

J. Grochulski, T. Konik, M. Tkacz

ON SOME RELATIONS OF TANGENCY OF ARCS IN METRIC SPACES

Introduction

In this paper we shall use the notions given in the introduction to [2], analogous to [6]. Accordingly, we introduce the relation $T_1(a, b, k, p)$ defined as follows

(1) $T_1(a, b, k, p) = \{(A, B); A \cup B \subset E \text{ and } (A, B) \text{ is } (a, b) - \text{concentrated at the point } p \in E$

and $\frac{1}{r^k} l(A \cap S(p, r)_{a(r)}, B \cap S(p, r)_{b(r)}) \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0+} 0\}$.

This relation will be called the relation of (a, b) - tangency of sets of order k at the point p .

If $(A, B) \in T_1(a, b, k, p)$, then we shall say that the set A is (a, b) - tangent of order k at the point p to the set B .

There arises the following question: if the sets $A, B \in E_0$ are (a, b) - tangent of order k at the point p of the space (E, l) , then when these sets are (a', b') - tangent of order k at the point p of this space?

In the present paper we consider this problem in a metric space (E, ρ) for rectifiable arcs having the Archimedean property at the point p and for real functions defined by the equalities

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho_0(A,B) &= \sup \{ \rho(x,B); x \in A \}, \\
 \rho_1(A,B) &= \max \{ \rho_0(A,B); \rho_0(B,A) \}, \\
 \rho_2(A,B) &= \min \{ \rho_0(A,B); \rho_0(B,A) \}, \\
 (2) \quad \rho_3(A,B) &= \inf \{ \text{diam}_\rho(\{x\} \cup B); x \in A \}, \\
 \rho_4(A,B) &= \max \{ \rho_3(A,B); \rho_3(B,A) \}, \\
 \rho_5(A,B) &= \min \{ \rho_3(A,B); \rho_3(B,A) \}, \\
 \rho_6(A,B) &= \text{diam}_\rho(A \cup B), \\
 \rho_7(A,B) &= \inf \{ \rho(x,B); x \in A \}
 \end{aligned}$$

for $A, B \in E_0$. By definition, $\rho(x,B) = \inf_{y \in B} \rho(x,y)$ and $\text{diam}_\rho A$ denotes the diameter of the set A in the metric space (E, ρ) . The functions $\rho_i (i = 0, 1, \dots, 7)$ are special cases of the function l_0 considered in [6] such that

$$(3) \quad \rho_i(\{x\}, \{y\}) = l_0(x,y) = \rho(x,y) \quad \text{for } x, y \in E.$$

1. For any sets A, B, C the following inequalities hold

$$(4) \quad \rho(A,C) \leq \rho(A,B) + \rho(A \cup B, C) + \text{diam}_\rho B,$$

$$(5) \quad \text{diam}_\rho(A \cup B) \leq \text{diam}_\rho A + \text{diam}_\rho B + \rho(A,B)$$

where, by definition, $\rho(A,B) = \rho_7(A,B) = \inf_{\substack{x \in A \\ y \in B}} \rho(x,y)$.

Now we shall prove the following lemme.

L e m m a 1. If $\rho(A, B) = 0$, then

$$(6) \quad \sup_{x \in (A \cup B)} \rho(x, C) \leq \sup_{x \in A} \rho(x, C) + \text{diam}_\rho B.$$

P r o o f. Assume that $\rho(A, B) = 0$. For any sets A, B, C the following equality holds

$$\sup_{x \in (A \cup B)} \rho(x, C) = \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in A} \rho(x, C), \sup_{x \in B} \rho(x, C) \right\}.$$

$$\text{If } \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in A} \rho(x, C), \sup_{x \in B} \rho(x, C) \right\} = \sup_{x \in A} \rho(x, C),$$

then the equality (6) clearly holds. Hence assume that

$$\max \left\{ \sup_{x \in A} \rho(x, C), \sup_{x \in B} \rho(x, C) \right\} = \sup_{x \in B} \rho(x, C).$$

