9

Research Article

Hülya Doğan, Hafize Fidan, Hatice Baş, Stanko Stankov, Albena Stoyanova, Sezai Ercisli, Amine Assouguem*, Riaz Ullah, Ahmed Bari

Determination of essential oil and chemical composition of St. John's Wort

https://doi.org/10.1515/chem-2024-0001 received January 2, 2024; accepted February 26, 2024

Abstract: Considering it contains a variety of physiologically active compounds, including flavonoids, common phenols, and essential oils (EOs), St. John's wort (Hypericum perforatum L.) is a common plant in Bulgaria that is predominantly used in folk medicine to cure various disorders. Determining the chemical makeup of St. John's wort inflorescences that were gathered from northern Bulgaria was the purpose of this investigation. The antioxidant activity of H. perforatum L. extracts was assessed using 1,1-diphenyl-2picrilhydrazyl (DPPH), ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP), and Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity (TEAC) tests on methanol extract. The amount of EO obtained by water distillation was 0.08%, with its main components

(over 3%) being *n*-nonane (27.46%), β -sesquiphellandrene (11.17%), heptanal dimethyl acetal (5.94%), ethyl hexyl ketone (5.93%), undecane (3.75%), sabinene (3.3%), and tridecyl alcohol (3.1%). Methanol extracts were obtained from the inflorescences, with the total flavonoid content determined as 8.66 mg quercetin equivalents (QE)/mg and total phenolic content as 271.33 mg Gallic acid equivalent/g. The FRAP assay yielded 493.07 µmol/L of antioxidant activity, while the TEAC assay yielded 106.39 µmol/L, respectively. Our findings enable a comprehensive characterization of H. perforatum from Bulgaria and an assessment of its oil suitability for potential industrial applications. Additionally, the results could guide the selection of specimens for future targeted breeding efforts.

Keywords: St. John's wort, biologically active substances, antioxidant activity

* Corresponding author: Amine Assouguem, Department of Protection of Plants and Environment, National School of Agriculture, Meknes, Morocco; Laboratory of Functional Ecology and Environment, Faculty of Sciences and Technology, Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University, PO. box 2202 Imouzzer Street, Fez 30000, Morocco, e-mail: assougam@gmail.com

Hülya Doğan: Department of Agriculture and Food, Hemp Research Institute, Yozgat Bozok University, Yozgat, Turkiye,

e-mail: hulya.dogan@bozok.edu.tr

Hafize Fidan: Department of Tourism and Culinary Management, Faculty of Economics, University of Food Technologies, Plovdiv, Bulgaria, e-mail: hfidan@abv.bg

Hatice Baş: Department of Biology, Faculty of Science and Letters, Yozgat Bozok University, Yozgat, Turkiye,

e-mail: hatice.bas@bozok.edu.tr

Stanko Stankov: Department of Tourism and Culinary Management, Faculty of Economics, University of Food Technologies, Plovdiv, Bulgaria, e-mail: docstankov@gmail.com

Albena Stoyanova: Department of Technology of Tobacco, Sugar, Vegetable and Essential Oils, Technological Faculty, University of Food Technologies, Plovdiv, Bulgaria, e-mail: aastst@abv.bg

Sezai Ercisli: Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Ataturk University, 25240, Erzurum, Turkiye, e-mail: sercisli@gmail.com

Riaz Ullah: Department of Pharmacognosy, College of Pharmacy, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, e-mail: rullah@ksu.edu.sa Ahmed Bari: Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, College of Pharmacy, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia,

e-mail: abari@ksu.edu.sa

1 Introduction

Herbal remedies and aromatic plants have been utilized for sustenance, warmth, protection, and shelter throughout human history. Archaeological discoveries have also shown that these plants were formerly utilized by people as a remedy for a variety of illnesses. Modern science has made significant strides, expanding the use of aromatic and therapeutic plants. Nowadays, the primary industries that use these plants are the food, cosmetics, pharmacy, medicine, dyeing, perfumes, and agriculture [1-5].

The genus *Hypericum* L. comprises approximately 480 species worldwide. Hypericum L., also known as St. John's wort, is represented by 61 species in 17 divisions of the European flora. There are 22 species of this genus found in Bulgaria, five of which are native to the country. The fact that St. John's wort is widely distributed across the nation has led to a great deal of research on the plant [6]. The flowers of this species are profuse and bloom from June until September [7]. Hypericum perforatum L. is among the most commonly used medicinal and aromatic plants in folk medicine [8]. Extracts of Hypericum perforatum L.

