#### **Research Article**

Zhenyu Guo, Kanishka Perera\* and Wenming Zou

# On Critical p-Laplacian Systems

DOI: 10.1515/ans-2017-6029

Received February 23, 2016; revised July 13, 2017; accepted July 13, 2017

**Abstract:** We consider the critical *p*-Laplacian system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{p}u - \frac{\lambda a}{p}|u|^{a-2}u|v|^{b} = \mu_{1}|u|^{p^{*}-2}u + \frac{\alpha y}{p^{*}}|u|^{\alpha-2}u|v|^{\beta}, & x \in \Omega, \\ -\Delta_{p}v - \frac{\lambda b}{p}|u|^{a}|v|^{b-2}v = \mu_{2}|v|^{p^{*}-2}v + \frac{\beta y}{p^{*}}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta-2}v, & x \in \Omega, \\ u, v \text{ in } D_{0}^{1,p}(\Omega), \end{cases}$$

where  $\Delta_p u := \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$  is the *p*-Laplacian operator defined on

$$D^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N):=\big\{u\in L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N): |\nabla u|\in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)\big\},$$

endowed with the norm  $\|u\|_{D^{1,p}}:=(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\nabla u|^p\ dx)^{\frac{1}{p}}, N\geq 3, 1< p< N, \lambda, \mu_1, \mu_2\geq 0, \gamma\neq 0, a,b,\alpha,\beta>1$  satisfy  $a+b=p, \alpha+\beta=p^*:=\frac{Np}{N-p}$ , the critical Sobolev exponent,  $\Omega$  is  $\mathbb{R}^N$  or a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and  $D_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  is the closure of  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  in  $D^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Under suitable assumptions, we establish the existence and nonexistence of a positive least energy solution of this system. We also consider the existence and multiplicity of the nontrivial nonnegative solutions.

**Keywords:** Nehari Manifold, p-Laplacian Systems, Least Energy Solutions, Critical Exponent

MSC 2010: 35B33, 35J20, 58E05

Communicated by: Zhi-Qiang Wang

### 1 Introduction

Equations and systems involving the p-Laplacian operator have been extensively studied in the recent years (see, e.g., [2, 3, 5, 7–10, 13, 16, 17, 19, 20, 22, 23, 26] and the references therein). In the present paper, we study the critical p-Laplacian system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{p}u - \frac{\lambda a}{p}|u|^{a-2}u|v|^{b} = \mu_{1}|u|^{p^{*}-2}u + \frac{\alpha y}{p^{*}}|u|^{\alpha-2}u|v|^{\beta}, & x \in \Omega, \\ -\Delta_{p}v - \frac{\lambda b}{p}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{b-2}v = \mu_{2}|v|^{p^{*}-2}v + \frac{\beta y}{p^{*}}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta-2}v, & x \in \Omega, \\ u, v \text{ in } D_{0}^{1,p}(\Omega), \end{cases}$$

$$(1.1)$$

where  $\Delta_p u := \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$  is the *p*-Laplacian operator defined on

$$D^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) := \big\{ u \in L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N) : |\nabla u| \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \big\},\,$$

Zhenyu Guo: School of Sciences, Liaoning Shihua University, 113001 Fushun; and Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University, 100084 Beijing, P. R. China, e-mail: guozy@163.com

\*Corresponding author: Kanishka Perera: Department of Mathematical Sciences, Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, FL 32901, USA, e-mail: kperera@fit.edu

Wenming Zou: Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University, 100084 Beijing, P. R. China, e-mail: wzou@math.tsinghua.edu.cn

endowed with the norm  $\|u\|_{D^{1,p}}:=(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\nabla u|^p\ dx)^{\frac{1}{p}},\ N\geq 3,\ 1< p< N,\ \lambda,\mu_1,\mu_2\geq 0,\ \gamma\neq 0,\ a,b,\alpha,\beta>1$  satisfy  $a+b=p,\ \alpha+\beta=p^*:=\frac{Np}{N-p}$ , the critical Sobolev exponent,  $\Omega$  is  $\mathbb{R}^N$  or a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and  $D_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  is the closure of  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  in  $D^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . The case for p=2 was thoroughly investigated by Peng, Peng and Wang [21] recently; some uniqueness, synchronization and non-degenerated properties were verified there. Note that we allow the powers in the coupling terms to be unequal. We consider the two cases

(H1)  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N, \lambda = 0, \mu_1, \mu_2 > 0;$ 

(H2)  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\mu_1$ ,  $\mu_2 = 0$ ,  $\gamma = 1$ .

Let

$$S := \inf_{u \in D_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \, dx}{\left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p^*} \, dx\right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}}} \tag{1.2}$$

be the sharp constant of imbedding for  $D_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p^*}(\Omega)$  (see, e.g., [1]). Then S is independent of  $\Omega$  and is attained only when  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$ . In this case, a minimizer  $u \in D^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  satisfies the critical p-Laplacian equation

$$-\Delta_n u = |u|^{p^*-2} u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{1.3}$$

Damascelli, Merchán, Montoro and Sciunzi [14] recently showed that all solutions of (1.3) are radial and radially decreasing about some point in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  when  $\frac{2N}{N+2} \leq p < 2$ . Vétois [25] considered a more general equation and extended the result to the case 1 . Sciunzi [24] extended this result to the case <math>2 . By exploiting the classification results in [4, 18], we see that, for <math>1 , all positive solutions of (1.3) are of the form

$$U_{\varepsilon,y}(x) := \left[ N \left( \frac{N-p}{p-1} \right)^{p-1} \right]^{\frac{N-p}{p^2}} \left( \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}{\varepsilon^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |x-y|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}} \right)^{\frac{N-p}{p}}, \quad \varepsilon > 0, \ y \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

$$(1.4)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla U_{\varepsilon,y}|^p dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_{\varepsilon,y}|^{p^*} dx = S^{\frac{N}{p}}.$$
 (1.5)

In case (H1), the energy functional associated with system (1.1) is given by

$$I(u,v) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^p + |\nabla v|^p) - \frac{1}{p^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\mu_1 |u|^{p^*} + \mu_2 |v|^{p^*} + \gamma |u|^{\alpha} |v|^{\beta}), \quad (u,v) \in D,$$

where  $D := D^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times D^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , endowed with the norm  $\|(u,v)\|_D^p = \|u\|_{D^{1,p}}^p + \|v\|_{D^{1,p}}^p$ . In this case, (1.1) with  $\alpha = \beta$  and p = 2 is well studied by Chen and Zou [11, 12]. Define

$$\mathcal{N} = \left\{ (u, v) \in D : u \neq 0, \ v \neq 0, \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \mu_1 |u|^{p^*} + \frac{\alpha y}{p^*} |u|^\alpha |v|^\beta \right), \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^p = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \mu_2 |v|^{p^*} + \frac{\beta y}{p^*} |u|^\alpha |v|^\beta \right) \right\}.$$

It is easy to see that  $N \neq \emptyset$  and that any nontrivial solution of (1.1) is in  $\mathbb{N}$ . By a nontrivial solution we mean a solution (u, v) such that  $u \neq 0$  and  $v \neq 0$ . A solution is called a least energy solution if its energy is minimal among energies of all nontrivial solutions. A solution (u, v) is positive if u > 0 and v > 0, and semitrivial if it is of the form (u, 0) with  $u \neq 0$  or (0, v) with  $v \neq 0$ . Set  $A := \inf_{(u, v) \in \mathbb{N}} I(u, v)$ , and note that

$$A=\inf_{(u,v)\in\mathcal{N}}\frac{1}{N}\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N}(|\nabla u|^p+|\nabla v|^p)=\inf_{(u,v)\in\mathcal{N}}\frac{1}{N}\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N}\big(\mu_1|u|^{p^*}+\mu_2|v|^{p^*}+\gamma|u|^\alpha|v|^\beta\big).$$

Consider the nonlinear system of equations

$$\begin{cases} \mu_{1} k^{\frac{p^{*}-p}{p}} + \frac{\alpha \gamma}{p^{*}} k^{\frac{\alpha-p}{p}} l^{\frac{\beta}{p}} = 1, \\ \mu_{2} l^{\frac{p^{*}-p}{p}} + \frac{\beta \gamma}{p^{*}} k^{\frac{\alpha}{p}} l^{\frac{\beta-p}{p}} = 1, \\ k > 0, l > 0. \end{cases}$$
(1.6)

Our main results in this case are the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** If (H1) holds and y < 0, then  $A = \frac{1}{N}(\mu_1^{-(N-p)/p} + \mu_2^{-(N-p)/p})S^{N/p}$  and A is not attained.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let (H1) and the following conditions hold:

(C1)  $\frac{N}{2} p$  and

$$0 < \gamma \le \frac{3p^2}{(3-p)^2} \min \left\{ \frac{\mu_1}{\alpha} \left( \frac{\alpha-p}{\beta-p} \right)^{\frac{\beta-p}{p}}, \frac{\mu_2}{\beta} \left( \frac{\beta-p}{\alpha-p} \right)^{\frac{\alpha-p}{p}} \right\}; \tag{1.7}$$

(C2)  $\frac{2N}{N+2} , <math>\alpha, \beta < p$  and

$$\gamma \geq \frac{Np^2}{(N-p)^2} \max \left\{ \frac{\mu_1}{\alpha} \left( \frac{p-\beta}{p-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{p-\beta}{p}}, \frac{\mu_2}{\beta} \left( \frac{p-\alpha}{p-\beta} \right)^{\frac{p-\alpha}{p}} \right\}. \tag{1.8}$$

Then  $A = \frac{1}{N}(k_0 + l_0)S^{N/p}$  and A is attained by  $(\sqrt[p]{k_0}U_{\varepsilon,y}, \sqrt[p]{l_0}U_{\varepsilon,y})$ , where  $(k_0, l_0)$  satisfies (1.6) and

$$k_0 = \min\{k : (k, l) \text{ satisfies (1.6)}\}.$$
 (1.9)

**Theorem 1.3.** Assume that  $\frac{2N}{N+2} , <math>\alpha$ ,  $\beta < p$  and (H1) holds. If  $\gamma > 0$ , then A is attained by some (U, V), where U and V are positive, radially symmetric and decreasing.

**Theorem 1.4** (Multiplicity). Assume that  $\frac{2N}{N+2} , <math>\alpha$ ,  $\beta < p$  and (H1) holds. There exists

$$y_1 \in \left(0, \frac{Np^2}{(N-p)^2} \max\left\{\frac{\mu_1}{\alpha} \left(\frac{2-\beta}{2-\alpha}\right)^{\frac{2-\beta}{2}}, \frac{\mu_2}{\beta} \left(\frac{2-\alpha}{2-\beta}\right)^{\frac{2-\alpha}{2}}\right\}\right]$$

such that for any  $y \in (0, y_1)$  there exists a solution (k(y), l(y)) of (1.6) satisfying

$$I\left(\sqrt[p]{k(\gamma)}U_{\varepsilon,y},\sqrt[p]{l(\gamma)}U_{\varepsilon,y}\right)>A$$

and  $(\sqrt[p]{k(y)}U_{\varepsilon,y}, \sqrt[p]{l(y)}U_{\varepsilon,y})$  is a (second) positive solution of (1.1).