Then we have

$$(7) \quad \sup_{x \in (A \cup B)} \rho(x, C) = \sup_{x \in B} \rho(x, C).$$

Take an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$. By assumption, there exist points $y' \in A$, $x' \in B$ such that $\rho(x', y') < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Let x be an arbitrary point of the set B . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x, C) &\leq \rho(y', C) + \rho(x, y') \leq \sup_{y \in A} \rho(y, C) + \rho(x, y') \leq \\ &\leq \sup_{y \in A} \rho(y, C) + \rho(x, x') + \rho(x', y') \leq \\ &\leq \sup_{y \in A} \rho(y, C) + \text{diam}_\rho B + \rho(x', y') < \\ &< \sup_{y \in A} \rho(y, C) + \text{diam}_\rho B + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in B} \varphi(x, C) &\leq \sup_{y \in A} \varphi(y, C) + \text{diam}_\varphi B + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \\ &< \sup_{y \in A} \varphi(y, C) + \text{diam}_\varphi B + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since ε is arbitrary, we infer that

$$(8) \quad \sup_{x \in B} \varphi(x, C) \leq \sup_{y \in A} \varphi(y, C) + \text{diam}_\varphi B.$$

From (7) and (8) we obtain the inequality (6), which concludes the proof of the lemma.

L e m m a 2. If

$$(9) \quad A_0 = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3,$$

$$(9') \quad B_0 = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup B_3$$

are sets such that

$$(10) \quad \varphi_7(A_1, A_i) = 0 \quad i = 2, 3$$

$$(10') \quad \varphi_7(B_1, B_i) = 0$$

then the following inequalities hold

$$(11) \quad \varphi_7(A_1, B_1) \leq \varphi_7(A_0, B_0) + \text{diam}_\varphi A_2 + \text{diam}_\varphi A_3 + \text{diam}_\varphi B_2 + \text{diam}_\varphi B_3,$$

$$(12) \quad \varphi_6(A_0, B_0) \leq \varphi_6(A_1, B_1) + \text{diam}_\varphi A_2 + \text{diam}_\varphi A_3 + \text{diam}_\varphi B_2 + \text{diam}_\varphi B_3,$$

$$(13) \quad \varphi_3(A_1, B_0) \leq 2\varphi_3(A_0, B_1) + \text{diam}_\varphi A_2 + \text{diam}_\varphi A_3 + \text{diam}_\varphi B_2 + \text{diam}_\varphi B_3,$$

$$(14) \quad \varphi_0(A_0, B_1) \leq \varphi_0(A_1, B_0) + \text{diam}_\varphi A_2 + \text{diam}_\varphi A_3 + \text{diam}_\varphi B_2 + \text{diam}_\varphi B_3.$$

P r o o f. From (4), (9) and (10) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho_7(A_1, B_1) &\leq \rho_7(A_1 \cup A_2, B_1) + \rho_7(A_1, A_2) + \text{diam}_\varphi A_2 = \\
 &= \rho_7(A_1 \cup A_2, B_1) + \text{diam}_\varphi A_2 \leq \\
 &\leq \rho_7(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3, B_1) + \rho_7(A_1 \cup A_2, A_3) + \text{diam}_\varphi A_2 + \text{diam}_\varphi A_3 = \\
 &= \rho_7(A_0, B_1) + \text{diam}_\varphi A_2 + \text{diam}_\varphi A_3,
 \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$(11.1) \quad \rho_7(A_1, B_1) \leq \rho_7(A_0, B_1) + \text{diam}_\varphi A_2 + \text{diam}_\varphi A_3.$$

Similarly, from (4), (9') and (10') we obtain

$$(11.2) \quad \rho_7(A_0, B_1) \leq \rho_7(A_0, B_0) + \text{diam}_\varphi B_2 + \text{diam}_\varphi B_3.$$

From (11.1) and (11.2) we obtain the inequality (11).

