

# Stability and the Growth of the Number of Closed Characteristics on Compact Convex Hypersurfaces

Hui Liu\*

*Chern Institute of Mathematics  
Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China  
E-mail: nkliuhui@hotmail.com*

Received in revised form 01 April 2010  
*Communicated by Yiming Long*

## Abstract

In this paper, we study relationship between the stability and the growth of the number of closed characteristics on compact convex hypersurfaces in  $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$ , and also the stability of closed characteristics on compact convex hypersurfaces in  $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$  under some pinching conditions.

*1991 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 58E05, 37J45, 37C75.

*Key words.* Compact convex hypersurfaces, closed characteristics, Hamiltonian systems, growth, stability.

## 1 Introduction and main results

Let  $\Sigma$  be a  $C^2$  compact hypersurface in  $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$ , bounding a strictly convex compact set  $C$  with non-empty interior, and with a non-vanishing Gaussian curvature. We denote the set of all such hypersurfaces by  $\mathcal{H}(2n)$ . Without loss of generality, we suppose  $C$  contains the origin. For  $x \in \Sigma$ , let  $N_\Sigma(x)$  be the outward normal unit vector at  $x$  of  $\Sigma$ . We consider the problem of finding  $\tau > 0$  and a  $C^1$  curve  $x : [0, \tau] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{2n}$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = JN_\Sigma(x(t)), & x(t) \in \Sigma, \forall t \in \mathbf{R}, \\ x(\tau) = x(0). \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

---

\*Partially supported by NNSF, RFDP of MOE of China.

where

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_n \\ I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$I_n$  is the identity matrix in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . A solution  $(\tau, x)$  of the above problem is called a *closed characteristic* on  $\Sigma$ . Two closed characteristics  $(\tau, x)$  and  $(\sigma, y)$  are geometrically distinct, if  $x(\mathbf{R}) \neq y(\mathbf{R})$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{J}(\Sigma)$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}(\Sigma)$  the set of all closed characteristics  $(\tau, x)$  on  $\Sigma$  with  $\tau$  being the minimal period of  $x$  and the set of all geometrically distinct ones respectively.

Let  $j : \mathbf{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be the gauge function of  $\Sigma$ , i.e.,  $j(\lambda x) = \lambda$  for  $x \in \Sigma$  and  $\lambda \geq 0$ , then  $j \in C^2(\mathbf{R}^{2n} \setminus \{0\}, \mathbf{R}) \cap C^0(\mathbf{R}^{2n}, \mathbf{R})$  and  $\Sigma = j^{-1}(1)$ . Fix a constant  $\alpha \in (1, 2)$  and define the Hamiltonian  $H_\alpha : \mathbf{R}^{2n} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  by

$$H_\alpha(x) := j(x)^\alpha.$$

Then  $H_\alpha \in C^2(\mathbf{R}^{2n} \setminus \{0\}, \mathbf{R}) \cap C^0(\mathbf{R}^{2n}, \mathbf{R})$  is convex and  $\Sigma = H_\alpha^{-1}(1)$ . It is well known that the problem (1.1) is equivalent to the following given energy problem of the Hamiltonian system

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = JH'_\alpha(x(t)), & H_\alpha(x(t)) = 1, \quad \forall t \in \mathbf{R}, \\ x(\tau) = x(0). \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{J}(\Sigma, \alpha)$  the set of all solutions  $(\tau, x)$  of the problem (1.2), where  $\tau$  is the minimal period of  $x$  and by  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}(\Sigma, \alpha)$  the set of all geometrically distinct solutions of (1.2). Note that elements in  $\mathcal{J}(\Sigma)$  and  $\mathcal{J}(\Sigma, \alpha)$  are in one to one correspondence with each other, similarly for  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}(\Sigma)$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}(\Sigma, \alpha)$ . Let  $(\tau, x) \in \mathcal{J}(\Sigma, \alpha)$ . We call the fundamental solution  $\gamma_x : [0, \tau] \rightarrow Sp(2n)$  with  $\gamma_x(0) = I_{2n}$  of the linearized Hamiltonian system

$$\dot{y}(t) = JH''_\alpha(x(t))y(t), \quad \forall t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

the *associated symplectic path* of  $(\tau, x)$ . The eigenvalue of  $\gamma_x(\tau)$  are called *Floquet multipliers* of  $(\tau, x)$ . By Proposition 1.6.13 of [6], the Floquet multipliers with their multiplicities of  $(\tau, x) \in \mathcal{J}(\Sigma, \alpha)$  do not depend on the particular choice of the Hamiltonian function in (1.2). As usual  $(\tau, x)$  is *hyperbolic*, if 1 is a double Floquet multiplier of it and all the other Floquet multipliers are not on the unit circle  $\mathbf{U}$  in the complex plane  $\mathbf{C}$ . It is *elliptic* if all the Floquet multipliers of  $(\tau, x)$ , i.e., all the eigenvalues of  $\gamma_x(\tau)$ , are on the unit circle. We shall say that it is *strictly elliptic* if all the eigenvalues  $\neq 1$  are Krein-definite. It is well known that these concepts are independent of the choice of  $\alpha > 1$ .

