

Beniamin Goldys

THE SECRETARY PROBLEM- THE CASE WITH MEMORY FOR ONE STEP

1. Introduction

Let $K = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, let W be the set of all permutations of K , F - the family of all subsets of W , P - a probability measure on W such that for all $w \in W$

$$P(\{w\}) = \frac{1}{n!}.$$

In that way a probability space (W, F, P) is given. Let X_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) be a random variable on W defined as follows: $X_i(w)$ is equal to the i -th element of the permutation w .

Let Y_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) be the second family of random variables on W such that $Y_i = 1 +$ the number of X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{i-1} which are less than X_i .

Let $F_1 = \sigma(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_1)$ be the σ -field generated by X_1, \dots, X_1 .

In the general case for a given probability space (W, F, P) and for a given increasing family of σ -fields $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ the stopping rule t is defined as a random variable $t : W \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, \infty\}$ which fulfills the following conditions

$$P(t < \infty) = 1,$$

$$\{t = n\} \in F_n.$$

Let C be the set of all stopping rules on $(W, \mathcal{F}, \{F_i\}_{i=1}^n, P)$.
Let

$$V(n) = \inf_{t \in C} E(\min(X_t, X_{t-1})).$$

In our case t takes values in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. It is shown in [1] that in the finite case the optimal stopping rule i.e. the rule which realizes the infimum given above always exists. It was shown by Govindarasulu in [2] in a slightly different form that the optimal rule t is equal to 1 if

$$Y_1 \leq \min\left(\frac{1+1}{n+1} c_1, Y_{1-1}\right)$$

or

$$Y_{1-1} \leq \min\left(\frac{1+1}{n+1} c_1, Y_{1-1}\right),$$

where coefficients c_1 are given by the following relations

$$(1) \quad c_{n-1} = E[\min(Y_n, E(X_{n-1} | Y_{n-1}, Y_n))]$$

$$(2) \quad c_{i-1} = E[\min(E(X_i | Y_i), E(X_{i-1} | Y_{i-1}, Y_i), c_i)],$$

$$i = n-1, \dots, 2.$$

It is easy to show that it is the well-known backward induction method and $V(n) = c_1(n)$.

The aim of this paper is to prove the following theorem.

T h e o r e m . If n tends to infinity then the limit of $c_1(n)$ exists and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_1^{(n)} = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{j+1}{j}\right)^{\frac{2}{2j+1}}.$$

In this proof the method used by CMRS in [3] is extended.

Remark: This problem is well-known as the secretary problem.

Let us take n candidates that apply for a certain vacant position. They appear one by one in a random order. Then X_i is an absolute rank of the i -th candidate and Y_i is a respective rank. We can observe only respective ranks. The integer 1 corresponds to the best candidate and n to the worst one. When the i -th candidate appears we have three possibilities:

- a) to take the i -th candidate and stop the process,
- b) to take the immediately preceding candidate and stop the process,
- c) to reject both i -th and $(i-1)$ -th candidates and wait for the next one. Then the $(i-1)$ -th candidate cannot be recalled.

We must select one of the n candidates. We are looking for such a stopping rule t that minimizes the expectation of the rank of the selected candidate.

2. Basic inequalities

Govindarajulu showed that

$$(1) \quad c_{n-1} = \frac{n+1}{3}$$

and if we put

$$(2) \quad s_i = \left[\frac{i+1}{n+1} c_i \right],$$

then

$$(3) \quad c_{i-1} = \frac{(i-s_i-1)(i-s_i)}{i(i-1)} c_i - \frac{(n+1)s_i(1+s_i)(3i-2s_i-1)}{3i(i-1)(i+1)}.$$

From (1.1) and (1.2) we have

$$(4) \quad c_1 \leq c_2 \leq \dots \leq c_{n-1} = \frac{n-1}{3}$$

and

$$(5) \quad s_1 \leq s_2 \leq \dots \leq s_{n-1} = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor.$$

Put, for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$,

$$(6) \quad t_i = \frac{i+1}{n+1} c_i.$$

Then

$$(7) \quad t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_{n-1} = \frac{n}{3}.$$

This yields the equation (from (3) and (6))

$$(8) \quad t_{i-1} = \frac{(i-s_i)(i-s_i-1)}{i-1} t_i - \frac{s_i(1+s_i)(3i-2s_i-1)}{3(i^2-1)}.$$

L e m m a 1. For $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ we have

$$(9) \quad t_i \leq \frac{2n}{n-i+3}$$

P r o o f : It is shown in [3] that

$$t'_i \leq \frac{2n}{n-i+3},$$

where

$$t'_{n-1} = \frac{n}{2} \quad t'_1 = \frac{i+1}{n+1} c'_i$$

and

$$c'_{n-1} = \frac{n+1}{2} \quad c'_{i-1} = E \min[E(X_i | Y_i), c'_i]$$

but $c_{i-1} \leq c'_{i-1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and hence (9) is obvious.

