

Research Article

Special Issue: Geometric PDEs and applications

Shibing Chen*, Jiakun Liu, and Xu-Jia Wang

Regularity of optimal mapping between hypercubes

<https://doi.org/10.1515/ans-2023-0087>

received November 6, 2022; accepted June 30, 2023

Abstract: In this note, we establish the global $C^{3,\alpha}$ regularity for potential functions in optimal transportation between hypercubes in \mathbb{R}^n for $n \geq 3$. When $n = 2$, the result was proved by Jhaveri. The $C^{3,\alpha}$ regularity is also optimal due to a counterexample in the study by Jhaveri.

Keywords: Monge-Ampère equation, optimal transportation, global regularity

MSC 2020: 35J96, 35J25, 35B65

1 Introduction

Let Ω, Ω^* be two bounded domains in \mathbb{R}^n . Assume that ρ, ρ^* are two positive density functions supported on Ω, Ω^* , respectively, and satisfy the balance condition $\|\rho\|_{L^1(\Omega)} = \|\rho^*\|_{L^1(\Omega^*)}$. The optimal mapping $T: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega^*$ is the minimiser of the functional

$$C(s) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} |x - s(x)|^2 \rho(x) dx$$

among all measure-preserving maps $s: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega^*$ such that $s_{\#}\rho = \rho^*$, [15,20,21].

In [2], Brenier obtained the existence and uniqueness of the optimal mapping T that is the gradient of a convex function u , which is called potential function and satisfies a natural boundary condition of the Monge-Ampère equation:

$$\det D^2 u = \frac{\rho}{\rho^* \circ Du} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad Du(\Omega) = \Omega^*. \quad (1.1)$$

Regularity of the optimal mapping T (equivalently, of the potential function u) is a fundamental problem in the theory of optimal transportation. For interior regularities, $C^{1,\alpha}$, $W^{2,p}$, and $C^{2,\alpha}$ estimates for u have been obtained by Caffarelli [3,4] under appropriate assumptions. For regularity near the boundary, if Ω, Ω^* are smooth, uniformly convex, and $\rho, \rho^* > 0$ are smooth, Delanoë [10] and Urbas [19] proved that $u \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$. If Ω, Ω^* are C^2 smooth and uniformly convex, and ρ, ρ^* are Hölder continuous, Caffarelli [6] proved that $D^2 u$ are Hölder continuous up to the boundary.

In applications such as in machine learning [9], computer vision [1] and computer graphics [16,18], the domains may fail to be uniformly convex or smooth. When the domains Ω, Ω^* are convex and the densities

* **Corresponding author: Shibing Chen**, School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, 230026, P.R. China, e-mail: chenshib@ustc.edu.cn

Jiakun Liu: School of Mathematics and Applied Statistics, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, NSW 2522, Australia, e-mail: jiakunl@uow.edu.au

Xu-Jia Wang: Centre for Mathematics and Its Applications, The Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 0200, Australia, e-mail: Xu-Jia.Wang@anu.edu.au

ρ, ρ^* are bounded from zero and infinity, Caffarelli [5] proved that $u \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. When Ω, Ω^* are $C^{1,1}$ and convex, recently in [8], we obtained the regularity $u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ if $\rho, \rho^* \in C^\alpha$; and $u \in W^{2,p}(\overline{\Omega})$ for all $p > 1$ if $\rho, \rho^* \in C^0$. In dimension two, for constant densities, Savin and Yu [17] obtained the global $W^{2,p}$ estimate for arbitrary bounded convex domains $\Omega, \Omega^* \subset \mathbb{R}^2$.