For any  $(\tau, x) \in \mathcal{J}(\Sigma, \alpha)$  and  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ , the  $m$ -th iteration  $x^m$  of  $x$  is defined by

$$x^m(t) = x(t - j\tau), \quad \text{for } j\tau \leq t \leq (j + 1)\tau, \quad 0 \leq j \leq m - 1.$$

This is simply  $x$  itself viewed as an  $m\tau$ -periodic function. An effective way to distinguish different elements in  $\mathcal{J}(\Sigma, \alpha)$  is to compare the Maslov-type index sequences of their iterations. For periodic solutions of any periodic Hamiltonian system, this index theory was defined by C. Conley, E. Zehnder, and Y. Long (cf. [11]). This index theory assigns to the iteration sequence  $x^m$  of each solution  $(\tau, x) \in \mathcal{J}(\Sigma, \alpha)$  a sequence of pairs of integers  $(i(x^m), \nu(x^m))_{m \in \mathbf{N}}$  through the associated symplectic path  $\gamma_x$  of  $x$ .

The existence of at least one closed characteristic was first established by P. Rabinowitz [13] (for star-shaped hypersurfaces) and A. Weinstein [16] independently in 1978. In [5] of I. Ekeland in 1986 and [10] of Y. Long in 1998, for any  $\Sigma \in \mathcal{H}(2n)$  the existence of infinitely many closed

characteristics on  $\Sigma$  was proved if all the closed characteristics are hyperbolic. In [7] of 1984, N. Hingston proved that the number  $N(l)$  of closed geodesics of length  $\leq l$  for a Riemannian metric on a sphere satisfies  $\liminf_{l \rightarrow \infty} N(l) \frac{\log l}{l} > 0$  provided that all closed geodesics are hyperbolic. In [8] of 1993, N. Hingston proved that the number  $N(l)$  of closed geodesics of length  $\leq l$  for any Riemannian metric on the two-sphere satisfies  $\liminf_{l \rightarrow \infty} N(l) \frac{\log l}{l} > 0$ . Motivated by these results, we prove the following result in this paper:

**Theorem 1.1** *On every compact  $C^2$  hypersurface in  $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$  bounding a convex set with non-empty interior, if all the closed characteristics are hyperbolic, then there exist infinitely many closed characteristics. Moreover, let  $N(l)$  be the number of closed characteristics of minimal actions  $\leq l$ , then  $N(l)$  grows at least like the prime numbers. That is,  $\liminf_{l \rightarrow \infty} N(l) \frac{\log l}{l} > 0$ .*

Here the action of a closed characteristic  $(\tau, x)$  is defined by (cf. P. 190 of [6])  $A(\tau, x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\tau (Jx \cdot \dot{x}) dt$ . Note that  $A(\tau, x)$  is a geometric quantity depending only on how many times one runs around the closed characteristic.  $A(\tau, x)$  is called the *minimal action* of the closed characteristic  $(\tau, x)$  if  $\tau$  is the minimal period of  $x$ .

As in Definition 5.1.6 of [6], a  $C^2$  hypersurface  $\Sigma$  bounding a compact convex set  $C$ , containing 0 in its interior is  $(r, R)$ -pinched, with  $0 < r \leq R$ , if:

$$|y|^2 R^{-2} \leq \frac{1}{2} (H_2''(x)y, y) \leq |y|^2 r^{-2}, \forall x \in \Sigma.$$

On the stability problem, I. Ekeland proved in P. 413 of [5] the existence of at least one strictly elliptic closed characteristic on  $\Sigma$  provided  $\Sigma \in \mathcal{H}(2n)$  is  $(r, R)$ -pinched with  $\frac{R}{r} < \sqrt{2}$ . Comparing with it, we prove a slightly stronger result by using a different method in the following:

**Theorem 1.2** *Assume  $\Sigma$  is  $(r, R)$ -pinched with  $\frac{R}{r} \leq \sqrt{2}$ . Then any closed characteristic with Ekeland index zero is elliptic. It implies that  $\Sigma$  always carries an elliptic closed characteristic under this pinching condition.*

**Remark 1.1** Note that in this theorem, when  $\frac{R}{r} = \sqrt{2}$ , we can only get the existence of one elliptic closed characteristic from the proof in Section 3, because  $-1$  may be a Floquet multiplier of the closed characteristics with Ekeland index zero and of Krein-indefinite, this is the difference from the result of I. Ekeland.

