

Contents

Abstract — V

Preface — VII

1 Pre-introduction — 1

- 1.1 Abstract — 1
- 1.2 Some comments from positive reviews from Academia Letters — 1
- 1.3 Introduction — 1
- 1.4 Operators — 2
- 1.5 New logic — 2
- 1.6 Statement — 5
- 1.7 Inclusion and being a part – new mereology — 6
- 1.8 Simple implication — 7
- 1.9 Other examples of implications — 10
- 1.10 Grounded material implication — 11
- 1.11 Age-old mathematicians dream — 12

2 Introduction — 13

- 2.1 Main differences between new logic and classical logic — 13
 - 2.1.1 Introduction — 13
 - 2.1.2 Theory as a set of statements versus theory as a set of sentences — 13
 - 2.1.3 A statement (a piece of information) versus a sentence (a symbol of a piece of information) — 13
 - 2.1.4 New implication — 15
 - 2.1.5 Reduction to absurdity (lat. *reductio ad absurdum*) — 16
 - 2.1.6 Speaker and context versus model — 17
 - 2.1.7 Definitions-based definition of new logic — 18
- 2.2 What among other things you will find here — 18
 - 2.2.1 Introduction — 18
 - 2.2.2 It is quite easy — 18
 - 2.2.3 Being a part and implication — 24
 - 2.2.4 Simple implication — 26
 - 2.2.5 Material implication derived from new implication — 28
 - 2.2.6 Undecidable statements — 28
- 2.3 More about speaker and context versus model — 28
- 2.4 Symbols — 29
 - 2.4.1 Operators — 29
 - 2.4.2 Nothing, things, sets and conjunctions — 30
- 2.5 Default quantifiers — 31

3 Two important terms — 32

4 Priorities of operators — 33

5 Definition — 34

- 5.1 Introduction — **34**
 - 5.1.1 Standalone symbols — **34**
 - 5.1.2 A thing and nothing, a being and a nobeing — **35**
 - 5.1.3 Totality, equality, sameness and equality of identities — **37**
 - 5.1.4 Reality, possible and impossible things — **40**
 - 5.1.5 The attempt and the result of a definition — **42**
 - 5.1.6 Presence and existence — **45**
- 5.2 Definition of a set — **46**
- 5.3 Conjunction and being a part — **47**
- 5.4 Definitions-based and almost noncircular definition of logic, set theory and theory of things – for advanced readers — **52**
- 5.5 Extensional definition — **60**
- 5.6 Other operations on things — **60**
- 5.7 New logic as a lattice and partial order by implication – for advanced readers — **64**
- 5.8 Ordered pair — **67**
- 5.9 Function definition — **68**
- 5.10 Logical values — **69**
- 5.11 Basics of new logic — **72**
- 5.12 Theory and a contradiction — **73**
- 5.13 Decidability — **76**
- 5.14 Provability — **79**
- 5.15 Logical paradox, contrary and contradictory statements — **82**
- 5.16 Liar paradox — **85**
- 5.17 Verified and not verified rules — **86**
- 5.18 Sentence, statement, logical value — **87**
- 5.19 The definition of a statement — **90**
- 5.20 Tautologies — **92**
- 5.21 Truth and untruth — **95**
- 5.22 Relation between mind and logic — **104**
- 5.23 Meaningful and nonmeaningful sentences, decidable and undecidable statements — **105**
- 5.24 Proof, that zero-valued and double-valued statements are not the solution to the problem — **110**
- 5.25 Proof, that those are not incorrect sentences — **111**
- 5.26 Consistency of totality and reality — **112**
- 5.27 Counterfactual noncontradiction — **112**

- 5.28 Solving the system of statements about the unpredictable future — **114**
- 5.29 About Liar paradox — **115**
- 5.30 Unknown logical value — **116**
- 5.31 Realization and materialization – an evidence, that a statement is true and the definition of truth — **116**
- 5.32 Realization – examples — **121**
- 5.33 More about logical values — **122**
- 5.34 Logical functions — **124**
- 5.35 Implication operator — **125**
- 5.36 Intuitionistic logic unnecessary and wrong — **132**
- 5.37 Useful rules for implication — **132**
- 5.38 Contextual implication – facilitators for a reasoning in proofs — **137**
 - 5.38.1 Derivation of “material implication” from implication — **137**
 - 5.38.2 More about statements — **142**
 - 5.38.3 Contextual implication as a tautology and implication as a quantifier — **145**
 - 5.38.4 Contextual implication as a tautology – continuation — **148**
 - 5.38.5 Why “material implication” is not self-sufficient implication — **148**
 - 5.38.6 Factual and nonfactual conditionals — **149**
 - 5.38.7 The final solution to all “problems with implication” — **152**
 - 5.38.8 Operator “so” — **153**
 - 5.38.9 Operator “If . . . then . . . else” — **154**
 - 5.38.10 Two-way factual conditional — **155**
- 5.39 Equality operator — **155**
- 5.40 Possibility of implication operator — **156**
- 5.41 The list of verified tautologies for possibility of implication — **157**
- 5.42 Possibility of implication – examples — **158**
- 5.43 When you can use contextual implication instead of implication — **159**
- 5.44 Possibility of equality operator – equality of logical values — **161**
- 5.45 Deterministic and nondeterministic implication operator — **162**
- 5.46 Deterministic and nondeterministic conditioning operator — **164**
- 5.47 Causation operators — **164**
- 5.48 Feedback operator — **167**
- 5.49 Operator “though” — **167**
- 5.50 Operator “but” — **167**
- 5.51 The negation of a set — **169**
- 5.52 Elementary operations and operators — **169**
- 5.53 The empty set and the set of everything — **169**
- 5.54 More about things and nothing — **170**
- 5.55 Cardinalities — **176**
- 5.56 Lists and tuples — **176**