C o r o l l a r y 1.

$$(10) \quad c_1 < 8.$$

P r o o f . Let $i = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$. Then we have

$$c_1 \leq c_i = \frac{n+1}{i+1} t_i \leq \frac{n+1}{i+1} \cdot \frac{2n}{n-i+3} < 8.$$

L e m m a 2. For $i = 2, \dots, n-1$ we have

$$(11) \quad t_{i-1} \geq \frac{it_i}{i+1} \left(1 - \frac{t_i}{i-1} \right).$$

It is easy to show this by putting in (8)

$$t_i = \left[s_i \right] + a \quad \text{for } a \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle.$$

L e m m a 3. For $n \geq 5$ $4 \leq i \leq n-1$ we have

$$(12) \quad t_i \geq \frac{i+1}{4(n-i+2)}.$$

P r o o f . Let $T(x) = x \left(1 - \frac{x}{i-1} \right)$. T is increasing for $x \leq \frac{1}{2}(i-1)$, because $t_{n-1} = \frac{n}{3}$ the lemma is true for $i = n-1$. Suppose that it is true for i . Then we have

$$t_i \leq \frac{i+1}{3} \leq \frac{i-1}{2}$$

and from (11) we infer that

$$t_{i-1} \geq \frac{iT(t_i)}{i+1} \geq \frac{i}{4(n-i+3)}.$$

Let us define for any positive integer k and each $n \geq 2k$,

i_k = the smallest integer $j \geq 1$ such that $s_j \geq k$.

We note that $s_{i-1} = 0$ and hence

$$(13) \quad c_1 = c_2 = \dots = c_{i-1}.$$

From the lemmas given above the following corollaries follow.

C o r o l l a r y 2.

$$(14) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{i_1}{n} \geq \frac{1}{8}.$$

The proof is easy from (10).

C o r o l l a r y 3. On every set

$$\left\{ a \leq \frac{1}{n} \leq b; \quad 0 < a < b < 1 \right\},$$

we have

$$(15) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (t_i - t_{i-1}) = 0.$$

P r o o f . From the inequalities (9) and (11) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq t_i - t_{i-1} &\leq t_i - \frac{it_i}{i+1} \left(1 - \frac{t_i}{i-1} \right) \leq \frac{1}{i} \left(\frac{2n}{n-1} + \frac{1}{i-1} \left(\frac{2n}{n-1} \right)^2 \right) < \\ &< \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{a} \left(\frac{2}{1-b} + 2 \left(\frac{2}{1-b} \right)^2 \right) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0. \end{aligned}$$

C o r o l l a r y 4. $(\forall k \in \mathbb{N})(\forall n > 32k)$

$$(16) \quad \frac{i_k}{n} \geq 1 - \frac{2}{k},$$

$$(17) \quad \frac{i_k}{n} \leq 1 - \frac{1}{32k}.$$

P r o o f. From inequality (9) we have

$$s_{i_k} \geq k \Rightarrow t_{i_k} \geq k \Rightarrow \frac{2n}{n-i_k} \geq k \Rightarrow \frac{i_k}{n} \geq 1 - \frac{2}{k}$$

what proves the formula (16).

The inequality (17) is true if $i_k \leq \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2}n \right\rfloor$. If $i_k > \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2}n \right\rfloor$, then it is true by (12).

C o r o l l a r y 5. For every $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $k > m$, we have

$$(18) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{i_k} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{i_k - m} = k.$$

P r o o f. Take a, b such that $0 < a < \frac{1}{8}$, $1 - \frac{1}{32k} < b < 1$. Then by (14) and (17) we have

$$a < \frac{i_k - m}{n} < \frac{i_k}{n} < b$$

for sufficiently large n , so by Corollary 3 we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (t_{i_k} - t_{i_k - m}) = 0.$$

But $t_{i_k - m} < k \leq t_{i_k}$, which ends the proof.

C o r o l l a r y 6. For $k = 1, 2, \dots$ we have

$$(19) \quad s_{i_k} = k \quad \text{for sufficiently large } n$$

and

$$(20) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (i_{k+1} - i_k) = \infty.$$

P r o o f. $k \leq s_{i_k} \leq t_{i_k}$. Thus by (18) the equality (19) is proved. Moreover, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (t_{i_{k+1}} - t_{i_k}) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (t_{i_{k+1}} - t_{i_{k+1}-m}) = 0$$

so (20) is true.

