

Zbigniew Leszczyński

## REMARKS ON FUNCTOR CATEGORIES

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a skeletally small (pre)additive category. We recall that  $\mathcal{C}\text{-Mod}$  is the category of  $\mathcal{C}$ -modules, i.e. the category of covariant additive functors from  $\mathcal{C}$  to the category of abelian groups. We have also a natural morphism:

$$f_M: \left( \prod_{t \in I} Q_t \right) \otimes M \longrightarrow \prod_{t \in I} (Q_t \otimes M),$$

where  $X \otimes N$  is a tensor product of modules over the category  $\mathcal{C}$  (for the definition see below).

Let  $R$  be a (not necessarily commutative) ring with unity. We know that for the category of unitary modules over the ring  $R$  the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1) right projective modules are injective
- 2) left projective modules are injective
- 3) right injective modules are projective
- 4) left injective modules are projective.

Each of these conditions defines a class of quasi-Frobenius rings (see [2]). The situation is different in the case of the category  $\mathcal{C}\text{-Mod}$ . Harada in [3] gave examples from which we may conclude that no implication between points 1) and 3) holds. We show for modules over  $\mathcal{C}$  that conditions 1) and 2) imply 3) and 4), and that the inverse implication holds, too. In the proof of this fact we use a characterisation of locally Noetherian, coherent and perfect functor categories

in terms of the morphism  $f_M$ . Some of them were studied in [4], [7], and [10].

We know that  $\{h^X\}_{X \in \mathcal{C}}$ , where  $h^X = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, -)$  denotes Yoneda functor, is the family of small projective generators of  $\mathcal{C}\text{-Mod}$ . Every finitely generated free module is a finite coproduct of Yoneda functors.

Now we recall the definition of tensor product of modules over  $\mathcal{C}$ . Let  $N$  be a  $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ -module and  $M$  a  $\mathcal{C}$ -module.  $N \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} M = \left( \bigoplus_{X \in \mathcal{C}} (NX \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} MX) \right) / U$ , where  $U$  is the subgroup generated by elements  $N(f)y \otimes x - y \otimes M(f)x$  for all  $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$ ,  $x \in MX$ ,  $y \in NY$ . It is well known and easy to check that  $N \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} h^X \simeq NX$ ,  $h^X \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} M \simeq MX$  (see [5]).

For a given family  $\{Q_t\}_{t \in I}$  of  $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ -modules and a  $\mathcal{C}$ -module  $M$  we define a homomorphism

$$f_M: \left( \prod_{t \in I} Q_t \right) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} M \longrightarrow \prod_{t \in I} (Q_t \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} M)$$

to be the unique for which the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \left( \prod_{t \in I} Q_t \right) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} M & \xrightarrow{f_M} & \prod_{t \in I} (Q_t \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} M) \\ \downarrow \prod_t \otimes 1 & & \downarrow \prod_t' \\ Q_t \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} M & = & Q_t \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} M \end{array}$$

is commutative for each  $t \in I$ , where  $\prod_t$ ,  $\prod_t'$  are canonical projections.

We start with the following useful result (see [4]).

Proposition 1:

a) If  $M$  is free (resp. finitely generated projective)  $\mathcal{C}$ -module, then  $f_M$  is a monomorphism (resp. an isomorphism).

b) A  $\underline{C}$ -module  $M$  is finitely generated iff  $f_M$  is an epimorphism for all families  $\{Q_t\}_{t \in I}$  (even only for families of Yoneda functors).

c) A  $\underline{C}$ -module  $M$  is finitely presented iff  $f_M$  is an isomorphism for all families  $\{Q_t\}_{t \in I}$  (even only for families of Yoneda functors).

P r o o f . a) easy.

b) Necessity. If we have an epimorphism  $\varphi: F_1 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n h_{X_i} \rightarrow M$  then from commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \left( \prod_{t \in I} Q_t \right) \otimes F_1 & \xrightarrow{f_{F_1}} & \prod_{t \in I} (Q_t \otimes F_1) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \left( \prod_{t \in I} Q_t \right) \otimes M & \xrightarrow{f_M} & \prod_{t \in I} (Q_t \otimes M) \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & 0 \end{array}$$

we conclude that  $f_M$  is an epimorphism.