From (5), (9), (9'), (10) and (10') we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho_6(A_0, B_0) &= \text{diam}_\varphi(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3 \cup B_1 \cup B_2 \cup B_3) \leq \\
 &\leq \text{diam}_\varphi(A_1 \cup B_1) + \text{diam}_\varphi A_2 + \text{diam}_\varphi A_3 + \text{diam}_\varphi B_2 + \text{diam}_\varphi B_3
 \end{aligned}$$

which yields the inequality (12).

To prove the inequality (13) let us observe that

$$\text{diam}_\varphi B_1 + \text{diam}_\varphi(\{x\} \cup B_1) \leq 2 \text{diam}_\varphi(\{x\} \cup B_1).$$

This implies

$$(13.1) \quad \text{diam}_\varphi B_1 + \inf_{x \in A_0} \text{diam}_\varphi(\{x\} \cup B_1) \leq 2 \inf_{x \in A_0} \text{diam}_\varphi(\{x\} \cup B_1).$$

From (5), (9), (9'), (10), (10'), (11.1) and (13.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_3(A_1, B_0) &= \inf_{x \in A_1} \text{diam}_\varphi(\{x\} \cup B_0) \leq \\
&\leq \inf_{x \in A_1} \text{diam}_\varphi(\{x\} \cup B_1) + \text{diam}_\varphi B_2 + \text{diam}_\varphi B_3 \leq \\
&\leq \inf_{x \in A_1} (\text{diam}_\varphi B_1 + \varphi(x, B_1)) + \text{diam}_\varphi B_2 + \text{diam}_\varphi B_3 = \\
&= \rho_3(A_1, B_1) + \text{diam}_\varphi B_1 + \text{diam}_\varphi B_2 + \text{diam}_\varphi B_3 \leq \\
&\leq \rho(A_0, B_1) + \text{diam}_\varphi A_2 + \text{diam}_\varphi A_3 + \text{diam}_\varphi B_1 + \text{diam}_\varphi B_2 + \text{diam}_\varphi B_3 \leq \\
&\leq \inf_{x \in A_0} \text{diam}_\varphi(\{x\} \cup B_1) + \text{diam}_\varphi B_1 + \text{diam}_\varphi A_2 + \text{diam}_\varphi A_3 + \text{diam}_\varphi B_2 + \text{diam}_\varphi B_3 \\
&\leq 2 \inf_{x \in A_0} \text{diam}_\varphi(\{x\} \cup B_1) + \text{diam}_\varphi A_2 + \text{diam}_\varphi A_3 + \text{diam}_\varphi B_2 + \text{diam}_\varphi B_3 = \\
&= 2\rho_3(A_0, B_1) + \text{diam}_\varphi A_2 + \text{diam}_\varphi A_3 + \text{diam}_\varphi B_2 + \text{diam}_\varphi B_3,
\end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\rho_3(A_1, B_0) \leq 2\rho_3(A_0, B_1) + \text{diam}_\varphi A_2 + \text{diam}_\varphi A_3 + \text{diam}_\varphi B_2 + \text{diam}_\varphi B_3$$

which gives the inequality (13).

From Lemma 1 and from (9) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_0(A_0, B_1) &= \sup_{x \in A_0} \varphi(x, B_1) \leq \sup_{x \in A_1} \varphi(x, B_1) + \text{diam}_\varphi A_2 + \text{diam}_\varphi A_3 = \\
&= \rho_0(A_1, B_1) + \text{diam}_\varphi A_2 + \text{diam}_\varphi A_3.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$(14.1) \quad \rho_0(A_0, B_1) \leq \rho_0(A_1, B_1) + \text{diam}_\varphi A_2 + \text{diam}_\varphi A_3.$$