3. The proof of the theorem

Let k be a fixed positive integer. Let n be so large that

$$s_{i_k} = k, \quad s_{i_{k+1}} = k+1.$$

For $i_k \leq i < i_{k+1}$ we have by (2.8)

$$t_i = \frac{i^2 - 1}{(i-k)(i-k-1)} t_{i-1} - \frac{k(k+1)(3i-2k-1)}{3(i-k-1)(i-k)}.$$

Let

$$t_i = v_i + \frac{k(k+1)}{2k+1}.$$

Then we get

$$v_i = \frac{i^2 - 1}{(i-k)(i-k-1)} v_{i-1} + \frac{a_k}{(i-k)(i-k-1)},$$

where

$$a_k = \frac{k(k+1) \left(3 \frac{1+k+k^2}{2k+1} + 2k+1 \right)}{3}.$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} v_i &= \frac{(i+1)i \dots (i_k+2)}{(i-k)(i-k-1) \dots (i_k-k+1)} \cdot \frac{(i-1)(i-2) \dots i_k}{(i-k-1) \dots (i_k-k)} v_i + \\ &\quad + \frac{a_k}{(i-k)(i-k-1)} \end{aligned}$$

but

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(i+1) \dots (i+1-k)(i-k) \dots (i_k+2)}{(i_k-k+1) \dots (i_k+1)(i_k+2) \dots (i-k)} &= \frac{(i+1) \dots (i+1-k)}{(i_k+1) \dots (i_k+1-k)} = \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^{k+1} \left(\frac{i+j-k}{i_k+j-k} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and in the same way we obtain

$$\frac{(i-1) \dots i_k}{(i-k-1) \dots (i_k-k)} = \prod_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{i+j-k-1}{i_k+j-k-1} \right)$$

so we have

$$v_i = v_{i_k} \prod_{j=1}^{k+1} \left(\frac{i+j-k}{i_k+j-k} \right) \prod_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{i+j-k-1}{i_k+j-k-1} \right) + \frac{a_k}{(i-k)(i-k-1)}.$$

Thus, turning back to t_i and putting $i=i_{k+1}-1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} t_{i_{k+1}-1} &= \frac{k(k+1)}{2k+1} + \\ &+ \left(t_{i_k} - \frac{k(k+1)}{2k+1} \right) \prod_{j=1}^{k+1} \left(\frac{i_{k+1}+j-k-1}{i_k+j-k} \right) \prod_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{i_{k+1}+j-k-2}{i_k+j-k-1} \right) + \\ &+ \frac{a_k}{(i_{k+1}-k-1)(i_{k+1}-k-2)}. \end{aligned}$$

From (18), (20) and (14), taking into consideration that i_k is a function of n we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
k+1 &= \frac{k(k+1)}{2k+1} + \\
&+ \left(k - \frac{k(k+1)}{2k+1} \right) \prod_{j=1}^{k+1} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{i_{k+1+j-k-1}}{i_{k+j-k}} \right) \prod_{j=1}^k \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{i_{k+1+j-k-2}}{i_{k+j-k-1}} \right) = \\
&= \frac{k^2}{2k+1} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{i_{k+1}}{i_k} \right)^{2k+1}
\end{aligned}$$

and consequently

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{i_{k+1}}{i_k} \right) = \left(\frac{k+1}{k} \right)^{\frac{2}{2k+1}}.$$

Hence we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{i_1}{i_k} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{i_1/n}{i_k/n} = \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{j}{j+1} \right)^{\frac{2}{2j+1}}.$$

From (16) we obtain the following inequality

$$\left(1 - \frac{2}{k} \right) \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{j}{j+1} \right)^{\frac{2}{2j+1}} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf \frac{i_1}{n} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{i_1}{n} \leq \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{j}{j+1} \right)^{\frac{2}{2j+1}}$$

Tending with k to infinity we infer that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{i_1}{n} = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{j}{j+1} \right)^{\frac{2}{2j+1}}$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{i_1-1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{i_1}{n+1} c_{i_1-1} \right) = \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{i_1}{n} c_1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_1 \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{j}{j+1} \right)^{\frac{2}{2j+1}} \end{aligned}$$

In this way we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_1(n) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{j+1}{j} \right)^{\frac{2}{2j+1}} \approx 2,57.$$

which ends the proof of the theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y.S. C h o w, H. R o b b i n s, D. S i e g m u n d:
Great expectations: The theory of optimal stopping.
Boston 1971.
- [2] Z. G o v i n d a r a j u l u : The secretary problem:
optimal selection with interview cost, University of
Kentucky, Technical Report No. 82: January 1975.
- [3] Y.S. C h o w, H. R o b b i n s, S. M o r i g u t i,
S.M. S a m u e l s : Optimal selection based on relative
rank, Israel J. of Math. 2 (1964), 80-90.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW

Received October 14, 1977.