Sufficiency. Take  $I = \bigcup_{X \in \underline{C}} MX$  (disjoint union) and  $Q_t = h_X$  for  $t \in MX$ . For each finitely-generated submodule  $N \hookrightarrow M$  we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \left( \prod_{t \in I} Q_t \right) \otimes M & \xrightarrow{f_M} & \prod_{t \in I} (Q_t \otimes M) & \xrightarrow{g} & \prod_{X \in \underline{C}} \prod_{t \in MX} (MX)_t \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \prod_t (1 \otimes j) & & \uparrow \\ \left( \prod_{t \in I} Q_t \right) \otimes N & \xrightarrow{f_N} & \prod_{t \in I} (Q_t \otimes N) & \xrightarrow{g'} & \prod_{X \in \underline{C}} \prod_{t \in MX} (NX)_t, \end{array}$$

where  $(MX)_t = MX$ ,  $(NX)_t = NX$  for  $t \in MX$ ,  $g$  and  $g'$  are isomorphisms induced by isomorphisms  $Q_t \otimes M \simeq MX$ ,  $Q_t \otimes N \simeq NX$  for  $t \in MX$  and the right hand morphism is induced by natural inclusions  $NX \hookrightarrow MX$ . Let  $a = \langle \langle t \rangle \rangle_{t \in MX} \rangle_{X \in \underline{C}} \in \prod_{X \in \underline{C}} \prod_{t \in MX} (MX)_t$ . By the assumption  $f_M$  is an epimorphism;

then  $a = gf_M(b)$  for same  $b \in (\prod_{t \in I} Q_t) \otimes M$ . Then one can find a finitely generated submodule  $N \subseteq_j M$  and an element  $c \in (\prod_{t \in I} Q_t) \otimes N$  such that  $b = (1 \otimes j)c$ . The commutativity of the above diagram with such an  $N$  implies that  $MX \subseteq NX$  for each  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  and therefore  $M = N$  is finitely generated.

c) We assume that  $f_M$  is an isomorphism. From a) we know that  $M$  is finitely generated. Hence we have an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow F \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ , where  $F$  is a finitely generated free  $\mathcal{C}$ -module. This sequence induces the commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 (\prod_{t \in I} h_{X_t}) \otimes K & \longrightarrow & (\prod_{t \in I} h_{X_t}) \otimes F & \longrightarrow & (\prod_{t \in I} h_{X_t}) \otimes M & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 \downarrow f_K & & \downarrow \simeq f_F & & \downarrow \simeq f_M & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \prod_{t \in I} (h_{X_t} \otimes K) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{t \in I} (h_{X_t} \otimes F) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{t \in I} (h_{X_t} \otimes M) \longrightarrow 0.
 \end{array}$$

Since  $f_K$  is an epimorphism then from a) we have that  $K$  is a finitely generated  $\mathcal{C}$ -module. The inverse implication can be proved similarly.

We call a category  $\mathcal{C}\text{-Mod}$  locally coherent if every finitely generated submodule of a free module is finitely presented, or equivalently, every finitely generated submodule of any  $h^X$  is finitely presented (see [6]).

If  $\mathcal{C}\text{-Mod}$  is locally Noetherian then, of course, it is locally coherent.

**Proposition 2.**  $\mathcal{C}\text{-Mod}$  is locally Noetherian iff  $f_M$  is a monomorphism for all  $M$  and all families  $\{Q_t\}_{t \in I}$  of flat objects in  $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}\text{-Mod}$  (see [10] Prop. 2.4).

**Proof.** Let  $\{Q_t\}_{t \in I}$  be a family of flat  $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ -modules and let  $M$  be a  $\mathcal{C}$ -module. By the assumption,  $M$  is a union of Noetherian submodules  $M_k \subseteq M$ . Then for each  $k$  we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (\Pi Q_t) \otimes M_k & \xrightarrow{f_{M_k}} & \Pi(Q_t \otimes M_k), \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi_k \\
 (\Pi Q_t) \otimes M & \xrightarrow{f_M} & \Pi(Q_t \otimes M),
 \end{array}$$

where  $f_{M_k}$  is an isomorphism and  $\varphi_k$  is a monomorphism since  $Q_t$  are flat. Then it follows that  $f_M$  is a monomorphism.

Conversely, assume that  $\underline{C}$ -Mod is locally Noetherian. We shall show that every  $\underline{C}$ -submodule  $\alpha$  of any  $h^X$ ,  $X \in \underline{C}$ , is finitely generated. The exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow h^X \rightarrow h^X/\alpha \rightarrow 0$  induces the following commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 (\Pi h_{X_t}) \otimes \alpha & \longrightarrow & (\Pi h_{X_t}) \otimes h^X & \longrightarrow & (\Pi h_{X_t}) \otimes h^X/\alpha & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 \downarrow f_\alpha & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow f_{h^X/\alpha} & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \Pi(h_{X_t} \otimes \alpha) & \longrightarrow & \Pi(h_{X_t} \otimes h^X) & \longrightarrow & \Pi(h_{X_t} \otimes h^X/\alpha) \longrightarrow 0,
 \end{array}$$

where  $f_{h^X/\alpha}$  is an isomorphism by the assumption. Hence  $f_\alpha$  is an epimorphism and by Proposition 1.  $\alpha$  is finitely generated.

