

List of tables

Table 1	Humor families (Attardo 1994: 47) —	46
Table 2	Possible script combinations (Attardo 1994: 204) —	57
Table 3	Word frequency of critical segments (means and standard deviations (sd) per condition (cf. Universität Leipzig 2021) —	116
Table 4	Minimal triplet example for the three conditions art-horror, humor, and coherence —	116
Table 5	Pairwise comparisons of surprise; n.s. = not significant —	121
Table 6	Pairwise comparisons of exhilaration; n.s. = not significant) —	121
Table 7	Percentual comprehensibility values and Pearson's X^2 for the three answer possibilities of yes/no/I do not know —	122
Table 8	Word frequency of critical segments (means and standard deviation (sd) per condition (cf. Universität Leipzig 2021) —	124
Table 9	Percentual comprehensibility values and Pearson's X^2 for the three answer possibilities of yes/no/I do not know —	126
Table 10	Pairwise comparisons of surprise; n.s. = not significant —	128
Table 11	Pairwise comparisons of exhilaration; n.s. = not significant —	128
Table 12	Percentual comprehensibility values and Pearson's X^2 for the three answer possibilities of yes/no/I do not know —	129
Table 13	Example of minimal triplets of the SPR material in the three conditions art-horror, humor, and coherence —	133
Table 14	Association of emotions and facial movements (in Action Units) —	141
Table 15	FEE, STCI, STHI and Geloph<15> – Mean results of non-reacting participants compared to reacting participants and overall means over all conditions —	152
Table 16	FEE, STCI, STHI and Geloph<15> – Mean questionnaire results of non-reacting participants compared to reacting participants in the three conditions art-horror, humor, and coherence —	153
Table 17	Example of minimal quadruplets of the ERP material in the four conditions art-horror, humor, incoherence, and coherence —	165
Table 18	Means of critical segment per condition for word frequency (cf. Universität Leipzig 2021), word length and syllable length —	165
Table 19	Analysis of ROIs in first time window (300–450 ms) for main effects of midline electrodes and lateral electrodes per region of interest for six pairwise comparisons —	171
Table 20	Analysis of ROIs in second time window (600–700 ms) for main effects of midline electrodes and lateral electrodes per region of interest for six pairwise comparisons —	172
Table 21	Analysis of ROIs in third time window (950–1050 ms) for main effects of midline electrodes and lateral electrodes per region of interest for six pairwise comparisons —	172
Table 22	Interpretation of ERP results per condition and time window —	184