5.57	Cartesian product and exponentiation —	179
5.58	Definitions —	180
5.59	Recurrent set definition —	181
5.60	Set specification —	182
5.61	Completeness of truth – logical causality —	183
5.62	Definitions instead of standalone axioms —	185
5.63	Definitions based systems —	185
5.64	The set of all concrete sets —	186
5.65	Quantifiers —	188
6	Inference rules —	193
6.1	The difference between deterministic and nondeterministic implication —	193
6.2	Elementary notions —	195
6.3	Basic properties of inclusion of things —	201
6.3.1	Rules —	201
6.3.2	No exceptions —	203
6.4	Minimal step of a simple implication —	206
6.5	Simple implication —	209
6.6	A simple declarence —	211
6.7	Turning a plural form into its singular form —	213
6.8	Turning a simple declarence into all its forms —	214
6.9	Inferring an implication from the expression of simple implications —	217
6.10	Additional useful rules for composition and decomposition of implication —	217
6.11	An implication as a simple declarence —	220
6.12	Some simple implications —	222
6.13	Additional deduction rules —	223
7	Proving and disproving statements —	224
7.1	All kinds of logical proofs —	224
7.2	Example of a direct proof explained in details —	231
7.3	Example of an indirect proof – proof by a contradiction – explained in details —	232
7.4	Example of proof by induction explained in details —	234
8	Subjective and objective logic —	236
9	Definition – more advanced part —	242
9.1	Conjunction and being a part – introduction —	242
9.2	Conjunction as the fundament of logic and set theory —	246
9.2.1	Introduction —	246

- 9.2.2 Every thing as the conjunction of all its parts — **247**
- 9.2.3 Elementary operations on things — **248**
- 9.2.4 Negation and complement — **249**
- 9.2.5 Subtraction of statements — **253**
- 9.2.6 Logic for a plain things — **254**
- 9.2.7 Derivation of the main assumption of new logic — **254**
- 9.2.8 Other laws of things — **256**
- 9.2.9 Some operations on abstract things — **261**
- 9.2.10 Statements — **262**
- 9.2.11 Conjunctions and disjunctions of inclusions — **265**
- 9.2.12 Additional rules — **268**
- 9.3 The list of the rules of inclusion and inference — **271**

10 Theory of things – operations on things — 275

- 10.1 Introduction — **275**
- 10.2 Physical and nonphysical things — **279**
- 10.3 Past, present, future and potential things — **280**
- 10.4 Attributes of things — **281**
- 10.5 Equality, sameness and equality of identities — **281**
- 10.6 Representatives that are past, future or potential — **282**
- 10.7 Singular and plural forms — **283**
- 10.8 Relations “is” and “are” — **288**
- 10.9 Representation of a class — **288**
- 10.10 Not strict classes and representations — **291**
- 10.11 Expansion of a class — **291**
- 10.12 Relations — **293**
 - 10.12.1 Definition of “Some/Any” and “The” relations — **293**
 - 10.12.2 Examples of normalized relations of this type — **299**
 - 10.12.3 More complex examples of normalized relations of this type — **301**
 - 10.12.4 More complex examples of normalization of relations of this type — **302**
 - 10.12.5 Quantifier “Some”/“Any” and conversion of every other quantifier to it — **304**
- 10.13 Negation of a thing — **306**
- 10.14 Classes and classifications — **312**
- 10.15 Narrowing of a set — **313**
- 10.16 The thing, the kind of which has given representation — **314**
- 10.17 More about abstract and concrete things — **315**
- 10.18 Transitivity of relation “*isp/arep*” – rules of deduction — **316**
- 10.19 Relation “is/are” in any tense — **319**

11 New logic – extension — 321

- 11.1 Introduction — **321**
- 11.2 Negation of disjunctions and conjunctions — **321**
- 11.3 Something is so . . . as . . . — **322**
- 11.4 Something instead of something — **324**
- 11.5 Relation “can” — **326**
- 11.6 Which/that — **326**
 - 11.6.1 Any — **326**
 - 11.6.2 Some — **327**
- 11.7 To do something to achieve something — **327**
- 11.8 The more something the more something — **327**

