

REFERENCES



- [1] Autzen C. & Weitkamp E. (2020), 22. *Science communication and public relations: beyond borders*. In *Science Communication*, De Gruyter Mouton, pp. 465-484. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110255522-022>
- [2] Schäfer M.S. et al. (2020), 4. *Analyzing science communication through the lens of communication science: Reviewing the empirical evidence*. In *Science Communication*, De Gruyter Mouton, pp. 77-104. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110255522-004>
- [3] Russell N. (2010), *Communicating Science*. Cambridge University Press. ISBN:9780521113830
- [4] Akin H. & Scheufele D.A. (2017), *Overview of the Science of Science Communication*. In *The Oxford Handbook of The Science of Science Communication*, Oxford University Press. p. 25-33. ISBN:9780190497620
- [5] Hendriks F. & Kienhues D. (2020), 2. *Science understanding between scientific literacy and trust: contributions from psychological and educational research*. In *Science Communication*, De Gruyter Mouton, pp. 29-50. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110255522-002>
- [6] Betz G. & Lanus D. (2020), 1. *Philosophy of science for science communication in twenty-two questions*. In *Science Communication*, De Gruyter Mouton, pp. 3-28. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110255522-001>
- [7] NGSS Lead States (2013), *Next Generation Science Standards: For states, by states* (vol. 1, The Standards). The National Academies Press. <http://www.nextgenscience.org/next-generation-science-standards>.
- [8] Siry C. et al. (2018), „Doing Science“: *Erwerb von Kompetenzen im naturwissenschaftlichen Unterricht der École fondamentale*. Bildungsbericht Luxemburg 2018, pp. 140-141.
- [9] Wai J. (2023), *Rethinking science education*. *Science* 380:351-351. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.adh9225>
- [10] van Gerven et al. (2018), *Authenticity matters: Children look beyond appearances in their appreciation of museum objects*, *International Journal of Science Education*, Part B, 8:325-339. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21548455.2018.1497218>
- [11] Åkerblom D. & Lindahl M. (2017), *Authenticity and the relevance of discourse and figured worlds in secondary students' discussions of socioscientific issues*, *Teaching and teacher education* 65: 205-214. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2017.03.025>
- [12] Saffran et al. (2020), *Constructing and influencing perceived authenticity in science communication: Experimenting with narrative*. *PLoS ONE* 15(1): e0226711. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226711>
- [13] Breuer S. (2012), *Über die Bedeutung von Authentizität und Inhalt für die Glaubwürdigkeit von Webvideo-Formaten in der Wissenschaftskommunikation*, in: *Öffentliche Wissenschaft und neue Medien: Die Rolle der Web 2.0-Kultur in der Wissenschaftsvermittlung*. ISBN:978-3-86644-844-5
- [14] Jensen et al. (2008), *Scientists who engage with society perform better academically*. *Science and Public Policy* 35: 527-541. <https://doi.org/10.3152/030234208X329130>