Recall that a category is said to be perfect if each of its objects has a projective cover.

**Proposition 3.** The following three conditions are equivalent:

- i)  $\underline{C}$ -Mod is perfect,
- ii) every flat  $\underline{C}$ -module is projective,
- iii)  $f_M$  is a monomorphism for all flat  $\underline{C}$ -modules  $M$ .

This is a part of Theorem 5.4 in [7].

**Proposition 4.** If in the category  $\underline{C}^{\text{op}}\text{-Mod}$  every injective object is flat and every flat  $\underline{C}$ -module is a directed union of finitely presented modules then the category  $\underline{C}$ -Mod is perfect.

Proof. Let  $M$  be a flat  $\mathcal{C}$ -module and suppose  $M = \bigcup_{k \in K} M_k$  is a directed union of finitely presented modules  $M_k$ . By proposition 3 it is sufficient to show that  $f_M$  is a monomorphism. Consider the commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & (\Pi Q_t) \otimes M & \longrightarrow & (\Pi \hat{Q}_t) \otimes M \\ & & \downarrow f_M & & \downarrow g_M \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \Pi(Q_t \otimes M) & \longrightarrow & \Pi(\hat{Q}_t \otimes M), \end{array}$$

where  $\hat{Q}_t$  is an injective envelope of  $Q_t$ . Now it is enough to show that  $g_M$  is a monomorphism. Suppose  $\text{Ker } g_M \neq 0$ . Then there exists  $k$  such that the composed map  $(\Pi \hat{Q}_t) \otimes M_k \rightarrow (\Pi \hat{Q}_t) \otimes M \rightarrow \Pi(\hat{Q}_t \otimes M)$  has a non-trivial kernel. Since each of the modules  $\hat{Q}_t$  is flat, then from commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\Pi \hat{Q}_t) \otimes M_k & \longrightarrow & (\Pi \hat{Q}_t) \otimes M \\ \downarrow \simeq g_{M_k} & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \Pi(\hat{Q}_t \otimes M_k) & \longrightarrow & \Pi(\hat{Q}_t \otimes M) \end{array}$$

where  $g_{M_k}$  is an isomorphism, we get contradiction.

Corollary 5. If all injective  $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ -modules are flat and the category  $\mathcal{C}\text{-Mod}$  is locally Noetherian then  $\mathcal{C}\text{-Mod}$  is perfect.

A  $\mathcal{C}$ -module  $M$  is FP-injective if  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^1(P, M) = 0$  for all finitely presented  $\mathcal{C}$ -module  $P$  (see [8], [11]).

Let  $G$  be a  $\mathcal{C}$ -module. We define the character  $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ -module  $G^+$  by formula  $G^+(X) = \text{Hom}_{\text{Ab}}(G(X), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  (see [8]). From the Yoneda equivalence it is easy to check that the morphism

$$\chi_X: G^+ \otimes h^X \longrightarrow (h^X, G)^+$$

defined on generators by

$$\chi(g^+ \otimes f)(F) = g^+(F(f)),$$

where  $g^+: G(Y) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ ,  $F: h^X \longrightarrow G$ , is an isomorphism. We remark that the homomorphism  $\chi_M$  is an epimorphism if  $M$  is a finitely generated  $\mathbb{C}$ -module, and it is an isomorphism if  $M$  is a finitely presented  $\mathbb{C}$ -module.

**Lemma 6.** Suppose that  $\mathbb{C}^{\text{op}}\text{-Mod}$  is locally coherent. The following conditions are equivalent:

- i) Every injective  $\mathbb{C}$ -module is flat.
- ii) Every flat  $\mathbb{C}^{\text{op}}$ -module is FP-injective.
- iii) Every finitely generated free  $\mathbb{C}^{\text{op}}$ -modules is FP-injective, or equivalently, each of the Yoneda functors  $h_X$  is FP-injective.

For modules over a ring this lemma is due to Colby [1], theorem 1 and Würfel [11], satz 4.3..