12 Probabilistic logic — 328

- 12.1 Probability for elementary logic operators — **328**
- 12.2 Probability of equality of logical values and possibility of implication — **333**
- 12.3 Statistical independence of two declarations implicates statistical dependence of their both ways possibilities of implication and vice versa — **334**
- 12.4 Universe — **336**
- 12.5 Realization of relation in given universe — **339**
- 12.6 Quantifier “the” and the set of all examples supporting given relation — **342**
- 12.7 Implication in given universe implicates the same implication in its sub-universe — **344**
- 12.8 Implication in given universe expressed as inclusion of sets of all examples supporting given relations — **345**
- 12.9 Calculation of probability for different probabilities of situations — **345**
- 12.10 Possible extensions of logical values to real values — **347**
- 12.11 Probability in time — **349**
- 12.12 The probability that an entity is equal to given entity — **352**
- 12.13 Probability formulas for larger sets of declarations — **353**

13 All mathematics derived from logic — 354

14 Depth and richness of logic — 355

15 Problems and paradoxes solved — 356

- 15.1 Introduction (read it first) — **356**
- 15.2 Liar paradox disproven (US) — **356**
- 15.3 Card paradox disproven (US) — **358**
- 15.4 No-no paradox disproven — **359**

- 15.5 Grelling-Nelson paradox disproven (US) — **359**
- 15.6 Russell's paradox disproven without axioms (NST+US) — **360**
- 15.7 Burali-Forti paradox disproven — **362**
- 15.8 Curry's paradox disproven (US) — **362**
- 15.9 Curry's paradox in set theory disproven (NST+US) — **363**
- 15.10 Cantor's paradox disproven — **363**
- 15.11 Hilbert's second problem — **364**
- 15.12 Gödel's incompleteness theorems disproven (US) — **365**
- 15.13 Tarski's undefinability theorem disproven (US) — **368**
- 15.14 Response to the proof of undecidability of halting problem — **370**
- 15.15 Response to the proof of Kolmogorov complexity uncomputability — **371**
- 15.16 Berry's paradox solved — **372**
- 15.17 Richard paradox solved — **373**
- 15.18 Hilbert-Bernays paradox solved — **374**
- 15.19 Boy or Girl paradox — **375**
- 15.20 Knower paradox disproven — **377**
- 15.21 Fitch's paradox of knowability disproven — **378**
- 15.22 Bhartrhari's paradox solved — **378**
- 15.23 Quine's paradox disproven (US) — **379**
- 15.24 Pinocchio paradox disproven (US) — **380**
- 15.25 Yablo's paradox disproven (US) — **381**
- 15.26 Crocodile dilemma paradox disproven (US) — **381**
- 15.27 Paradox of the Court disproven (US) — **382**
- 15.28 Unexpected hanging paradox disproven — **383**
- 15.29 "Zero measure" and "one measure" probabilities and Borel-Kolmogorov paradox very simply disproven (TM) — **384**
- 15.30 Bertrand paradox solved — **388**
- 15.31 Any other paradox disproven or solved — **390**
- 15.32 Categorical imperative and "Golden Rule" disproven — **390**
- 15.33 Norton's dome disproven — **391**
- 15.34 Ship of Theseus and sorites paradox solved (TT) — **392**
- 15.35 Counterexample to identity of indiscernibles disproven — **393**
- 15.36 Problem of universals and mathematical objects — **393**
- 15.37 Problem of individuation (TT) — **394**
- 15.38 Material implication problem solved (NL) — **394**
- 15.39 Counterfactuals problem disproven — **394**
- 15.40 Gettier problem disproven — **395**
- 15.41 Demarcation problem solved — **397**
- 15.42 Munchhausen trilemma (NL) — **397**
- 15.43 Problem of the criterion — **397**
- 15.44 Why there is something rather than nothing? (NL) — **398**
- 15.45 Stephen Hawking not right about 2-D creatures — **398**

- 15.46 The ontological argument of Anselm of Canterbury disproven — **399**
 - 15.46.1 Abstract — **399**
 - 15.46.2 The ontological argument of Anselm of Canterbury — **399**
 - 15.46.3 The proof — **401**

16 Bonus — 403

- 16.1 True measure – Lebesgue measure fails — **403**
 - 16.1.1 Unambiguous measures and why Lebesgue measure fails — **403**
 - 16.1.2 Measure extended to countable sets – ambiguous measure — **408**
 - 16.1.3 Example of not an ordinary continuum set — **408**
 - 16.1.4 Nonuniform measures and probability — **409**
- 16.2 Arithmetic definition based on definitions — **410**
 - 16.2.1 The simplest definition of arithmetic — **410**
 - 16.2.2 Arithmetic defined different way — **412**
 - 16.2.3 Peano arithmetic too general — **418**
 - 16.2.4 Complex numbers wrongly defined — **419**
- 16.3 Cartesian geometry definition based on definitions — **421**
- 16.4 How logic can help physics. Einstein was most likely right about quantum physics — **422**

References — 423

Index — 425