2 — Hülya Doğan et al. DE GRUYTER

are known to reduce oxidative stress and exhibit neuroprotective, anti-inflammatory, and anti-gastrointestinal properties. Moreover, Hypericum perforatum L. is used in the treatment of depression [9]. Preventing oxidative damage by the use of naturally occurring antioxidants, including phenolic compounds, has received a lot of attention. Oxidative stress represents an imbalance in the body's antioxidant defense system, as a result of cells producing more reactive oxygen species, which can harm DNA, lipids, and proteins. Numerous chronic degenerative illnesses, including cancer, neurological disorders, and cardiovascular diseases, are linked to the pathophysiology of aging and mutagenesis. Prooxidant agents, such as hydroxide radicals, peroxide radicals, singlet oxygen, and superoxide anions, are among them. Depression is increasingly associated with oxidative stress in the body [10]. Free radicals generated during normal bodily functions have detrimental effects on the immune system and cells, leading to diseases and premature aging. Antioxidants act as scavengers for these free radicals, thereby preventing diseases by neutralizing them [11,12].

Essential oils (EOs) obtained from plants are colorless or light yellow, have a distinctive odor, and are liquid at room temperature, consisting of many components. Their many qualities, including antiseptic, antifungal, antioxidant, antimicrobial, and antiviral, have been utilized in traditional medicine since ancient times. While EOs are generally found in large quantities in the roots, leaves, and flower parts of the plant, they are found in smaller quantities in other plant organs such as bark and stems. The most commonly detected EO components in H. perforatum L. are monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes. With 600 of the 3,500 plant species known to have therapeutic qualities, Bulgaria enjoys a varied flora rich in medicinal plants. Despite this abundance, Chimshirova et al. [7] pointed out that little is known about the antioxidant potential and modern therapeutic uses of numerous Bulgarian medicinal herbs. The purpose of this study is to identify the EO and chemical makeup of St. John's wort aerial parts collected in northern Bulgaria.

2 Material and methods

2.1 Plant material

The aerial portions of *H. perforatum* L. were gathered in June 2020 from Dulovo, Bulgaria (43°50′26.5″N 27°07′51.4″E), at a height of about 230 meters above sea level, during the blossoming season. After being gathered, the samples were

dried at room temperature ($25 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C). Plant samples were kept in storage prior to analysis. The plant sample was identified botanically at Yozgat Bozok University's (YBOZ) Department of Botany. By drying the plant to a constant weight at 105° C, the moisture content of the plant was determined. According to AOAC [13], the chemical analysis findings were given on a dry weight basis. The voucher specimen, identified by voucher number YBOZ-2020-45, was stored in the Herbarium of the Biology Department, Faculty of Science and Letters, YBOZ, for future use.

2.2 Chemical composition

2.2.1 EO isolation

Using a Clevenger-style equipment, 100 g of dried aerial portions of the plant sample was hydrodistilled for 3 hours. Based on dry matter, the EO (%, v/w) contents of the samples were computed. After being extracted, the EOs were put into bottles with a dark tint and kept in a refrigerator at +4°C until analysis.

The QP2010 ULTRA mass spectrometer, a Shimadzu gas chromatography—mass spectrometric (GC/MS) instrument, was used to perform a GC/MS study. A GC analysis was performed using an Agilent 7890 A gas chromatograph equipped with an HP-5 ms column ($60 \text{ m} \times 0.25 \text{ mm}$, 0.10 \mu m). The software set the oven temperature in 3°C increments from 60° C to 200° C, and it maintained this setting for 4 min. The injector temperature was set to 260° C, and the scan range was set between 35 and 600 m/z. Helium (1.00 ml/min, split 1:30) was the carrier gas. By comparing the relative retention durations with the National institute of standards and technology 08 database (library data), the chemical components were identified.

2.2.2 Extract

Methanol (40 mL) was combined with the plant sample (4 g) at a ratio of $1:10\,\mathrm{w/v}$. The prepared samples were placed in an oven (Electo-mag M 5040 P) and incubated for 24 h at 40°C. Then, it was filtered using balloon flasks filled with Whatman No. 1 filter paper. The samples were placed in a rotary evaporator (Heating Bath B-491, BUCHI) to extract the methanol. The balloon bottles were blown up and then dried completely in the oven for a whole day. The extracts were put into falcon tubes, covered with parafilm, and stored at +4°C in order to be employed in the analysis.

