

Grzegorz Decewicz, Wacław Leksiniński

ON SOME SYSTEM OF INTEGRAL EQUATIONS
WITH DEVIATED ARGUMENTIntroduction

Let $\alpha \in < 0; 1)$. Let us consider the set of all continuous and increasing functions $\varphi : < 0; \infty) \rightarrow < 0; \infty)$ for which $\varphi(0) = 0$ holds. Furthermore, let the functions satisfy the following inequalities:

$$(1) \quad \bigwedge_{v \geq 1} \bigwedge_{\delta \geq 0} \varphi(v\delta) \leq v\varphi(\delta),$$

$$(2) \quad \exists_{A_1 > 0} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(\delta) d\delta}{\delta(1+\delta)^{1-\alpha}} \leq A_1,$$

$$(3) \quad \exists_{A_2 > 0} \bigwedge_{s \geq 0} \int_0^s \frac{\varphi(\delta)}{\delta} d\delta \leq A_2 \varphi(s),$$

$$(4) \quad \exists_{A_3 > 0} \bigwedge_{0 < a \leq b} \int_a^b \frac{\varphi(\delta)}{\delta^2} d\delta \leq A_3 \frac{\varphi(a)}{a}.$$

This set is denoted by Φ^* .

Let S and S_g be singular integral operators which are defined by the integral in the sense of Cauchy's principal value, respectively:

$$(5) \quad (Sh)(t) = \int_L \frac{h(\tau)}{\tau - t} d\tau,$$

$$(6) \quad (S_S h)(t) = \int_L \frac{h(\tau)}{\tau - s(t)} d\tau,$$

where L is a smooth arc with different end-points a and b . Further, $s : L \rightarrow L$, $s(a) = a$, $s(b) = b$ and

$$(7) \quad \bigvee_{t, t_1 \in L} 0 < m_S \leq \left| \frac{s(t) - s(t_1)}{t - t_1} \right| \leq m_S.$$

Let $m \in (0; \infty)$. In the papers [1], [2] and [3] on $L_0 = L - \{a, b\}$ there was defined the class $\mathcal{H}(m, \alpha, \psi)$. There was also shown that the conditions $\psi \in \Phi^*$ and $\alpha \in (0; 1)$ implied the relation

$$(8) \quad S : \mathcal{H}(m, \alpha, \psi) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(C_1 m, \alpha, \psi),$$

where C_1 is some positive constant. On the other hand in [4] there was proved that if $\psi \in \Phi^*$ and $\alpha \in (0; 1)$, then

$$(9) \quad S_S : \mathcal{H}(m, \alpha, \psi) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(C_2 m, \alpha, \psi)$$

where C_2 is some positive constant.

The Problem

Let us consider the system of singular integral equations the cardinality is the same as that of a set B

$$(10) \quad f_b(t) = h_b(t) + \lambda \int_L \frac{K_b[\tau, \{f_b(\tau)\}_{b \in B}, \{f_b[s_{\alpha_1(b)}(\tau)]\}_{b \in B}]}{\tau - t} d\tau + \\ + \mu \int_L \frac{K_b^*[\tau, \{f_b(\tau)\}_{b \in B}, \{f_b[s_{\alpha_2(b)}(\tau)]\}_{b \in B}]}{\tau - s_{\alpha_3(b)}(t)} d\tau, \quad b \in B,$$

there $\{f_b\}_{b \in B}$ is a system of unknown functions.

We make the following assumptions:

1. $\varphi \in \Phi^*$ and $\alpha \in (0; 1)$.
2. Functions $k_b : L_0 \rightarrow C$ belong to the class $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{M}_h, \alpha, \varphi)$ for each $b \in B$, where constant $\mathcal{M}_h > 0$.
3. Let $C_b = C$ for each $b \in B$. For every $b \in B$ functions $K_b : L_0 \times \{C_b\}_{b \in B} \times \{C_b\}_{b \in B} \rightarrow C$ fulfil over their domains the following inequalities:

