

R. Bittner, E. Sadowska

## ELEMENTS OF FINITE VARIATION

Suppose we are given two linear lattices  $L^0, L^1$  ( $L^1 \subset L^0$ ) and two linear operations: a derivative  $S: L^1 \rightarrow L^0$  (onto) and an integral  $T: L^0 \rightarrow L^1$  such that  $ST = id_{L^0}$  (see papers [2], [3]). This paper deals with the relations between a partial-order in  $L^0$  and a partial-order in the set  $Incr$  (see Definition 2.1) of elements  $x$ , such that  $Sx \geq 0$ . There are considered (o)-convergence and regular (r)-convergence of  $x_n$  in  $Var$  (see Section 4), which are determined by such convergences of  $Sx_n$ .

### 1. Introduction

Let  $X$  be a linear space.

**Definition 1.1.** A set  $W \subset X$  is said to be a wedge, when its elements satisfy the following conditions

$$(1) \quad \text{if } x, y \in W, \text{ then } x + y \in W,$$

$$(2) \quad \text{if } x \in W, \lambda \geq 0, \text{ then } \lambda x \in W.$$

A wedge  $W$  will be called a cone, when its elements satisfy moreover the condition

$$(3) \quad \text{if } x \in W (x \neq 0), \text{ then } -x \notin W.$$

Let  $K \subset X$  be a cone. We define in the linear space  $X$  a relation of partial-order  $\leq$  by

$$(4) \quad x \leq y \Leftrightarrow y - x \in K.$$

A cone  $K \subset X$  will be called reproducual, if every element  $x \in X$  can be written as the difference of elements of the cone  $K$ .

**D e f i n i t i o n 1.2.** The linear partially ordered space  $X$ , such that every two-element subset of the space has a supremum and an infimum, will be called linear lattice.

Let  $X$  be a linear lattice. For every element  $x \in X$  we introduce

$$(5) \quad x_+ = \sup(x, 0), \quad x_- = \sup(-x, 0), \quad x = \sup(x, -x).$$

**D e f i n i t i o n 1.3.** An operation  $A: X \rightarrow X$  will be called positive, if  $AK \subset K$ , where  $K \subset X$  is a cone.

Let  $L^0$  be a linear lattice in which the relation of partial order is induced by a cone  $K \subset L^0$ . Suppose we are given a linear lattice  $L^0$  and a sublattice  $L^1$  and two linear operations  $S: L^1 \rightarrow L^0$  (onto  $L^1 \subset L^0$ ) and  $T: L^0 \rightarrow L^1$  (into) such that  $Stf = f$  for  $f \in L^0$ . The operation  $S$  will be called a derivative, the operation  $T$  will be called an integral.

The elements  $c \in \text{Ker } S$  such that  $Sc = 0$  will be called constant. The operation  $s$  from  $L^1$  into the set of constant ( $sx = x - TSx$ ,  $x \in L^1$ ) is linear (see [2], [3]). A partial-order in  $L^1$  is induced by the partial-order in  $L^0$ .

## 2. The properties of the operations $m$ and $\mu$

**D e f i n i t i o n 2.1.** Let  $\text{Incr}$  denote the set of values of the operation  $m$

$$(6) \quad m(x) = x + T(Sx)_- \quad \text{for } x \in L^1,$$

and let  $\text{Decr}$  denote the set of values of the operation  $\mu$ .

$$(7) \quad \mu(x) = x - T(Sx)_+ \quad \text{for } x \in L^1.$$

The elements of the set  $\text{Incr}$  ( $\text{Decr}$ ) will be called increasing (decreasing) elements.

## D e f i n i t i o n 2.2

$$(8) \quad \text{Ker}_S = \{x \in L^1 : Sx \geq 0\},$$

$$(9) \quad \text{Ker}_S = \{x \in L^1 : Sx \leq 0\}.$$

Theorem 2.1..

$$(10) \quad \text{Incr} = \text{Ker}_{\geq} S$$

$$(11) \quad \text{Decr} = \text{Ker}_{\leq} S.$$

Proof.

1° Let  $m_1 = m(x) = x + T(Sx)_-$ . We get

$$Sm(x) = Sx + ST(Sx)_- = (Sx)_+ - (Sx)_- + (Sx)_-$$

that is  $Sm(x) = (Sx)_+$ , but  $(Sx)_+ \geq 0$ , hence  $m_1 \in \text{Ker}_{\geq} S$   
or  $\text{Incr} \subset \text{Ker}_{\geq} S$ .

