

Jerzy Żurawiecki

THE MAXIMAL  $k$ -MACHINES1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to give some properties of the  $k$ -machines, all computations of which are with the maximal cycle length i.e.  $2^k$  (only the  $k$ -machines defined in a two-element alphabet  $M$  with total transition function  $\varphi: M^k \rightarrow M$  will be considered)<sup>1)</sup>. Such  $k$ -machines will be called maximal.

Problems related to maximal  $k$ -machines have been studied by many authors.<sup>2)</sup> In Hall's paper [4] the cardinality of the set of all maximal  $k$ -machines ( $k$  fixed) has been given. Yoeli in [8] shows the possibility of obtaining a maximal  $k$ -machine from a given one by modification of its transition function, but he does not give an algorithm for this. Fredricksen in the papers [1] and [2] using Yoeli's method gives an algorithm for constructing a maximal  $k$ -machine for arbitrary  $k > 1$ . Using this algorithm we can not obtain all maximal  $k$ -machines. Golomb in [3] has investigated the problem of the existence of maximal cycles for linear  $k$ -machines. To every  $k$ -machine is assigned a unique polynomial of degree  $k$ , the properties of which allow an answer to the question whether the cycle of all its computations is maximal or not. A necessary and sufficient condition for the transition functions of  $k$ -machines to be maximal has not yet been given, even for the linear case.

<sup>1)</sup> The formal definition as well as the fundamental properties of the  $k$ -machines in the more general case have been given in [5].

<sup>2)</sup> Technical applications of the maximal  $k$ -machines have been given in Golomb's monograph [3].

This paper consists of some new results relating to maximal  $k$ -machines. The set  $D^k$  of all  $k$ -machines ( $k$  is fixed) whose transition functions satisfy the condition  $\varphi(t'_1, t_2, \dots, t_k) \neq \varphi(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k)$ , when  $t'_1 \neq t_1$ , will be considered here (the transition function of every maximal  $k$ -machine satisfy above condition). In the set  $D^k$  the distance between two  $k$ -machines (having the transition functions  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$ , respectively) will be introduced as the cardinality of the set of all sequences  $(t_2, \dots, t_k) \in M^{k-1}$  for which  $\varphi(a, t_2, \dots, t_k) \neq \psi(a, t_2, \dots, t_k)$  for all  $a \in M$ .

In the metric space  $D^k$  (with the distance taken as the metric) for an arbitrary  $k$ -machine, all maximal  $k$ -machines belong to some spheres. That  $k$ -machine is the center of these spheres (one for all) and their radii are determined uniquely by that center. If as the center of the spheres is taken the  $k$ -machine with transition function  $\varphi(t_1, \dots, t_k) = t_1$ , then the above property implies that we will know the number of ones (or zeros) which are the values of transition functions of maximal  $k$ -machines at the points  $(0, t_2, \dots, t_k)$ , (or  $(1, t_2, \dots, t_k)$ ).

Unfortunately, the results which have been obtained here do not solve completely the problem of maximal  $k$ -machines, but in author's opinion these results will lead to the solution in the future.

## 2. Basic definitions

The notations of [5] will be used here.

**Definition 2.1.** By a  $k$ -machine  $A_k$  we mean a pair  $(M, \varphi)$ , where  $M = \{0, 1\}$  is an alphabet and  $\varphi: M^k \rightarrow M$  is a total function (the transition function of  $k$ -machine  $A_k$ ).

**Definition 2.2.** By a computation of the  $k$ -machine  $A_k = (M, \varphi)$  we mean every sequence  $T \in M^\infty$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad \forall_{i \geq 1} (T|_{k+i, k+i} = \varphi(T|_{1, i+k-1})) .$$

The set of all computations of the k-machine  $A_k$  will be denoted by  $C(A_k)^3)$ .

**D e f i n i t i o n 2.3.** A sequence  $T \in M^\infty$  is said to be periodic iff the following condition is satisfied

$$(2.2) \quad \exists p \geq 1 \quad \forall i \geq 1 \quad (T|_{p+i} = T|_i) .$$

The least value of  $p$  satisfying (2.2) is called the period and  $T|_{1,p}$  - the cycle of  $T$ .