For the case (H2), we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.5.** *If* (H2) *holds,*  $p \le \sqrt{N}$  *and* 

$$0<\lambda<\frac{p}{(a^ab^b)^{\frac{1}{p}}}\lambda_1(\Omega),$$

where  $\lambda_1(\Omega) > 0$  is the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of  $-\Delta_p$  in  $\Omega$ , then system (1.1) has a nontrivial nonnegative solution.

### 2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

**Lemma 2.1.** Assume that (H1) holds and  $-\infty < y < 0$ . If A is attained by a couple  $(u, v) \in \mathbb{N}$ , then (u, v) is a critical point of I, i.e., (u, v) is a solution of (1.1).

Proof. Define

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{N}_1 := & \left\{ (u,v) \in D : u \not\equiv 0, \ v \not\equiv 0, \ G_1(u,v) := \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p - \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \mu_1 |u|^{p^*} + \frac{\alpha \gamma}{p^*} |u|^\alpha |v|^\beta \right) = 0 \right\}, \\ \mathcal{N}_2 := & \left\{ (u,v) \in D : u \not\equiv 0, \ v \not\equiv 0, \ G_2(u,v) := \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^p - \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \mu_2 |v|^{p^*} + \frac{\beta \gamma}{p^*} |u|^\alpha |v|^\beta \right) = 0 \right\}. \end{split}$$

Obviously,  $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_1 \cap \mathcal{N}_2$ . Suppose that  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}$  is a minimizer for I restricted to  $\mathcal{N}$ . It follows from the standard minimization theory that there exist two Lagrange multipliers  $L_1, L_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$I'(u, v) + L_1G'_1(u, v) + L_2G'_2(u, v) = 0.$$

Noticing that

$$\begin{split} I'(u,v)(u,0) &= G_1(u,v) = 0, \\ I'(u,v)(0,v) &= G_2(u,v) = 0, \\ G'_1(u,v)(u,0) &= -(p^*-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mu_1 |u|^{p^*} + (p-\alpha) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\alpha \gamma}{p^*} |u|^{\alpha} |v|^{\beta}, \\ G'_1(u,v)(0,v) &= -\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\alpha \gamma}{p^*} |u|^{\alpha} |v|^{\beta} > 0, \\ G'_2(u,v)(u,0) &= -\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\beta \gamma}{p^*} |u|^{\alpha} |v|^{\beta} > 0, \\ G'_2(u,v)(0,v) &= -(p^*-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mu_2 |v|^{p^*} + (p-\beta) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\beta \gamma}{p^*} |u|^{\alpha} |v|^{\beta}, \end{split}$$

we get that

$$\begin{cases} G_1'(u,v)(u,0)L_1+G_2'(u,v)(u,0)L_2=0,\\ G_1'(u,v)(0,v)L_1+G_2'(u,v)(0,v)L_2=0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$G_1'(u,v)(u,0) + G_1'(u,v)(0,v) = -(p^* - p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p \le 0,$$

$$G_2'(u,v)(u,0) + G_2'(u,v)(0,v) = -(p^* - p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^p \le 0.$$

We claim that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p > 0$ . Indeed, if  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p = 0$ , then by (1.2) we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p^*} \leq S^{-\frac{p^*}{p}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p \right)^{\frac{p^*}{p}} = 0.$$

Thus, a desired contradiction comes out,  $u \equiv 0$  almost everywhere in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Similarly,  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^p > 0$ . Hence,

$$|G'_1(u, v)(u, 0)| = -G'_1(u, v)(u, 0) > G'_1(u, v)(0, v),$$
  

$$|G'_2(u, v)(0, v)| = -G'_2(u, v)(0, v) > G'_2(u, v)(u, 0).$$

Define the matrix

$$M := \begin{pmatrix} G'_1(u, v)(u, 0) & G'_2(u, v)(u, 0) \\ G'_1(u, v)(0, v) & G'_2(u, v)(0, v) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\det(M) = |G_1'(u, v)(u, 0)| \cdot |G_2'(u, v)(0, v)| - G_1'(u, v)(0, v) \cdot G_2'(u, v)(u, 0) > 0,$$

which means that  $L_1 = L_2 = 0$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* It is standard to see that A > 0. By (1.4), we know that  $\omega_{\mu_i} := \mu_i^{(p-N)/p^2} U_{1,0}$  satisfies  $-\Delta_p u = \mu_i |u|^{p^*-2} u$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , where i = 1, 2. Set  $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and

$$(u_R(x), v_R(x)) = (\omega_{\mu_1}(x), \omega_{\mu_2}(x + Re_1)),$$

where R is a positive number. Then  $v_R \to 0$  weakly in  $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $v_R \to 0$  weakly in  $L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as  $R \to +\infty$ . Hence,

$$\lim_{R \to +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u_{R}^{\alpha} v_{R}^{\beta} dx = \lim_{R \to +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u_{R}^{\alpha} v_{R}^{\frac{\alpha}{p^{*}-1}} v_{R}^{\frac{p^{*}(\beta-1)}{p^{*}-1}} dx$$

$$\leq \lim_{R \to +\infty} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u_{R}^{p^{*}-1} v_{R} dx \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p^{*}-1}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} v_{R}^{p^{*}} dx \right)^{\frac{\beta-1}{p^{*}-1}}$$

$$= 0.$$

Therefore, for R > 0 sufficiently large, the system

$$\begin{cases} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla u_{R}|^{p} \ dx = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mu_{1} u_{R}^{p^{*}} \ dx = t_{R}^{\frac{p^{*}-p}{p}} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mu_{1} u_{R}^{p^{*}} \ dx + t_{R}^{\frac{\alpha-p}{p}} s_{R}^{\frac{\beta}{p}} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\alpha y}{p^{*}} u_{R}^{\alpha} v_{R}^{\beta} \ dx, \\ \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla v_{R}|^{p} \ dx = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mu_{2} v_{R}^{p^{*}} \ dx = s_{R}^{\frac{p^{*}-p}{p}} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mu_{2} v_{R}^{p^{*}} \ dx + t_{R}^{\frac{\alpha}{p}} s_{R}^{\frac{\beta-p}{p}} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\beta y}{p^{*}} u_{R}^{\alpha} v_{R}^{\beta} \ dx \end{cases}$$

has a solution  $(t_R, s_R)$  with

$$\lim_{R \to +\infty} (|t_R - 1| + |s_R - 1|) = 0.$$

Furthermore,  $(\sqrt[p]{t_R}u_R, \sqrt[p]{s_R}v_R) \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, by (1.5), we obtain that

$$\begin{split} A &= \inf_{(u,v) \in \mathcal{N}} I(u,v) \leq I\left(\sqrt[p]{t_R} u_R, \sqrt[p]{s_R} v_R\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \left(t_R \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_R|^p \, dx + s_R \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_R|^p \, dx\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \left(t_R \mu_1^{-\frac{N-p}{p}} + s_R \mu_2^{-\frac{N-p}{p}}\right) S^{\frac{N}{p}}, \end{split}$$

which implies that  $A \leq \frac{1}{N} (\mu_1^{-(N-p)/p} + \mu_2^{-(N-p)/p}) S^{N/p}$ .

For any  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}$ ,

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p \ dx \leq \mu_1 \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p^*} \ dx \leq \mu_1 S^{-\frac{p^*}{p}} \left(\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p \ dx\right)^{\frac{p^*}{p}}.$$

Therefore,  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p \ dx \geq \mu_1^{-(N-p)/p} S^{N/p}.$  Similarly,

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^p \ dx \geq \mu_2^{-\frac{N-p}{p}} S^{\frac{N}{p}}.$$

Then  $A \ge \frac{1}{N} (\mu_1^{-(N-p)/p} + \mu_2^{-(N-p)/p}) S^{N/p}$ . Hence,

$$A = \frac{1}{N} \left( \mu_1^{-\frac{N-p}{p}} + \mu_2^{-\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) S^{\frac{N}{p}}. \tag{2.1}$$

Suppose by contradiction that A is attained by some  $(u, v) \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $(|u|, |v|) \in \mathbb{N}$  and I(|u|, |v|) = A. By Lemma 2.1, we see that (|u|, |v|) is a nontrivial solution of (1.1). By the strong maximum principle, we may assume that u > 0, v > 0, and so  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^{\alpha} v^{\beta} dx > 0$ . Then

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p \ dx < \mu_1 \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p^*} \ dx \leq \mu_1 S^{-\frac{p^*}{p}} \bigg( \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p \ dx \bigg)^{\frac{p^*}{p}},$$

which yields that

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p \ dx > \mu_1^{-\frac{N-p}{p}} S^{\frac{N}{p}}.$$

Similarly,

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^p \ dx > \mu_2^{-\frac{N-p}{p}} S^{\frac{N}{p}}.$$

Therefore,

$$A = I(u, v) = \frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^p + |\nabla v|^p) \, dx > \frac{1}{N} \left( \mu_1^{-\frac{N-p}{p}} + \mu_2^{-\frac{N-p}{p}} \right) S^{\frac{N}{p}},$$

which contradicts (2.1).

## 3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

**Proposition 3.1.** Assume that  $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \mu_{1}c^{\frac{p^{*}-p}{p}} + \frac{\alpha \gamma}{p^{*}}c^{\frac{\alpha-p}{p}}d^{\frac{\beta}{p}} \geq 1, \\ \mu_{2}d^{\frac{p^{*}-p}{p}} + \frac{\beta \gamma}{p^{*}}c^{\frac{\alpha}{p}}d^{\frac{\beta-p}{p}} \geq 1, \\ c > 0, \quad d > 0. \end{cases}$$
(3.1)

If  $\frac{N}{2} , <math>\alpha$ ,  $\beta > p$  and (1.7) holds, then  $c + d \ge k + l$ , where k,  $l \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfy (1.6).

*Proof.* Let y = c + d,  $x = \frac{c}{d}$ ,  $y_0 = k + l$  and  $x_0 = \frac{k}{l}$ . By (3.1) and (1.6), we have that

$$y^{\frac{p^*-p}{p}} \ge \frac{(x+1)^{\frac{p^*-p}{p}}}{\mu_1 x^{\frac{p^*-p}{p}} + \frac{\alpha y}{p^*} x^{\frac{\alpha-p}{p}}} := f_1(x), \quad y_0^{\frac{p^*-p}{p}} = f_1(x_0),$$

$$y^{\frac{p^*-p}{p}} \ge \frac{(x+1)^{\frac{p^*-p}{p}}}{\mu_2 + \frac{\beta y}{p^*} x^{\frac{\alpha}{p}}} := f_2(x), \qquad y_0^{\frac{p^*-p}{p}} = f_2(x_0).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{split} f_1'(x) &= \frac{\alpha \gamma (x+1)^{\frac{p^*-2p}{p}} x^{\frac{\alpha-2p}{p}}}{pp^* (\mu_1 x^{\frac{p^*-p}{p}} + \frac{\alpha \gamma}{p^*} x^{\frac{\alpha-p}{p}})^2} \Big[ -\frac{p^* (p^*-p) \mu_1}{\alpha \gamma} x^{\frac{\beta}{p}} + \beta x - (\alpha-p) \Big], \\ f_2'(x) &= \frac{\beta \gamma (x+1)^{\frac{p^*-2p}{p}}}{pp^* (\mu_2 + \frac{\beta \gamma}{p^*} x^{\frac{\alpha}{p}})^2} \Big[ (\beta-p) x^{\frac{\alpha}{p}} - \alpha x^{\frac{\alpha-p}{p}} + \frac{p^* (p^*-p) \mu_2}{\beta \gamma} \Big]. \end{split}$$