2° Let  $m_1 \in \text{Ker}_{\geq} S$ . We have  $Sm_1 \geq 0$ , hence  $(Sm_1)_- = 0$ ,  
but  $m(m_1) = m_1 + T(Sm_1)_- = m_1$ , hence  $\text{Ker}_{\geq} S \subset \text{Incr}$ .

The proof of (11) is analogous.

The operations  $m$  and  $\mu$  have certain dual properties so that the proofs of the theorems for operations  $m$  and  $\mu$  are similar.

Theorem 2.2. If the integral  $T$  is a positive operation, then the operation  $m$  is convex and the operation  $\mu$  is concave.

Proof. We will show that for  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1$ ,  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \geq 0$  we have

$$(12) \quad m(\alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2) \leq \alpha_1 m(x_1) + \alpha_2 m(x_2).$$

Since  $(x+y)_- \leq x_- + y_-$  (see [7]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} m(\alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2) &= \alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + T[S(\alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2)]_- \leq \\ &\leq \alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + T(\alpha_1 Sx_1)_- + T(\alpha_2 Sx_2)_- = \\ &= \alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + \alpha_1 T(Sx_1)_- + \alpha_2 T(Sx_2)_-, \end{aligned}$$

hence we get (12). From definition (7) we have

$$\mu(\alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2) \geq \alpha_1 \mu(x_1) + \alpha_2 \mu(x_2)$$

for  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1$ ,  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \geq 0$ .

Theorem 2.3. The operations  $m$  and  $\mu$  have for  $x \in L^1$  the following properties

$$(13) \quad m(m(x)) = m(x),$$

$$(14) \quad \mu(\mu(x)) = \mu(x),$$

$$(15) \quad m(\mu(x)) = \mu(m(x)) = sx.$$

If the integral  $T$  is positive, then

$$(16) \quad m(x+y) \leq m(x) + m(y),$$

$$(17) \quad \mu(x+y) \geq \mu(x) + \mu(y).$$

If  $\alpha > 0$ , then

$$(18) \quad m(\alpha x) = \alpha m(x),$$

$$(19) \quad \mu(\alpha x) = \alpha \mu(x).$$

If  $\alpha < 0$ , then

$$(20) \quad m(\alpha x) = \alpha \mu(x),$$

$$(21) \quad \mu(\alpha x) = \alpha m(x).$$

Moreover, we have

$$(22) \quad m(0) = 0,$$

$$(23) \quad \mu(0) = 0,$$

$$(24) \quad m(-x) = -\mu(x),$$

$$(25) \quad \mu(-x) = -m(x),$$

$$(26) \quad m(x) + m(-x) = T|Sx|,$$

$$(27) \quad \mu(x) + \mu(-x) = -T|Sx|.$$

The proofs can easily be obtained from definitions (6) and (7) and from the properties of elements of the linear lattice  $L^1$ .

Theorem 2.4. Any element  $x \in L^1$  can be represented in the form

$$(28) \quad x = -x_0 + m(x) - m(-x),$$

where  $x_0 \in \text{Ker } S$  and  $m(x), m(-x) \in \text{Incr.}$

**P r o o f.** If  $x \in L^1$ , then  $Sx \in L^0$ . We have

$$Sx = (Sx)_+ - (Sx)_- \quad \text{and} \quad TSx = T(Sx)_+ - T(Sx)_-.$$

From definitions (6) and (7) we have

$$(29) \quad x = -x_0 + \mu(x) + m(x).$$

From (24) we obtain (28).

### 3. The properties of the Incr. Quasi-order in $L^1$

It is easy to prove the following theorems.

**T h e o r e m 3.1.**

$$(30) \quad \text{If } x \in \text{Incr, then } m(x) = x.$$

**T h e o r e m 3.2.** A set Incr is a wedge.

**D e f i n i t i o n 3.1.** Let  $x_1, x_2 \in L^1$ . We define in  $L^1$  a relation  $\prec$  in the following manner

$$(31) \quad x_1 \prec x_2 \Leftrightarrow x_2 - x_1 \in \text{Incr} \text{ i.e. } S(x_2 - x_1) \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow \\ \Leftrightarrow x_2 = x_1 + m_1, m_1 \in \text{Incr}.$$

**T h e o r e m 3.3.** The relation  $\prec$  defined by formula (31) is reflexive and transitive i.e. is a quasi-order.

**P r o o f.**

$$1^o \quad x_1 \prec x_1 \Leftrightarrow S(x_1 - x_1) = 0, \quad 0 \in \text{Incr}.$$

$$2^o \quad \text{If } x_1 \prec x_2 \text{ and } x_2 \prec x_3, \text{ then } S(x_2 - x_1) \geq 0 \text{ and } S(x_3 - x_2) \geq 0.$$

But

$$S(x_2 - x_1 + x_2 - x_2) = S(x_2 - x_1) + S(x_3 - x_2) \geq 0,$$

$$\text{hence } S(x_3 - x_1) \geq 0 \text{ i.e. } x_1 \prec x_3.$$

The space  $L^1$  is quasi-ordered by the set Incr.