In this article, let  $\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{N}_0, \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{R}$  and  $\mathbf{C}$  denote the sets of natural integers, non-negative integers, integers, rational numbers, real numbers and complex numbers respectively. Denote by  $a \cdot b$  and  $|a|$  the standard inner product and norm in  $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$ . Denote by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and  $\| \cdot \|$  the standard  $L^2$ -inner product and  $L^2$ -norm. For a set  $A$ , we denote by  $\#A$  the number of elements in  $A$ . We define the function  $E(a) = \max \{k \in \mathbf{Z} \mid k < a\}$  as (60) in P. 31 of [6].

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

To solve the given energy problem, we consider the following fixed period problem

$$\dot{z}(t) = JH'_\alpha(z(t)), \forall t \in \mathbf{R}, z(1) = z(0). \tag{2.1}$$

Define the dual function  $H_\alpha^*$  of  $H_\alpha$  by  $H_\alpha^*(x) = \sup \{y \cdot x - H(y) \mid y \in \mathbf{R}^{2n}\}$ . For  $1 < \alpha < 2$ , define

$$E_\alpha = \{u \in L^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}(\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{R}^{2n}) \mid \int_0^1 u \, dt = 0\},$$

with the usual  $L^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}$  norm. The Clarke-Ekeland dual action functional  $f_\alpha : E_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is defined by

$$f_\alpha(u) = \int_0^1 \left\{ \frac{1}{2} Ju \cdot \Pi u + H_\alpha^*(-Ju) \right\} dt$$

where  $\Pi u$  is defined by  $\frac{d}{dt} \Pi u = u$  and  $\int_0^1 \Pi u \, dt = 0$ . Then  $f_\alpha \in C^2(E_\alpha, \mathbf{R})$ .

Suppose  $u \in E_\alpha \setminus \{0\}$  is a critical point of  $f_\alpha$ . By [6], there exists  $\xi_u \in \mathbf{R}^{2n}$  such that  $z_u(t) = \Pi u(t) + \xi_u$  is a 1-periodic solution of the problem (2.1). Denote the Ekeland index and nullity (cf. [4, 5, 6] for details) of  $f_\alpha$  at  $u$  by  $i^E(u)$  and  $\nu^E(u)$  respectively. We denote the corresponding Maslov-type indices of  $z_u$  by  $(i(z_u), \nu(z_u))$ . Let  $h = H_\alpha(z_u(t))$  and  $1/m$  be the minimal period of  $z_u$  for some  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ . Define

$$x_u(t) = h^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} z_u(h^{\frac{2-\alpha}{\alpha}} t) \quad \text{and} \quad \tau = \frac{1}{m} h^{\frac{\alpha-2}{\alpha}}. \tag{2.2}$$

Then there hold  $x_u(t) \in \Sigma$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $(\tau, x_u) \in \mathcal{J}(\Sigma, \alpha)$ . Note that the period 1 of  $z_u$  corresponds to the period  $m\tau$  of the solution  $(m\tau, x_u^m)$  of with minimal period  $\tau$ .

On the other hand, every solution  $(\tau, x) \in \mathcal{J}(\Sigma, \alpha)$  gives rise to a sequence  $\{z_m^x\}_{m \in \mathbf{N}}$  of solutions of the problem (2.1), and a sequence  $\{u_m^x\}_{m \in \mathbf{N}}$  of critical points of  $f_\alpha$  defined by

$$z_m^x(t) = (m\tau)^{\frac{-1}{2-\alpha}} x(m\tau t) \tag{2.3}$$

$$u_m^x(t) = (m\tau)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2-\alpha}} \dot{x}(m\tau t) \tag{2.4}$$

**Lemma 2.1** (cf. Proposition 15.2.1 of [11]) *For  $u$  and  $z = z_u$  defined above, there hold*

$$i(z) = i^E(u) + n \quad \text{and} \quad \nu(z) = \nu^E(u). \tag{2.5}$$

**Lemma 2.2** (cf. Proposition 15.2.2 of [11]) *For  $z = z_u$ ,  $x = x_u$ ,  $\tau$  and  $m$  defined above, there hold*

$$i(z) = i(x^m) \quad \text{and} \quad \nu(z) = \nu(x^m). \tag{2.6}$$

**Lemma 2.3** (cf. Corollary 15.1.4 of [11]) *It holds that*

$$i(x) \geq n, \quad \forall (\tau, x) \in \mathcal{J}(\Sigma, \alpha). \tag{2.7}$$

Following Section V.3 of [6], denote by “ind” the  $S^1$ -action cohomology index theory for  $S^1$ -invariant subset of  $E_\alpha$  defined in [6]. For  $[f_\alpha]_c \equiv \{u \in E_\alpha \mid f_\alpha(u) \leq c\}$  define

$$c_k = \inf \{c < 0 \mid \text{ind}([f_\alpha]_c) \geq k\}. \tag{2.8}$$

Then there hold

$$-\infty < \min f_\alpha = c_1 \leq c_2 \leq \dots \leq c_k \leq c_{k+1} \leq \dots < 0, \tag{2.9}$$

$$c_k \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty, \text{ all } c_k \text{ are critical values of } f_\alpha. \tag{2.10}$$