Let  $x_1 = (\frac{p\alpha y}{p^*(p^*-p)\mu_1})^{p/(\beta-p)}$ ,  $x_2 = \frac{\alpha-p}{\beta-p}$  and

$$g_1(x) = -\frac{p^*(p^* - p)\mu_1}{\alpha y} x^{\frac{\beta}{p}} + \beta x - (\alpha - p),$$
  

$$g_2(x) = (\beta - p) x^{\frac{\alpha}{p}} - \alpha x^{\frac{\alpha - p}{p}} + \frac{p^*(p^* - p)\mu_2}{\beta y}.$$

It follows from (1.7) that

$$\begin{split} & \max_{x \in (0,+\infty)} g_1(x) = g_1(x_1) = (\beta - p) \Big( \frac{p \alpha y}{p^*(p^* - p) \mu_1} \Big)^{\frac{p}{\beta - p}} - (\alpha - p) \leq 0, \\ & \min_{x \in (0,+\infty)} g_2(x) = g_2(x_2) = -p \Big( \frac{\alpha - p}{\beta - p} \Big)^{\frac{\alpha - p}{p}} + \frac{p^*(p^* - p) \mu_2}{\beta \gamma} \geq 0. \end{split}$$

That is,  $f_1(x)$  is strictly decreasing in  $(0, +\infty)$  and  $f_2(x)$  is strictly increasing in  $(0, +\infty)$ . Hence,

$$\begin{split} y^{\frac{p^*-p}{p}} &\geq \max\{f_1(x), f_2(x)\} \geq \min_{x \in (0, +\infty)} (\max\{f_1(x), f_2(x)\}) \\ &= \min_{\{f_1 = f_2\}} (\max\{f_1(x), f_2(x)\}) = y_0^{\frac{p^*-p}{p}}, \end{split}$$

where  $\{f_1 = f_2\} := \{x \in (0, +\infty) : f_1(x) = f_2(x)\}.$ 

**Remark 3.1.** From the proof of Proposition 3.1 it is easy to see that system (1.6), under the assumption of Proposition 3.1, has only one real solution  $(k, l) = (k_0, l_0)$ , where  $(k_0, l_0)$  is defined as in (1.9).

Define the functions

$$\begin{cases}
F_{1}(k, l) := \mu_{1} k^{\frac{p^{*}-p}{p}} + \frac{\alpha y}{p^{*}} k^{\frac{\alpha-p}{p}} l^{\frac{\beta}{p}} - 1, & k > 0, l \geq 0, \\
F_{2}(k, l) := \mu_{2} l^{\frac{p^{*}-p}{p}} + \frac{\beta y}{p^{*}} k^{\frac{\alpha}{p}} l^{\frac{\beta-p}{p}} - 1, & k \geq 0, l > 0, \\
l(k) := \left(\frac{p^{*}}{\alpha y}\right)^{\frac{p}{\beta}} k^{\frac{p-\alpha}{\beta}} \left(1 - \mu_{1} k^{\frac{p^{*}-p}{p}}\right)^{\frac{p}{\beta}}, & 0 < k \leq \mu_{1}^{-\frac{p}{p^{*}-p}}, \\
k(l) := \left(\frac{p^{*}}{\beta y}\right)^{\frac{p}{\alpha}} l^{\frac{p-\beta}{\alpha}} \left(1 - \mu_{2} l^{\frac{p^{*}-p}{p}}\right)^{\frac{p}{\alpha}}, & 0 < l \leq \mu_{2}^{-\frac{p}{p^{*}-p}}.
\end{cases}$$
(3.2)

Then  $F_1(k, l(k)) \equiv 0$  and  $F_2(k(l), l) \equiv 0$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** Assume that  $\frac{2N}{N+2} , <math>\alpha$ ,  $\beta < p$  and  $\gamma > 0$ . Then

$$F_1(k, l) = 0, \quad F_2(k, l) = 0, \quad k, l > 0,$$
 (3.3)

has a solution  $(k_0, l_0)$  such that

$$F_2(k, l(k)) < 0 \quad \text{for all } k \in (0, k_0),$$
 (3.4)

that is,  $(k_0, l_0)$  satisfies (1.9). Similarly, (3.3) has a solution  $(k_1, l_1)$  such that

$$F_1(k(l), l) < 0 \quad \text{for all } l \in (0, l_1),$$
 (3.5)

that is,

$$(k_1, l_1)$$
 satisfies (1.6) and  $l_1 = \min\{l : (k, l) \text{ is a solution of } (1.6)\}.$ 

*Proof.* We only prove the existence of  $(k_0, l_0)$ . It follows from  $F_1(k, l) = 0$ , k, l > 0, that

$$l = l(k)$$
 for all  $k \in \left(0, \mu_1^{-\frac{p}{p^*-p}}\right)$ .

Substituting this into  $F_2(k, l) = 0$ , we have

$$\mu_{2}\left(\frac{p^{*}}{\alpha y}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}\left(1-\mu_{1}k^{\frac{p^{*}-p}{p}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}+\frac{\beta \gamma}{p^{*}}k^{\frac{(p^{*}-p)\alpha}{p\beta}}-\left(\frac{p^{*}}{\alpha y}\right)^{\frac{p-\beta}{\beta}}k^{-\frac{(p^{*}-p)(p-\alpha)}{p\beta}}\left(1-\mu_{1}k^{\frac{p^{*}-p}{p}}\right)^{\frac{p-\beta}{\beta}}=0. \tag{3.6}$$

By setting

$$f(k) := \mu_2 \left(\frac{p^*}{\alpha y}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} \left(1 - \mu_1 k^{\frac{p^* - p}{p}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} + \frac{\beta y}{p^*} k^{\frac{(p^* - p)\alpha}{p\beta}} - \left(\frac{p^*}{\alpha y}\right)^{\frac{p - \beta}{\beta}} k^{-\frac{(p^* - p)(p - \alpha)}{p\beta}} \left(1 - \mu_1 k^{\frac{p^* - p}{p}}\right)^{\frac{p - \beta}{\beta}},$$

the existence of a solution of (3.6) in  $(0, \mu_1^{-p/(p^*-p)})$  is equivalent to f(k) = 0 possessing a solution in  $(0, \mu_1^{-p/(p^*-p)})$ . Since  $\alpha, \beta < p$ , we get that

$$\lim_{k\to 0^+} f(k) = -\infty, \qquad f\left(\mu_1^{-\frac{p}{p^*-p}}\right) = \frac{\beta \gamma}{n^*} \mu_1^{-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} > 0,$$

which implies that there exists  $k_0 \in (0, \mu_1^{-p/(p^*-p)})$  such that  $f(k_0) = 0$  and f(k) < 0 for  $k \in (0, k_0)$ . Let  $l_0 = l(k_0)$ . Then  $(k_0, l_0)$  is a solution of (3.3) and (3.4) holds.

**Remark 3.2.** From  $\frac{2N}{N+2} and <math>\alpha, \beta < p$  we get that  $2 < p^* < 2p$ . It can be seen from  $\frac{N}{2} and <math>\alpha, \beta > p$  that  $2 < 2p < p^*$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** Assume that  $\frac{2N}{N+2} , <math>\alpha$ ,  $\beta < p$  and (1.8) holds. Let  $(k_0, l_0)$  be the same as in Lemma 3.2. Then

$$(k_0 + l_0)^{\frac{p^* - p}{p}} \max\{\mu_1, \mu_2\} < 1$$

and

$$F_2(k, l(k)) < 0 \quad \text{for all } k \in (0, k_0), \qquad F_1(k(k), l) < 0 \quad \text{for all } l \in (0, l_0).$$
 (3.7)

*Proof.* Recalling (3.2), we obtain that

$$\begin{split} l'(k) &= \left(\frac{p^*}{\alpha \gamma}\right)^{\frac{p}{\beta}} \frac{p}{\beta} \left(k^{\frac{p-\alpha}{p}} - \mu_1 k^{\frac{\beta}{p}}\right)^{\frac{p-\beta}{\beta}} \left(\frac{p-\alpha}{p} k^{-\frac{\alpha}{p}} - \frac{\mu_1 \beta}{p} k^{\frac{\beta-p}{p}}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{p^* \mu_1}{\alpha \gamma}\right)^{\frac{p}{\beta}} k^{\frac{p-p^*}{\beta}} \left(\mu_1^{-1} - k^{\frac{p^*-p}{p}}\right)^{\frac{p-\beta}{\beta}} \left(\frac{p-\alpha}{\mu_1 \beta} - k^{\frac{p^*-p}{p}}\right), \\ l'\left(\left(\frac{p-\alpha}{\mu_1 \beta}\right)^{\frac{p}{p^*-p}}\right) &= l'\left(\mu_1^{-\frac{p}{p^*-p}}\right) = 0, \\ l'(k) &> 0 \quad \text{for } k \in \left(0, \left(\frac{p-\alpha}{\mu_1 \beta}\right)^{\frac{p}{p^*-p}}\right), \\ l'(k) &< 0 \quad \text{for } k \in \left(\left(\frac{p-\alpha}{\mu_1 \beta}\right)^{\frac{p}{p^*-p}}, \mu_1^{-\frac{p}{p^*-p}}\right). \end{split}$$

From

$$l''(\bar{k}) = \frac{p - \beta}{\beta} \left( \frac{p^* \mu_1}{\alpha \gamma} \right)^{\frac{p}{\beta}} \bar{k}^{\frac{p - 2\beta - \alpha}{\beta}} \left( \mu_1^{-1} - \bar{k}^{\frac{p^* - p}{p}} \right)^{\frac{p - 2\beta}{\beta}} \left[ \left( \frac{p - \alpha}{\mu_1 \beta} - \bar{k}^{\frac{p^* - p}{p}} \right)^2 - \left( \mu_1^{-1} - \bar{k}^{\frac{p^* - p}{p}} \right) \left( \frac{\alpha (p - \alpha)}{\mu_1 \beta (p - \beta)} - \bar{k}^{\frac{p^* - p}{p}} \right) \right] = 0$$

and  $\bar{k} \in ((\frac{p-\alpha}{\mu_1 \beta})^{p/(p^*-p)}, \mu_1^{-p/(p^*-p)})$ , we have  $\bar{k} = (\frac{p(p-\alpha)}{(2p-p^*)\mu_1 \beta})^{p/(p^*-p)}$ . Then, by (1.8), we get that

$$\begin{split} \min_{k \in (0, \mu_1^{-p/(p^*-p)}]} l'(k) &= \min_{k \in ((\frac{p-\alpha}{\mu_1 \beta})^{p/(p^*-p)}, \mu_1^{-p/(p^*-p)}]} l'(k) = l'(\bar{k}) \\ &= -\Big(\frac{p^*(p^*-p)\mu_1}{p\alpha\gamma}\Big)^{\frac{p}{\beta}} \Big(\frac{p-\beta}{p-\alpha}\Big)^{\frac{p-\beta}{\beta}} \\ &\geq -1. \end{split}$$

Therefore, l'(k) > -1 for  $k \in (0, \mu_1^{-p/(p^*-p)}]$  with

$$k \neq \left(\frac{p(p-\alpha)}{(2p-p^*)\mu_1\beta}\right)^{\frac{p}{p^*-p}},$$

which implies that l(k) + k is strictly increasing on  $[0, \mu_1^{-p/(p^*-p)}]$ . Noticing that  $k_0 < \mu_1^{-p/(p^*-p)}$ , we have

$$\mu_1^{-\frac{p}{p^*-p}} = l\Big(\mu_1^{-\frac{p}{p^*-p}}\Big) + \mu_1^{-\frac{p}{p^*-p}} > l(k_0) + k_0 = l_0 + k_0,$$

that is,  $\mu_1(k_0+l_0)^{(p^*-p)/p}<1$ . Similarly,  $\mu_2(k_0+l_0)^{(p^*-p)/p}<1$ . To prove (3.7), by Lemma 3.2 it suffices to show that  $(k_0,l_0)=(k_1,l_1)$ . It follows from (3.4) and (3.5) that  $k_1\geq k_0$  and  $l_0\geq l_1$ . Suppose by contradiction that  $k_1>k_0$ . Then  $l(k_1)+k_1>l(k_0)+k_0$ . Hence,  $l_1+k(l_1)=l(k_1)+k_1>l(k_0)+k_0=l_0+k(l_0)$ . Following the arguments as in the beginning of the current proof, we have that l+k(l) is strictly increasing for  $l\in[0,\mu_2^{-p/(p^*-p)}]$ . Therefore,  $l_1>l_0$ , which contradicts  $l_0\geq l_1$ . Then  $k_1=k_0$ , and similarly  $l_0=l_1$ .