- [15] Ecklund et al. (2012), *How academic biologists and physicists view science outreach*. PLOS ONE, 7:e36240. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0036240>.
- [16] Mannino et al. (2021), *Supporting quality in science communication: insights from the QUEST project*. JCOM 20, A07. <https://doi.org/10.22323/2.20030207>.
- [17] Rose et al. (2020), *Scientists' incentives and attitudes toward public communication*. PNAS, 117: 1274–1276. www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1916740117
- [18] Oreskes N. (2019), *Why trust science?* Princeton University Press. ISBN:9780691179001
- [19] Pew Research Center, Sept. 2020, *Science and Scientists Held in High Esteem Across Global Publics*. https://www.pewresearch.org/science/wp-content/uploads/sites/16/2020/09/PS_2020.09.29_global-science_REPORT.pdf
- [20] Archer et al. (2015), *Science Capital: A Conceptual, Methodological, and Empirical Argument for Extending Bourdieusian Notions of Capital Beyond the Arts*. Journal of Research in Science Teaching. 52 : 922-948. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21227>
- [21] Vincent-Ruz P. & Schunn C.D. (2018), *The nature of science identity and its role as the driver of student choices*. IJ STEM Ed 5, 48. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-018-0140-5>
- [22] Mendick H. & Sheldrake R. (2016), *Transforming Science Engagement: The impact of the British Science Association's work*, report. https://www.google.com/url?q=https://www.academia.edu/34224663/Transforming_Science_Engagement_the_impact_of_the_British_Science_Associations_work
- [23] Fähnrich et al. (2021), *RETHINKING Science Communication Education and Training: Towards a Competence Model for Science Communication*. Front. Commun. 6:795198. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcomm.2021.795198>
- [24] PCST network: Worldwide database of programmes and courses in science communication from Public Communication of Science and Technology. <https://www.pcst.network/teaching-forum/science-communication-programmes-and-courses/>
- [25] Pérez-Llantada C. (2021), *Genres and languages in science communication: The multiple dimensions of the science-policy interface*. Language & Communication 78: 65–76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.langcom.2021.02.004>
- [26] Nation I. (2006), *How large a vocabulary is needed for reading and listening?* Canadian Modern Language Review 63:59–82. <https://doi.org/10.3138/cmlr.63.1.59>
- [27] Martínez A. & Mammola S. (2021), *Specialized terminology reduces the number of citations of scientific papers*. Proc. R. Soc. B. 288:20202581. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2020.2581>
- [28] Rakedzon et al. (2017), *Automatic jargon identifier for scientists engaging with the public and science communication educators*. PLOS ONE 12:e0181742. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181742>
- [29] Kerr et al. (2021), *The effects of communicating uncertainty around statistics on public trust: an international study*. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.09.27.21264202>
- [30] van der Bles et al. (2019), *Communicating uncertainty about facts, numbers and science*, Royal Society Open Science 6:181870. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.181870>
- [31] Dhami M.K. & Mandel D.R. (2022), *Communicating Uncertainty Using Words and Numbers*, Trends in Cognitive Sciences 26:514. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2022.03.002>
- [32] <https://de.statista.com/statistik/daten/studie/1021459/umfrage/anzahl-der-visits-pro-monat-von-youtube/>
- [33] Siggenger Kreis, Siggenger Impulse (2021), *Bilder in der Wissenschaftskommunikation*. https://www.wissenschaft-im-dialog.de/fileadmin/user_upload/Ueber_uns/Gut_Siggen/Dokumente/220223_Siggenger-Impuls-2021.pdf

- [34] *Wissenschaftsbarometer*, Wissenschaft im Dialog/Kantar, <https://www.wissenschaft-im-dialog.de/projekte/wissenschaftsbarometer> ; the graphics for article 11 (page 31) and article 31 (page 81) were translated to English and colours were adapted to the used graphics style (CC BY-ND 4.0: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/4.0/>).
- [35] MacKenzie L.E. (2019), *Science podcasts: analysis of global production and output from 2004 to 2018*. R. Soc. open sci. 6:180932. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.180932>
- [36] Quintana D.S. & Heathers J.A.J. (2021), *How Podcasts Can Benefit Scientific Communities*. Trends in Cognitive Science 25:3-5. <https://doi.com/10.1016/j.tics.2020.10.003>
- [37] Yuan et al. (2022), *"Listening" to Science: Science Podcasters' View and Practice in Strategic Science Communication*. Science Communication 44:200-222. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10755470211065068>
- [38] Evans C. (2008), *The effectiveness of m-learning in the form of podcast revision lectures in higher education*. Comput. Educ. 50:491-498. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2007.09.016>
- [39] Spiegel et al. (2013), *Engaging Teenagers with Science Through Comics*. Research in Science Education 43:2309-2326. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-013-9358-x>
- [40] Boy B. & Buchner H.-J. (2021), *Comics in der Wissenschaftskommunikation oder: Wie informativ sind Informationscomics? In Intention und Rezeption von Wissenschaftskommunikation*, Herbert von Halem Verlag, pp127-156. ISBN:9783869623146
- [41] Bundesverband der Schülerlabore e.V., *Lernort Labor*, <http://www.lernort-labor.de/>
- [42] Glowinski I. & Bayrhuber H. (2011), *Student labs on a university campus as a type of out-of-school learning environment: Assessing the potential to promote students' interest in science*, International Journal of Environmental & Science Education 6:371-392. <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ959426.pdf>
- [43] Thomas C.L. (2012), *Assessing high school student learning on science outreach lab activities*, Journal of Chemical Education 89:1259-1263. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ed200320g>
- [44] Falk J.H. & Storksdieck M. (2005), *Learning science from museums*. Hist Cienc Saude Manguinhos 12:117-43. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0104-59702005000400007>
- [45] Bergeron A. & Bigg C. (2019), *Built in Thoughts Rather than Stone : The Palais de la découverte and the 1937 Paris International Exposition*. in *Behind the Exhibit: Displaying Science and Technology at World's Fairs and Museums in the Twentieth Century*. (Artefacts Studies in the History of Science and Technology, Volume 12) Smithsonian Institution Scholarly Press. ISBN:978-1-944466-22-0 ; <https://smithsonian.figshare.com/ndownloader/files/17609480>
- [46] Schirmacher A. (2019), *North American World's Fairs and the Reinvention of the Science Museum in the 1960s*. in *Behind the Exhibit: Displaying Science and Technology at World's Fairs and Museums in the Twentieth Century*. (Artefacts Studies in the History of Science and Technology, Volume 12), Smithsonian Institution Scholarly Press. ISBN:978-1-944466-22-0 ; <https://smithsonian.figshare.com/ndownloader/files/17609480>
- [47] Trap G. (2011), *Le spectacle de la Nature : Sur la mise en scène de la Nature dans l'acte de médiation scientifique*, Actes des JIES Chamonix 31:1-12.
- [48] Sumner et al. (2014), *The association between exaggeration in health related science news and academic press releases: retrospective observational study*. BMJ 349:7015. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.g7015>