Taking into account (11.2) we obtain

$$(14.2) \quad \varphi_0(A_1, B_1) \leq \varphi_0(A_1, B_0) + \text{diam}_\varphi B_2 + \text{diam}_\varphi B_3.$$

From (14.1) and (14.2) we obtain the inequality (14). Taking into account the definitions of the functions $\varphi_0, \varphi_3, \varphi_6, \varphi_7$ and the inequalities (11) - (14) we obtain the following inequalities

$$(15) \quad \varphi_3(A_1, B_j) \leq 2\varphi_3(A_k, B_1) + \text{diam}_\varphi A_2 + \text{diam}_\varphi A_3 + \text{diam}_\varphi B_2 + \text{diam}_\varphi B_3,$$

$$(15') \quad \varphi_s(A_1, B_j) \leq \varphi_s(A_k, B_1) + \text{diam}_\varphi A_2 + \text{diam}_\varphi A_3 + \text{diam}_\varphi B_2 + \text{diam}_\varphi B_3,$$

where $i, j, k, l = 0, 1$; $s = 0, 6, 7$.

2. Assume that in the metric space (E, ρ) we are given real, non-negative functions $a_i, i = 1, 2$, defined in a right-hand neighbourhood of 0, which satisfy the following condition

$$(16) \quad a_i(r) \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0+} 0.$$

Let us put

$$(17) \quad \check{a}(r) = \max\{a_1(r), a_2(r)\},$$

$$(17) \quad \hat{a}(r) = \min\{a_1(r), a_2(r)\},$$

$$(18) \quad A(r) = A \cap S(p, r)_{\check{a}(r)} - A \cap S(p, r)_{\hat{a}(r)} \quad \text{for } A \subset E.$$

We shall prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3. If there exists $\eta > 0$ such that for every $r, u \in (0, \eta)$

$$(19) \quad S(p, r)_u = \left\{ x \in A; \quad r - u < \rho(p, x) < r + u \right\},$$

then we have

$$(20) \quad A(r) = A_1(r) \cup A_2(r),$$

where

$$(21) \quad A_1(r) = \left\{ x \in A; \quad r - \check{\alpha}(r) < \rho(p, x) \leq r - \hat{\alpha}(r) \right\},$$

$$(21') \quad A_2(r) = \left\{ x \in A; \quad r + \hat{\alpha}(r) \leq \rho(p, x) < r + \check{\alpha}(r) \right\}.$$

P r o o f. Let $r, \alpha_i(r) \in (0, \eta)$ for $\eta > 0$, $i = 1, 2$.
Let $x \in A(r)$. Hence $x \in A$ and $x \in S(p, r)_{\check{\alpha}(r)}$ and $x \notin S(p, r)_{\hat{\alpha}(r)}$. From (19) we infer that

$$r - \check{\alpha}(r) < \rho(p, x) < r + \check{\alpha}(r)$$

and

$$\rho(p, x) \leq r - \hat{\alpha}(r) \quad \text{or} \quad \rho(p, x) \geq r + \hat{\alpha}(r).$$

This implies

$$r - \check{\alpha}(r) < \rho(p, x) \leq r - \hat{\alpha}(r) \quad \text{or} \quad r + \hat{\alpha}(r) \leq \rho(p, x) < r + \check{\alpha}(r).$$

Hence we have

$$x \in A_1(r) \quad \text{or} \quad x \in A_2(r), \quad \text{i.e.}$$

$$x \in (A_1(r) \cup A_2(r)).$$

similarly we can show that if $x \in (A_1(r) \cup A_2(r))$, then $x \in A(r)$.

Hence the equality (20) holds.

From (18) and (20) we obtain

$$(22) \quad A \cap S(p, r)_{\check{\alpha}(r)} = A \cap S(p, r)_{\hat{\alpha}(r)} \cup A_1(r) \cup A_2(r).$$

From (21), (21') taking into account the formula

$$A \cap S(p, r)_{\hat{a}(r)} = \{x \in A; \quad r - \hat{a}(r) < \varphi(p, x) < r + \hat{a}(r)\}$$

we obtain the following equalities

$$(23) \quad \varphi(A \cap S(p, r)_{\hat{a}(r)}, A_i(r)) = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

Let A be a rectifiable arc with the Archimedean property at a point $p \in A$, defined in the interval $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$ by means of a homeomorphism φ .