**Lemma 2.4** (cf. Theorem 15.3.1 of [11]) For any given  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ , there exists  $(\tau, x) \in \mathcal{J}(\Sigma, \alpha)$  and  $m \in \mathbf{N}$  such that for  $u_m^x$  defined by (2.4)

$$f'_\alpha(u_m^x) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f_\alpha(u_m^x) = c_k, \tag{2.11}$$

$$i(x^m) \leq 2k - 2 + n \leq i(x^m) + \nu(x^m) - 1. \tag{2.12}$$

**Lemma 2.5** Let  $(\tau, x) \in \mathcal{J}(\Sigma, \alpha)$ . Then there exist positive constants  $a$  and  $b$  such that

$$i(x) \geq a\tau - b.$$

where  $a$  and  $b$  are independent of the choice of  $(\tau, x) \in \mathcal{J}(\Sigma, \alpha)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $H_\alpha$  is convex, then there exist a positive constant  $R = R(\Sigma, \alpha)$  such that

$$|y|^2 R^{-2} \leq \frac{1}{2}(H''_\alpha(x(t))y, y), \quad \forall x \in \Sigma, y \in \mathbf{R}^{2n}. \tag{2.13}$$

By the same method of the proof of Proposition V.1.8 in [6],  $i^E(x) \geq 2nE[\frac{\tau}{\pi R^2}]$ , where  $i^E(x)$  denotes the corresponding index defined by I. Ekeland for convex Hamiltonian system in [6]. From Lemma 2.1, we obtain  $i(x) = i^E(x) + n$ . Hence,  $i(x) \geq 2nE[\frac{\tau}{\pi R^2}] + n \geq \frac{2n\tau}{\pi R^2} - n$ . Let  $a = \frac{2n}{\pi R^2}$ ,  $b = n$ , our lemma follows.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* By (21) in P. 191 of [6], we only need to prove that the number  $N_1(l)$  of  $(\tau, x) \in \mathcal{J}(\Sigma, \alpha)$  with minimal period  $\tau \leq l$  grows at least like the prime numbers if all the closed characteristics are hyperbolic.

Suppose all the closed characteristics are hyperbolic. Then the inequalities (2.12) become equalities and  $\nu(x^m) = 1$ ; we know

$$(2\mathbf{N} - 2 + n) \subset \{i(x^m) \mid (\tau, x) \in \mathcal{J}(\Sigma, \alpha) \text{ with minimal period } \tau, m \in \mathbf{N}\}. \tag{2.14}$$

Using the precise iteration formulae of the Maslov-type index theory for any symplectic path which is established by Y. Long(cf. [11]), we can get  $i(x^m) = m(i(x) + 1) - 1$ ,  $\forall$  hyperbolic  $(\tau, x)$ ,  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ . Thus (2.14) is equivalent to

$$(2\mathbf{N} - 1 + n) \subset \{m(i(x) + 1) \mid (\tau, x) \in \mathcal{J}(\Sigma, \alpha) \text{ with minimal period } \tau\}. \tag{2.15}$$

Define Functions

$$N_1(l) = \#\{(\tau, x) \mid (\tau, x) \in \mathcal{J}(\Sigma, \alpha) \text{ with minimal period } \tau \leq l\},$$

$$N_2(l) = \#\{i(x) + 1 \mid (\tau, x) \in \mathcal{J}(\Sigma, \alpha) \text{ with minimal period } \tau, i(x) + 1 \leq l\},$$

$$N_3(l) = \#\{p \text{ is prime} \mid n + 1 \leq p \leq l\}, \quad l \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Let  $P(l)$  denote the number of prime numbers less than or equal to  $l$ . Then we have  $P(l) - (n - 1) \leq N_3(l) \leq P(l)$ , and

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_3(l) \log l}{l} = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P(l) \log l}{l} = 1, \tag{2.16}$$

where in the last step we used the Prime number theorem in Chapter 9 of [9]. On the other hand, by Lemma 2.5, we get

$$N_1(l) \geq N_2(al - b + 1), \tag{2.17}$$

where  $a, b$  are positive constants dependent only on  $\alpha, \Sigma$ .