In practice, a typical domain in medical image processing like the magnetic resonance imaging and computed tomography images is the hypercube $Q = (0, 1)^n$. Optimal transport between the hypercubes was also used by Caffarelli [7] in proving the FKG type inequalities. An interesting question is whether one can obtain higher regularity for this special case. The techniques used in previous works [6, 8, 10, 19] do not apply to the case when the domain $\Omega = Q$, due to the loss of regularity of ∂Q at the corners. Very recently, Jhaveri constructed a counterexample showing that there exist smooth densities such that $T \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{Q})$ for every $\alpha < 1$ but not $C^3(\overline{Q})$, see [12, Theorem 3.7]. Hence, the best possible regularity one can expect is $T \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{Q})$.

By the symmetry of Q , we can make even extensions for the densities. Hence, by Caffarelli's interior regularity [3, 5], we see that for any positive $\rho, \rho^* \in C^\alpha(\overline{Q})$ satisfying $\|\rho\|_{L^1(Q)} = \|\rho^*\|_{L^1(Q)}$, the optimal mapping $T \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{Q})$ [7, Corollary 3]. Moreover, T maps each face of Q to itself correspondingly. In dimension two, by using the partial Legendre transform, Jhaveri [12, Theorem 3.3] proved that if further $\rho, \rho^* \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{Q})$, then $T \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{Q})$.

In this article, we establish the optimal global $C^{2,\alpha}$ regularity of T in higher dimensions.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume the positive densities $\rho, \rho^* \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{Q})$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, and satisfy the balance condition $\|\rho\|_{L^1(Q)} = \|\rho^*\|_{L^1(Q)}$. Then the optimal mapping $T \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{Q})$.*

We remark that in dimension two, Jhaveri [12] used the partial Legendre transform to change the Monge-Ampère equation (1.1) to a quasi-linear, uniformly elliptic equation due to the fact $u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{Q})$, then he further obtained $u \in C^{3,\alpha}(\overline{Q})$ by an estimate for uniformly elliptic equations. However, this method no longer works when the dimension $n > 2$. In higher dimensions, to obtain $u \in C^{3,\alpha}(\overline{Q})$, we shall adopt the result of [13] for the regularity of solutions along a given direction. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is contained in §2. For the Dirichlet problem of the Monge-Ampère equation in convex polygonal domains in \mathbb{R}^2 , Le and Savin [14] recently obtained global $C^{2,\alpha}$ estimates of solution u by assuming there exists a globally C^2 , convex, strict subsolution.

2 Proof of Theorem

First, we do the following even reflections around the origin. Let $\tilde{Q} := (-1, 1)^n$,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\rho}(x) &= \tilde{\rho}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \rho(|x_1|, \dots, |x_n|), \quad x \in \tilde{Q}; \\ \tilde{\rho}^*(y) &= \tilde{\rho}^*(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \rho^*(|y_1|, \dots, |y_n|), \quad y \in \tilde{Q}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.1}$$

If $\rho, \rho^* \in C^\alpha(\overline{Q})$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, then $\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\rho}^* \in C^\alpha(\overline{\tilde{Q}})$. By the assumption of Theorem 1.1, we further have $\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\rho}^* \in C^{0,1}(\overline{\tilde{Q}})$.

Let \tilde{u} be the potential function of optimal transportation from $(\tilde{Q}, \tilde{\rho})$ to $(\tilde{Q}, \tilde{\rho}^*)$. By symmetry and the uniqueness of optimal mapping, we see that $D\tilde{u} = Du$ in \overline{Q} . We recall some known regularities as follows:

- (i) By Caffarelli's $C^{1,\sigma}$ regularity [5], we have $\tilde{u} \in C^{1,\sigma}(\overline{\tilde{Q}})$ for some $\sigma \in (0, 1)$, provided $\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\rho}^*$ are positive and bounded.
- (ii) Furthermore, since $\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\rho}^* \in C^\beta(\overline{\tilde{Q}})$ for all $\beta \in (0, 1)$, by the interior regularity [3, 4], we have $\tilde{u} \in C^{2,\beta}(\tilde{Q})$ for all $\beta \in (0, 1)$, and thus, $u \in C^{2,\beta}(B_{3/4}(0) \cap \overline{Q})$ for all $\beta \in (0, 1)$.
- (iii) By doing the same argument for each corner of Q and using a covering argument, we can obtain $u \in C^{2,\beta}(\overline{Q})$ for all $\beta \in (0, 1)$.