The methanol extract's total phenolic contents: The extract's total phenolic content was ascertained using the

Table 1: Chemical composition of H. perforatum L. EOs

| No | Retention time, min | Retention index ^a | Compounds | Content (%) | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|--|--|
| 1 | 8.598 | 916 | <i>n</i> -Nonane | 27.46 ± 0.3 | | |
| 2 | 9.828 | 953 | Butyl isobutyrate | 1.31 ± 0.0 | | |
| 3 | 10.991 | 972 | Sabinene | 3.3 ± 0.0 | | |
| 4 | 12.333 | 1001 | Decane | 2.60 ± 0.0 | | |
| 5 | 12.513 | 1004 | Pseudolimonene | 0.26 ± 0.0 | | |
| 6 | 14.445 | 1069 | Sabinene hydrate <cis></cis> | 0.78 ± 0.0 | | |
| 7 | 14.562 | 1070 | Heptanal, dimethyl acetal | 5.94 ± 0.2 | | |
| 8 | 15.365 | 1088 | Isobutyl tiglate | 0.75 ± 0.0 | | |
| 9 | 15.548 | 1093 | Ethyl hexyl ketone | 5.93 ± 0.1 | | |
| 10 | 15.787 | 1094 | Nonan-2-ol | 0.52 ± 0.0 | | |
| 11 | 15.947 | 1095 | Linalool oxide <cis-></cis-> | 0.60 ± 0.0 | | |
| 12 | 16.749 | 1115 | Solustrerol | 1.58 ± 0.0 | | |
| 13 | 16.933 | 1124 | Trans-p-2-menthen-1-ol | 1.12 ± 0.0 | | |
| 14 | 17.720 | 1146 | Trans-limonene oxide | 0.44 ± 0.0 | | |
| 15 | 18.310 | 1149 | Camphor | 1.46 ± 0.0 | | |
| 16 | 18.437 | 1163 | Isoisopulegol | 2.06 ± 0.0 | | |
| 17 | 18.570 | 1175 | <i>p</i> -Menth-1-en-9-al | 0.61 ± 0.0 | | |
| 18 | 19.466 | 1180 | Terpinen-4-ol | 1.04 ± 0.0 | | |
| 19 | 19.958 | 1198 | α-Terpineol | 1.18 ± 0.0 | | |
| 20 | 20.107 | 1202 | Myrtenol | 0.16 ± 0.0 | | |
| 21 | 20.439 | 1208 | Verbenone | 2.98 ± 0.0 | | |
| 22 | 21.019 | 1223 | Carveol <trans></trans> | 0.47 ± 0.0 | | |
| 23 | 21.892 | 1249 | Isobutyrate <heptyl-></heptyl-> | 1.85 ± 0.0 | | |
| 24 | 22.248 | 1273 | Diamyl ketone | 0.75 ± 0.0 | | |
| 25 | 22.735 | 1273 | Lavandulyl acetate | 0.73 ± 0.0 0.72 ± 0.0 | | |
| 26 | 26.590 | 1390 | β -Elemene | 0.72 ± 0.0 0.45 ± 0.0 | | |
| 27 | 28.095 | 1423 | β-Cedrene | 0.73 ± 0.0 | | |
| 28 | 28.432 | 1438 | Aromadendrene | 0.62 ± 0.0 | | |
| 29 | 30.175 | 1487 | β -Selinene | 0.02 ± 0.0 0.94 ± 0.0 | | |
| 30 | 30.859 | 1508 | β-Bisabolene | 2.48 ± 0.0 | | |
| 31 | 30.962 | 1523 | β-Sesquiphellandrene | 2.48 ± 0.0 11.17 ± 0.0 | | |
| 32 | 31.109 | 1530 | Epiglobulol | 0.42 ± 0.0 | | |
| 33 | 31.953 | 1546 | α-Elemol | 1.29 ± 0.0 | | |
| 33 | 32.324 | 1562 | Nerolidol | 1.29 ± 0.0 1.14 ± 0.0 | | |
| 35 | 32.808 | 1576 | | 1.14 ± 0.0 1.16 ± 0.0 | | |
| 36 | | 1580 | Spathulenol | 3.10 ± 0.0 | | |
| 36 37 | 32.982 33.161 | 1580 | Tridecyl alcohol | 3.10 ± 0.0 1.34 ± 0.0 | | |
| | | | Caryophyllene oxide | | | |
| 38 | 36.122 | 1682 | Butyl undec-10-enoate | 0.20 ± 0.0 | | |
| 39 | 36.720 | 1710 | Undecane | 3.75 ± 0.0 | | |
| 40 | 37.527 | 1733 | Heptafluorobutyric acid, hexadecyl ester | 1.88 ± 0.0 | | |
| 41 | 41.855 | 1900 | Nonadecane | 0.47 ± 0.0 | | |
| 42 | 46.462 | 2106 | Phytol | 2.99 ± 0.0 | | |
| Alip | hatic hydroca | 34.28 | | | | |
| Oxygenated aliphatics, % 22.23 | | | | | | |
| Sesc | Sesquiterpene hydrocarbons, % 16.39 | | | | | |
| Оху | Oxygenated monoterpenes, % 13.62 | | | | | |
| Оху | Oxygenated sesquiterpenes, % 6.93 | | | | | |
| Mor | Monoterpene hydrocarbons, % 3.56 | | | | | |
| _ | Oxygenated diterpenes, % 2.99 | | | | | |

