

List of figures

- Figure 1** Crystalline structure of the hybrid perovskite — 2
- Figure 2** The absorption spectrum of the perovskite $\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3\text{Pb}_{(1-x)}\text{Sn}_x\text{I}_3$. — 4
- Figure 3** Optical bandgap for the perovskite $\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3\text{SnI}_{3-x}\text{Br}_x$. — 4
- Figure 4** Evolution of the perovskite-based solar cell structure — 6
- Figure 5** Standard structure of perovskite solar cell — 6
- Figure 6** The role of the ETL on the movement of the electrons and holes — 9
- Figure 7** Perovskite solar cell structure containing AZO as TCO and electron transporting layer — 10
- Figure 8** Perovskite solar cell structures (a) n-i-p and (b) p-i-n configurations, respectively — 14
- Figure 9** Operating principle of a perovskite solar cell — 15
- Figure 10** The evolution of the perovskite-based solar cells — 16
- Figure 11** One-step spin-coating method — 17
- Figure 12** The hot-casting technique — 18
- Figure 13** The anti-solvent method — 18
- Figure 14** The two-step spin-coating technique — 19
- Figure 15** The dual-source vapor deposition technique — 20
- Figure 16** The sequential dual-source vapor deposition technique — 20
- Figure 17** The adduct method — 21
- Figure 18** The vapor-assisted solution process (VASP) — 22
- Figure 19** Ink-jet printing illustration — 22
- Figure 20** The images (a, b, c, d) correspond to the perovskite films deposited on FTO /compact-TiO₂ substrates from a precursor solution containing amounts of 0, 0.25, 0.5, and 1.0 M acetic acid, respectively — 23
- Figure 21** The blue curve represents the evolution of the perovskite solar cell efficiency as a function of time, tested in short circuit while the red one is tested in open circuit — 24
- Figure 22** The size of grains as a function of the temperature of the substrate with the same precursor solution at 70 °C — 25
- Figure 23** Effect of cesium on the stability of perovskite — 25
- Figure 24** Effect of mesoporous TiO₂ and SnO₂, and planar SnO₂ on the stability of the perovskite solar cell — 26
- Figure 25** Effect of the encapsulation on the stability of the perovskite solar cell — 27
- Figure 26** The effect of the encapsulation and UV filter on the perovskite solar cell degradation — 27
- Figure 27** Image of perovskite/silicon heterojunction tandem solar cells reaching efficiencies up to 21.2% and 19.2% for cell areas of 0.17 and 1.22 cm², respectively — 30
- Figure 28** Principle of the tandem solar cell — 30
- Figure 29** Scheme of the four-terminal tandem solar cell — 31
- Figure 30** Scheme of the monolithic tandem solar cell — 31
- Figure 31** Structure of the perovskite top cell for the four-terminal and the monolithic tandem solar cells, respectively — 33
- Figure 32** Structure of the perovskite bottom cell — 33
- Figure 33** Scanning electron microscopic image of the monolithic perovskite/perovskite tandem solar cell — 34
- Figure 34** Four-terminal perovskite/perovskite tandem solar cell — 34

- Figure 35** The external quantum efficiency of the two tandem solar cells for (a) monolithic configuration and (b) four-terminal configuration — 35
- Figure 36** A transverse view of the perovskite solar cell by scanning electron microscope (SEM) — 37
- Figure 37** Fabrication method of the perovskite sub-cell — 38
- Figure 38** EQE, total transmission T, and reflection R of the perovskite top cell as a function of wavelength — 39
- Figure 39** The configuration of the CIGS sub-cell — 39
- Figure 40** Method of elaboration of the CIGS sub-cell — 40
- Figure 41** The four-terminal perovskite/CIGS tandem solar cell — 41
- Figure 42** The external quantum efficiency of the sub-cells as well as the tandem solar cell — 42
- Figure 43** J–V measurements of the two sub-cells — 42
- Figure 44** Structure of the silicon heterojunction cell — 45
- Figure 45** The evolution of the efficiency and the current density of the HIT solar cells — 45
- Figure 46** Structure of the record heterojunction solar cell — 46
- Figure 47** PECVD deposition chamber schemes — 46
- Figure 48** Principle of the tandem perovskite/silicon heterojunction solar cell — 47
- Figure 49** A cross section of the monolithic tandem solar cell seen by SEM — 48
- Figure 50** The perovskite top cell structure — 48
- Figure 51** Fabrication method of the perovskite top cell — 49
- Figure 52** The silicon heterojunction bottom cell structure — 49
- Figure 53** Fabrication method of the silicon heterojunction bottom cell — 50
- Figure 54** Monolithic tandem solar cell structure — 51
- Figure 55** SEM cross section of the perovskite top cell illuminated from MoO_x/IO:H/ITO — 52
- Figure 56** Fabrication method of the perovskite top cell — 53
- Figure 57** Performances of perovskite solar cell used in single superstrate junction (illuminated from PCBM) and that used in tandem substrate solar cell (illuminated from spiro-OMeTAD) — 55
- Figure 58** Silicon heterojunction bottom cell structure — 55
- Figure 59** Fabrication method of the silicon heterojunction bottom cell — 56
- Figure 60** Monolithic perovskite/silicon tandem solar cell structure — 57
- Figure 61** J–V measurements for the same tandem solar cell with an aperture area of 0.17 cm² with and without antireflective layer — 58
- Figure 62** The perovskite sub-cell structure — 59
- Figure 63** Fabrication method of the perovskite top cell — 60
- Figure 64** Silicon heterojunction bottom cell structure — 60
- Figure 65** Fabrication method of the silicon heterojunction bottom cell — 61
- Figure 66** Structure of the monolithic record tandem solar cell — 62
- Figure 67** J–V measurements of the perovskite/silicon tandem solar cell — 63
- Figure 68** Structure of the monolithic tandem solar cell of 25.4% efficiency — 63
- Figure 69** Structure of the monolithic tandem solar cell of 27.13% efficiency — 64
- Figure 70** Perovskite/silicon monolithic tandem solar cell evolution — 65
- Figure 71** Effect of spiro-OMeTAD thickness on top and bottom cell performances — 66
- Figure 72** Effect of the thickness of IZO on the performances of the top cell (on the left) and those on the bottom one (on the right), with a 200 nm thickness of the spiro-OMeTAD — 67