We continue our study in two cases:

**Case 1.**  $n$  is even. In this case, let  $A = \{p \text{ is prime} \mid p \geq n + 1\}$ . Using (2.15), we obtain

$$A \subset (2\mathbf{N} - 1 + n) \subset \{m(i(x) + 1) \mid (\tau, x) \in \mathcal{J}(\Sigma, \alpha) \text{ with minimal period } \tau, m \in \mathbf{N}\}.$$

Hence, for any  $p \in A$ , we can find  $(\tau, x)$  such that

$$p = m(i(x) + 1). \tag{2.18}$$

Since  $p$  is a prime and  $2 \leq n \leq i(x)$  by Lemma 2.3, (2.18) holds only when  $m = 1$ , i.e.,  $i(x) + 1 = p$ . Thus

$$A \subset \{i(x) + 1 \mid (\tau, x) \in \mathcal{J}(\Sigma, \alpha) \text{ with minimal period } \tau\}. \tag{2.19}$$

Then

$$N_3(l) \leq N_2(l). \tag{2.20}$$

From (2.16) and (2.20), it follows that

$$\liminf_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_2(l) \log l}{l} \geq \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_3(l) \log l}{l} = 1. \tag{2.21}$$

Combining (2.17) with (2.21), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_1(l) \log l}{l} &\geq \liminf_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_2(al - b + 1) \log(al - b + 1)}{al - b + 1} \left( \frac{al - b + 1}{l} \frac{\log l}{\log(al - b + 1)} \right) \\ &\geq a > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

Thus our theorem holds for Case 1.

**Case 2.**  $n$  is odd. In this case, let  $A = \{2p \mid p \text{ is prime}, 2p \geq n + 1\}$ . Using (2.15), we obtain

$$A \subset (2\mathbf{N} - 1 + n) \subset \{m(i(x) + 1) \mid (\tau, x) \in \mathcal{J}(\Sigma, \alpha) \text{ with minimal period } \tau, m \in \mathbf{N}\}.$$

Hence, for any  $2p \in A$ , we can find  $(\tau, x)$  such that

$$2p = m(i(x) + 1). \tag{2.23}$$

Since  $p$  is a prime and  $2 \leq n \leq i(x)$  by Lemma 2.3, (2.23) holds only when  $m = 1$  and  $i(x) + 1 = 2p$ , or  $m = 2$  and  $i(x) + 1 = p$ . Thus, for any prime  $p \geq \frac{n+1}{2}$ , either  $p \in B$  or  $2p \in B$ , where  $B = \{i(x) + 1 \mid (\tau, x) \in \mathcal{J}(\Sigma, \alpha) \text{ with minimal period } \tau\}$ . Then

$$N_3(l) \leq N_2(2l). \tag{2.24}$$

From (2.16) and (2.24), it follows

$$\liminf_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_2(l) \log l}{l} \geq \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_3(l/2) \log(l/2)}{l/2} \frac{\log l}{2 \log(l/2)} = \frac{1}{2}. \tag{2.25}$$

Combining (2.17) with (2.25), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_1(l) \log l}{l} &\geq \liminf_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_2(al - b + 1) \log(al - b + 1)}{al - b + 1} \left( \frac{al - b + 1}{l} \frac{\log l}{\log(al - b + 1)} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{a}{2} > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

Thus our theorem holds for Case 2.

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

The following two lemmas will be useful in our proof of Theorem 1.2:

**Lemma 3.1** (cf. Lemma 3.2 of [15]) *Let  $\gamma(t), 0 \leq t \leq \tau$  be a symplectic path in  $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$ . Then we have  $i(\gamma^2) - 2i(\gamma) \leq n$ . Moreover, if  $i(\gamma^2) - 2i(\gamma) = n$ , then  $\gamma(\tau)$  can be deformed in  $\Omega^0(\gamma(\tau))$  (cf. Definition 1.8.5 and Theorem 1.8.10 of [11]) to  $M$  as follows:*

$$M = N_1(1, 1)^{\diamond p_-} \diamond I_{2p_0} \diamond R(\theta_1) \diamond \cdots \diamond R(\theta_r) \tag{3.1}$$

with  $p_- + p_0 + r = n$  and  $\theta_k \in (\pi, 2\pi)$  for  $1 \leq k \leq r$ . In particular, if  $\gamma$  is the associated symplectic path of  $(\tau, x) \in \mathcal{J}(\Sigma, \alpha)$ , then  $(\tau, x)$  is strictly elliptic.

Note that the proof of Lemma 3.2 of [15] works also for any symplectic path  $\gamma$  although it was given for closed characteristics.