Let  $D^k$  denote the set of all k-machines ( $k$  is fixed) all computations of which are periodic. The k-machines of the class  $D^k$  will be called periodic. In this paper only periodic k-machines will be considered.

**D e f i n i t i o n 2.4.** Let us define the relation  $Sh \subseteq M^0 \times M^0$  (a restriction operation) as follows

$$(2.3) \quad Sh(U, V) \Leftrightarrow \exists i \geq 1 \quad (U = V|_i) ,$$

where  $M^0$  denotes the set of all periodic sequences.

**R e m a r k 2.1.** It follows immediately from the above definition that  $Sh$  is equivalence relation in  $M^0$ . An equivalence class designated by an element  $T \in M^0$  with respect to the relation  $Sh$  will be denoted by  $[T]$ .

**D e f i n i t i o n 2.5.** By a complexity degree of  $A_k$  (denoted by  $\deg(A_k)$ ) we mean the cardinality of the set of all equivalence classes designated by relation  $Sh$  in the set  $C(A_k)^4)$ .

**D e f i n i t i o n 2.6.** By a distance between two k-machines  $A_k = (M, \varphi)$  and  $B_k = (M, \psi)$  (denoted by  $\text{dist}(A_k, B_k)$ ) we mean the cardinality of the set  $\{U \in M^{k-1} : \forall a \in M \quad (\varphi(aU) \neq \psi(aU))\}$ .

3) A set  $E \subset M^\infty$  is said to be a k-computation set iff there is a k-machine  $A_k$  such that  $E = C(A_k)$ .

4) For each computation  $T \in C(A_k)$  all its restrictions belong to  $C(A_k)$  (it has been shown in [5]).

**Definition 2.7.** A  $k$ -machine  $A_k$  is included in a  $k$ -machine  $B_k$  (denoted by  $A_k \subseteq B_k$ ) iff the following condition is satisfied

$$(2.4) \quad \text{dist}(A_k, B_k) = \deg(A_k) - \deg(B_k) .^{5)}$$

**Definition 2.8.** By a dimension of a  $k$ -machine  $A_k = (M, \varphi)$  in the point  $a \in M$  (denoted by  $\dim_a(A_k)$ ) we mean the cardinality of the set  $\{U \in M^k : U|_{1,1} = a, \varphi(U) \neq a\}$ .

### 3. Basic theorems

Some theorems which are necessary for understanding the further results will be given.

For arbitrary sequence  $U \in M^k$  let  $*U$  denote such a sequence  $V \in M^k$  that  $U|_{1,1} \neq V|_{1,1}$  and  $U|_{2,k} = V|_{2,k}$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** A  $k$ -machine  $A_k = (M, \varphi)$  is periodic iff the following condition is satisfied

$$(3.1) \quad \forall_{U \in M^k} (\varphi(U) \neq \varphi(*U)) .$$

The proof of this theorem has been given in [10].

We remind that only periodic  $k$ -machines will be considered.

**Remark 3.1.** It follows from Theorem 3.1 that the dimension of an arbitrary periodic  $k$ -machine  $A_k$  defined in two-element alphabet does not depend on the point  $a \in M$ , and will be denoted by  $\dim(A_k)$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** For arbitrary  $k$ -machines  $A_k$  and  $B_k$  - if  $\text{dist}(A_k, B_k) = 1$  then  $|\deg(A_k) - \deg(B_k)| = 1$ .

An idea of the proof of Theorem 3.2 is based on Yoeli's paper [8] and will be not recalled here.

**Theorem 3.3.**  $(D^k, \text{dist})$  is a metric space.

**Proof.** It follows immediately from the definition of  $\text{dist}$  that for all  $k$ -machines  $A_k$  and  $B_k$  we have

<sup>5)</sup> The fundamental properties of the relation  $\subseteq$  have been studied in [9].

$\text{dist}(A_k, B_k) \geq 0$  ( $\text{dist}(A_k, B_k) = 0$  iff  $A_k = B_k$ ) and  $\text{dist}(A_k, B_k) = \text{dist}(B_k, A_k)$ .