**Remark 3.3.** For any y > 0, condition (1.8) always holds for dimension N large enough.

**Proposition 3.4.** Assume that  $\frac{2N}{N+2} , <math>\alpha$ ,  $\beta < p$  and (1.8) holds. Then

$$\begin{cases} k+l \le k_0 + l_0, \\ F_1(k,l) \ge 0, & F_2(k,l) \ge 0, \\ k,l \ge 0, & (k,l) \ne (0,0), \end{cases}$$
 (3.8)

has a unique solution  $(k, l) = (k_0, l_0)$ .

*Proof.* Obviously,  $(k_0, l_0)$  satisfies (3.8). Suppose that  $(\tilde{k}, \tilde{l})$  is any solution of (3.8) and, without loss of generality, assume that  $\tilde{k} > 0$ . We claim that  $\tilde{l} > 0$ . In fact, if  $\tilde{l} = 0$ , then  $\tilde{k} \le k_0 + l_0$  and  $F_1(\tilde{k}, 0) = \mu_1 \tilde{k}^{(p^* - p)/p} - 1 \ge 0$ . Thus,

$$1 \le \mu_1 \tilde{k}^{\frac{p^*-p}{p}} \le \mu_1 (k_0 + l_0)^{\frac{p^*-p}{p}},$$

a contradiction to Lemma 3.3.

Suppose by contradiction that  $\tilde{k} < k_0$ . It can be seen that k(l) is strictly increasing on  $(0, (\frac{p-\beta}{\mu_2\alpha})^{p/(p^*-p)}]$  and strictly decreasing on

$$\left[\left(\frac{2-\beta}{u_2\alpha}\right)^{\frac{p}{p^*-p}}, \mu_2^{-\frac{p}{p^*-p}}\right] \quad \text{and} \quad k(0) = k\left(\mu_2^{-\frac{p}{p^*-p}}\right) = 0.$$

Since  $0 < \tilde{k} < k_0 = k(l_0)$ , there exist  $0 < l_1 < l_2 < \mu_2^{-p/(p^*-p)}$  such that  $k(l_1) = k(l_2) = \tilde{k}$  and

$$F_2(\tilde{k}, l) < 0 \iff \tilde{k} < k(l) \iff l_1 < l < l_2.$$
 (3.9)

It follows from  $F_1(\tilde{k}, \tilde{l}) \ge 0$  and  $F_2(\tilde{k}, \tilde{l}) \ge 0$  that  $\tilde{l} \ge l(\tilde{k})$  and  $\tilde{l} \le l_1$  or  $\tilde{l} \ge l_2$ . By (3.7), we see  $F_2(\tilde{k}, l(\tilde{k})) < 0$ . By (3.9), we get that  $l_1 < l(\tilde{k}) < l_2$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{l} \ge l_2$ .

On the other hand, set  $l_3 := k_0 + l_0 - \tilde{k}$ . Then  $l_3 > l_0$  and, moreover,

$$k(l_3) + k_0 + l_0 - \tilde{k} = k(l_3) + l_3 > k(l_0) + l_0 = k_0 + l_0$$

that is,  $k(l_3) > \tilde{k}$ . By (3.9), we have  $l_1 < l_3 < l_2$ . Since  $\tilde{k} + \tilde{l} \le k_0 + l_0$ , we obtain that  $\tilde{l} \le k_0 + l_0 - \tilde{k} = l_3 < l_2$ . This contradicts  $\tilde{l} \ge l_2$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Recalling (1.4) and (1.6), we see that  $(\sqrt[p]{k_0}U_{\varepsilon,y}, \sqrt[p]{l_0}U_{\varepsilon,y}) \in \mathbb{N}$  is a nontrivial solution of (1.1), and

$$A \le I\left(\sqrt[p]{k_0}U_{\varepsilon,y}, \sqrt[p]{l_0}U_{\varepsilon,y}\right) = \frac{1}{N}(k_0 + l_0)S^{\frac{N}{p}}.$$
 (3.10)

Let  $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset \mathbb{N}$  be a minimizing sequence for A, i.e.,  $I(u_n, v_n) \to A$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Define

$$c_n = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{p^*} dx\right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}}$$
 and  $d_n = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^{p^*} dx\right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}}$ .

Then

$$Sc_{n} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\nabla u_{n}|^{p} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \left( \mu_{1} |u_{n}|^{p^{*}} + \frac{\alpha y}{p^{*}} |u_{n}|^{\alpha} |v_{n}|^{\beta} \right) dx$$

$$\leq \mu_{1} c_{n}^{\frac{p^{*}}{p}} + \frac{\alpha y}{p^{*}} c_{n}^{\frac{\beta}{p}} d_{n}^{\frac{\beta}{p}}, \tag{3.11}$$

$$Sd_n \leq \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_n|^p \ dx = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \mu_2 |v_n|^{p^*} + \frac{\beta \gamma}{p^*} |u_n|^\alpha |v_n|^\beta \right) dx$$

$$\leq \mu_2 d_n^{\frac{p^*}{p}} + \frac{\beta \gamma}{n^*} c_n^{\frac{\alpha}{p}} d_n^{\frac{\beta}{p}}. \tag{3.12}$$

Dividing both sides of these inequalities by  $Sc_n$  and  $Sd_n$ , respectively, and denoting

$$\tilde{c}_n = \frac{c_n}{\sqrt{\frac{p}{p^*-p}}}, \quad \tilde{d}_n = \frac{d_n}{\sqrt{\frac{p}{p^*-p}}},$$

we deduce that

$$\mu_1\tilde{c}_n^{\frac{p^*-p}{p}}+\frac{\alpha\gamma}{p^*}\tilde{c}_n^{\frac{\alpha-p}{p}}\tilde{d}_n^{\frac{\beta}{p}}\geq 1,\quad \mu_2\tilde{d}_n^{\frac{p^*-p}{p}}+\frac{\beta\gamma}{p^*}\tilde{c}_n^{\frac{\alpha}{p}}\tilde{d}_n^{\frac{\beta-p}{p}}\geq 1,$$

that is,  $F_1(\tilde{c}_n, \tilde{d}_n) \ge 0$  and  $F_2(\tilde{c}_n, \tilde{d}_n) \ge 0$ . Then, for  $\frac{N}{2} and <math>\alpha, \beta > p$ , Proposition 3.1 and Remark 3.1 ensure that  $\tilde{c}_n + \tilde{d}_n \ge k + l = k_0 + l_0$ , whereas for  $\frac{2N}{N+2} and <math>\alpha, \beta < p$  Proposition 3.4 guarantees that  $\tilde{c}_n + \tilde{d}_n \ge k_0 + l_0$ . Therefore,

$$c_n + d_n \ge (k_0 + l_0)S^{\frac{p}{p^*-p}} = (k_0 + l_0)S^{\frac{N-p}{p}}.$$
 (3.13)

Noticing that  $I(u_n, v_n) = \frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u_n|^p + |\nabla v_n|^p)$ , by (3.10)–(3.12) we have

$$S(c_n + d_n) \le NI(u_n, v_n) = NA + o(1) \le (k_0 + l_0)S^{\frac{N}{p}} + o(1).$$

Combining this with (3.13), we get that  $c_n + d_n \to (k_0 + l_0)S^{(N-p)/p}$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Thus,

$$A=\lim_{n\to\infty}I(u_n,v_n)\geq\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{N}S(c_n+d_n)=\frac{1}{N}(k_0+l_0)S^{\frac{N}{p}}.$$

Hence,

$$A = \frac{1}{N}(k_0 + l_0)S^{\frac{N}{p}} = I\left(\sqrt[p]{k_0}U_{\varepsilon,y}, \sqrt[p]{l_0}U_{\varepsilon,y}\right).$$

# 4 Proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4

For (H1) holding and y > 0, define

$$A' := \inf_{(u,v)\in\mathcal{N}'} I(u,v),$$

where

$$\mathcal{N}' := \left\{ (u,v) \in D \setminus \{(0,0)\} : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^p + |\nabla v|^p) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \mu_1 |u|^{p^*} + \mu_2 |v|^{p^*} + \gamma |u|^\alpha |v|^\beta \right) \right\}.$$

It follows from  $N \in N'$  that  $A' \leq A$ . By the Sobolev inequality, we see that A' > 0. Consider

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \mu_1 |u|^{p^*-2} u + \frac{\alpha y}{p^*} |u|^{\alpha-2} u |v|^{\beta}, & x \in B(0,R), \\ -\Delta_p v = \mu_2 |v|^{p^*-2} v + \frac{\beta y}{p^*} |u|^{\alpha} |v|^{\beta-2} v, & x \in B(0,R), \\ u, v \in H^1_0(B(0,R)), & \end{cases}$$

where  $B(0, R) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| < R\}$ . Define

$$\mathcal{N}'(R) := \left\{ (u, v) \in H(0, R) \setminus \{(0, 0)\} : \int_{B(0, R)} (|\nabla u|^p + |\nabla v|^p) = \int_{B(0, R)} (\mu_1 |u|^{p^*} + \mu_2 |v|^{p^*} + \gamma |u|^{\alpha} |v|^{\beta}) \right\}$$
(4.1)

and

$$A'(R) := \inf_{(u,v) \in \mathcal{N}'(R)} I(u,v),$$

where  $H(0, R) := H_0^1(B(0, R)) \times H_0^1(B(0, R))$ . For  $\varepsilon \in [0, \min\{\alpha, \beta\} - 1)$ , consider

$$\begin{cases}
-\Delta_{p}u = \mu_{1}|u|^{p^{*}-2-2\varepsilon}u + \frac{(\alpha-\varepsilon)\gamma}{p^{*}-2\varepsilon}|u|^{\alpha-2-\varepsilon}u|v|^{\beta-\varepsilon}, & x \in B(0,1), \\
-\Delta_{p}v = \mu_{2}|v|^{p^{*}-2-2\varepsilon}v + \frac{(\beta-\varepsilon)\gamma}{p^{*}-2\varepsilon}|u|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}|v|^{\beta-2-\varepsilon}v, & x \in B(0,1), \\
u, v \in H_{0}^{1}(B(0,1)).
\end{cases}$$
(4.2)