**Lemma 3.2** (cf. Proposition V.1.9 of [6]) *Let  $\Sigma$  be a compact  $C^2$  hypersurface bounding a convex set with non-empty interior. Then  $\Sigma$  carries at least one closed characteristic with Ekeland index zero.*

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* In this section, we fix  $\alpha = 2$ . Let  $(\tau, x)$  be a closed characteristic with Ekeland index zero, i.e.,  $i^E(x) = 0$ . By Lemma 3.1 of [15], we obtain

$$\tau_2 = A(\tau, x) \geq \pi r^2 \tag{3.2}$$

where  $\tau_2$  is the quantity  $T_2$  in P. 191 of [6]. On the other hand, from Proposition V.1.8 of [6], Lemmas 2.1 and 3.1, and the fact that  $i^E(x) = 0$ , it follows that

$$2nE\left[\frac{2\tau_2}{\pi R^2}\right] = 2nE\left[\frac{A(2\tau, x)}{\pi R^2}\right] \leq i^E(x^2) = i(x^2) - n = i(x^2) - 2i(x) + n \leq 2n \tag{3.3}$$

where  $i^E(x^m)$  is the Ekeland index defined in [6],  $i(x^m)$  is the corresponding Maslov-type index,  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ . We continue the proof in two steps according to the value of  $\frac{R}{r}$ .

**Step 1.** If  $\frac{R}{r} < \sqrt{2}$ . From (3.2), we get  $1 \leq \frac{\tau_2}{\pi r^2} < \frac{2\tau_2}{\pi R^2}$ , the inequalities in (3.3) become equalities. Hence,  $i(x^2) - 2i(x) = n$ . It follows from Lemma 3.1 that  $(\tau, x)$  is strictly elliptic .

**Step 2.** If  $\frac{R}{r} = \sqrt{2}$ , when the strictly inequality in (3.2) holds, then  $1 < \frac{\tau_2}{\pi r^2} = \frac{2\tau_2}{\pi R^2}$ ,  $(\tau, x)$  is strictly elliptic from Step 1. In the following, we assume

$$\tau_2 = \pi r^2. \tag{3.4}$$

Consider the two linear Hamiltonian systems:

$$\dot{y} = 2JR^{-2}y \tag{3.5}$$

$$\dot{y} = JH_2''(x(t))y \tag{3.6}$$

and the two corresponding quadratic forms on

$$L_o^2([0, s], \mathbf{R}^{2n}) = \{v \in L^2([0, s], \mathbf{R}^{2n}) \mid \int_0^s v \, dt = 0\} \tag{3.7}$$

$$Q_s^R(v, v) := \frac{1}{2} \int_0^s \{Jv \cdot \Pi v + \frac{R^2}{2} \|v\|^2\} \, dt \tag{3.8}$$

$$Q_s(v, v) := \frac{1}{2} \int_0^s \{Jv \cdot \Pi v + (H_2''(x(t))^{-1} Jv, Jv)\} \, dt \tag{3.9}$$

From the pinching condition, it follows that

$$Q_{2\tau_2}^R(v, v) \geq Q_{2\tau_2}(v, v), \quad \forall v \in L_o^2([0, 2\tau_2], \mathbf{R}^{2n}). \tag{3.10}$$

Denote by  $i_s^R$  and  $i_s$  the indices of  $Q_s^R$  and  $Q_s$  respectively. Denote by  $V$  the kernel of  $Q_{2\tau_2}^R$ . Let  $u \in V$ , then  $Q_{2\tau_2}^R(v, u) = 0, \forall v \in L_o^2([0, 2\tau_2], \mathbf{R}^{2n})$ .

That is

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\tau_2} \{(Jv, \Pi u) + \frac{R^2}{2}(v, u)\} \, dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\tau_2} \{(-v, J\Pi u) + \frac{R^2}{2}(v, u)\} \, dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\tau_2} \{(v, -J\Pi u + \frac{R^2}{2}u)\} \, dt, \quad \forall v \in L_o^2([0, 2\tau_2], \mathbf{R}^{2n}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Therefore,

$$-J\Pi u(t) + \frac{R^2}{2}u(t) = c, \quad \forall 0 \leq t \leq 2\tau_2 \tag{3.12}$$

where  $c$  is a constant. Differentiating (3.12) with respect to  $t$  yields

$$\dot{u}(t) = \frac{2}{R^2}Ju(t), \quad \forall 0 \leq t \leq 2\tau_2. \tag{3.13}$$

By solving this equation, we obtain

$$u(t) = \exp\left(\frac{2}{R^2}Jt\right)u(0), \quad \forall 0 \leq t \leq 2\tau_2. \tag{3.14}$$

From this we know  $V$  is a  $2n$ -dimensional subspace of  $L_o^2([0, 2\tau_2], \mathbf{R}^{2n})$ , i.e.,

$$\dim V = 2n \tag{3.15}$$

**Claim.**  $Ju(0)$  is an eigenvector of  $\gamma(\tau_2)$  for the eigenvalue  $-1$  if  $Q_{2\tau_2}(u, u) = 0$  for  $u \in V$ , where  $\gamma(t)$  is the associated symplectic path of  $(\tau, x)$ .

