

Ireneusz Nabiałek

## Z-AGGREGABLE SETS OF FUNCTIONS

### Introduction

The notions of a Z-computable function and a Z-computable set of functions have been introduced in [1]. The notions of a Z-aggregable set of functions and a \*-connected Z-computable set of functions are introduced in this paper. The aim of this paper is to show that:

1. a Z-aggregable set is a sum of equivalence classes and that these equivalence classes are Z-computable sets;
2. a Z-aggregable set and a Z-computable set are sums of equivalence classes and that these equivalence classes are \*-connected Z-computable sets.

The notion of a base of the Z-aggregable set is introduced in this paper, too. The notion of \*-connected, Z-computable set plays the most fundamental role in the construction of the basis for a Z-aggregable set.

### 1. Basic notions and definitions

Let  $\mathbb{R}$  be the set of all real numbers, and  $\mathbb{R}^+$  - the set of all non-negative real numbers. By  $(t, x)$  we denote the point  $(t, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  if  $n \geq 2$ , and the point  $(t) \in \mathbb{R}$  if  $n = 1$ . Let  $\Omega_n = \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ . For any set  $Z \subseteq \Omega_n$  and for any  $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$  we denote  $Z_a = \{(t, x) \in \Omega_n : (t-a, x) \in Z\}$ . Let  $X$  be an arbitrary non-empty set, to be fixed in the sequel. By  $\mathcal{F}^{(n)}$  we denote the set of all mappings  $f : \Omega_n \rightarrow X$ , and by  $\mathcal{F}_Z^{(n)}$  the set of all mappings  $f : Z \rightarrow X$ , where  $Z \subseteq \Omega_n$ . The restriction of  $f \in \mathcal{F}^{(n)}$  to  $Z \subseteq \Omega_n$  we denote by  $f|_Z$ .

By the shift operator we mean the operator  $\mathcal{P}^{(n)}$  which assigns to every mapping  $f: Z_a \rightarrow X$  the mapping  $f^*: Z \rightarrow X$  such that  $f^*(t, x) = f(t+a, x)$  for every  $(t, x) \in Z$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{F}^{(n)}$ , then we put  $f_{z_a} = (f|_{Z_a})^*$  and, in particular,  $f_a = f|_{(\Omega)_a}$ .

W. Zakowski [1] introduced the notion of a Z-computable function. The most characteristic property of such a function is that a function  $f \in \mathcal{F}^{(n)}$  is Z-computable iff

$$\forall (a, b \geq 0) \left[ (f_{z_a} = f_{z_b}) \Rightarrow (f_a = f_b) \right].$$

In [1] a set  $F \subseteq \mathcal{F}^{(n)}$  is said to be Z-injective iff

$$\forall (f, g \in F) \left[ (f_z = g_z) \Rightarrow (f = g) \right],$$

and a set  $F$  is said to be closed under the operation of shift iff

$$\forall (f \in F) \forall (a \in \mathbb{R}^+) (f_a \in F).$$

In [2] for any  $H \subseteq \mathcal{F}^{(n)}$  the set  $H^* = \{f_a : f \in H, a \in \mathbb{R}^+\}$  is said to be the \*-closure of the set  $H$ . The set  $F \subseteq \mathcal{F}^{(n)}$  is Z-computable iff  $F$  is Z-injective and  $F^* = F$  ( $F^* = F$  iff  $F$  is closed under the operation of shift, i.e. if  $F$  is the \*-closed set).

## 2. Z-aggregable sets

Let  $\mathcal{F}_{(z)}^{(n)}$  be the set of all Z-computable functions  $f: \Omega_n \rightarrow X$ .

**Definition 1.** Functions  $f, g \in \mathcal{F}_{(z)}^{(n)}$  are said to be commonly computable (in symbols  $f \sim g$ ) if there exists a Z-computable set  $F \subseteq \mathcal{F}^{(n)}$  such that  $f, g \in F$ .

**Corollary 1.** The relation  $\sim$  is reflexive and symmetric in the set  $\mathcal{F}_{(z)}^{(n)}$ .

Theorem 1. For any  $f \in \mathcal{F}_{(z)}^{(n)}$  and for any  $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $f \sim f_a$ .

Proof. For any  $f \in \mathcal{F}_{(z)}^{(n)}$  and for any  $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $f, f_a \in \{f\}^*$ . The set  $\{f\}^*$  is Z-computable (see Corollary 9 in [2]).

Definition 2. The set  $F \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{(z)}^{(n)}$  is said to be Z-aggregable iff the relation  $\sim$  is transitive in the set  $F$  and  $F^* = F$ .

Corollary 2. For any Z-aggregable set  $F$  the relation  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation in the set  $F$ .

Theorem 2. For any Z-aggregable set  $F$  and for any  $f \in F$  the equivalence class  $[f]_\sim$  is a Z-computable set.

Proof. The set  $[f]_\sim$  is  $*$ -closed, because if  $g \in [f]_\sim$  and  $h \in \{g\}^*$ , then  $g \sim h$ , hence  $h \in [f]_\sim$ . The set  $[f]_\sim$  is Z-injective, because for any  $g, h \in [f]_\sim$ ,  $g \sim h$ , hence  $\{g, h\}$  is Z-injective. Hence  $[f]_\sim$  is Z-computable.

### 3. $*$ -connected Z-computable sets

Definition 3. For any  $f, g \in \mathcal{F}_{(z)}^{(n)}$  we define the relation  $\stackrel{*}{=}$  as follows

$$(f \stackrel{*}{=} g) \Leftrightarrow \exists (a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+) (f_a = g_b).$$

Theorem 3. The relation  $\stackrel{*}{=}$  is an equivalence relation in the set  $\mathcal{F}_{(z)}^{(n)}$ .