^aRI - retention (Kovat's) index. Results indicate three injections of same sample.

Folin-Ciocalteu reagent technique. The usual method of controlling phenolic substances was to employ gallic acid. The results are presented as the conjugate of gallic acid.

The total flavonoid assay was calculated by refining aluminum chloride colorimetric technique. Consequently, the total flavonoid concentration was estimated as quercetin equivalents (QE)/g of extract.

1,1-Diphenyl-2-picrilhydrazyl (DPPH) free radical, a wellknown and often utilized radical, was employed to measure the DPPH free radical scavenging activity [14]. As benchmarks, butyl hydroxytoluene (BHT) and butyl hydroxyanisol (BHA) were utilized. Ferric reducing antioxidant power assay (FRAP) Използван е метод, описан от at 593 nm, Trolox was used as standard, and the results are given as Trolox equivalent.

TEAC assay consists of reducing the absorbance of the 2,2-azinobis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) at 660, 734 and 820 nm.

The hydroxyl radical scavenging test developed in the previous study [15] was used to assess the hydroxyl radical scavenging capability.

As nitro blue tetrazolium to formazan decreased due to superoxide radical suppression, the superoxide scavenging capability was ascertained [16].

2.3 Statistics

The results of all measurements were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) of three parallel measurements and analyzed using MS-Excel software.

3 Results and discussion

Table 1 presents the chemical components of the EOs. Forty-two constituents representing 100% of the total EO content were identified in H. perforatum L. The main EO compounds (over 3%) were *n*-nonane (27.46%), β -sesquiphellandrene (11.17%), heptanal dimethyl acetal (5.94%), ethyl hexyl ketone (5.93%), undecane (3.75%), sabinene (3.3%), and tridecyl alcohol (3.1%). The distribution of major groups of aroma substances in the EOs is shown in Table 1. Aliphatic hydrocarbons (34.28%) are the predominant group in the EOs, followed by sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (16.39%), oxygenated monoterpenes (13.62%), oxygenated sesquiterpenes (6.93%), and oxygenated diterpenes (2.99%).

The composition of EO may vary between different parts of the plants [17]. Previous studies have shown variations in the chemical compounds of St. John's wort EOs obtained from the aerial parts of the plant depending on the collection period and the location of the collected plants [18]. According to previous studies, *H. perforatum* was characterized by major components such as α -pinene (3.7–36.5%), 2-methyloctane (1.1–15.5%), caryophyllene oxide (3.3–17.7%), β -caryophyllene (1.2–12.4%), and n-tetradecanol (3.6–10.4%) [19]. According to the study by Crockett [20], the most regularly reported EO components from *Hypericum* L. species include n-nonane, α -pinene, β -caryophyllene, β -pinene, and caryophyllene oxide.

Several articles have previously reported on the chemical components of EOs derived from St. John's wort (Table 2). Furthermore, although it is well known that changes in EO composition can result from cultivar differences, cultivar is rarely mentioned in the literature. The main components in the St. John's wort EOs collected in Serbia were 3-methylnonane (4.5%), p-cymene (4.8%), and nonane (63.8%) [21]. Samples of *Hypericum perforatum* L. from Portugal were characterized by α -pinene (39-64%), n-nonane (12-24%), and n-undecane (3-9%) [22]. The H. perforatum L. plant samples from Uzbekistan, initially investigated by Baser et al. [23], were especially characterized by α -pinene (5.0%), spathulenol (6.0%), caryophyllene oxide (6.3%), and β -caryophyllene (11.7%) (Table 2). α -Pinene and

caryophyllene oxide are abundant in the EOs extracted from plants growing in Kosovo and Albania, but not in those from Turkiye. Also, β -caryophyllene and germacrene D are the most represented compounds in the EOs of St. John's wort from the Odrinci and Svirachi regions of Bulgaria (Table 2). They consist mostly of mono- and sesquiterpenes, especially methyl-2-octane, n-nonane, α - and β -pinene, α -terpineol, geranyl, and trace amounts of myrcene, limonene, and caryophyllene. According to the research, several differences were found in the EO composition of St. John's Wort evaluated from different geographical regions.