Let us recall that an arc A has the Archimedean property at a point $p \in A$ whenever

$$\frac{s(p, x)}{\varphi(p, x)} \xrightarrow{A \ni x \rightarrow p} 1,$$

where $s(p, x)$ denotes the length of the arc with ends at p and x .

Let us consider the sets defined by the equalities (21) and (21').

Lemma 4. If the functions a_i , $i = 1, 2$, satisfy the condition

$$(24) \quad a_i(r) < r \quad \text{for } r \in (0, \delta), \quad \delta > 0,$$

$$(25) \quad \frac{|a_2(r) - a_1(r)|}{r} \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0+} 0$$

then

$$\frac{1}{r} \text{diam}_{A_i}(r) \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0+} 0, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

P r o o f. Consider the set

$$(26) \quad \overline{A_1(r)} = \{x \in A; \quad r - \check{a}(r) \leq \varphi(p, x) \leq r - \hat{a}(r)\}.$$

Since $A_1(r) \subset \overline{A_1(r)}$ we have

$$(27) \quad \frac{1}{r} \text{diam}_p A_1(r) \leq \frac{1}{r} \text{diam}_p \overline{A_1(r)}.$$

Now we shall prove that

$$(28) \quad \frac{1}{r} \text{diam}_p \overline{A_1(r)} \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0+} 0.$$

Let us put

$$(29) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi(t'_r) &= x'_r, \\ \varphi(t''_r) &= x''_r \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(29') \quad \begin{aligned} t'_r &= \inf \left\{ t; \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(t) \in (A \cap S(p, r - \check{\alpha}(r))) \right\}, \\ t''_r &= \sup \left\{ t; \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(t) \in (A \cap S(p, r - \hat{\alpha}(r))) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For the points p, x'_r, x''_r the following inequality hold

$$(30) \quad \rho(p, x''_r) \leq \rho(p, x'_r) + s(x'_r, x''_r) \leq s(p, x''_r),$$

where $s(p, x''_r), s(x'_r, x''_r)$ denote the length of arcs with ends at p, x''_r and x'_r, x''_r , respectively. The inequality (30) is equivalent to the following one:

$$(30') \quad 1 \leq \frac{\rho(p, x'_r) + s(x'_r, x''_r)}{\rho(p, x''_r)} \leq \frac{s(p, x''_r)}{\rho(p, x''_r)}.$$

From the Archimedean property for the arc A at the point p it follows that

$$(31) \quad \frac{s(p, x''_r)}{\rho(p, x''_r)} \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0+} 1.$$

From (30) and (31) we obtain

$$\frac{\rho(p, x'_r) + s(x'_r, x''_r)}{\rho(p, x''_r)} \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0+} 1.$$

Hence by definition we have

$$\frac{r - \check{\alpha}(r) + s(x'_r, x''_r)}{r - \hat{\alpha}(r)} \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0+} 1.$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{1 - \frac{\check{\alpha}(r)}{r} + \frac{s(x'_r, x''_r)}{r}}{1 - \frac{\hat{\alpha}(r)}{r}} \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0+} 1.$$

This implies

$$(32) \quad \frac{\frac{s(x'_r, x''_r)}{r} - \left(\frac{\check{\alpha}(r)}{r} - \frac{\hat{\alpha}(r)}{r} \right)}{1 - \frac{\hat{\alpha}(r)}{r}} \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0+} 0.$$

By (24) we have

$$0 < 1 - \frac{\hat{\alpha}(r)}{r} < 1.$$

Hence by (32) we obtain

$$(33) \quad \frac{s(x'_r, x''_r) - (\check{\alpha}(r) - \hat{\alpha}(r))}{r} \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0+} 1.$$

From (25) and (33) it follows that

$$(34) \quad \frac{s(x'_r, x''_r)}{r} \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0+} 0.$$

From (29), (29') and from the equality (26) we obtain

$$\overline{A_1(r)} \subset (x'_r, x''_r),$$

where (x'_r, x''_r) is the arc with ends x'_r, x''_r .