Proof. It is evident that the relation  $\stackrel{*}{=}$  is reflexive and symmetric in the set  $\mathcal{F}_{(z)}^{(n)}$ . If  $f \stackrel{*}{=} g$  and  $g \stackrel{*}{=} h$ , then  $\exists (a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}^+) (f_a = g_b, g_c = h_d)$ . We denote  $m = \max(b, c)$  and  $a' = a + m - b$ ,  $d' = d + m - c$ . Then  $f_{a'} = g_m$  and  $g_m = h_{d'}$ , hence  $f_{a'} = h_d$ ,  $f \sim h$ . Hence the relation  $\stackrel{*}{=}$  is transitive in the set  $\mathcal{F}_{(z)}^{(n)}$ .

Corollary 3. For any Z-aggregable set  $F$  the relation  $\stackrel{*}{=}$  is an equivalence relation in the set  $F$ .

Theorem 4. For any  $Z$ -aggregable set  $F$  and for any  $f, g \in F$  if  $f \stackrel{*}{=} g$ , then  $f \sim g$ .

Proof. If  $f \stackrel{*}{=} g$ , then  $\exists (a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+) (f_a = g_b)$ . Since  $f \sim f_a$ ,  $g_b \sim g$ , and  $F$  is the  $Z$ -aggregable set, we infer that  $f \sim g$ .

Corollary 4. For any  $Z$ -aggregable set  $F$  and for any  $f \in F$  we have  $[f]_{\stackrel{*}{=}} \subseteq [f]_{\sim}$ .

Theorem 5. For any  $Z$ -aggregable set  $F$  and for any  $f \in F$  the equivalence class  $[f]_{\stackrel{*}{=}}$  is a  $Z$ -computable set.

Proof. The set  $[f]_{\stackrel{*}{=}}$  is  $Z$ -injective, because  $[f]_{\stackrel{*}{=}} \subseteq [f]_{\sim}$  and  $[f]_{\sim}$  is the  $Z$ -injective set. If  $g \in [f]_{\stackrel{*}{=}}$  and  $h \in \{g\}^*$ , then  $h \stackrel{*}{=} g$ , hence  $h \in [f]_{\stackrel{*}{=}}$ . The set  $[f]_{\stackrel{*}{=}}$  is  $*$ -closed. Hence  $[f]_{\stackrel{*}{=}}$  is  $Z$ -computable.

Definition 4. The set  $F \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{(z)}^{(n)}$  is called  $*$ -connected iff

$$\forall (f, g \in F) (f \stackrel{*}{=} g).$$

Theorem 6. Let  $F$  be a  $Z$ -aggregable set such that  $G \subseteq F$  and  $f \in G$ . The set  $G$  is  $Z$ -computable and  $*$ -connected iff  $G^* = G$  and  $\{f\}^* \subseteq G \subseteq [f]_{\stackrel{*}{=}}$ .

Proof. If the set  $G$  is  $Z$ -computable and  $*$ -connected, then  $\{f\}^* \subseteq G$  and  $G \subseteq [f]_{\stackrel{*}{=}}$  and  $G^* = G$ . If  $G^* = G$  and  $G \subseteq [f]_{\stackrel{*}{=}}$ , then  $G$  is  $Z$ -computable because  $[f]_{\stackrel{*}{=}}$  is  $Z$ -computable. The set  $G$  is  $*$ -connected because  $[f]_{\stackrel{*}{=}}$  is  $*$ -connected.

Corollary 5. For any  $Z$ -aggregable set  $F$  and for any function  $f \in F$  the set  $\{f\}^*$  is the minimal set, and the set  $[f]_{\stackrel{*}{=}}$  is the maximal set of all  $Z$ -computable and  $*$ -connected sets  $G$  such that  $G \subseteq F$  and  $f \in G$ .

#### 4. Basis of $Z$ -aggregable set

Definition 5. For any  $Z$ -aggregable set  $F$  a set  $G \subseteq F$  is called a basis of the set  $F$  iff  $F = \bigcup_{f \in G} [f]_{\stackrel{*}{=}}$  and

$$\bigvee (f, g \in G) \left\{ (f \neq g) \Rightarrow [\sim (f \equiv g)] \right\}.$$

Let  $F/\equiv$  be the quotient space of the equivalence relation  $\equiv$ .

Theorem 7. Let  $F$  be Z-aggregable set and let  $G \subseteq F$ .  $G$  is a basis of the set  $F$  iff the set  $G$  has exactly one element in common with every set  $[f] \in F/\equiv$ .

Proof. If  $G$  has exactly one element in common with every set  $[f] \in F/\equiv$  then  $\bigcup_{f \in G} [f] = F$ , and if  $f, g \in G$  and  $f \neq g$ , then  $f \in [\psi] \in F/\equiv$ ,  $g \in [\psi] \in F/\equiv$  and  $[\psi] \neq [\psi]$ , hence  $\sim (f \equiv g)$ .

If  $\bigcup_{f \in G} [f] = F$  and  $\bigvee (f, g \in G) \left\{ (f \neq g) \Rightarrow [\sim (f \equiv g)] \right\}$ , then for every  $[f] \in F/\equiv$  there exists an element  $g \in G \cap [f]$ .

If  $g, h$  are different elements of the set  $G$ , then  $\sim (g \equiv h)$ . Hence for every  $[f] \in F/\equiv$  there exists exactly one element  $g \in G \cap [f]$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] W. Żakowski: Continuous simple Z-machines, Z-computation and Z-computable sets, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 22(1974) 1063-1067.
- [2] I. Nabiąłek, W. Żakowski: On  $\ast$ -closure of sets of functions, Demonstratio Math. 8 (1975) 249-252.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW

Received March 20, 1975.

