

Contents

Introduction	1
Chapter 1: The Phrase Structure of Hungarian and Wh-Movement	9
1.1. On the Issue of Configurationality: “Word Order” and the Base	9
1.1.1. The Configurationality Parameter and X-Bar Theory	9
1.1.2. The Category-Neutral Base Hypothesis and the Structure of S vs. NP in Hungarian	13
1.2. Towards a Configurational, “SVO”-Type Phrase Structure	20
1.3. “Quantifier Float” and the SVO Base Hypothesis	27
1.4. “Weak Crossover” as a Test for Configurationality of Phrase Structure	32
1.5. Wh-Movement	35
1.5.1. COMP: The Landing Site of Relativization	38
1.5.2. Wh-Question Formation and Its Landing Site	44
1.6. A “Pre-V” Node in VP and the Constituent V'	51
1.6.1. The “VP-Deletion” Test	55
1.6.2. Evidence from the Distribution of Sentence-Adverbs	56
1.6.3. Evidence from a Restriction on Surface Recursion	57
1.6.4. The “Head Peripherality Condition” and the Constituent V'	59
1.7. Wh-Question Formation: Substitution into the “Pre-V” Node	66
1.8. “Local Postposing” and Some Interpretive Templates	72
Chapter 2: The Syntax of FOCUS	89
2.1. “FOCUS Movement”	90
2.1.1. Evidence for a Movement Analysis	98
2.1.2. The “FOCUS-Position”	101
2.2. The FOCUS-Assignment Parameter	114
2.3. A Universal Constraint on the Wh-Q Operator	118
2.3.1. The Case of Basque	123
2.3.2. The Case of Aghem	124
2.4. FOCUS, V-Government, and Adjacency: A Revision of the “FOCUS-Assignment Parameter”	127

2.4.1. Government, Adjacency, and a “Locality Condition on Feature-Assignment”	128
2.4.2. The FOCUS-Parameter	132
2.4.3. On a Possible Extension of FOCUS-Assignment by a Head: V/Aux-Inversion Processes	134
2.5. A Unidirectionality Restriction on the Government Relation	138
Chapter 3: “FOCUS-Movement”, Empty Categories, and Theories of Binding	149
3.1. FOCUS/Wh-Movement and the EST Notion of Trace and Binding	150
3.2. “Movement to FOCUS” as a Stylistic Rule	158
3.2.1. The Scope of Wh-Q in Hungarian	161
3.2.2. The “Trace Erasure Prohibition”	162
3.2.3. ASPECT Interpretation and the SRH	163
3.3. Some Problems with the SRH	165
3.3.1. FOCUS Interpretation and the SRH	166
3.3.2. The Inadequacy of the SRH Account of ASPECT Interpretation	173
3.4. The Syntactic “Move α ” Hypothesis and the Non-Unitary Nature of “Trace-Binding”	177
3.4.1. Binding in the GB Framework: Variables vs. Anaphors	178
3.4.2. “Downgrading” Movement and the Trace of Wh-Q/FOCUS Phrases	184
3.4.3. Binding and the Apparent Obligatoriness of FOCUS-Assignment	188
3.5. The Operator-Binding of Variables and Wh-Q/FOCUS Raising in LF	190
3.6. Empirical Evidence for Movement in LF	198
3.6.1. Imperatives	199
3.6.2. A Solution to the ASPECT-Interpretation Paradox	201
3.7. On “Trace Erasure” and the Θ -Criterion	205
Chapter 4: “Long-Distance” Wh/FOCUS Movements and Bounding	219
4.1. On the Wh-Island Phenomenon	219
4.2. The Extraction of Wh-Q/FOCUS Phrases and Its “Escape Hatch”	223
4.3. COMP-to-COMP Movement and a Parameter of Bounding	228
Bibliography	237