### 4. The properties of the set of classes Var

Let  $x, y \in L^1$ . We say that

$$(32) \quad \bar{x} \in [x] \Leftrightarrow \bar{x} = x + c, \text{ where } c \in \text{Ker}S.$$

We define

$$(33) \quad [x] + [y] = [x+y],$$

$$(34) \quad \lambda[x] = [\lambda x], \quad \lambda\text{-scalar.}$$

So we have

$$(35) \quad c \in [0] \Leftrightarrow c \in \text{Ker } S.$$

The set of classes  $[x]$ ,  $x \in L^1$ , will be denoted by  $\text{Var}$  and its elements will be called elements of finite variation. The set  $\text{Var}$  is a linear space.

We define an operation

$$(36) \quad S_m: \text{Var} \longrightarrow L^0$$

in the following manner

$$(37) \quad S_m[x] = Sx, \quad x \in L^1.$$

Let us introduce a set  $K_m$  in the space  $\text{Var}$  defined as follows

$$(38) \quad K_m = \{[x] : x \in \text{Incr}\}.$$

Theorem 4.1. The set  $K_m$  is a cone in the space  $\text{Var}$ .

Proof.

If  $[m_1] \in K_m$  and  $[m_2] \in K_m$ , then  $[m_1] + [m_2] \in K_m$ , because  $m_1 + m_2 \in \text{Incr}$ . Similarly if  $[m_1] \in K_m$  and  $\lambda \geq 0$ , then  $\lambda[m_1] = [\lambda m_1] \in K_m$ . If  $[m_1] \in K_m$  ( $m_1 \neq c$ ), then  $S_m \geq 0$ . If  $-[m_1] \in K_m$ , then  $-S_m \geq 0$  i.e.  $S_m \leq 0$ . Hence  $S_m = 0$  i.e.  $m_1 = c$ .

Given a cone  $K_m$  we define in the space  $\text{Var}$  a partial-order  $\leq_m$  by

$$(39) \quad [x] \leq_m [y] \Leftrightarrow [y] - [x] \in K_m.$$

Hence

$$(40) \quad [x] \leq_m [y] \Leftrightarrow x \leq y.$$

**D e f i n i t i o n 4.1.** A linear lattice with the partial-order  $<$  is called an archimedean lattice, if

$a \geq 0$  and  $na < b$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  implies  $a = 0$ .

Let  $L^0$  be an archimedean lattice.

**T h e o r e m 4.2.** The cone  $K_m$  is an archimedean cone in  $\text{Var}$ .

**P r o o f.** We would like to show that if

$$(41) \quad [m_1] \in K_m \text{ and } [m_2] - n[m_1] \in K_m \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots),$$

then

$$(42) \quad [m_1] = [0].$$

From (41) we have  $S_{m_1} \geq 0$  and  $S_{m_2} - nS_{m_1} \geq 0$ . Since  $L^0$  is an archimedean lattice we have

$$S_{m_1} = 0 \text{ i.e. } m_1 = c \text{ i.e. } [m_1] = [0].$$

In Theorem 2.4 there was a decomposition

$$(43) \quad x = -x_0 + m(x) + \mu(x) = -x_0 + m(x) - m(-x)$$

i.e.

$$(44) \quad [x] = [m(x)] - [m(-x)],$$

where  $[m(x)], [m(-x)] \in K_m$ .

Hence we have the following theorem.

**T h e o r e m 4.3.** Every element of the space  $\text{Var}$  can be written as the difference of elements of the  $K_m$  cone i.e. the cone  $K_m$  is reproducable.

