |y_b|),$$

$$(12) \quad |K_b[t, \{x_b\}_{b \in B}, \{y_b\}_{b \in B}] - K_b[t_1, \{x'_b\}_{b \in B}, \{y'_b\}_{b \in B}]| \leq \\ \leq \frac{M_k}{|t-t^*|^\alpha} \varphi \left( \frac{|t-t_1|}{|t-t^*|} \right) + N_k (\sup_{b \in B} |x_b - x'_b| + \sup_{b \in B} |y_b - y'_b|),$$

where  $t^*$  denotes this end-point, either a or b, of the arc L, for which the condition  $\text{le } \overline{tt^*} = \min(\text{le at}, \text{le b})$  (symbol le means length) holds.

4. For each  $b \in B$  functions  $K_b^* : L_0 \times \{C_b\}_{b \in B} \times \{C_b\}_{b \in B} \rightarrow C$  satisfy over their domains the following inequalities:

$$(13) \quad |K_b^*[t, \{x_b\}_{b \in B}, \{y_b\}_{b \in B}]| \leq \frac{M_k^*}{|t-t^*|^\alpha} + \\ + N_k^* (\sup_{b \in B} |x_b| + \sup_{b \in B} |y_b|),$$

$$(14) \quad |K_b^*[t, \{x_b\}_{b \in B}, \{y_b\}_{b \in B}] - K_b^*[t_1, \{x'_b\}_{b \in B}, \{y'_b\}_{b \in B}]| \leq \\ \leq \frac{M_k^*}{|t-t^*|^\alpha} \varphi \left( \frac{|t-t_1|}{|t-t^*|} \right) + N_k^* (\sup_{b \in B} |x_b - x'_b| + \sup_{b \in B} |y_b - y'_b|).$$

5. For each  $b \in B$  functions  $s_b : L \rightarrow L$  fulfil the conditions  $s_b(a) = a$ ,  $s_b(b) = b$  and the following inequality

$$(15) \quad \bigvee_{t, t_1 \in L} 0 < m_s \leq \left| \frac{s_b(t) - s_b(t_1)}{t - t_1} \right| \leq M_s.$$

6. Functions  $\alpha_i$  map  $B$  into  $B$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ .

Now, we shall show the existence of a solution of the system (10) in the class  $\mathcal{K}(M, \alpha, \varphi)$ .

The problem stated above is a generalization of results obtained in the paper [5] and it will be solved by means of topological methods. Let, for each  $b \in A$ ,  $\Lambda_b$  be the linear space whose points are complex functions  $f_b : L_0 \rightarrow C$ . These functions are continuous and on  $L_0$  they satisfy the following inequalities:

$$(16) \quad \sup_{t \in L_0} [|t - t^*|^{1+\alpha} |f_b(t)|] < \infty.$$

The norm  $\|f_b\|$  we define by the formula

$$(17) \quad \|f_b\| = \sup_{t \in L_0} [|t - t^*|^{1+\alpha} |f_b(t)|].$$

It is the known fact [6], that every space  $\Lambda_b$  defined as above is a locally convex Hausdorff space. Furthermore, it is evident [6] that the product  $\Lambda = \prod_{b \in B} \Lambda_b$  with the Tichonov topology is also a locally convex Hausdorff space. In the space  $\Lambda$  we consider the set

$$(18) \quad Z(\alpha) = \left\{ \{f_b\}_{b \in B} \in \Lambda : \bigvee_{b \in B} f_b \in \mathcal{K}(\alpha, \alpha, \varphi) \right\},$$

where  $\alpha$  is some positive constant. It will be evaluated further. The set  $Z(\alpha)$  is nonempty, convex and compact ([1], [2], [5]).