$$(11) \quad |k_b[t, \{x_b\}_{b \in B} \{y_b\}_{b \in B}]| \leq \frac{\mathcal{M}_k}{|t-t^*|^\alpha} + \\ + N_k \left(\sup_{b \in B} |x_b| + \sup_{b \in B} |y_b| \right),$$

$$(12) \quad |K_b[t, \{x_b\}_{b \in B} \{y_b\}_{b \in B}] - K_b[t_1, \{x'_b\}_{b \in B} \{y'_b\}_{b \in B}]| \leq \\ \leq \frac{\mathcal{M}_k}{|t-t^*|^\alpha} \varphi \left(\frac{|t-t_1|}{|t-t^*|} \right) + N_k \left(\sup_{b \in B} |x_b - x'_b| + \sup_{b \in B} |y_b - y'_b| \right),$$

where t^* denotes this end-point, either a or b , of the arc L , for which the condition $le \widetilde{tt^*} = \min(le \widetilde{at}, le \widetilde{tb})$ (symbol le means length) holds.

4. For each $b \in B$ functions $K_b^* : L_0 \times \{C_b\}_{b \in B} \times \{C_b\}_{b \in B} \rightarrow C$ satisfy over their domains the following inequalities:

$$(13) \quad |K_b^*[t, \{x_b\}_{b \in B} \{y_b\}_{b \in B}]| \leq \frac{\mathcal{M}_k^*}{|t-t^*|^\alpha} + \\ + N_k^* \left(\sup_{b \in B} |x_b| + \sup_{b \in B} |y_b| \right),$$

$$(14) \quad |K_b^*[t, \{x_b\}_{b \in B} \{y_b\}_{b \in B}] - K_b^*[t_1, \{x'_b\}_{b \in B} \{y'_b\}_{b \in B}]| \leq \\ \leq \frac{\mathcal{M}_k^*}{|t-t^*|^\alpha} \varphi \left(\frac{|t-t_1|}{|t-t^*|} \right) + N_k^* \left(\sup_{b \in B} |x_b - x'_b| + \sup_{b \in B} |y_b - y'_b| \right).$$

5. For each $b \in B$ functions $s_b : L \rightarrow L$ fulfil the conditions $s_b(a) = a$, $s_b(b) = b$ and the following inequality

$$(15) \quad \bigvee_{t, t_1 \in L} 0 < m_s \leq \left| \frac{s_b(t) - s_b(t_1)}{t - t_1} \right| \leq M_s.$$

6. Functions α_i map B into B , $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Now, we shall show the existence of a solution of the system (10) in the class $\mathcal{K}(M, \alpha, \varphi)$.

The problem stated above is a generalization of results obtained in the paper [5] and it will be solved by means of topological methods. Let, for each $b \in A$, \bigwedge_b be the linear space whose points are complex functions $f_b : L_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. These functions are continuous and on L_0 they satisfy the following inequalities:

$$(16) \quad \sup_{t \in L_0} [|t - t^*|^{1+\alpha} |f_b(t)|] < \infty.$$

The norm $\|f_b\|$ we define by the formula

$$(17) \quad \|f_b\| = \sup_{t \in L_0} [|t - t^*|^{1+\alpha} |f_b(t)|].$$

It is the known fact [6], that every space \bigwedge_b defined as above is a locally convex Hausdorff space. Furthermore, it is evident [6] that the product $\bigwedge = \prod_{b \in B} \bigwedge_b$ with the Tichonov topology is also a locally convex Hausdorff space. In the space \bigwedge we consider the set

$$(18) \quad Z(\alpha) = \left\{ \{f_b\}_{b \in B} \in \bigwedge : \bigvee_{b \in B} f_b \in \mathcal{K}(\alpha, \alpha, \varphi) \right\},$$

where α is some positive constant. It will be evaluated further. The set $Z(\alpha)$ is nonempty, convex and compact ([1], [2], [5]).