Define

$$\begin{cases}
I_{\varepsilon}(u,v) := \frac{1}{p} \int_{B(0,1)} (|\nabla u|^{p} + |\nabla v|^{p}) - \frac{1}{p^{*} - 2\varepsilon} \int_{B(0,1)} (\mu_{1}|u|^{p^{*} - 2\varepsilon} + \mu_{2}|v|^{p^{*} - 2\varepsilon} + \gamma|u|^{\alpha - \varepsilon}|v|^{\beta - \varepsilon}), \\
N'_{\varepsilon} := \left\{ (u,v) \in H(0,1) \setminus \{(0,0)\} : G_{\varepsilon}(u,v) := \int_{B(0,1)} (|\nabla u|^{p} + |\nabla v|^{p}) - \int_{B(0,1)} (\mu_{1}|u|^{p^{*} - 2\varepsilon} + \mu_{2}|v|^{p^{*} - 2\varepsilon} + \gamma|u|^{\alpha - \varepsilon}|v|^{\beta - \varepsilon}) = 0 \right\}
\end{cases} (4.3)$$

and

$$A_{\varepsilon} := \inf_{(u,v) \in \mathcal{N}'_{\varepsilon}} I_{\varepsilon}(u,v).$$

**Lemma 4.1.** Assume that  $\frac{2N}{N+2} , <math>\alpha, \beta < p$ . For  $\varepsilon \in (0, \min\{\alpha, \beta\} - 1)$ , there holds

$$A_{\varepsilon} < \min \{ \inf_{(u,0) \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}'} I_{\varepsilon}(u,0), \inf_{(0,v) \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}'} I_{\varepsilon}(0,v) \}.$$

*Proof.* From  $\min\{\alpha, \beta\} \le \frac{p^*}{2}$  it is easy to see that  $2 < p^* - 2\varepsilon < p^*$ . Then we may assume that  $u_i$  is a least energy solution of

$$-\Delta_p u = \mu_i |u|^{p^*-2-2\varepsilon} u, \quad u \in H^1_0(B(0,1)), \ i=1,2.$$

Therefore,

$$I_{\varepsilon}(u_1,0)=a_1:=\inf_{(u,0)\in\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}'}I_{\varepsilon}(u,0),\quad I_{\varepsilon}(0,u_2)=a_2:=\inf_{(0,\nu)\in\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}'}I_{\varepsilon}(0,\nu).$$

We claim that, for any  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , there exists a unique t(s) > 0 such that  $(\sqrt[p]{t(s)}u_1, \sqrt[p]{t(s)}su_2) \in \mathbb{N}'_{\varepsilon}$ . In fact,

$$\begin{split} t(s)^{\frac{p^*-p-2\varepsilon}{p}} &= \frac{\int_{B(0,1)} (|\nabla u_1|^p + |s|^p |\nabla u_2|^p)}{\int_{B(0,1)} (\mu_1 |u_1|^{p^*-2\varepsilon} + \mu_2 |su_2|^{p^*-2\varepsilon} + \gamma |u_1|^{\alpha-\varepsilon} |su_2|^{\beta-\varepsilon})} \\ &= \frac{qa_1 + qa_2 |s|^p}{qa_1 + qa_2 |s|^{p^*-2\varepsilon} + |s|^{\beta-\varepsilon} \int_{B(0,1)} \gamma |u_1|^{\alpha-\varepsilon} |u_2|^{\beta-\varepsilon}}, \end{split}$$

where  $q:=rac{p(p^*-2arepsilon)}{p^*-p-2arepsilon}=rac{p(Np-2arepsilon+2arepsilon p)}{p^2-2arepsilon N+2arepsilon p} o N$  as arepsilon o 0. Noticing that t(0)=1, we have

$$\lim_{s\to 0}\frac{t'(s)}{|s|^{\beta-\varepsilon-2}s}=-\frac{(\beta-\varepsilon)\int_{B(0,1)}\gamma|u_1|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}|u_2|^{\beta-\varepsilon}}{(p^*-2\varepsilon)a_1},$$

that is,

$$t'(s) = -\frac{(\beta - \varepsilon) \int_{B(0,1)} \gamma |u_1|^{\alpha - \varepsilon} |u_2|^{\beta - \varepsilon}}{(p^* - 2\varepsilon)a_1} |s|^{\beta - \varepsilon - 2} s(1 + o(1)) \quad \text{as } s \to 0.$$

Then

$$t(s) = 1 - \frac{\int_{B(0,1)} \gamma |u_1|^{\alpha-\varepsilon} |u_2|^{\beta-\varepsilon}}{(p^* - 2\varepsilon)a_1} |s|^{\beta-\varepsilon} (1 + o(1)) \quad \text{as } s \to 0,$$

and so,

$$t(s)^{\frac{p^*-2\varepsilon}{p}}=1-\frac{\int_{B(0,1)}\gamma|u_1|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}|u_2|^{\beta-\varepsilon}}{pa_1}|s|^{\beta-\varepsilon}(1+o(1))\quad\text{as }s\to0.$$

Since  $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p^* - 2\varepsilon}$ , we have

$$\begin{split} A_{\varepsilon} &\leq I_{\varepsilon} \left( \sqrt[p]{t(s)} u_{1}, \sqrt[p]{t(s)} s u_{2} \right) \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^{*} - 2\varepsilon} \right) \left( q a_{1} + q a_{2} |s|^{p^{*} - 2\varepsilon} + |s|^{\beta - \varepsilon} \int\limits_{B(0,1)} \gamma |u_{1}|^{\alpha - \varepsilon} |u_{2}|^{\beta - \varepsilon} \right) t^{\frac{p^{*} - 2\varepsilon}{p}} \\ &= a_{1} - \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right) |s|^{\beta - \varepsilon} \int\limits_{B(0,1)} \gamma |u_{1}|^{\alpha - \varepsilon} |u_{2}|^{\beta - \varepsilon} + o(|s|^{\beta - \varepsilon}) \\ &< a_{1} = \inf_{(u,0) \in \mathbb{N}_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}} I_{\varepsilon}(u,0) \quad \text{as } |s| \text{ is small enough.} \end{split}$$

Similarly,  $A_{\varepsilon} < \inf_{(0,\nu) \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}'} I_{\varepsilon}(0,\nu)$ .

Noticing the definition of  $\omega_{\mu_i}$  in the proof of Theorem 1.1, similarly to Lemma 4.1, we obtain that

$$A' < \min \{ \inf_{(u,0) \in \mathcal{N}'} I(u,0), \inf_{(0,v) \in \mathcal{N}'} I(0,v) \}$$

$$= \min \{ I(\omega_{\mu_{1}},0), I(0,\omega_{\mu_{2}}) \}$$

$$= \min \{ \frac{1}{N} \mu_{1}^{-\frac{N-p}{p}} S^{\frac{N}{p}}, \frac{1}{N} \mu_{2}^{-\frac{N-p}{p}} S^{\frac{N}{p}} \}.$$
(4.4)

**Proposition 4.2.** For any  $\varepsilon \in (0, \min\{\alpha, \beta\} - 1)$ , system (4.2) has a classical positive least energy solution  $(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon})$  and  $u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}$  are radially symmetric decreasing.

*Proof.* It is standard to see that  $A_{\varepsilon} > 0$ . For  $(u, v) \in \mathbb{N}'_{\varepsilon}$  with  $u \ge 0$  and  $v \ge 0$ , we denote by  $(u^*, v^*)$  its Schwartz symmetrization. By the properties of the Schwartz symmetrization and  $\gamma > 0$ , we get that

$$\int\limits_{B(0,1)} (|\nabla u^*|^p + |\nabla v^*|^p) \leq \int\limits_{B(0,1)} (\mu_1 |u^*|^{p^*-2\varepsilon} + \mu_2 |v^*|^{p^*-2\varepsilon} + \gamma |u^*|^{\alpha-\varepsilon} |v^*|^{\beta-\varepsilon}).$$

Obviously, there exists  $t^* \in (0, 1]$  such that  $(\sqrt[p]{t^*}u^*, \sqrt[p]{t^*}v^*) \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}'$ . Therefore,

$$I_{\varepsilon}(\sqrt[p]{t^*}u^*, \sqrt[p]{t^*}v^*) = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^* - 2\varepsilon}\right)t^* \int_{B(0,1)} (|\nabla u^*|^p + |\nabla v^*|^p)$$

$$\leq \frac{p^* - 2\varepsilon - p}{p(p^* - 2\varepsilon)} \int_{B(0,1)} (|\nabla u|^p + |\nabla v|^p)$$

$$= I_{\varepsilon}(u, v). \tag{4.5}$$

Therefore, we may choose a minimizing sequence  $(u_n, v_n) \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{E}}'$  of  $A_{\mathcal{E}}$  such that  $(u_n, v_n) = (u_n^*, v_n^*)$  and  $I_{\mathcal{E}}(u_n, v_n) \to A_{\mathcal{E}}$  as  $n \to \infty$ . By (4.5), we see that  $u_n, v_n$  are uniformly bounded in  $H_0^1(B(0, 1))$ . Passing to a subsequence, we may assume that  $u_n \to u_{\mathcal{E}}$ ,  $v_n \to v_{\mathcal{E}}$  weakly in  $H_0^1(B(0, 1))$ . Since  $H_0^1(B(0, 1)) \hookrightarrow L^{p^*-2\mathcal{E}}(B(0, 1))$  is compact, we deduce that

$$\begin{split} \int\limits_{B(0,1)} \left( \mu_1 |u_{\varepsilon}|^{p^*-2\varepsilon} + \mu_2 |v_{\varepsilon}|^{p^*-2\varepsilon} + \gamma |u_{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha-\varepsilon} |v_{\varepsilon}|^{\beta-\varepsilon} \right) &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \int\limits_{B(0,1)} \left( \mu_1 |u_n|^{p^*-2\varepsilon} + \mu_2 |v_n|^{p^*-2\varepsilon} + \gamma |u_n|^{\alpha-\varepsilon} |v_n|^{\beta-\varepsilon} \right) \\ &= \frac{p(p^*-2\varepsilon)}{p^*-2\varepsilon-p} \lim_{n \to \infty} I_{\varepsilon}(u_n, v_n) \\ &= \frac{p(p^*-2\varepsilon)}{p^*-2\varepsilon-p} A_{\varepsilon} > 0, \end{split}$$

which implies that  $(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}) \neq (0, 0)$ . Moreover,  $u_{\varepsilon} \geq 0$ ,  $v_{\varepsilon} \geq 0$  are radially symmetric. Noticing that

$$\int_{B(0,1)} (|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^p + |\nabla v_{\varepsilon}|^p) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{B(0,1)} (|\nabla u_n|^p + |\nabla v_n|^p),$$

we get that

$$\int\limits_{B(0,1)} (|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^p + |\nabla v_{\varepsilon}|^p) \leq \int\limits_{B(0,1)} (\mu_1 |u_{\varepsilon}|^{p^*-2\varepsilon} + \mu_2 |v_{\varepsilon}|^{p^*-2\varepsilon} + \gamma |u_{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha-\varepsilon} |v_{\varepsilon}|^{\beta-\varepsilon}).$$