Hence we have

$$\sup_{x, y \in \overline{A_1(r)}} \rho(x, y) \leq \sup_{x, y \in (x'_r, x''_r)} \rho(x, y) \leq s(x'_r, x''_r).$$

Consequently, we infer that

$$\frac{1}{r} \text{diam}_\rho \overline{A_1(r)} \leq \frac{1}{r} s(x'_r, x''_r).$$

Hence by (34) we have

$$(35) \quad \frac{1}{r} \text{diam}_\rho \overline{A_1(r)} \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0+} 0.$$

From (27) and (35) we obtain

$$(36) \quad \frac{1}{r} \text{diam}_\rho A_1(r) \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0+} 0.$$

Similarly we can prove that

$$(37) \quad \frac{1}{r} \text{diam}_\rho A_2(r) \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0+} 0.$$

3. In the metric space $(\mathbb{E}, \rho)$ let us consider rectifiable arcs A, B with the origin at a point p , having the Archimedean property. Making use of Lemma 3 and (22) we obtain the following equalities

$$(38) \quad A \cap S(p, r) \setminus \hat{A}(r) = A \cap S(p, r) \cap \hat{A}(r) \cup A_1(r) \cup A_2(r),$$

$$(38') \quad B \cap S(p, r)_{\check{b}(r)} = B \cap S(p, r)_{\hat{b}(r)} \cup B_1(r) \cup B_2(r),$$

where $A_1(r)$ and $A_2(r)$ are defined by (21) and (21'), and

$$B_1(r) = \left\{ x \in B; \quad r - \check{b}(r) < \varphi(p, x) \leq r - \hat{b}(r) \right\},$$

$$B_2(r) = \left\{ x \in B; \quad r + \hat{b}(r) \leq \varphi(p, x) < r + \check{b}(r) \right\},$$

where

$$\check{b}(r) = \max \left\{ b_1(r), b_2(r) \right\},$$

$$\hat{b}(r) = \min \left\{ b_1(r), b_2(r) \right\}.$$

The functions b_1, b_2 satisfy the same assumptions as the functions a_1 and a_2 .

From (23), (15) and (15') we obtain the following inequalities

$$(39) \quad \left| \varphi_1(ANS(p, r)_{a_2(r)}, BNS(p, r)_{b_2(r)}) - \varphi_1(ANS(p, r)_{a_1(r)}, BNS(p, r)_{b_1(r)}) \right| \leq$$

$$\leq \text{diam}_\varphi A_1(r) + \text{diam}_\varphi A_2(r) + \text{diam}_\varphi B_1(r) + \text{diam}_\varphi B_2(r),$$

$$(40) \quad \varphi_3(ANS(p, r)_{a_2(r)}, BNS(p, r)_{b_2(r)}) \leq 2\varphi_3(ANS(p, r)_{a_1(r)}, BNS(p, r)_{b_1(r)}) +$$

$$+ \text{diam}_\varphi A_1(r) + \text{diam}_\varphi A_2(r) + \text{diam}_\varphi B_1(r) + \text{diam}_\varphi B_2(r),$$

$$(40') \quad \varphi_3(ANS(p, r)_{a_1(r)}, BNS(p, r)_{b_1(r)}) \leq 2\varphi_3(ANS(p, r)_{a_2(r)}, BNS(p, r)_{b_2(r)}) +$$

$$+ \text{diam}_\varphi A_1(r) + \text{diam}_\varphi A_2(r) + \text{diam}_\varphi B_1(r) + \text{diam}_\varphi B_2(r).$$