Studies on St. John's Wort showed that the EOs contained in the plant have high levels of germacrene D, α -pinene, β -pinene, nonane, β -caryophyllene, γ -cadinene, and α -selinene. The total flavonoid and total phenolic content of the extracts prepared from the aerial parts of St. John's wort were identified. As illustrated in Table 3, the total phenolic content is higher than their total flavonoid contents. Much research has been conducted on the total phenolic content of St. John's wort [30,31]. The content of EOs in *H. perforatum* plants is highest at the full bloom stage compared to the pre-flowering stage. It is crucial to apply multiple antioxidant methods, considering various oxidation aspects in systems, in order to assess the antioxidant activity [31].

In this sense, three complimentary techniques were used to examine the antioxidant qualities of St. John's

Table 2: Review of the major components of St. John's Wort Eos (aerial parts)

| Origin | Main compounds (%) | EO yields | Reference |
|---------------------|---|----------------|-----------|
| Serbia | Nonane (63.8%), β -selinene (2.1%), 2-methyloctane (2%), p -cymene (4.8%), 3-methylnonane (4.5%) | 0.15% v/w | [21] |
| Portugal | α-Pinene (39–64%), <i>n</i> -nonane (12–24%), <i>n</i> -undecane (3–9%), β-pinene (2–3%) | 0.15% v/w | [22] |
| Uzbekistan | β-Caryophyllene (11.7%), α-pinene (5.0%), caryophyllene oxide (6.3%), spathulenol (6.0%) | 0.1% v/w | [23] |
| Lithuania | Caryophyllene oxide (6.1–35.8%), β -caryophyllene (5.1–19.1%), germacrene D (4.5–31.5%), | 0.1-0.4% v/w | [24] |
| Italy | Germacrene D (19.5–20.8%), <i>e</i> -caryophyllene (21.6–23.0%), <i>α</i> -pinene (15.8%) | _ | [25] |
| Greece | α -Pinene (21.0%), 2-methyl-octane (12.6%) | 0.28% v/w | [26] |
| Kosovo | α -Pinene (3.7–36.5%), n -tetradecanol (3.6–10.4%), caryophyllene oxide (3.3–17.7%), β -caryophyllene (1.2–12.4%), 2-methyl-octane (1.1–15.5%) | 0.04-0.26% v/w | [19] |
| Albania | β -Pinene (0.36–6.89%), α-pinene (2.03–36.74%), 2-methyl-decane (0.82–3.14%), carvacrol (0.14–5.60%), α -selinene (1.34–13.86%), <i>trans</i> -(<i>E</i>)-caryophyllene (0.5–19.27%), β -caryophyllene oxide (1.15–12.35%) | 0.11 v/w | [27] |
| Macedonia | Germacrene D (17.77–39.03%), β-selinene (0.69–4.77%), <i>E</i> -caryophyllene (11.37–25.71%) | _ | [28] |
| Turkiye | δ -Cadinene (3.02–4.94%), spathulenol (2.34–5.14%), β -caryophyllene (4.08–5.93%), α -selinene (4.1–10.42%), γ -muurolene (5.00–9.56%), caryophyllene oxide (6.01–12.18%), β -selinene (5.08–19.63%) | 0.04-0.61% v/w | [29] |
| Bulgaria (Odrinci) | β -Caryophyllene (16.08%), germacrene-D (12.87%), α -pinene (6.76%), trans- β -ocimene (5.28%), y-cadinene (3.72%), δ -cadinene (3.51%), spathulenol (3.95), caryophyllene oxide (5.12%) | _ | [9] |
| Bulgaria (Svirachi) | Trans-β-ocimene (5.81%), germacrene D (16.8%), β -pinene (3.5%), n -hexadecanoic acid (4.95%), β -caryophyllene (6.19%), α -pinene (6.2%), spathulenol (3.5%), caryophyllene oxide (3.35%), β -myrcene (2.99%), γ -cadinene (3.13%), δ -cadinene (3.54%) | _ | [9] |