**Proof.** i)  $\longrightarrow$  iii). Consider a monomorphism  $u: M \longrightarrow F_1 = \bigoplus_{k=1}^n h_{Y_k}$ , where  $M$  is finitely generated. By our assumption  $M$  is finitely presented. Further let  $F$  be a flat  $\mathbb{C}^{\text{op}}$ -module. We want to have that the natural morphism  $h_F(u): (F_1, F) \longrightarrow (M, F)$  is epimorphic, or equivalently, that  $h_F(u)^+: (M, F)^+ \longrightarrow (F_1, F)^+$  is a monomorphism, where  $h_F(u)^+$  is the natural homomorphism of groups of characters (see [8], Prop. 2.6). Since, by the assumption,  $F^+$  is flat we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M \otimes F^+ & \longrightarrow & F_1 \otimes F^+ \\ & & \downarrow \chi_M & \simeq & \downarrow \\ & & h_F(u)^+: (M, F)^+ & \longrightarrow & (F_1, F)^+ \end{array}$$

and ii) follows. The implication

ii)  $\rightarrow$  iii) is obvious.

iii)  $\rightarrow$  i). Let  $Q$  be an injective  $\underline{C}$ -module and consider an epimorphism  $F \xrightarrow{p^+} Q^+ \rightarrow 0$ , where  $F = \bigoplus_{t \in I} h_{X_t}^+$  is a free  $\underline{C}^{\text{op}}$ -module. We derive the monomorphism

$$Q^{++} \xrightarrow{p^+} F^+ \simeq \prod_{t \in I} h_{X_t}^+.$$

Hence, by the assumption,  $Q$  is a direct summand of  $F^+$  because  $p^+$  is a monomorphism and there is a natural embedding  $Q \hookrightarrow Q^{++}$ . Now, to show that  $Q$  is flat, it is enough (from the coherentness of  $\underline{C}^{\text{op}}\text{-Mod}$ ) to show that  $h_X^+$  is a flat  $\underline{C}$ -module for every object  $X$  (see [4], Satz.4.).

Let  $\alpha$  be a finitely generated (i.e. finitely presented) submodule of the free  $\underline{C}^{\text{op}}$ -module  $h_Y$ . Then the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \alpha \otimes h_X^+ & \longrightarrow & h_Y \otimes h_X^+ \\ & & \downarrow \chi_\alpha \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (\alpha, h_X)^+ & \longrightarrow & (h_Y, h_X)^+ \end{array}$$

implies condition i).

Now we are in a position to prove the following theorem related to Harada's results in [3].

**Theorem 7.** Let  $\underline{C}$  be a skeletally small (pre)additive category and let  $\underline{C}\text{-Mod}$  (resp.  $\underline{C}^{\text{op}}\text{-Mod}$ ) denote the category of additive functors from the category  $\underline{C}$  (resp.  $\underline{C}^{\text{op}}$ ) to the category of abelian groups. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- i) Every injective  $\underline{C}$ -module and every injective  $\underline{C}^{\text{op}}$ -module is projective.
- ii) Every projective  $\underline{C}$ -module and every projective  $\underline{C}^{\text{op}}$ -module is injective.

Proof. If we assume i) then from the well-known Faith theorem ([2] Theorem 1.1)  $\mathcal{C}\text{-Mod}$  and  $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}\text{-Mod}$  are locally Noetherian. From Lemma 6 we have that  $h_X, h^X$  are FP-injective and therefore they are injective. Now since these two categories are locally Noetherian we obtain the statement ii).

ii)  $\longrightarrow$  i). By [3], Theorem 3 we know that  $\mathcal{C}\text{-Mod}$  and  $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}\text{-Mod}$  are locally finite and hence perfect and locally coherent. Therefore i) is a consequence of Lemma 6.

The author would like to express his gratitude to prof. D. Simson for help and encouragement during work over this paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R.R. Colby : Rings which have flat injective modules J. Algebra 35 (1975) 231-252.
- [2] C. Faith, E.A. Walker : Direct - sum representations of injective modules, J. Algebra 5 (1967) 203-222.
- [3] M. Harada : Perfect categories IV (Quasi-Frobenius categories), Osaka J. Math. 10 (1973) 585-596.
- [4] H. Lenzing : Endlich präsentierbare Moduln, Archiv der Mathematik. Vol. 20 (1969) 262-266.
- [5] B. Mitchell : Rings with several objects, Advances in Math. 3 (1972) 1-161.
- [6] U. Oberst, H. Röhrl : Flat and coherent functors, J. Algebra 14 (1970) 91-105.
- [7] D. Simson : On pure global dimension of locally finitely presented Grothendieck categories, Fund. Math. 96 (1977) 91-116.
- [8] B. Stenström : Coherent rings and FP-injective modules, J. London Math. Soc. 2 (1970) 323-329.
- [9] B. Stenström : Purity in functor categories, J. Algebra 5 (1968) 352-361.

- [10] C. Webb : Tensor and direct products, Pacific J. Math. 49 (1973) 579-594.
- [11] T. Würffel : Über Absolut reine Ringe, J. Reine Angew. Math. 10 (1973) 381-391.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, NICOLAUS COPERNICUS UNIVERSITY  
87-100 TORUŃ

Received July 15, 1977.