Theorem. If the functions $a_i, b_i, i = 1, 2$, satisfy the conditions

$$a_i(r) < r, \quad b_i(r) < r \quad \text{for } r \in (0, d), \quad d > 0,$$

$$\frac{|a_2(r) - a_1(r)|}{r} \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0+} 0, \quad \frac{|b_2(r) - b_1(r)|}{r} \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0+} 0$$

and there exists $\eta \geq d > 0$ such that for any $r, u \in (0, \eta)$

$$S(p, r)_u = \{x \in E; \quad r - u < \varphi(p, x) < r + u\}$$

then for any rectifiable arcs A, B with the origin at $p \in E$, having the Archimedean property at p we have

$$(A, B) \in T\varphi_i(a_1, b_1, 1, p) \Leftrightarrow (A, B) \in T\varphi_i(a_2, b_2, 1, p), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, 7.$$

Proof. For the functions $\varphi_0, \varphi_3, \varphi_6, \varphi_7$ this theorem follows directly from (39), (40), (40') and from Lemma 4. Hence let us assume that $(A, B) \in T\varphi_1(a_1, b_1, 1, p)$. We shall show $(A, B) \in T\varphi_1(a_2, b_2, 1, p)$.

If

$$\max \{ \varphi_0(A, B), \quad \varphi_0(B, A) \} = \varphi_0(A, E)$$

then we have

$$(41) \quad \varphi_1(A, B) = \varphi_0(A, E).$$

This implies

$$(42) \quad (A, B) \in T\varphi_1(a_1, b_1, 1, p) \Leftrightarrow (A, B) \in T_0(a_1, b_1, 1, p).$$

Since the theorem holds for the function φ_0 , from (41) and (42) we obtain

$$(43) \quad (A, B) \in T\varphi_1(a_1, b_1, 1, p) \Leftrightarrow (A, B) \in T\varphi_1(a_2, b_2, 1, p).$$

Now let us assume that

$$\max \{ \varphi_0(A, B), \varphi_0(B, A) \} = \varphi_0(B, A)$$

then we have

$$(44) \quad \varphi_1(A, B) = \varphi_0(B, A).$$

Consequently, we infer that

$$(45) \quad (A, B) \in T\varphi_1(a_1, b_1, 1, p) \Leftrightarrow (B, A) \in T\varphi_0(b_1, a_1, 1, p).$$

From (44) and (45), taking into account that the theorem holds for φ_0 , we obtain (43).

Similarly we prove the theorem for the functions $\varphi_2, \varphi_4, \varphi_5$. Hence the theorem holds for all the functions φ_i , $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 7$.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] S. G o ł ą b, Z. M o s z n e r: Sur le contact des courbes dans les espaces metriques generaux, Colloq.Math. 10 (1963) 305-311.
- [2] J. G r o c h u l s k i, T. K o n i k, M. T k a c z: On the equivalence of certain relations of the tangency of arcs in metric spaces. Demonstratio Math. 11(1978) 261-271.
- [3] S. M i d u r a: O porównaniu definicji styczności łuków prostych w ogólnych przestrzeniach metrycznych, Rocznik Nauk.Dydakt. WSP w Krakowie, 25 (1966) 91-122.
- [4] W. W a l i s z e w s k i: On the tangency of sets in a metric space, Colloq.Math. 15 (1966) 127-131.

- [5] W. W a l i s z e w s k i: O symetrii relacji styczności zbiorów w przestrzeni metrycznej, Zeszyty Nauk.Uniw.Łódz. Nauki Mat.-Przyr., seria II (1966) 185-190.
- [6] W. W a l i s z e w s k i: On the tangency of sets in generalized metric spaces, Ann.Polon.Math. 28 (1973) 275-284.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, CZĘSTOCHOWA

Received March 19, 1976.