Let us consider arbitrary k-machines  $A_k = (M, \varphi)$ ,  $B_k = (M, \psi)$  and  $C_k = (M, \xi)$ . The condition  $\text{dist}(A_k, B_k) \leq \text{dist}(A_k, C_k) + \text{dist}(C_k, B_k)$  follows from the condition

$$(3.2) \quad G \subseteq (E \cup F),$$

where  $E = \{U \in M^{k-1} : \varphi(U) \neq \xi(U)\}$ ,  $F = \{U \in M^{k-1} : \psi(U) \neq \xi(U)\}$  and  $G = \{U \in M^{k-1} : \varphi(U) \neq \psi(U)\}$ .

By a ball and sphere of center  $A_k$  and radius  $r \geq 0$  will be understood the sets  $B(A_k, r) = \{B_k \in D^k : \text{dist}(A_k, B_k) < r\}$  and  $\text{Sph}(A_k, r) = \{B_k \in D^k : \text{dist}(A_k, B_k) = r\}$ , respectively<sup>6)</sup>.

**L e m m a 3.1.** For arbitrary k-machines  $A_k$  and  $B_k$ ,  $d = \text{dist}(A_k, B_k) - |\deg(A_k) - \deg(B_k)|$  is an even number.

The proof of this lemma has been given in [9].

**L e m m a 3.2.** For arbitrary k-machine  $A_k$  and the numbers  $p$  and  $q$ , the difference  $p - q$  is an even number iff for arbitrary k-machines  $B_k \in \text{Sph}(A_k, p)$  and  $C_k \in \text{Sph}(A_k, q)$  the difference  $\deg(B_k) - \deg(C_k)$  is an even number.

**P r o o f .** Suppose that  $p \geq q$ . There exists  $D_k \in \text{Sph}(A_k, q)$  such that  $\text{dist}(A_k, B_k) = \text{dist}(A_k, D_k) + \text{dist}(D_k, B_k)$ . Then we have

$$(3.3) \quad \text{dist}(D_k, B_k) = p - q.$$

On the other hand it follows from Lemma 3.1 that  $r = \text{dist}(A_k, B_k) - (\deg(B_k) - \deg(A_k))$  and  $s = \text{dist}(A_k, D_k) - (\deg(D_k) - \deg(A_k))$  are even numbers. Then we have  $\deg(D_k) - \deg(B_k) = \text{dist}(A_k, B_k) - \text{dist}(A_k, D_k) - r + s$  and then

$$(3.4) \quad \deg(D_k) - \deg(B_k) = p - q - r + s.$$

As  $r$  and  $s$  are even numbers, the difference  $\deg(D_k) - \deg(B_k)$  is even iff  $p - q$  is even.

<sup>6)</sup> If  $r = 0$  then  $B(A_k, r) = \emptyset$

It follows from Lemma 3.1 that  $t = \text{dist}(A_k, D_k) - (\deg(A_k) - \deg(D_k))$  and  $u = \text{dist}(A_k, C_k) - (\deg(A_k) - \deg(C_k))$  are even numbers. As  $\text{dist}(A_k, D_k) = \text{dist}(A_k, C_k)$ , the  $\deg(C_k) - \deg(D_k)$  is an even number.

The condition (3.3) implies that  $\deg(C_k) - \deg(B_k)$  is an even number iff  $p - q$  is an even one.

#### 4. The maximal k-machines set

Some sets consisting of the maximal k-machines as well as the sets which do not consist of maximal k-machines will be shown.

For arbitrary k-machine  $A_k = (M, \varphi)$  let  $\bar{A}_k$  denote the k-machine  $B_k = (M, \psi)$  such that  $\varphi(U) \neq \psi(U)$  for all  $U \in M^k$ .

**D e f i n i t i o n 4.1.** A k-machine  $A_k$  is said to be maximal iff the following condition is satisfied

$$(4.1) \quad \forall_{B_k \in D^k} (\text{dist}(A_k, B_k) = 1 \Rightarrow \deg(B_k) > \deg(A_k)) .$$

The set of all maximal k-machines will be denoted by  $M(D^k)$ .

**D e f i n i t i o n 4.2.** A k-machine  $A_k$  is said to be minimal iff the following condition is satisfied

$$(4.2) \quad \forall_{B_k \in D^k} (\text{dist}(A_k, B_k) = 1 \Rightarrow \deg(B_k) < \deg(A_k)) .$$

The set of all minimal k-machines will be denoted by  $m(D^k)$ .