In fact, if  $Q_{2\tau_2}(u, u) = 0$ , then

$$0 = Q_{2\tau_2}^R(u, u) - Q_{2\tau_2}(u, u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\tau_2} \left\{ \left( \frac{R^2}{2} Ju - H_2''(x(t))^{-1} Ju, Ju \right) \right\} dt. \tag{3.16}$$

But, by the pinching condition,  $\frac{R^2}{2} I_{2n} - H_2''(x(t))^{-1}$  is semi-positive definite for all  $0 \leq t \leq 2\tau_2$ , it follows from (3.14) that

$$\left( \frac{R^2}{2} Ju(t) - H_2''(x(t))^{-1} Ju(t), Ju(t) \right) = 0, \forall 0 \leq t \leq 2\tau_2. \tag{3.17}$$

Let  $\frac{R^2}{2} I_{2n} - H_2''(x(t))^{-1} = P^T(t)D(t)P(t)$ , where  $P(t)$  is an orthogonal matrix,  $P^T(t)$  is its transpose,  $D(t) = \text{diag}(\lambda_1(t), \lambda_2(t), \dots, \lambda_{2n}(t))$  is a diagonal matrix,  $\lambda_i(t) \geq 0$ , for any  $1 \leq i \leq 2n$ , then,

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{R^2}{2} Ju(t) - H_2''(x(t))^{-1} Ju(t), Ju(t) \right) &= (P^T(t)D(t)P(t)Ju(t), Ju(t)) \\ &= (D(t)P(t)Ju(t), P(t)Ju(t)). \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

From (3.17) and (3.18), we obtain  $(D(t)P(t)Ju(t), P(t)Ju(t)) = 0$ . Let  $P(t)Ju(t) = w(t) = (w_1(t), w_2(t), \dots, w_{2n}(t))^T$ . Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2n} \lambda_i(t) w_i^2(t) = 0. \tag{3.19}$$

But  $\lambda_i(t) \geq 0, \forall 1 \leq i \leq 2n$ . Thus  $\lambda_i(t)w_i(t) = 0, \forall 1 \leq i \leq 2n, 0 \leq t \leq 2\tau_2$  follows from (3.19). Hence,  $D(t)P(t)Ju(t) = 0, \forall 0 \leq t \leq 2\tau_2$ . Then

$$\frac{R^2}{2} Ju(t) - H_2''(x(t))^{-1} Ju(t) = P^T(t)D(t)P(t)Ju(t) = 0, \forall 0 \leq t \leq 2\tau_2. \tag{3.20}$$

Combining (3.13) with (3.20), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} JH_2''(x(t))Ju(t) &= JH_2''(x(t))\left(\frac{2}{R^2} H_2''(x(t))^{-1} Ju(t)\right) \\ &= -\frac{2}{R^2} u(t) = Ju(t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

i.e.,  $Ju$  is a solution of (3.6), thus

$$Ju(t) = \gamma(t)Ju(0), \tag{3.22}$$

Combining (3.14) with (3.22), we obtain

$$\left(\gamma(t) - \exp\left(\frac{2}{R^2} Jt\right)\right)Ju(0) = 0. \tag{3.23}$$

Let  $t = \tau_2$ , then  $\frac{2}{R^2}t = \pi$ . From (3.23), we get

$$0 = (\gamma(\tau_2) + I_{2n})(Ju(0)).$$

Hence, the Claim holds.

Denote by  $\nu$  the dimension of the eigenspace for eigenvalue  $-1$  of  $\gamma(\tau_2)$ . From (3.10), (3.15) and the above claim, we know  $Q_{2\tau_2}(\nu, \nu)$  is negative-definite on a  $(2n - \nu)$ -dimensional subspace of  $V$ , it follows that

$$2n - \nu \leq i_{2\tau_2}. \tag{3.24}$$

From Theorem 1.8.10 of [11], there is a path  $f : [0, \tau_2] \rightarrow \Omega^0(\gamma(\tau_2))$ (cf. Definition 1.8.5 of [11]) such that  $f(0) = \gamma(\tau_2)$  and  $f(\tau_2) = N_1(-1, 1)^{\circ q_-} \diamond (-I_{2q_0}) \diamond N_1(-1, -1)^{\circ q_+} \diamond M$ , where  $M$  has no eigenvalue  $-1$ . Then the following holds:

$$\nu = q_- + 2q_0 + q_+. \tag{3.25}$$

Denote by  $\xi = f * \gamma$  the joint path of  $\gamma$  and  $f$ . By the homotopy invariance of Maslov-type index,  $i(\gamma^m) = i(\xi^m)$ ,  $\forall m \in \mathbf{N}$ . Let  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  be two symplectic paths starting from the identities which satisfy  $\xi_1(\tau_2) = N_1(-1, 1)^{\circ q_-} \diamond (-I_{2q_0}) \diamond N_1(-1, -1)^{\circ q_+}$ ,  $\xi_2(\tau_2) = M$  and  $i(\xi) = i(\xi_1) + i(\xi_2)$ . Then for any  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $i(\xi^m) = i(\xi_1^m) + i(\xi_2^m)$  (cf. Lemma 8.1.3 of [11]). Hence

$$\begin{aligned} i_{2\tau_2} &= i^E(x^2) = i(x^2) - n = i(\gamma^2) - n \\ &= i(\xi^2) - n = i(\xi_1^2) + i(\xi_2^2) - n \\ &= i_{-1}(\xi_1) + i_1(\xi_1) + i_{-1}(\xi_2) + i_1(\xi_2) - n \\ &= i_{-1}(\xi_1) - i(\xi_1) + i_{-1}(\xi_2) - i(\xi_2) + n. \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