Table 3: Chemical and antioxidant characteristics of H. perforatum L. extract

| Parameters | Flowers |
|--|---------------|
| FRAP assay (µmol/L) | 493.07 ± 0.36 |
| TEAC assay (µmol/L) | 106.39 ± 1.05 |
| Total flavonoid content (mg QE/g) | 8.66 ± 0.41 |
| Total phenolic content (mg Gallic acid equivalent/g) | 271.33 ± 0.40 |
| Superoxide scavenging (unit SOD/mL) | 20.8 ± 3.2 |
| Hydroxyl radical scavenging (mM ethanol/mL) | 19.8 ± 3.9 |

wort. Table 3 presents the plant's chemical composition and antioxidant characteristic results. BHA and BHT, two well-known conventional antioxidants, were contrasted using one of these techniques, the DPPH free radical scavenging approach (Table 4).

According to our results, the IC₅₀ value of Hypericum perforatum L. (87.025 \pm 0.21 μ g/mL) indicates a stronger DPPH scavenging activity than those of BHA and BHT (Table 4). According to the literature, the IC_{50} value in H. perforatum was found to be 29.35 µg/mL [31]. In the current study, the obtained results indicate the antioxidant capacity with a TEAC value of 106.39 ± 1.05 µmol/L Trolox and an FRAP assay value of 493.07 \pm 36 μ mol/L Trolox (Table 3).

In previous studies, St. John's wort has been determined to be a potent inhibitor of the superoxide radical in a cell-free system, and this antioxidant activity has been ascribed to hypericin [32]. Our results are consistent with the view that H. perforatum extracts have strong scavenging properties on superoxide capacity.

Phenolic compounds have also been shown to have positive effects on human health, mostly responsible for antioxidant activity [33]. Due to their rich phenolic content, Hypericum perforatum L. species is known as a good source of antioxidants [34,31]. According to the results, the yield of EOs was 0.08 mL per 100 g of dry matter for H. perforatum L.

Hypericum species generally contain very low amounts of EOs (0.05-0.9%) [35]. Ghasemi Pirbalouti et al. [36] reported the EO yield of H. perforatum L. as 0.21 mL/ 100 g of dry matter. According to another study, the EO

Table 4: Antioxidant activity of Hypericum perforatum L. extract by DPPH

| | IC ₅₀ value (μg/mL) |
|-------------------|--------------------------------|
| DPPH free radical | 87.025 ± 0.21 |
| ВНА | 19.662 ± 0.34 |
| BHT | 13.818 ± 0.50 |

Mean ± SD of three parallel measurements.

yield of H. perforatum collected from Serbia was 0.32% (w/w) (Gudzic et al., 2001).

4 Conclusions

The chemical composition of St. John's wort (H. perforatum) aerial parts originating in northern Bulgaria was determined. The composition of the EO obtained by water distillation was identified, and the content of flavonoids and total phenols in methanol extracts was determined. The antioxidant activity of the extracts was assessed using different methods. The obtained results provide a basis for future research aimed at determining other biological activities, such as antimicrobial properties, which will contribute to the more comprehensive utilization of this plant species.

Acknowledgement: The authors wish to thank Researchers' Supporting Project Number (RSP2024R346) at King Saud University Riyadh Saudi Arabia for financial support.

Funding information: The study supported by Researchers' Supporting Project Number (RSP2024R346) at King Saud University Riyadh Saudi Arabia.

Author contributions: Hülya Doğan: conceptualization; Hafize Fidan: writing original draft; Hatice Baş: Investigation; Stanko Stankov: resources; Albena Stoyanova: methodology, writing original draft; Sezai Ercisli: supervision, writing - review and editing; Amine Assouguem: software, supervision; writing review and editing; Riaz Ullah: supervision, writing - review and editing; Ahmed Bari: supervision, writing - review and editing.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Ethical approval: The conducted research is not related to either human or animal use.

Data availability statement: The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on a reasonable request.

References

Dawadi P, Shrestha R, Mishra S, Bista S, Raut RK, Joshi TP, et al. Nutritional value and antioxidant properties of Viburnum mullaha Buch.-Ham. Ex D. Don fruit from central Nepal. Turkish J Agric For. 2022;46(5):781-9.

