List of tables

Table 2.1	Latin square design with languages A–D, stimuli 1–4 and test versions I–IV —— 29
Table 4.1	A target word (bogstav) that can be recognized by Dutch listeners by means

- of a cognate in the bridge language (German) and a target word (*vante*) that can be recognized because it is a cognate in the listeners' native language (Dutch) —— **84**
- **Table 4.2** Examples where Swedish has a stronger letter-to-sound correspondence than Danish —— 94
- **Table 4.3** The written and spoken forms in Swedish and Danish of the words for 'hat' (consistent Swedish pronunciation for a Danish listener) and 'married' (inconsistent Swedish pronunciation for a Danish listener) —— **95**
- Table 5.1 Example of the compilation of a cognate list used to measure the lexical distances from Dutch (the target language) to four other Germanic languages (listener languages) —— 105
- **Table 5.2** Illustration of the Levenshtein algorithm, showing three operations: one insertion (ins), one substitution (sub), and one deletion (del) —— 119
- **Table 5.3** Orthographic distance, phonetic distance, and phonetic distance corrected for orthography for a Dane presented with a Swedish cognate —— 130
- Table 5.4 Illustration of the movement and indel measures —— 135
- **Table 5.5** Illustration of the establishment of trigrams —— 135
- **Table 5.6** Inventory of trigrams in the two sentences *It would be difficult* and *After a while it will become easier* —— **136**
- **Table 6.1** Phonetic distances corrected for orthography for a Dane presented with a Swedish cognate (25%) and for a Swede presented with a Danish cognate (50%) —— 162
- **Table 6.2** Example where Spanish has a stronger letter-to-sound correspondence than Portuguese —— **164**
- **Table 6.3** The word for 'woman' in the target language (Croatian) and the corresponding cognates in five Slavic languages —— **169**
- **Table 6.4** The word for 'woman' in the target language (Polish). There is no corresponding cognate in the five Slavic languages —— **169**
- **Table 6.5** The target word for 'to die' in Zazaki and Kurmaji with asymmetric percentages correct translations —— **179**
- **Table 7.1** Correlation coefficients between general intelligibility scores and the six determinants across all 70 language combinations and for the three language families separately (20 Germanic, 20 Romance, and 30 Slavic language combinations) —— **189**
- **Table 7.2** Stepwise regression analyses with general mean intelligibility score as the criterion and six linguistic and extra-linguistic predictors —— 190
- **Table 7.3** Stepwise regression analyses with general mean intelligibility scores as criterion variable and three linguistic predictors only —— **191**
- **Table 7.4** Correlations between inherent intelligibility and three linguistic distances for all 57 language combinations and for the three language families separately —— **192**

Table 7.5	Stepwise regression analyses with mean inherent intelligibility scores per
	language combination as the criterion and three linguistic distances
	as predictors —— 193
Table 7.6	Correlation coefficients between inherent intelligibility scores and six linguistic
	predictors for 61 language combinations and for the three language families
	separately —— 194
Table 7.7	Stepwise regression analyses with mean inherent intelligibility scores per
	language combination as the criterion and four phonetic distances
	as predictors —— 195
Table B.1	Results of cloze tests for the Germanic language family —— 227
Table B.2	Results of cloze tests for the Romance language family —— 228
Table B.3	Results of cloze tests for the Slavic language family —— 228
Table C.1	Mean exposure scores for the Germanic language family —— 229
Table C.2	Mean exposure scores for the Romance language family —— 229
Table C.3	Mean exposure scores for the Slavic language family —— 229
Table C.4	Attitude scores for the Germanic language family —— 230
Table C.5	Attitude scores for the Romance language family —— 230
Table C.6	Attitude scores on a scale from 1 (ugly) to 5 (beautiful) for the Slavic language
	family —— 230
Table C.7	Number of years of learning the target language for the Germanic language
	family —— 231
Table C.8	Number of years of learning the target language for the Romance language
	family —— 231
Table D.1	Lexical distances for the Germanic language family —— 232
Table D.2	Lexical distances for the Romance language family —— 232
Table D.3	Lexical distances for the Slavic language family —— 232
Table D.4	Phonetic distances for the Germanic language family —— 233
Table D.5	Phonetic distances for the Romance language family —— 233
Table D.6	Phonetic distances for the Slavic language family —— 233
Table D.7	Syntactic distances for the Germanic language family —— 234
Table D.8	Syntactic distances for the Romance language family —— 234
Table D.9	Syntactic distances for the Slavic language family —— 234