**T h e o r e m 4.4.**

$$(45) \quad [z] = \sup_{\mathbb{M}} ([x], [x])$$

iff

$$(46) \quad S_m[z] = \sup (S_m[x], S_m[y]).$$

From the definition (37) we can write (46) as follows

$$(47) \quad S_z = \sup(S_x, S_y).$$

**P r o o f.** From definition (39) and from (40) we have

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} x \prec z, \quad y \prec z \\ x \prec u, \quad y \prec u \end{array} \right\} \implies z \prec u$$

i.e.

$$(48) \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} S_z \geq S_x, \quad S_z \geq S_y \\ S_u \geq S_x, \quad S_u \geq S_y \end{array} \right\} \implies S_u \geq S_z \quad \text{i.e. (47)}$$

From (47) and from the definition of supremum in  $L^0$  we have

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} S_x \leq S_z, \quad S_y \leq S_z \\ S_x \leq S_u, \quad S_y \leq S_u \end{array} \right\} \implies S_z \leq S_u$$

and

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} S(z-x) \geq 0, \quad S(z-y) \geq 0 \\ S(u-x) > 0, \quad S(u-y) \geq 0 \end{array} \right\} \implies S(u-z) \geq 0$$

i.e.

$$(49) \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} x \prec z, \quad y \prec z \\ x \prec u, \quad y \prec u \end{array} \right\} \implies z \prec u.$$

From the definition of the relation  $\prec$  we obtain (45).

**T h e o r e m 4.5.**

$$(50) \quad [w] = \inf_m ([x], [y])$$

iff

$$(51) \quad S_m[w] = \inf(S_m[x], S_m[y]).$$

From the definition (37) of  $S_m$  we can write (51) as follows

$$(52) \quad S_w = \sup(S_x, S_y).$$

The proof is analogous to the proof of Theorem 4.4.

The linear space  $\text{Var}$  with supremum and infimum is a linear lattice.

For each element  $[x] \in \text{Var}$  we define

$$(53) \quad [x]_+ = \sup([x], [0]),$$

$$(54) \quad [x]_- = \sup(-[x], [0]),$$

$$(55) \quad |[x]| = \sup(-[x], [x])$$

and we call them the positive part, the negative part and the module of the element  $[x]$ , respectively.

From Theorem 4.4. we have the following corollary.

Corollary.

$$(56) \quad \sup([x], [0]) = [x]_+ = [m(x)],$$

$$(57) \quad \sup(-[x], [0]) = [x]_- = [m(-x)],$$

$$(58) \quad \sup(-[x], [x]) = |[x]| = [m(x) + m(-x)].$$

Proof. From Th. 4.4. we have

$$(59) \quad Sm(x) = S(x+T(Sx))_- = (Sx)_+,$$

because  $\sup(Sx, 0) = (Sx)_+$  in  $L^0$ . Similarly we obtain (57) and (58).

A linear lattice is called a K-space, if every non-empty subset is bounded from above and has a supremum. In the K-space we can introduce a convergence based on partial-order which we call  $(\circ)$ -convergence.

Let  $L^1$  and  $L^0$  be a K-space. Let  $x_n$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ) be a sequence of elements from  $L^1$ . If the sequence  $x_n$  is increasing i.e.  $x_n < x_{n+1}$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \sup_n x_n$ . By the superior limit and the inferior limit of the sequence  $x_n$  we mean the elements

$$(60) \quad \overline{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}} x_n = \inf_n [\sup(x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots)],$$

$$(61) \quad \underline{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}} x_n = \sup_n [\inf(x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots)].$$

We say that a sequence  $x_n$  is  $(\circ)$ -convergent to an element  $x$ , if

$$(62) \quad \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x.$$

The element  $x$  will be called a  $(\circ)$ -limit and we write  $(\circ)\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ . If the sequence  $x_n$  is increasing, then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [x_n] \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} [\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n]$ . Let a sequence  $x_n$  be bounded. Then in the space  $\text{Var}$  there exist supremum and infimum

$$(63) \quad [\sup(x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots)] \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \lim_k \sup_k ([x_n], [x_{n+1}], \dots),$$

$$(64) \quad [\inf(x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots)] \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \lim_k \inf_k ([x_n], [x_{n+1}], \dots).$$

By definition we have

$$(65) \quad \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} [x_n] = [\inf \sup(x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots)],$$

$$(66) \quad \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} [x_n] = [\sup \inf(x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots)].$$

We have the following general definition of a  $(\circ)$ -convergence in the  $K$ -space.