We define the following operation

$$(19) \quad \Psi : Z(\alpha) \longrightarrow \Lambda$$

on the set  $Z(\alpha)$ . This operation maps every point of the mentioned set  $Z(\alpha)$  to a point of the space  $\Lambda$  i.e.

$$Z(\alpha) \ni \{f_b\}_{b \in B} \longrightarrow \Psi \left[ \{f_b\}_{b \in B} \right] = \{\Psi_b\}_{b \in B} \in \Lambda,$$

where

$$(20) \quad \Psi_b = h_b + \lambda S \left[ K_b \circ (\text{id}, \{f_b\}_{b \in B}, \{f_b \circ s_{\alpha_1(b)}\}_{b \in B}) \right] + \\ + \mu S_{s_{\alpha_3(b)}} \left[ K_b^* \circ (\text{id}, \{f_b\}_{b \in B}, \{f_b \circ s_{\alpha_2(b)}\}_{b \in B}) \right]$$

for every  $b \in B$ .

Now, we shall find a sufficient condition for the range of the operation  $\Psi$  to satisfy the following inclusion:  
 $\Lambda \Psi \subset Z(\alpha)$ . It is easy to show that there exists a positive constant  $H$  such that for every  $b \in B$  and  $i = 1, 2$  the implication

$$(21) \quad f_b \in \mathcal{N}(\alpha, \alpha, \varphi) \implies f_b \circ s_{\alpha_i(b)} \in \mathcal{N}(H\alpha, \alpha, \varphi)$$

holds. Further, according to (8) and (9) and using the assumptions 1 - 6 we obtain the validity of the following implication

$$(22) \quad f_b \in \mathcal{N}(\alpha, \alpha, \varphi) \implies \Psi_b \in \mathcal{N}(M_h + |\lambda| C_1 [M_k + N_k (\alpha + H\alpha)], \alpha, \varphi) + \\ + |\mu| C_2 [M_k^* + N_k^* (\alpha + H\alpha)], \alpha, \varphi$$

for each  $b \in B$ . Then, if the inequality

$$(23) \quad M_h + |\lambda| C_1 [M_k + N_k(\alpha + H\alpha)] + |\mu| C_2 [M_k^* + N_k^*(\alpha + H\alpha)] \leq \alpha$$

holds, then the operation described by (19) maps the set  $Z(\alpha)$  into itself. Let, for the constants  $N_k$ , and  $N_k^*$  the following condition

$$(24) \quad (|\lambda| C_1 N_k + |\mu| C_2 N_k^*) (1+H) < 1$$

holds. Now, if

$$(25) \quad \alpha = \frac{M_h + |\lambda| C_1 M_k + |\mu| C_2 M_k^*}{1 - (|\lambda| C_1 N_k + |\mu| C_2 N_k^*)(1+H)},$$

it is easy to see that the operation (19) maps the set  $Z(\alpha)$  into itself.

Making use of the method described in [5] one can prove that the operation (19) is continuous. Further, due to the Schauder - Tichonov theorem [6], we can formulate the following theorem one.

**Theorem.** If the assumptions 1 - 6 are fulfilled, the inequality (24) holds and  $\alpha$  is given by the formula (25), then the system of integral equations (10) has at least one solution in the class  $\mathcal{H}(\alpha, \alpha, \varphi)$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] W. Leksinski: On some class  $\mathcal{H}(M, \alpha, \varphi)$  of functions defined on a non-closed arc, Demonstratio Math. 4 (1972) 51-61.
- [2] W. Leksinski, W. Zakowski: On some classes of non-bounded functions, Demonstratio Math. 4 (1972) 125-131.
- [3] W. Leksinski, W. Zakowski: A theorem on preserving the class  $\mathcal{H}(M, \alpha, \varphi)$  by a singular in-

tegral in the sense of Cauchy's principal value. Demonstratio Math. 5 (1973) 139-145.

[4] G. Decewicz, W. Leksiński: On some class preserved by a singular integral with a deviated argument, Demonstratio Math. 11 (1978) 1065-1070.

[5] W. Leksiński, W. Żakowski: Investigation of a certain system of singular integral equations of arbitrary power. Annales Polonici Mathematici 29 (1975) 373-378.

[6] R.E. Edwards: Functional Analysis. Theory and Applications, New York 1965.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW  
Received December 8, 1978.