Hence, under the assumption of Theorem 1.1 that $\rho, \rho^* \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{Q})$, for simplicity, we may write (1.1) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\det D^2u &= f \quad \text{in } Q, \\ Du(Q) &= Q,\end{aligned}\tag{2.2}$$

where $f = \frac{\rho}{\rho^* \circ Du} \in C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{Q})$. To prove Theorem 1.1, it suffices to prove $u \in C^{3,\alpha}(\bar{Q})$.

By the even reflections (2.1), we have

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \tilde{f}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(|x_1|, \dots, |x_n|) \quad \text{for } x \in \tilde{Q}.\tag{2.3}$$

Similarly, \tilde{u} satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}\det D^2\tilde{u} &= \tilde{f} \quad \text{in } \tilde{Q}, \\ D\tilde{u}(\tilde{Q}) &= \tilde{Q}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.4}$$

As mentioned in (ii), by [3–5], we have

$$\tilde{u} \in C^{2,\beta}(\tilde{Q}) \quad \text{for all } \beta \in (0, 1).\tag{2.5}$$

Note that $\tilde{f} \in C^{0,1}(\tilde{Q})$ but is not $C^1(\tilde{Q})$ in general. Denote $x = (x_1, x')$, where $x' = (x_2, \dots, x_n)$. From the definition and symmetry of \tilde{f} , the partial derivative $\partial_1 \tilde{f} = \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial x_1}$ is well-defined in $\{x \in \tilde{Q} : x_1 \neq 0\}$. Let's assign $\partial_1 \tilde{f} = 0$ on the interface $\{x \in \tilde{Q} : x_1 = 0\}$ so that $\partial_1 \tilde{f}$ is defined in \tilde{Q} . Let $v := \partial_1 \tilde{u}$. By (2.5) and approximation, it is easy to see that $v \in W^{2,p}(\tilde{Q})$ for any $p > 1$, and v is a strong solution of

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \partial_{ij} v = \partial_1 \tilde{f} \quad \text{in } \tilde{Q},\tag{2.6}$$

where $\{a_{ij}\}$ is the cofactor matrix of $D^2\tilde{u}$. Let $B_r = B_r(0)$ be the ball with radius r and centre at the origin. In $B_{9/10} \Subset \tilde{Q}$, $\{a_{ij}\}$ is Hölder continuous and uniformly positive definite. In fact, from (2.5) and equation (2.4), there is a positive constant $\lambda > 0$ depending on $n, \|\tilde{u}\|_{C^2(B_{9/10})}, \inf_{\tilde{Q}} \tilde{f}$ such that in $B_{9/10}$,

$$\lambda I \leq \{a_{ij}\} \leq \lambda^{-1} I\tag{2.7}$$

in the sense of matrix, where I is the $n \times n$ identity matrix. Equation (2.6) is satisfied almost everywhere in \tilde{Q} , [11].

Now we recall a useful partial directional regularity result from [13]. We say a function $h \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ is C^α in $x' = (x_2, \dots, x_n)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, if

$$|h(x + \tau) - h(x)| \leq C |\tau|^\alpha \quad \forall \tau \in \text{span}\{e_2, \dots, e_n\} \text{ such that } x, x + \tau \in \Omega,$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant, and denote

$$\|h\|_{C_x^\alpha(\Omega)} := \|h\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \sup_{(x_1, x') \neq (x_1, y') \in \Omega} \frac{|h(x_1, x') - h(x_1, y')|}{|x' - y'|^\alpha}.$$

Lemma 2.1. (A corollary of [13, Theorem 1.4]) *Let $w \in W^{2,n}(B_1)$ be a strong solution of*