[2] Celebi O, Fidan H, Iliev I, Petkova N, Dincheva I, Gandova V, et al. Chemical composition, biological activities, and surface tension properties of *Melissa officinalis* L. essential oil. Turkish J Agric For. 2023;47(1):67–78. doi: 10.55730/1300-011X.3065.

Hülya Doğan et al.

- [3] Kara M, Assouguem A, Kamaly OMA, Benmessaoud S, Imtara H, Mechchate H, et al. The impact of apple variety and the production methods on the antibacterial activity of vinegar samples. Molecules. 2021;26(18):5437, https://www.mdpi.com/1420-3049/ 26/18/5437.
- [4] Teterovska R, Sile I, Paulausks A, Kovalcuka L, Koka R, Maurina B, et al. The antioxidant activity of wild-growing plants containing phenolic compounds in Latvia. Plants. 2023;12(24):4108. doi: 10. 3390/plants12244108.
- [5] Tomar O. Determination of some quality properties and antimicrobial activities of kombucha tea prepared with different berries. Turkish J Agric For. 2023;47(2):252–62. doi: 10.55730/1300-011X 3083.
- [6] Ilieva Y, Marinov T, Trayanov I, Kaleva M, Zaharieva MM, Yocheva L, et al. Outstanding antibacterial activity of *Hypericum rochelii* comparison of the antimicrobial effects of extracts and fractions from four *Hypericum* species growing in Bulgaria with a focus on prenylated phloroglucinols. Life. 2023;13(2):274.
- [7] Chimshirova R, Karsheva M, Diankov S, Hinkov I. Extraction of valuable compounds from Bulgarian St. John's Wort (*Hypericum perforatum* L.). Antioxidant capacity and total polyphenolic content. J Chem Technol Metall. 2019;54(5.
- [8] Ignatov I, Popova TP, Bankova R, Neshev N. Spectral analyses of fresh and dry *Hypericum perforatum* L. Effects with colloidal nano silver 30 ppm. Plant Sci Today. 2022;9(1):41–7.
- [9] Semerdjieva I, Zheljazkov VD, Dincheva I, Piperkova N, Maneva V, Cantrell CL, et al. Essential oil composition of seven Bulgarian Hypericum species and its potential as a biopesticide. Plants. 2023;12(4):923.
- [10] Sarker U, Oba S, Ercisli S, Assouguem A, Alotaibi A, Ullah R. Bioactive phytochemicals and quenching activity of radicals in selected drought-resistant Amaranthus tricolor vegetable amaranth. Antioxidants. 2022;11:3.
- [11] Celik A, Ercisli S, Turgut N. Some physical, pomological and nutritional properties of kiwifruit cv. Hayward. Int J Food Sci Nutr. 2007:58(6):411–8.
- [12] Abanoz YY, Okcu Z. Biochemical content of cherry laurel (*Prunus laurocerasus* L.) fruits with edible coatings based on caseinat, Semperfresh and lecithin. Turkish J Agric For. 2022;46(6):908–18. doi: 10.55730/1300-011X.3052.
- [13] AOAC. Association of official analytical chemist. Official methods of analysis. 20th edn. Washington, DC: AOAC International; 2016.
- [14] Sharma OP, Bhat TK. DPPH antioxidant assay revisited. Food Chem. 2009;113(4):1202–5.
- [15] Halliwell B, Gutteridge JM. Free radicals in biology and medicine. 4th edn. New York: Oxford University Press Inc; 2007.
- [16] McCord JM, Fridovich I. Superoxide dismutase: An enzymic function for erythrocuprein (hemocuprein). J Biol Chem. 1999;244:6049–55.
- [17] Guedes AP, Franklin G, Fernandes-Ferreira M. Hypericum sp.: essential oil composition and biological activities. Phytochem Rev. 2012;11:127–52.
- [18] Smelcerovic A, Spiteller M, Ligon AP, Smelcerovic Z, Raabe N. Essential oil composition of *Hypericum* L. species from Southeastern Serbia and their chemotaxonomy. Biochem Syst Ecol. 2007;35(2):99–113.