**Definition 4.2.** A sequence  $[x_n]$  is  $(\circ)$ -convergent to a class  $[x]$ , if

$$(67) \quad \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} [x_n] = \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} [x_n].$$

The common value of limit superior and limit inferior will be called  $(\circ)$ -limit and we write

$$(68) \quad (\circ)\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [x_n] = [x].$$

**Theorem 4.6.**

$$(69) \quad (\circ)\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [x_n] = [x],$$

iff

$$(70) \quad \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n$$

or

$$(\text{o})\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = Sx.$$

P r o o f.

1° If  $(\text{o})\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [x_n] = [x]$ , then

$$(71) \quad \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} [x_n] = \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} [x_n] = [x].$$

Let

$$(72) \quad \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} [x_n] = [G] \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} [x_n] = [g].$$

From (46), (47), by passing to limit, we obtain

$$(73) \quad S_m[G] = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_m[x_n] = [\inf \sup(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}, \dots)],$$

$$(74) \quad S_m[g] = \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_m[x_n] = [\sup \inf(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}, \dots)].$$

The equalities (73) and (74) are equivalent to the following equalities

$$(75) \quad SG = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n,$$

$$(76) \quad Sg = \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n.$$

From (72) we have

$$[G] = [g] \text{ i.e. } G = g + c \text{ and } SG = Sg,$$

hence

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n.$$

2° If  $(\text{o})\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = Sx$  or  $SG = Sg$  i.e.  $S(G-g) = 0$ , then

$$G = g + c \text{ and } [G] = [g].$$

From (65) we have

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} [x_n] = \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} [x_n].$$

We have proved in Th. 4.2 that a cone  $K_m$  in the space Var is an archimedean cone.

So, by the general definition, we can introduce in this archimedean lattice the notion of a regular convergence.

Definition 4.4. The sequence  $[x_n]$  is (r)-convergent to an element  $[x]$ , if

$$(77) \quad \bigvee_m [f] \succ [0], \quad \bigwedge_{\epsilon > 0} \bigvee_N \bigwedge_{n > N} |[x_n] - [x]|_m \leq \epsilon [f]$$

and we then write

$$(78) \quad (r)\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [x_n] = [x].$$

Theorem 4.7.

$$(79) \quad (r)\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [x_n] = [x],$$

iff

$$(80) \quad (r)\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = Sx.$$

Proof.

1° If  $(r)\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [x_n] = [x]$ , then (77),

i.e.

$$-\epsilon [f] \leq [x_n] - [x] \leq \epsilon [f].$$

From the definition (39) of the relation  $\leq_m$  we have

$$-\epsilon f \leq x_n - x \leq \epsilon f$$

i.e.

$$-\epsilon Sf \leq S(x_n - x) \leq \epsilon Sf,$$

hence

$$|Sx_n - Sx| < \varepsilon Sf.$$

2° If  $(r)$ -lim  $Sx_n = Sx$ , then  $|Sx_n - Sx| < \varepsilon Sf$

i.e.

$$[-\varepsilon Sf] \leq [Sx_n - Sx] \leq [\varepsilon Sf],$$

hence

$$(81) \quad [-\varepsilon Sf] + [Sm_1] = [Sx_n - Sx]$$

and

$$(82) \quad [Sx_n - Sx] = [\varepsilon Sf] - [Sm_2].$$

From (81) we obtain

$$Sm([-ef] + [m_1] - [x_n - x]) = [0]$$

or  $[x_n - x] = [-ef + m_1]$ , where  $m_1, m_2 \in \text{Incr}$  i.e.

$$x_n - x \geq -ef$$

and

$$[-ef] \leq [x_n - x].$$

Similarly from (82) we obtain

$$[x_n - x] \leq [\varepsilon f],$$

hence

$$|[x_n] - [x]| \leq \varepsilon [f].$$

This ends the proof of Theorem 4.7.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. B e i l l e r t: On foundations of operational calculus, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys., 5 (1957) 855-858.
- [2] R. B i t t n e r: Operational calculus in linear spaces, Studia Math. 20 (1961) 1-18.
- [3] R. B i t t n e r: Algebraic and analytic properties of solutions of abstract differential equations. Warszawa 1964.
- [4] К.М. Г л е з м а н, Ю.К. Л ю б и ч: Конечномерный линей-  
ный анализ в задачах. Москва 1969.
- [5] W. R u d i n: Principles of mathematical analysis. New  
York-San Francisco-Toronto-London 1964.
- [6] Л.А. С к о р и я к о в: Элементы теории структур. Москва  
1970.
- [7] Б.З. В у л и х: Введение в теорию полуупорядоченных про-  
странств. Москва 1961.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF GDANSK, 80-952  
GDAŃSK-OLIWA

Received March 19, 1975.