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n b_{ij} \partial_{ij} w = h,$$

where the coefficients $b_{ij} \in C^\beta(B_1)$ for all $\beta \in (0, 1)$ and satisfy (2.7). Suppose that h is Hölder continuous in x' and $\|h\|_{C_x^\alpha(B_1)} < \infty$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Then $\partial_i \partial_j w$ is Hölder continuous for all $i = 1, \dots, n; j = 2, \dots, n$ and

$$\|\partial_i \partial_j w\|_{C^\alpha(B_{1/2})} \leq C \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n; \quad j = 2, \dots, n,$$

where the constant C depends on $n, \lambda, \|h\|_{C_x^\alpha(B_1)}$, and $\|w\|_{L^\infty(B_1)}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. To apply Lemma 2.1 to equation (2.6), we claim that $\partial_1 \tilde{f}$ is C^α -continuous in x' for the same $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ as in the assumption of Theorem 1.1. To see this, let $e' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ be a unit vector, $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $x = (x_1, x')$, $x_\varepsilon = (x_1, x' + \varepsilon e') \in \tilde{Q}$. It suffices to show

$$|\partial_1 \tilde{f}(x) - \partial_1 \tilde{f}(x_\varepsilon)| \leq C\varepsilon^\alpha. \quad (2.8)$$

By our definition of $\partial_1 \tilde{f}$, if $x_1 = 0$, then (2.8) trivially holds since $\partial_1 \tilde{f}(x) = \partial_1 \tilde{f}(x_\varepsilon) = 0$. So, by symmetry, we can assume $x_1 > 0$.

Define the reflection points

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x} &= (x_1, |x_2|, \dots, |x_n|), \\ \hat{x}_\varepsilon &= (x_1, |x_2 + \varepsilon e'_2|, \dots, |x_n + \varepsilon e'_n|), \end{aligned}$$

where e' is expressed as $e' = (e'_2, \dots, e'_n)$, so that $\hat{x}, \hat{x}_\varepsilon \in \bar{Q}$. Since $x_1 > 0$, we have

$$\partial_1 \tilde{f}(x) = \partial_1 f(\hat{x}) \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_1 \tilde{f}(x_\varepsilon) = \partial_1 f(\hat{x}_\varepsilon).$$

Hence, by the triangle inequality and the fact $f \in C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{Q})$, we can obtain

$$|\partial_1 \tilde{f}(x) - \partial_1 \tilde{f}(x_\varepsilon)| = |\partial_1 f(\hat{x}) - \partial_1 f(\hat{x}_\varepsilon)| \leq C|\hat{x} - \hat{x}_\varepsilon|^\alpha \leq C|x - x_\varepsilon|^\alpha = C\varepsilon^\alpha,$$

and thus, (2.8) is proved. Moreover, from the aforementioned estimates, we have

$$\|\partial_1 \tilde{f}\|_{C_x^\alpha(\bar{Q})} \leq C \quad (2.9)$$

where the constant C depends only on $\|f\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{Q})}$.

Back to equation (2.6), since the coefficients $a_{ij} \in C^\beta(B_{9/10})$ for all $\beta \in (0, 1)$ and satisfy (2.7), by (2.9), we can apply Lemma 2.1 to conclude that

$$\|D_{ij}^2 v\|_{C^a(B_{\frac{1}{2}})} \leq C \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n; \quad j = 2, \dots, n.$$

The same estimate applies around each corner of the hypercube Q . Thus, by a covering argument, we have

$$\|D_{ij}^2 v\|_{C^a(\bar{Q})} \leq C \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n; \quad j = 2, \dots, n.$$

Consider the restriction of equation (2.6) in \bar{Q} ,

$$v_{11} = \frac{\partial_1 f - \sum_{i=2, j=1}^n a_{ij} v_{ij} - \sum_{j=2}^n a_{1j} v_{1j}}{a_{11}}.$$