Here we have used the Bott-type iteration formulae(cf. Theorem 9.2.1 of [11]), and Lemma 2.1. By computations on the splitting numbers(cf. Section 9.1 of [11]),

$$i_{-1}(\xi_1) - i(\xi_1) = -q_+ - q_0. \tag{3.27}$$

From the Bott-type iteration formulae(cf. Theorem 9.2.1 of [11]) and (3.24)-(3.27), we know

$$\begin{aligned} i(\xi_2^2) - 2i(\xi_2) &= i_{-1}(\xi_2) - i(\xi_2) \\ &= i_{2\tau_2} - (i_{-1}(\xi_1) - i(\xi_1)) - n \\ &\geq 2n - \nu + q_+ + q_0 - n \\ &= n - q_+ - 2q_0 - q_- + q_+ + q_0 \\ &= n - q_- - q_0 \\ &\geq n - q_- - q_0 - q_+ = \frac{1}{2}rank(M). \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

It follows from Lemma 3.1 that we have  $i(\xi_2^2) - 2i(\xi_2) = \frac{1}{2}rank(M)$ ,  $q_+ = 0$ , all the eigenvalues of  $M$  are on the unit circle and Krein-definite. Therefore,  $(\tau, x)$  is elliptic. Here when  $q_- + q_0 > 0$ , the eigenvalue  $-1$  is Krein-indefinite. Furthermore, it follows from Lemma 3.2 that there always exists one elliptic closed characteristic. The proof is complete.

**Acknowledgements.** I would like to sincerely thank my advisor, Professor Yiming Long, for introducing me to Hamiltonian dynamics and for his valuable help and encouragement during the writing of this paper.

## References

- [1] G. Dell'Antoio, Variational Calculus and Stability of Periodic Solutions of a Class of Hamiltonian Systems, SISSA Ref. 185/92/FM, October, 1992.
- [2] G. Dell'Antoio, B. D'Onofrio, and I. Ekeland, *Les systèmes hamiltoniens convexes et pairs ne sont pas ergodiques en général*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I **315** (1992), 1413-1415.
- [3] B. D'Onofrio and I. Ekeland, *Hamiltonian systems with elliptic periodic orbits*, Nonlinear Anal. **14** (1990), 11-21.
- [4] I. Ekeland, *Une théorie de Morse pour les systèmes hamiltoniens convexes*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **1** (1984), 19-78.
- [5] I. Ekeland, *An index theory for periodic solutions of convex Hamiltonian systems*, Proc. Symp. in Pure Math. **45** (1986), 395-423.
- [6] I. Ekeland, Convexity Methods in Hamiltonian Mechanics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [7] N. Hingston, *Equivariant Morse theory and closed geodesics*, J. Differential Geom. **19** (1984), 85-116.
- [8] N. Hingston, *On the growth of the number of closed geodesics on the two-sphere*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices 1993, no. 9, 253-262. MR 94m: 58044.
- [9] Hua Loo Keng, Introduction to Number Theory, Springer, Berlin, 1982.
- [10] Y. Long, *Hyperbolic closed characteristics on compact convex smooth hypersurfaces in  $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$* . J. Diff. Equa. **150** (1998), 227-249.
- [11] Y. Long, Index Theory for Symplectic Paths with Applications, Progress in Mathematics. 207, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2002.
- [12] Y. Long and C. Zhu, *Closed characteristics on compact convex hypersurfaces in  $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$* . Ann. of Math. **155** (2002), 317-368.
- [13] P. Rabinowitz, *Periodic solutions of Hamiltonian systems*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **31** (1978), 157-184.
- [14] W. Wang, X. Hu and Y. Long, *Resonance identity, stability and multiplicity of closed characteristics on compact convex hypersurfaces*. Duke Math. J. **139** (2007), 411-462.
- [15] W. Wang, *Stability of closed characteristics on compact hypersurfaces in  $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$  under a pinching condition*. Advanced Nonlinear Studies. **10** (2010), 263-272.
- [16] A. Weinstein, *Periodic orbits for convex Hamiltonian systems*, Ann. of Math. **108** (1978), 507-518.