**R e m a r k 4.1.** It follows from the conditions (4.1) and (4.2) that the sets  $M(D^k)$  and  $m(D^k)$ , can be understood as the sets of all k-machines for which the function  $\deg$  attains a local minimum and maximum, respectively. The notions of the maximal k-machine and of the minimal one are used with respect to the period of their computations but not with respect to their complexity degree.

**D e f i n i t i o n 4.3.** Let  $A_k$  be an arbitrary k-machine. Each number  $r > 0$  satisfying the condition

$$(4.3) \exists_{i \geq 0} (r = \deg(A_k) - 1 + 2i \text{ \& } r \leq 2^{k-1} - \deg(\bar{A}_k + 1))$$

is called the principal radius of  $A_k$ <sup>7)</sup>.

The set of all principal radii of  $A_k$  will be denoted by  $R(A_k)$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** A k-machine  $A_k$  is maximal iff  $\deg(A_k) = 1$ .

The proof of this theorem has been given in [7].

**Corollary 4.1.** A k-machine  $A_k$  is maximal iff period of its arbitrary computation is of  $2^k$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** For arbitrary k-machine  $A_k$  all maximal k-machines  $B_k$  such that  $A_k \subseteq B_k$  belong to the sphere  $\text{Sph}(A_k, \deg(A_k)-1)$ .

**Proof.** If  $B_k$  is a maximal k-machine, then it follows from Theorem 4.1 that  $\deg(B_k) = 1$ . As  $A_k \subseteq B_k$ , it follows from Definition 2.7 that  $\text{dist}(A_k, B_k) = \deg(A_k)-1$ .

**Corollary 4.2.** For arbitrary k-machine  $A_k$  there are no maximal k-machines in the ball  $B(A_k, \deg(A_k)-1)$ .

**Corollary 4.3.** For arbitrary k-machine  $A_k$  we have

$$\text{Sph}(A_k, \deg(A_k)-1) \cap M(D^k) \neq \emptyset.$$

**Remark 4.2.** It follows from Corollary 4.2 that the equation  $\deg(A_k) - 1 + 2p + \deg(\bar{A}_k) - 1 = 2^{k-1}$  has the unique solution  $p \geq 0$ . Thus  $r_1 = \deg(A_k)-1$  is always the principal radius of  $A_k$ .

As  $\text{dist}(A_k, \bar{A}_k) = 2^{k-1}$ , it follows from Lemma 3.1 that  $\deg(A_k)$  is even iff  $\deg(\bar{A}_k)$  is even. Then  $r_2 = 2^{k-1} + 1 - \deg(\bar{A}_k)$  is the principal radius of  $A_k$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** For arbitrary k-machine  $A_k$ ,  
 $M(D^k) \subseteq \bigcup_{r \in R(A_k)} \text{Sph}(A_k, r)$

7) Let us observe that  $\text{dist}(A_k, \bar{A}_k) = 2^{k-1}$  and the sets of all k-machines which belong to the sphere  $\text{Sph}(A_k, r)$  and  $\text{Sph}(\bar{A}_k, 2^{k-1}-r)$  are identical.

**P r o o f .** Let  $B_k \in \text{Sph}(A_k, \deg(A_k)-1)$  be an arbitrary maximal  $k$ -machine. It follows from Corollary 4.3 that such a  $k$ -machine exists. Consider a  $k$ -machine  $C_k \in \text{Sph}(A_k, r+1)$ , where  $r \in R(A_k)$ . As  $p = (\deg(A_k)-1)-(r+1) = \deg(A_k)-1-\deg(A_k)+1-2i-1 = -(2i+1)$  is an odd number, it follows from Lemma 3.2 that  $q = \deg(B_k) - \deg(C_k) \neq 0$ , and thus  $\deg(C_k) \neq 1$ . Besides that, Corollary 4.2 implies that there are maximal  $k$ -machines neither in the ball  $B(A_k, \deg(A_k)-1)$  nor in the ball

$$B(\bar{A}_k, \deg(\bar{A}_k)-1) = \{B_k \in D^k: \text{dist}(A_k, B_k) > 2^{k-1} - \deg(\bar{A}_k) + 1\} \quad .^8)$$

**R e m a r k 4.3.** Theorem 4.3 does not decide whether each sphere  $\text{Sph}(A_k, r)$ , where  $r \in R(A_k)$ , contains the maximal  $k$ -machines.