Since $\partial_1 f \in C^\alpha(\bar{Q})$, $a_{ij} \in C^\alpha(\bar{Q})$ and $a_{11} \geq \lambda$, we obtain $\|v_{11}\|_{C^\alpha(\bar{Q})} \leq C$. Therefore, $v \in C^{2,\alpha}(\bar{Q})$. This implies that $u \in C^{3,\alpha}(\bar{Q})$, and thus, $T \in C^{2,\alpha}(\bar{Q})$ is proved. \square

Funding information: Research of Chen was supported by National Key R&D program of China (2022YFA1005400 and 2020YFA0713100), National Science Fund for Distinguished Young Scholars (No. 12225111), and NSFC (No. 12141105). Research of Liu and Wang was supported by ARC DP200101084 and DP230100499. Research of Liu was supported by FT220100368.

Conflict of interest: Authors state no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] D. An, Y. Guo, N. Lei, Z. Luo, S.-T. Yau, and X. Gu, *A new generative model based on extended semi-discrete optimal transport*, In: *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2020.
- [2] Y. Brenier, *Polar factorization and monotone rearrangement of vector-valued functions*, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **44** (1991), 375–417.
- [3] L. A. Caffarelli, *Interior $W^{2,p}$ estimates for solutions of Monge-Ampère equations*, *Ann. Math.* **131** (1990), 135–150.
- [4] L. A. Caffarelli, *The regularity of mappings with a convex potential*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **5** (1992), 99–104.
- [5] L. A. Caffarelli, *Boundary regularity of maps with convex potentials*, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **45** (1992), 1141–1151.
- [6] L. A. Caffarelli, *Boundary regularity of maps with convex potentials - II*, *Ann. Math.* **144** (1996), no. 2, 453–496.

- [7] L. A. Caffarelli, *Monotonicity properties of optimal transportation and the FKG and related inequalities*, Comm. Math. Phys. **214** (2000), 547–563.
- [8] S. Chen, J. Liu, and X.-J. Wang, *Global regularity for the Monge-Ampère equation with natural boundary condition*, Ann. Math. **194** (2021), 745–793.
- [9] N. Courty, R. Flamary, D. Tuia, and A. Rakotomamonjy, *Optimal transport for domain adaptation*, IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Machine Intell. **39** (2017), 1853–1865.
- [10] P. Delanoë, *Classical solvability in dimension two of the second boundary value problem associated with the Monge-Ampère operator*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Analyse Non Linéaire. **8** (1991), 443–457.
- [11] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [12] Y. Jhaveri, *On the (in)stability of the identity map in optimal transportation*, Calc. Var. PDEs **58** (2019), 96.
- [13] Y. Jin, D. Li, and X.-J. Wang, *Regularity and analyticity of solutions in a direction for elliptic equations*, Pacific J. Math. **276** (2015), 419–436.
- [14] N. Q. Le and O. Savin, *Global $C^{2,\alpha}$ estimates for the Monge-Ampère equation on polygonal domains in the plane*, Amer. J. Math. **145** (2023), no. 1, 221–249.
- [15] X. N. Ma, N. S. Trudinger, and X.-J. Wang, *Regularity of potential functions of the optimal transportation problem*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. **177** (2005), 151–183.
- [16] G. Nader and G. Guennebaud, *Instant transport maps on 2d grids*, ACM Trans. Graph. **37** (2018), no. 6, 1–13.
- [17] O. Savin and H. Yu, *Regularity of optimal transport between planar convex domains*, Duke Math. J. **169** (2020), 1305–1327.
- [18] J. Solomon, F. de Goes, G. Peyré, M. Cuturi, A. Butscher, A. Butscher, et al., *Convolutional Wasserstein distances: Efficient optimal transportation on geometric domains*, ACM Transactions on Graphics, **34** (2015), no. 4, 1–11.
- [19] J. Urbas, *On the second boundary value problem of Monge-Ampère type*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **487** (1997), 115–124.
- [20] C. Villani, *Topics in optimal transportation*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 58, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003, xvi+370 pp.
- [21] C. Villani, *Optimal Transport, Old and New*, Springer, Berlin, 2006.