Then there exists  $t_{\varepsilon} \in (0, 1]$  such that  $(\sqrt[p]{t_{\varepsilon}}u_{\varepsilon}, \sqrt[p]{t_{\varepsilon}}v_{\varepsilon}) \in \mathbb{N}'_{\varepsilon}$ , and therefore

$$\begin{split} A_{\varepsilon} &\leq I_{\varepsilon} \left( \sqrt[p]{t_{\varepsilon}} u_{\varepsilon}, \sqrt[p]{t_{\varepsilon}} v_{\varepsilon} \right) \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^* - 2\varepsilon} \right) t_{\varepsilon} \int\limits_{B(0,1)} (|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^p + |\nabla v_{\varepsilon}|^p) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{p^* - 2\varepsilon - p}{p(p^* - 2\varepsilon)} \int\limits_{B(0,1)} (|\nabla u_n|^p + |\nabla v_n|^p) \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} I_{\varepsilon}(u_n, v_n) = A_{\varepsilon}, \end{split}$$

which yields that  $t_{\varepsilon} = 1$ ,  $(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}) \in \mathcal{N}'_{\varepsilon}$ ,  $I(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}) = A_{\varepsilon}$  and

$$\int\limits_{B(0,1)}(|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^p+|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}|^p)=\lim_{n\to\infty}\int\limits_{B(0,1)}(|\nabla u_n|^p+|\nabla v_n|^p).$$

That is,  $u_n \to u_{\varepsilon}$ ,  $v_n \to v_{\varepsilon}$  strongly in  $H_0^1(B(0, 1))$ . It follows from the standard minimization theory that there exists a Lagrange multiplier  $L \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfying

$$I_{\varepsilon}'(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}) + LG_{\varepsilon}'(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}) = 0.$$

Since  $I'_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon})(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}) = G_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}) = 0$  and

$$G_{\varepsilon}'(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon})(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}) = -(p^* - 2\varepsilon - p) \int_{B(0,1)} (\mu_1 |u_{\varepsilon}|^{p^* - 2\varepsilon} + \mu_2 |v_{\varepsilon}|^{p^* - 2\varepsilon} + \gamma |u_{\varepsilon}|^{\alpha - \varepsilon} |v_{\varepsilon}|^{\beta - \varepsilon}) < 0,$$

we get that L=0, and so  $I'_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon})=0$ . By  $A_{\varepsilon}=I(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon})$  and Lemma 4.1, we have  $u_{\varepsilon}\neq 0$  and  $v_{\varepsilon}\neq 0$ . Since  $u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\geq 0$  are radially symmetric decreasing, by the regularity theory and the maximum principle, we obtain that  $(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon})$  is a classical positive least energy solution of (4.2).

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We claim that

$$A'(R) \equiv A' \quad \text{for all } R > 0.$$
 (4.6)

Indeed, assume  $R_1 < R_2$ . Since  $\mathcal{N}'(R_1) \subset \mathcal{N}'(R_2)$ , we get that  $A'(R_2) \leq A'(R_1)$ . On the other hand, for every  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}'(R_2)$ , define

$$(u_1(x),v_1(x)):=\bigg(\bigg(\frac{R_2}{R_1}\bigg)^{\frac{N-p}{p}}u\bigg(\frac{R_2}{R_1}x\bigg),\bigg(\frac{R_2}{R_1}\bigg)^{\frac{N-p}{p}}v\bigg(\frac{R_2}{R_1}x\bigg)\bigg).$$

Then it is easy to see that  $(u_1, v_1) \in \mathcal{N}'(R_1)$ . Thus, we have

$$A'(R_1) \le I(u_1, v_1) = I(u, v)$$
 for all  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}'(R_2)$ ,

which means that  $A'(R_1) \le A'(R_2)$ . Hence,  $A'(R_1) = A'(R_2)$ . Obviously,  $A' \le A'(R)$ . Let  $(u_n, v_n) \in \mathbb{N}'$  be a minimizing sequence of A'. We assume that  $u_n, v_n \in H^1_0(B(0, R_n))$  for some  $R_n > 0$ . Therefore,  $(u_n, v_n) \in \mathbb{N}'(R_n)$  and

$$A' = \lim_{n \to \infty} I(u_n, v_n) \ge \lim_{n \to \infty} A'(R_n) = A'(R),$$

which completes the proof of the claim.

By recalling (4.1) and (4.3), for every  $(u, v) \in \mathbb{N}'(1)$ , there exists  $t_{\varepsilon} > 0$  with  $t_{\varepsilon} \to 1$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$  such that  $(\sqrt[p]{t_{\varepsilon}}u, \sqrt[p]{t_{\varepsilon}}v) \in \mathbb{N}'_{\varepsilon}$ . Then

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0}A_\varepsilon\leq \limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0}I_\varepsilon\left(\sqrt[p]{t_\varepsilon}u,\sqrt[p]{t_\varepsilon}v\right)=I(u,v)\quad\text{for all }(u,v)\in\mathcal{N}'(1).$$

It follows from (4.6) that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \sup_{\alpha} A_{\varepsilon} \le A'(1) = A'. \tag{4.7}$$

According to Proposition 4.2, we may let  $(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon})$  be a positive least energy solution of (4.2), which is radially symmetric decreasing. By (4.3) and the Sobolev inequality, we have

$$A_{\varepsilon} = \frac{p^* - 2\varepsilon - 2}{2(p^* - 2\varepsilon)} \int_{B(0,1)} (|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^p + |\nabla v_{\varepsilon}|^p) \ge C > 0 \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon \in \left(0, \frac{\min\{\alpha, \beta\} - 1}{2}\right], \tag{4.8}$$

where C is independent of  $\varepsilon$ . Then it follows from (4.7) that  $u_{\varepsilon}$ ,  $v_{\varepsilon}$  are uniformly bounded in  $H_0^1(B(0, 1))$ . We may assume that  $u_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup u_0$ ,  $v_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup v_0$ , up to a subsequence, weakly in  $H_0^1(B(0, 1))$ . Hence,  $(u_0, v_0)$  is a solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{p}u = \mu_{1}|u|^{p^{*}-2}u + \frac{\alpha\gamma}{p^{*}}|u|^{\alpha-2}u|v|^{\beta}, & x \in B(0,1), \\ -\Delta_{p}v = \mu_{2}|v|^{p^{*}-2}v + \frac{\beta\gamma}{p^{*}}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta-2}v, & x \in B(0,1), \\ u, v \in H_{0}^{1}(B(0,1)). \end{cases}$$

Suppose by contradiction that  $\|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{\infty} + \|v_{\varepsilon}\|_{\infty}$  is uniformly bounded. Then, by the dominated convergent theorem, we get that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int\limits_{B(0,1)} u_\varepsilon^{p^*-2\varepsilon} = \int\limits_{B(0,1)} u_0^{p^*}, \qquad \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int\limits_{B(0,1)} v_\varepsilon^{p^*-2\varepsilon} = \int\limits_{B(0,1)} v_0^{p^*}, \qquad \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int\limits_{B(0,1)} u_\varepsilon^{\alpha-\varepsilon} v_\varepsilon^{\beta-\varepsilon} = \int\limits_{B(0,1)} u_0^{\alpha} v_0^{\beta}.$$

Combining these with  $I'_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}) = I'(u_0, v_0)$ , similarly to the proof of Proposition 4.2, we see that  $u_{\varepsilon} \to u_0$ ,  $v_{\varepsilon} \to v_0$  strongly in  $H^1_0(B(0, 1))$ . It follows from (4.8) that  $(u_0, v_0) \neq (0, 0)$  and, moreover,  $u_0 \geq 0$ ,  $v_0 \geq 0$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $u_0 \neq 0$ . By the strong maximum principle, we obtain that  $u_0 > 0$  in B(0, 1). By the Pohozaev identity, we have a contradiction

$$0 < \int_{\partial B(0,1)} (|\nabla u_0|^p + |\nabla v_0|^p)(x \cdot v) d\sigma = 0,$$

where  $\nu$  is the outward unit normal vector on  $\partial B(0, 1)$ . Hence,  $\|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{\infty} + \|v_{\varepsilon}\|_{\infty} \to \infty$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ . Let

$$K_{\varepsilon} := \max\{u_{\varepsilon}(0), v_{\varepsilon}(0)\}.$$

Since  $u_{\varepsilon}(0) = \max_{B(0,1)} u_{\varepsilon}(x)$  and  $v_{\varepsilon}(0) = \max_{B(0,1)} v_{\varepsilon}(x)$ , we see that  $K_{\varepsilon} \to +\infty$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ . Setting

$$U_\varepsilon(x):=K_\varepsilon^{-1}u_\varepsilon(K_\varepsilon^{-a_\varepsilon}x),\quad V_\varepsilon(x):=K_\varepsilon^{-1}v_\varepsilon(K_\varepsilon^{-a_\varepsilon}x),\quad a_\varepsilon:=\frac{p^*-p-p\varepsilon}{n},$$

we have

$$\max\{U_{\varepsilon}(0), V_{\varepsilon}(0)\} = \max\{\max_{x \in B(0, K_{\varepsilon}^{a_{\varepsilon}})} U_{\varepsilon}(x), \max_{x \in B(0, K_{\varepsilon}^{a_{\varepsilon}})} V_{\varepsilon}(x)\} = 1, \tag{4.9}$$

and  $(U_{\varepsilon}, V_{\varepsilon})$  is a solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p U_\varepsilon = \mu_1 U_\varepsilon^{p^*-2\varepsilon-1} + \frac{(\alpha-\varepsilon)\gamma}{p^*-2\varepsilon} U_\varepsilon^{\alpha-1-\varepsilon} V_\varepsilon^{\beta-\varepsilon}, & x \in B(0,K_\varepsilon^{\alpha_\varepsilon}), \\ -\Delta_p V_\varepsilon = \mu_2 V_\varepsilon^{p^*-2\varepsilon-1} + \frac{(\beta-\varepsilon)\gamma}{p^*-2\varepsilon} U_\varepsilon^{\alpha-\varepsilon} V_\varepsilon^{\beta-1-\varepsilon}, & x \in B(0,K_\varepsilon^{\alpha_\varepsilon}). \end{cases}$$

Since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla U_{\varepsilon}(x)|^p dx = K_{\varepsilon}^{a_{\varepsilon}(N-p)-p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}(y)|^p dy$$

$$= K_{\varepsilon}^{-(N-p)\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}(x)|^p dx$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}(x)|^p dx,$$

we see that  $\{(U_{\varepsilon}, V_{\varepsilon})\}_{n\geq 1}$  is bounded in *D*. By elliptic estimates, we get that, up to a subsequence,

$$(U_{\varepsilon}, V_{\varepsilon}) \rightarrow (U, V) \in D$$

uniformly in every compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , and (U, V) is a solution of (1.1), that is, I'(U, V) = 0. Moreover,  $U \ge 0$ ,  $V \ge 0$  are radially symmetric decreasing. By (4.9), we have  $(U, V) \ne (0, 0)$ , and so  $(U, V) \in \mathbb{N}'$ . Thus,

$$\begin{split} A' &\leq I(U, V) = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla U|^p + |\nabla V|^p) \, dx \\ &\leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) \int_{B(0, K_{\varepsilon}^{a_{\varepsilon}})} (|\nabla U_{\varepsilon}|^p + |\nabla V_{\varepsilon}|^p) \, dx \\ &= \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^* - 2\varepsilon}\right) \int_{B(0, K_{\varepsilon}^{a_{\varepsilon}})} (|\nabla U_{\varepsilon}|^p + |\nabla V_{\varepsilon}|^p) \, dx \\ &\leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^* - 2\varepsilon}\right) \int_{B(0, 1)} (|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^p + |\nabla v_{\varepsilon}|^p) \, dx \\ &= \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} A_{\varepsilon}. \end{split}$$

It follows from (4.7) that  $A' \leq I(U, V) \leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} A_{\varepsilon} \leq A'$ , which means that I(U, V) = A'. By (4.4), we get that  $U \neq 0$  and  $V \neq 0$ . The strong maximum principle guarantees that U > 0 and V > 0. Since  $(U, V) \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $I(U, V) \geq A \geq A'$ . Therefore,

$$I(U, V) = A = A',$$
 (4.10)

that is, (U, V) is a positive least energy solution of (1.1) with (H1) holding, which is radially symmetric decreasing. This completes the proof.