- [19] Hajdari A, Mustafa B, Nebija D, Kashtanjeva A, Widelski J, Glowniak K, et al. Essential oil composition and variability of Hypericum perforatum L. from wild population in Kosovo. Curr Issues Pharm Med Sci. 2014;27(1):51–4.
- [20] Crockett S. Essential oil and volatile components of the genus Hypericum (Hypericaceae). Nat Prod Commun. 2010;5(9):1493–506.
- [21] Rančić A, Soković M, Vukojević J, Simić A, Marin P, Duletić-Laušević S, et al. Chemical composition and antimicrobial activities of essential oils of Myrrhis odorata (L.) Scop, Hypericum perforatum L and Helichrysum arenarium (L.) Moench. J Essent Oil Res. 2005:17(3):341–5.
- [22] Nogueira T, Marcelo-Curto MJ, Figueiredo AC, Barroso JG, Pedro LG, Rubiolo P, et al. Chemotaxonomy of *Hypericum* genus from Portugal: Geographical distribution and essential oils composition of *Hypericum perfoliatum*, *Hypericum humifusum*, *Hypericum linarifo-lium* and *Hypericum pulchrum*. Biochem Syst Ecol. 2008;36(1):40–50.
- [23] Baser KHC, Ozek T, Nuriddinov HR, Demirci AB. Essential oils of two Hypericum species from Uzbekistan. Chem Nat Compd. 2002;38:54–7.
- [24] Mockutė D, Bernotienė G, Judžentienė A. Volatile compounds of the aerial parts of wild St. John's wort (*Hypericum perforatum* L.) plants. Chemija. 2003;14(3):108–11.
- [25] Maggi F, Cecchini C, Cresci A, Coman MM, Tirillini B, Sagratini G, et al. Chemical composition and antimicrobial activity of the essential oils from several *Hypericum* taxa (Guttiferae) growing in central Italy (Appennino Umbro-Marchigiano). Chem Biodivers. 2010;7(2):447–66.
- [26] Pavlović M, Tzakou OLGA, Petrakis PV, Couladis M. The essential oil of Hypericum perforatum L., Hypericum tetrapterum Fries and Hypericum olympicum L. growing in Greece. Flavour Fragr J. 2006;21(1):84–7.
- [27] Bardhi N, Stefkov G, Karapandzova M, Cvetkovikj I, Kulevanova S. Essential oil composition of indigenous populations of *Hypericum perforatum* L. from southern Albania. Macedonian J Chem Chem Eng. 2015;34(2):333–41.
- [28] Shabani A, Karapandzova M, Karanfilova IC, Stefkov G, Kulevanova S. GC-MS analysis of the essential oil, aroma components and *n*-hexane extract of St. John Wort (*Hypericum perforatum* L., Hypericaceae). Macedonian Pharm Bull. 2018;64(1).
- [29] Çırak C, Bertoli A, Pistelli L, Seyis F. Essential oil composition and variability of *Hypericum perforatum* from wild populations of northern Turkey. Pharm Biol. 2010;48(8):906–14.
- [30] Tusevski O, Krstikj M, Petreska Stanoeva J, Stefova M, Gadzovska Simic S. Phenolic compounds composition of *Hypericum perforatum* L. wild-growing plants from the Republic of Macedonia. Agric Conspec Sci. 2019;84(1):67–75.
- [31] Ersoy E, Ozkan EE, Boga M, Mat A. Evaluation of in vitro biological activities of three *Hypericum* species (*H. calycinum*, *H. confertum*, and *H. perforatum*) from Turkey. South Afr J Botany. 2020;130:141–7.
- [32] Şerbetçi T, Özsoy N, Demirci B, Can A, Kültür Ş, Başer KC. Chemical composition of the essential oil and antioxidant activity of methanolic extracts from fruits and flowers of *Hypericum lydium* Boiss. Ind Crop Products. 2012;36(1):599–606.
- [33] Llorent-Martínez EJ, Zengin G, Lobine D, Molina-García L, Mollica A, Mahomoodally MF. Phytochemical characterization, in vitro and in silico approaches for three *Hypericum* species. New J Chem. 2018:42(7):5204–14.
- [34] Mahomoodally MF, Zengin G, Zheleva-Dimitrova D, Mollica A, Stefanucci A, Sinan KI, et al. Metabolomics profiling, bio-

- pharmaceutical properties of Hypericum lanuginosum extracts by in vitro and in silico approaches. Ind Crop Prod. 2019;133:373–82.
- [35] Roth L. Hypericum, hypericin: Botanik, Inhaltstoffe, Wirkung. Landsberg, Germany: Ecomed Verlagsgesellschaft GmbH; 1990.
- [36] Ghasemi Pirbalouti A, Fatahi-Vanani M, Craker L, Shirmardi H. Chemical composition and bioactivity of essential oils of Hypericum helianthemoides, Hypericum perforatum and Hypericum scabrum. Pharm Biol. 2014;52(2):175-81.