- [49] Goldberg R.F. & Vandenberg L.N. (2021), *The science of spin: targeted strategies to manufacture doubt with detrimental effects on environmental and public health*. Environ Health 20, 33. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12940-021-00723-0>
- [50] Lewandowsky et al. (2020), *The Debunking Handbook 2020*. <https://doi.org/10.17910/b7.1182> (<https://open.bu.edu/handle/2144/43031>)
- [51] Vosoughi et al. (2018), *The spread of true and false news online*, Science 359:1146-1151. <https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/science.aap9559>
- [52] Marlow et al. (2021), *Bots and online climate discourses: Twitter discourse on President Trump's announcement of U.S. withdrawal from the Paris Agreement*, Climate Policy, 21:6, 765-777. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14693062.2020.1870098>
- [53] Rossmann C. et al. (2017), *Risikokommunikation*. In: Bundeszentrale für gesundheitliche Aufklärung (BZgA). *Leitbegriffe der Gesundheitsförderung und Prävention. Glossar zu Konzepten, Strategien und Methoden*. <https://doi.org/10.17623/BZGA:Q4-i103-1.0>
- [54] Gigerenzer G. (2020), *Risiko: Wie man die richtigen Entscheidungen trifft*. Pantheon Verlag, ISBN:978-3-570-55442-5
- [55] Ruhrmann G. & Guenther L. (2017), *Katastrophen- und Risikokommunikation*. In *Forschungsfeld Wissenschaftskommunikation*. Springer VS. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-12898-2_16
- [56] European Commission: *Report on the statistics on the use of animals for scientific purposes in the Member States of the European Union and Norway in 2019*. https://ec.europa.eu/environment/chemicals/lab_animals/pdf/SWD2019_Part_A_and_B.pdf
- [57] Pew Research Center (2014), *Opinion About the Use of Animals in Research*. <https://www.pewresearch.org/science/2015/07/01/chapter-7-opinion-about-the-use-of-animals-in-research/>
- [58] Data sources for the figures were: Ipsos Mori, UK: office of life science (2018); https://www.ipsos.com/sites/default/files/ct/news/documents/2019-05/18-040753-01_ols_public_attitudes_to_animal_research_report_v3_191118_public.pdf and Gallup, US: <https://news.gallup.com/poll/1681/moral-issues.aspx>
- [59] Crane, A. & Matten D. (2010), *Business Ethics*. 3rd ed., Oxford University Press. ISBN: 9780199564330
- [60] Medvecky, F. & Leach J. (2017), *The ethics of science communication*. Journal of Science Communication 16(4). <https://doi.org/10.22323/2.16040501>
- [61] European Commission (2020), *COMMUNICATION FROM THE COMMISSION TO THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT, THE COUNCIL, THE EUROPEAN ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL COMMITTEE AND THE COMMITTEE OF THE REGIONS - On the European democracy action plan*. Available under <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:52020DC0790>
- [62] Cormick C. (2019), *The science of communicating science: the ultimate guide*. CABl. ISBN:9781486309818
- [63] Erhardt D. (2011), *Hochschulen im strategischen Wettbewerb*. Gabler Verlag. ISBN: 978-3-8349-7114-2; <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-8349-7114-2>
- [64] Clarke M. (2009), *Ethics of science communication on the web*. Ethics in Science and Environmental Politics 9:9-12. <https://doi.org/10.3354/esep00096>