Let  $A_k^0 = (M, \varphi_0)$  be a  $k$ -machine the transition function of which is defined as follows  $\varphi_0(U) = U|_{1,1}$  for all  $U \in M^k$ .

**T h e o r e m 4.4.** If  $A_k$  is a maximal  $k$ -machine, then the following condition is satisfied

$$(4.4) \quad \exists_{r \in R(A_k^0)} (\dim(A_k) = r) .$$

Proof of this theorem immediately follows from Theorem 4.3 and remarks that  $\dim(A_k^0) = 0$  and  $\text{dist}(A_k^0, A_k) = \dim(A_k)$ .

**T h e o r e m 4.5.** For arbitrary number  $k > 1$  we have

$$M(D^k) = \bigcap_{A_k \in M(D^k)} \bigcup_{r \in R(A_k)} \text{Sph}(A_k, r) .$$

$$\text{P r o o f .} \quad \text{Let } F = \bigcap_{A_k \in M(D^k)} \bigcup_{r \in R(A_k)} \text{Sph}(A_k, r) . \quad \text{It}$$

follows from Theorem 4.3 that  $M(D^k) \subseteq F$ . We shall prove the inverse inclusion.

8) It follows from Remark 4.2 that the number  $2^{k-1} - \deg(\bar{A}_k) + 1$  is the greatest principal radius of  $A_k$ .



Let  $B_k \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then there exists  $C_k \in m(D^k)$  such that  $C_k \subseteq B_k$ . It follows from Theorem 4.2 and the definition of  $F$  that the  $k$ -machine  $B_k$  must belong to the sphere  $Sph(C_k, \deg(C_k)-1)$ . Then we have  $\text{dist}(B_k, C_k) = \deg(C_k) - \deg(B_k) = \deg(C_k)-1$  and thus  $\deg(B_k) = 1$ .

**R e m a r k 4.4.** It can be proved that  $A_k$  is a minimal  $k$ -machine ( $A_k \in m(D^k)$ ) iff each of its computation is a  $(k-1)$ -computation, in particular if its computation set is the union of two  $(k-1)$ -computation sets. This will be the subject of a separate paper.<sup>9)</sup>

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. F r e d r i c k s e n : The lexicographically least de Bruijn Cycle, J. of Combinatorial Theory 9(1970) 1-15.
- [2] H. F r e d r i c k s e n : Generation of the Ford sequences of length  $2^n$ ,  $n$  large, J. Combinatorial Theory 12(1972) 153-154.
- [3] S.W. G o l o m b : Shift-registers sequences, San Francisco (1967) 24-89.
- [4] M. H a l l : Combinatorial theory, Waltham (Massachusetts) 1967.
- [5] Z. G r o d z k i : The theory of shift-registers, Information and Control 21(1972) 196-205.
- [6] Z. G r o d z k i , J. Ż u r a w i e c k i : The  $(k,m)$ -computation sets, Reports on Mathematical Logic 6(1976), 79-86.
- [7] W. S k a r b e k , K. Z e m b r z u s k i : On maximal cycles of the Boolean  $k$ -machines (in Polish), CC PAS Reports 245(1976).
- [8] M. Y o e l i : Counting with nonlinear binary feedback shift-registers, IEEE Trans. Electronic Comp. (1963) 357-361.
- [9] J. Ż u r a w i e c k i : Properties of  $k$ -machines II, Elektron. Informationsverarbeitung. Kybernetik 13,10(1977) 519-524.

<sup>9)</sup> The condition when  $k$ -computation set is the union of two  $(k-1)$ -computation sets has been given in [6].

- [10] J. Ż u r a w i e c k i : Boolean shift-registers,  
Demonstratio Math. (1977) 405-415.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, HIGHER ENGINEERING SCHOOL, LUBLIN

Received March 14, 1977.