**Remark 4.1.** If (H1) and (C2) hold, then it can be seen from Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 that  $(\sqrt[p]{k_0}U_{\varepsilon,\gamma}, \sqrt[p]{l_0}U_{\varepsilon,\gamma})$  is a positive least energy solution of (1.1), where  $(k_0, l_0)$  is defined by (1.9) and  $U_{\varepsilon, \gamma}$  is defined by (1.4).

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* To prove the existence of (k(y), l(y)) for y > 0 small, recalling (3.2), we denote  $F_i(k, l, y)$ by  $F_i(k, l)$ , i = 1, 2, in this proof. Let  $k(0) = \mu_1^{-p/(p^*-p)}$  and  $l(0) = \mu_2^{-p/(p^*-p)}$ . Then

$$F_1(k(0), l(0), 0) = F_2(k(0), l(0), 0) = 0.$$

Obviously, we have

$$\begin{split} &\partial_k F_1(k(0),l(0),0) = \frac{p^*-p}{p} \mu_1 k^{\frac{p^*-2p}{p}} > 0, \\ &\partial_l F_1(k(0),l(0),0) = \partial_k F_2(k(0),l(0),0) = 0, \\ &\partial_l F_2(k(0),l(0),0) = \frac{p^*-p}{p} \mu_2 l^{\frac{p^*-2p}{p}} > 0, \end{split}$$

which implies that

$$\det\begin{pmatrix} \partial_k F_1(k(0), l(0), 0) & \partial_l F_1(k(0), l(0), 0) \\ \partial_k F_2(k(0), l(0), 0) & \partial_l F_2(k(0), l(0), 0) \end{pmatrix} > 0.$$

By the implicit function theorem, we see that k(y), l(y) are well defined and of class  $C^1$  in  $(-y_2, y_2)$  for some  $y_2 > 0$ , and  $F_1(k(y), l(y), y) = F_2(k(y), l(y), y) = 0$ . Then  $(\sqrt[p]{k(y)}U_{\varepsilon, y}, \sqrt[p]{l(y)}U_{\varepsilon, y})$  is a positive solution of (1.1). Noticing that

$$\lim_{y\to 0} (k(y) + l(y)) = k(0) + l(0) = \mu_1^{-\frac{N-p}{p}} + \mu_2^{-\frac{N-p}{p}},$$

we obtain that there exists  $y_1 \in (0, y_2]$  such that

$$k(\gamma)+l(\gamma)>\min\Big\{\mu_1^{-\frac{N-p}{p}},\ \mu_2^{-\frac{N-p}{p}}\Big\}\quad\text{for all }\gamma\in(0,\gamma_1).$$

It follows from (4.4) and (4.10) that

$$\begin{split} I\Big(\sqrt[p]{k(\gamma)}U_{\varepsilon,y},\sqrt[p]{l(\gamma)}U_{\varepsilon,y}\Big) &= \frac{1}{N}(k(\gamma)+l(\gamma))S^{\frac{N}{p}}\\ &> \min\Big\{\frac{1}{N}\mu_1^{-\frac{N-p}{p}}S^{\frac{N}{p}},\frac{1}{N}\mu_2^{-\frac{N-p}{p}}S^{\frac{N}{p}}\Big\}\\ &> A' = A = I(U,V), \end{split}$$

that is, when (H1) is satisfied,  $(\sqrt[p]{k(\gamma)}U_{\varepsilon,y}, \sqrt[p]{l(\gamma)}U_{\varepsilon,y})$  is a different positive solution of (1.1) with respect to (U, V).

## Proof of Theorem 1.5

In this section, we consider the case (H2).

**Proposition 5.1.** Let q, r > 1 satisfy  $q + r \le p^*$ , and set

$$S_{q,r}(\Omega) = \inf_{\substack{u,v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \\ u,v \neq 0}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^p + |\nabla v|^p) \, dx}{(\int_{\Omega} |u|^q |v|^r \, dx)^{\frac{p}{q+r}}},$$

$$S_{q+r}(\Omega) = \inf_{\substack{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \\ u \neq 0}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \, dx}{(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{q+r} \, dx)^{\frac{p}{q+r}}}.$$

Then

$$S_{q,r}(\Omega) = \frac{q+r}{(q^q r^r)^{\frac{1}{q+r}}} S_{q+r}(\Omega). \tag{5.1}$$

Moreover, if  $u_0$  is a minimizer for  $S_{q+r}(\Omega)$ , then  $(q^{1/p}u_0, r^{1/p}u_0)$  is a minimizer for  $S_{q,r}(\Omega)$ .

*Proof.* For  $u \neq 0$  in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  and t > 0, taking  $v = t^{-1/p}u$  in the first quotient gives

$$S_{q,r}(\Omega) \leq \left[t^{\frac{r}{q+r}} + t^{-\frac{q}{q+r}}\right] \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \, dx}{\left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{q+r} \, dx\right)^{\frac{p}{q+r}}},$$

and minimizing the right-hand side over u and t shows that  $S_{q,r}(\Omega)$  is less than or equal to the right-hand side of (5.1). For  $u, v \neq 0$  in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , let  $w = t^{1/p}v$ , where

$$t^{\frac{q+r}{p}} = \frac{\int_{\Omega} |u|^{q+r} dx}{\int_{\Omega} |v|^{q+r} dx}.$$

Then  $\int_{\Omega} |u|^{q+r} dx = \int_{\Omega} |w|^{q+r} dx$ , and hence

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^q |w|^r dx \le \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q+r} dx = \int_{\Omega} |w|^{q+r} dx$$

by the Hölder inequality, so

$$\begin{split} \frac{\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^{p} + |\nabla v|^{p}) \, dx}{(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{q} |v|^{r} \, dx)^{\frac{p}{q+r}}} &= \frac{\int_{\Omega} (t^{\frac{r}{q+r}} |\nabla u|^{p} + t^{-\frac{q}{q+r}} |\nabla w|^{p}) \, dx}{(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{q} |w|^{r} \, dx)^{\frac{p}{q+r}}} \\ &\geq t^{\frac{r}{q+r}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p} \, dx}{(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{q+r} \, dx)^{\frac{p}{q+r}}} + t^{-\frac{q}{q+r}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^{p} \, dx}{(\int_{\Omega} |w|^{q+r} \, dx)^{\frac{p}{q+r}}} \\ &\geq \left[t^{\frac{r}{q+r}} + t^{-\frac{q}{q+r}}\right] S_{q+r}(\Omega). \end{split}$$

The last expression is greater than or equal to the right-hand side of (5.1), so minimizing over (u, v) gives the reverse inequality.

By Proposition 5.1,

$$S_{a,b}(\Omega) = \frac{p}{(a^a b^b)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \lambda_1(\Omega), \qquad S_{\alpha,\beta} = \frac{p^*}{(\alpha^\alpha \beta^\beta)^{\frac{1}{p^*}}} S,$$
 (5.2)

where  $\lambda_1(\Omega) > 0$  is the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of  $-\Delta_p$  in  $\Omega$ . When (H2) is satisfied, we will obtain a nontrivial nonnegative solution of system (1.1) for  $\lambda < S_{a,b}(\Omega)$ . Consider the  $C^1$ -functional

$$\Phi(w) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} \left[ |\nabla u|^p + |\nabla v|^p - \lambda (u^+)^a (v^+)^b \right] dx - \frac{1}{p^*} \int_{\Omega} (u^+)^\alpha (v^+)^\beta dx, \quad w \in W,$$

where  $W = D_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \times D_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  with the norm given by  $||w||^p = |\nabla u|_p^p + |\nabla v|_p^p$  for w = (u, v),  $|\cdot|_p$  denotes the norm in  $L^p(\Omega)$  and  $u^{\pm}(x) = \max\{\pm u(x), 0\}$  are the positive and negative parts of u, respectively. If w is a critical point of  $\Phi$ ,

$$0 = \Phi'(w)(u^-, v^-) = \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u^-|^p + |\nabla v^-|^p) dx,$$

and hence  $(u^-, v^-) = 0$ , so  $w = (u^+, v^+)$  is a nonnegative weak solution of (1.1) with (H2) holding.

**Proposition 5.2.** If  $0 \neq c < S_{\alpha,\beta}^{N/p}/N$  and  $\lambda < S_{a,b}(\Omega)$ , then every (PS)<sub>c</sub> sequence of  $\Phi$  has a subsequence that converges weakly to a nontrivial critical point of  $\Phi$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{w_i\}$  be a (PS)<sub>c</sub> sequence. Then

$$\Phi(w_j) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} \left[ |\nabla u_j|^p + |\nabla v_j|^p - \lambda (u_j^+)^a (v_j^+)^b \right] dx - \frac{1}{p^*} \int_{\Omega} (u_j^+)^\alpha (v_j^+)^\beta dx$$

$$= c + o(1)$$

and

$$\Phi'(w_{j})w_{j} = \int_{\Omega} \left[ |\nabla u_{j}|^{p} + |\nabla v_{j}|^{p} - \lambda (u_{j}^{+})^{a} (v_{j}^{+})^{b} \right] dx - \int_{\Omega} (u_{j}^{+})^{\alpha} (v_{j}^{+})^{\beta} dx$$

$$= o(\|w_{i}\|), \tag{5.3}$$

SO

$$\frac{1}{N} \int_{\Omega} \left[ |\nabla u_j|^p + |\nabla v_j|^p - \lambda (u_j^+)^a (v_j^+)^b \right] dx = c + o(\|w_j\| + 1).$$
 (5.4)

Since the integral on the left-hand side is greater than or equal to  $(1-\frac{\lambda}{S_{a,b}(\Omega)})\|w_j\|^p$ ,  $\lambda < S_{a,b}(\Omega)$  and p>1, it follows that  $\{w_j\}$  is bounded in W. So a renamed subsequence converges to some w weakly in W, strongly in  $L^s(\Omega) \times L^t(\Omega)$  for all  $1 \le s$ ,  $t < p^*$  and a.e. in  $\Omega$ . Then  $w_j \to w$  strongly in  $W_0^{1,q}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1,r}(\Omega)$  for all  $1 \le q$ , r < p by Boccardo and Murat [6, Theorem 2.1], and hence  $\nabla w_j \to \nabla w$  a.e. in  $\Omega$  for a further subsequence. It then follows that w is a critical point of  $\Phi$ .