We define the following operation

$$(19) \quad \Psi : Z(\mathfrak{X}) \rightarrow \bigwedge$$

on the set $Z(\mathfrak{X})$. This operation maps every point of the mentioned set $Z(\mathfrak{X})$ to a point of the space \bigwedge i.e.

$$Z(\mathfrak{X}) \ni \{f_b\}_{b \in B} \rightarrow \Psi \left[\{f_b\}_{b \in B} \right] = \{\psi_b\}_{b \in B} \in \bigwedge,$$

where

$$(20) \quad \psi_b = h_b + \lambda S \left[K_b \circ (\text{id}, \{f_b\}_{b \in B}, \{f_b \circ s_{\alpha_1(b)}\}_{b \in B}) \right] + \\ + \mu S_{s_{\alpha_3(b)}} \left[K_b^* \circ (\text{id}, \{f_b\}_{b \in B}, \{f_b \circ s_{\alpha_2(b)}\}_{b \in B}) \right]$$

for every $b \in B$.

Now, we shall find a sufficient condition for the range of the operation Ψ to satisfy the following inclusion: $R\Psi \subset Z(\mathfrak{X})$. It is easy to show that there exists a positive constant H such that for every $b \in B$ and $i = 1, 2$ the implication

$$(21) \quad f_b \in \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{X}, \alpha, \varphi) \Rightarrow f_b \circ s_{\alpha_i(b)} \in \mathcal{K}(H\mathfrak{X}, \alpha, \varphi)$$

holds. Further, according to (8) and (9) and using the assumptions 1 - 6 we obtain the validity of the following implication

$$(22) \quad f_b \in \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{X}, \alpha, \varphi) \Rightarrow \psi_b \in \mathcal{K}(M_h + |\lambda| C_1 [\bar{M}_K + \bar{N}_K (\mathfrak{X} + H\mathfrak{X})] + \\ + |\mu| C_2 [\bar{M}_K^* + \bar{N}_K^* (\mathfrak{X} + H\mathfrak{X})], \alpha, \varphi)$$

for each $b \in B$. Then, if the inequality

$$(23) \quad M_h + |\lambda| C_1 [M_k + N_k(x + Hx)] + |\mu| C_2 [M_k^* + N_k^*(x + Hx)] \leq x$$

holds, then the operation described by (19) maps the set $Z(x)$ into itself. Let, for the constants N_k , and N_k^* the following condition

$$(24) \quad (|\lambda| C_1 N_k + |\mu| C_2 N_k^*) (1+H) < 1$$

holds. Now, if

$$(25) \quad x = \frac{M_h + |\lambda| C_1 M_k + |\mu| C_2 M_k^*}{1 - (|\lambda| C_1 N_k + |\mu| C_2 N_k^*) (1+H)},$$

it is easy to see that the operation (19) maps the set $Z(x)$ into itself.

Making use of the method described in [5] one can prove that the operation (19) is continuous. Further, due to the Schauder - Tichonov theorem [6], we can formulate the following theorem one.

Theorem. If the assumptions 1 - 6 are fulfilled, the inequality (24) holds and x is given by the formula (25), then the system of integral equations (10) has at least one solution in the class $\mathcal{H}(M, \alpha, \varphi)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. L e k s i ń s k i : On some class $\mathcal{H}(M, \alpha, \varphi)$ of functions defined on a non-closed arc, Demonstratio Math. 4 (1972) 51-61.
- [2] W. L e k s i ń s k i, W. Ż a k o w s k i : On some classes of non-bounded functions, Demonstratio Math. 4 (1972) 125-131.
- [3] W. L e k s i ń s k i, W. Ż a k o w s k i : A theorem on preserving the class $\mathcal{H}(M, \alpha, \varphi)$ by a singular in-

- tegral in the sense of Cauchy's principal value. Demonstratio Math. 5 (1973) 139-145.
- [4] G. D e c e w i c z , W. L e k s i ń s k i : On some class preserved by a singular integral with a deviated argument, Demonstratio Math. 11 (1978) 1065-1070.
- [5] W. L e k s i ń s k i , W. Ż a k o w s k i : Investigation of a certain system of singular integral equations of arbitrary power. Annales Polonici Mathematici 29 (1975) 373-378.
- [6] R. E. E d w a r d s : Functional Analysis. Theory and Applications, New York 1965.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW
Received December 8, 1978.