Suppose w = 0. Since  $\{w_j\}$  is bounded in W and converges to zero in  $L^p(\Omega) \times L^p(\Omega)$ , equation (5.3) and the Hölder inequality give

$$o(1) = \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_j|^p + |\nabla v_j|^p) \, dx - \int_{\Omega} (u_j^+)^{\alpha} (v_j^+)^{\beta} \, dx \ge \|w_j\|^p \bigg( 1 - \frac{\|w_j\|^{p^*-p}}{S_{\alpha,\beta}^{\frac{p^*}{p}}} \bigg).$$

If  $||w_i|| \to 0$ , then  $\Phi(w_i) \to 0$ , contradicting  $c \neq 0$ , so this implies

$$||w_j||^p \ge S_{\alpha,\beta}^{\frac{N}{p}} + o(1)$$

for a renamed subsequence. Then (5.4) gives

$$c = \frac{\|w_j\|^p}{N} + o(1) \ge \frac{S_{\alpha,\beta}^{\frac{N}{p}}}{N} + o(1),$$

contradicting  $c < S_{\alpha,\beta}^{N/p}/N$ .

Recall (1.4) and (1.5) and let  $\eta:[0,\infty)\to[0,1]$  be a smooth cut-off function such that  $\eta(s)=1$  for  $s\leq\frac{1}{4}$  and  $\eta(s)=0$  for  $s\geq\frac{1}{2}$ ; set

$$u_{\varepsilon,\rho}(x) = \eta\left(\frac{|x|}{\rho}\right)U_{\varepsilon,0}(x)$$

for  $\rho > 0$ . We have the following estimates for  $u_{\varepsilon,\rho}$  (see [15, Lemma 3.1]):

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon,\rho}|^p \, dx \le S^{\frac{N}{p}} + C\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho}\right)^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}},\tag{5.5}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u_{\varepsilon,\rho}^{p} dx \ge \begin{cases} \frac{1}{C} \varepsilon^{p} \log\left(\frac{\rho}{\varepsilon}\right) - C \varepsilon^{p} & \text{if } N = p^{2}, \\ \frac{1}{C} \varepsilon^{p} - C \rho^{p} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho}\right)^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}} & \text{if } N > p^{2}, \end{cases}$$
(5.6)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_{\varepsilon,\rho}^{p^*} dx \ge S^{\frac{N}{p}} - C\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho}\right)^{\frac{N}{p-1}},\tag{5.7}$$

where C = C(N, p). We will make use of these estimates in the proof of our last theorem.

*Proof of Theorem 1.5.* In view of (5.2),

$$\Phi(w) \ge \frac{1}{p} \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda}{S_{a,b}(\Omega)} \right) \|w\|^p - \frac{1}{p^* S_{a,b}^{\frac{p^*}{p}}} \|w\|^{p^*},$$

so the origin is a strict local minimizer of Φ. We may assume without loss of generality that  $0 \in \Omega$ . Fix  $\rho > 0$  so small that  $\Omega \supset B_{\rho}(0) \supset \text{supp } u_{\varepsilon,\rho}$ , and let  $w_{\varepsilon} = (\alpha^{1/p}u_{\varepsilon,\rho}, \beta^{1/p}u_{\varepsilon,\rho}) \in W$ . Note that

$$\Phi(Rw_{\varepsilon}) = \frac{R^p}{p} \left( p^* | \nabla u_{\varepsilon,\rho}|_p^p - \lambda \alpha^{\frac{\alpha}{p}} \beta^{\frac{b}{p}} | u_{\varepsilon,\rho}|_p^p \right) - \frac{R^{p^*}}{p^*} \alpha^{\frac{\alpha}{p}} \beta^{\frac{\beta}{p}} | u_{\varepsilon,\rho}|_{p^*}^{p^*} \rightarrow -\infty$$

as  $R \to +\infty$  and fix  $R_0 > 0$  so large that  $\Phi(R_0 w_{\varepsilon}) < 0$ . Then let

$$\Gamma = \{ y \in C([0, 1], W) : y(0) = 0, y(1) = R_0 w_{\varepsilon} \}$$

and set

$$c:=\inf_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}\in\Gamma}\max_{t\in[0,1]}\Phi(\boldsymbol{\gamma}(t))>0.$$

By the mountain pass theorem,  $\Phi$  has a (PS)<sub>c</sub> sequence { $w_i$ }.

Since  $t \mapsto tR_0 w_{\varepsilon}$  is a path in  $\Gamma$ ,

$$c \leq \max_{t \in [0,1]} \Phi(tR_0 w_{\varepsilon}) = \frac{1}{N} \left( \frac{p^* |\nabla u_{\varepsilon,\rho}|_p^p - \lambda (\alpha^a \beta^b)^{\frac{1}{p}} |u_{\varepsilon,\rho}|_p^p}{(\alpha^a \beta^b)^{\frac{1}{p^*}} |u_{\varepsilon,\rho}|_{p^*}^p} \right)^{\frac{N}{p}} =: \frac{1}{N} S_{\varepsilon}^{\frac{N}{p}}.$$
 (5.8)

By (5.5)–(5.7),

$$S_{\varepsilon} \leq \frac{p^* S^p + \frac{\lambda(\alpha^a \beta^b)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{C} \varepsilon^p \log \varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^p)}{(\alpha^a \beta^\beta)^{\frac{1}{p^*}} (S^p + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{p^2}{p-1}}))^{\frac{p-1}{p}}} = S_{\alpha,\beta} - \left(\frac{\lambda \alpha^{\frac{a}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{p^*}} \beta^{\frac{b}{p} - \frac{\beta}{p^*}}}{CS^{p-1}} |\log \varepsilon| + O(1)\right) \varepsilon^p$$

if  $N = p^2$ , and

$$S_{\varepsilon} \leq \frac{p^* S^{\frac{N}{p}} - \frac{\lambda(\alpha^{\alpha}\beta^{b})^{\frac{1}{p}}}{C} \varepsilon^{p} + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}})}{(\alpha^{\alpha}\beta^{\beta})^{\frac{1}{p^*}} (S^{\frac{N}{p}} + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{p-1}}))^{\frac{N-p}{N}}} = S_{\alpha,\beta} - \left(\frac{\lambda \alpha^{\frac{\alpha}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{p^*}} \beta^{\frac{b}{p} - \frac{\beta}{p^*}}}{CS^{\frac{N-p}{p}}} + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-p^2}{p-1}})\right) \varepsilon^{p}$$

if  $N > p^2$ , so  $S_{\varepsilon} < S_{\alpha,\beta}$  if  $\varepsilon > 0$  is sufficiently small. So  $c < S_{\alpha,\beta}^{N/p}/N$  by (5.8), and hence a subsequence of  $\{w_j\}$  converges weakly to a nontrivial critical point of  $\Phi$  by Proposition 5.2, which then is a nontrivial nonnegative solution of (1.1) with (H2) holding.

**Funding:** The first and third authors acknowledge the support of the NSFC (grant nos. 11371212, 11271386).

# References

- R. A. Adams and J. J. F. Fournier, Sobolev Spaces, 2nd ed., Pure Appl. Math. (Amsterdam) 140, Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2003.
- [2] S. N. Armstrong and B. Sirakov, Nonexistence of positive supersolutions of elliptic equations via the maximum principle, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **36** (2011), no. 11, 2011–2047.
- [3] C. Azizieh and P. Clément, A priori estimates and continuation methods for positive solutions of *p*-Laplace equations, *J. Differential Equations* **179** (2002), no. 1, 213–245.
- [4] M.-F. Bidaut-Véron, Local and global behavior of solutions of quasilinear equations of Emden–Fowler type, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **107** (1989), no. 4, 293–324.
- [5] L. Boccardo and D. Guedes de Figueiredo, Some remarks on a system of quasilinear elliptic equations, *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.* **9** (2002), no. 3, 309–323.
- [6] L. Boccardo and F. Murat, Almost everywhere convergence of the gradients of solutions to elliptic and parabolic equations, Nonlinear Anal. 19 (1992), no. 6, 581–597.
- [7] Y. Bozhkov and E. Mitidieri, Existence of multiple solutions for quasilinear systems via fibering method, *J. Differential Equations* **190** (2003), no. 1, 239–267.

- [8] J. Byeon, L. Jeanjean and M. Mariş, Symmetry and monotonicity of least energy solutions, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 36 (2009), no. 4, 481-492.
- J. Carmona, S. Cingolani, P. J. Martínez-Aparicio and G. Vannella, Regularity and Morse index of the solutions to critical quasilinear elliptic systems, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 38 (2013), no. 10, 1675-1711.
- [10] C. Chen, On positive weak solutions for a class of quasilinear elliptic systems, Nonlinear Anal. 62 (2005), no. 4, 751-756.
- [11] Z. Chen and W. Zou, Positive least energy solutions and phase separation for coupled Schrödinger equations with critical exponent, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 205 (2012), no. 2, 515-551.
- [12] Z. Chen and W. Zou, Positive least energy solutions and phase separation for coupled Schrödinger equations with critical exponent: Higher dimensional case, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 52 (2015), no. 1-2, 423-467.
- [13] P. Clément, J. Fleckinger, E. Mitidieri and F. de Thélin, Existence of positive solutions for a nonvariational quasilinear elliptic system, J. Differential Equations 166 (2000), no. 2, 455-477.
- [14] L. Damascelli, S. Merchán, L. Montoro and B. Sciunzi, Radial symmetry and applications for a problem involving the  $-\Delta_n(\cdot)$  operator and critical nonlinearity in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , Adv. Math. 265 (2014), 313–335.
- [15] M. Degiovanni and S. Lancelotti, Linking solutions for p-Laplace equations with nonlinearity at critical growth, J. Funct. Anal. 256 (2009), no. 11, 3643-3659.
- [16] L. Ding and S.-W. Xiao, Multiple positive solutions for a critical quasilinear elliptic system, Nonlinear Anal. 72 (2010), no. 5, 2592-2607.
- [17] H. Dong and D. Kim, Global regularity of weak solutions to quasilinear elliptic and parabolic equations with controlled growth, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 36 (2011), no. 10, 1750-1777.
- [18] M. Guedda and L. Véron, Local and global properties of solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations, J. Differential Equations **76** (1988), no. 1, 159–189.
- [19] T.-S. Hsu, Multiple positive solutions for a critical quasilinear elliptic system with concave-convex nonlinearities, Nonlinear Anal. 71 (2009), no. 7-8, 2688-2698.
- [20] L. Leadi and H. Ramos Quoirin, Principal eigenvalue for quasilinear cooperative elliptic systems, Differential Integral Equations 24 (2011), no. 11-12, 1107-1124.
- [21] S. Peng, Y.-f. Peng and Z.-Q. Wang, On elliptic systems with Sobolev critical growth, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 55 (2016), no. 6, Paper No. 142.
- [22] K. Perera, P. Pucci and C. Varga, An existence result for a class of quasilinear elliptic eigenvalue problems in unbounded domains, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. 21 (2014), no. 3, 441-451.
- [23] K. Perera and I. Sim, p-Laplace equations with singular weights, Nonlinear Anal. 99 (2014), 167-176.
- [24] B. Sciunzi, Classification of positive  $\mathbb{D}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ -solutions to the critical p-Laplace equation in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , Adv. Math. 291 (2016),
- [25] J. Vétois, A priori estimates and application to the symmetry of solutions for critical p-Laplace equations, J. Differential Equations 260 (2016), no. 1, 149–161.
- [26] Z. Yang, Existence of entire explosive positive radial solutions for a class of quasilinear elliptic systems, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 288 (2003), no. 2, 768-783.