

Contents

Preface — V

Acknowledgements — IX

1 General issues — 1

1.1 Working hypotheses — 1

1.2 Empirical data — 2

1.2.1 The languages analysed in the present study — 2

1.2.2 Sources used for the analysed languages — 4

1.2.3 Languages analysed and the role of culture in figurative language — 6

1.2.4 Arrangement of the linguistic data and typographical conventions — 8

1.3 Criteria for figurativeness — 9

1.3.1 Literal – non-literal – figurative — 9

1.3.2 Image requirement — 13

1.3.3 Additional naming — 21

1.4 Figurative language and related phenomena — 24

1.4.1 Indirect language — 25

1.4.2 Non-figurative metaphors and metonymies — 28

1.4.3 Phraseology — 31

2 Conventional figurative language and phraseology — 36

2.1 Research on phraseology: A brief outline — 36

2.1.1 Terminology and main topics — 36

2.1.2 Written vs. oral language as research topic — 39

2.1.3 Anglo-American research tradition — 40

2.2 One-word figurative lexical units — 42

2.3 Types of phrasemes and their constitutive criteria — 49

2.3.1 Idioms — 51

2.3.2 Similes — 58

2.3.3 Restricted collocations — 62

2.3.4 Proverbs — 66

2.4 Summary — 71

3 On the cross-linguistic equivalence of idioms — 73

3.1 Preliminary remarks — 73

3.2 On the scope of cross-linguistic idiom analysis — 77

3.3 Cross-linguistic equivalents of idioms from
a functional perspective — 83

3.4 Parameters of idiom comparison — 88

3.4.1 Semantics — 89

3.4.2 Syntactics — 95

3.4.3 Pragmatics — 98

3.4.4 Conclusion — 100

3.5 Summary — 101

4 Motivation of conventional figurative units — 103

4.1 Motivation and etymology — 103

4.2 Motivation and related phenomena — 109

4.2.1 Motivation vs. analysability — 109

4.2.2 Motivation vs. semantic ambiguity — 111

4.3 Unmotivated lexical units — 111

4.4 Types of motivation — 114

4.5 Metaphoric motivation — 116

4.5.1 Preliminaries — 116

4.5.2 Conceptual metaphor — 117

4.5.3 Frame-based metaphor and rich image — 122

4.6 Symbol-based motivation — 127

4.7 Coercion as a type of motivation — 132

4.8 Syntactic motivation — 136

4.9 Motivation based on textual knowledge — 137

4.10 Index-based motivation — 140

4.11 Interaction of motivation types — 143

4.12 Summary — 145

5 “False friends” and paronyms — 146

5.1 False friends of the translator — 146

5.2 False friends in conventional figurative language — 147

5.3 False friends in different types of conventional figurative units — 150

5.4 Paronyms and homonyms — 154

5.5 Factors relating to origin — 155

5.5.1 Idioms as false friends based on different conceptual metaphors — 156

5.5.2 Idioms as false friends based on different rich images — 159

5.5.3 Idioms as false friends based on different meanings of their constituents — 162

5.6 Summary — 164

6 The Cognitive Theory of Metaphor — 165

- 6.1 Basic principles of the Cognitive Theory of Metaphor — **166**
- 6.2 Discussion: Are all postulates of the Cognitive Theory of Metaphor consistent with linguistic data? — **168**
 - 6.2.1 Conceptual metaphors, the humoral doctrine or something else? — **169**
 - 6.2.2 Levels of mappings and their linguistic relevance — **175**
 - 6.2.3 Japanese culture, anger, and emotions as cultural constructs? — **179**
 - 6.2.4 Do anthropological data fit into the Cognitive Theory of Metaphor? — **184**
 - 6.2.5 What do conceptual metaphors really explain? — **187**
 - 6.2.6 Idioms and conceptual metaphors — **191**
- 6.3 Conclusions — **193**

7 Idioms of FEAR: A cognitive approach — 197

- 7.1 Introduction — **197**
- 7.2 Idiom semantics in cognitive perspective — **198**
- 7.3 Source domains of FEAR — **200**
 - 7.3.1 Kövecses' proposal — **201**
 - 7.3.2 FEAR in Russian, English, German and Dutch — **205**
- 7.4 Structure of the semantic field of FEAR — **207**
- 7.5 Does the imagery influence the meaning? — **209**
- 7.6 Concluding remarks — **215**

8 Cognitive modelling of figurative semantics — 217

- 8.1 General aspects — **217**
- 8.2 Cognitive approach to semantic explanation — **223**
- 8.3 Addressing implicit elements of conceptual structures — **226**
- 8.4 Literal readings: Conceptual structures vs. “referential reality” — **227**
- 8.5 Cognitive modelling vs. conceptual metaphor — **229**
- 8.6 Metaphoric inference and cultural knowledge — **232**
- 8.7 Conclusion — **235**

9 Specific frames: The concept HOUSE in language and culture — 239

- 9.1 Preliminary remarks — **239**
- 9.2 The concept HOUSE in English, German, Dutch, Swedish and Finnish — **241**
 - 9.2.1 The multiple-room urban building as a source frame — **241**

- 9.2.2 Traditional dwelling houses as source concepts — **246**
- 9.3 The concept HOUSE in Japanese — **247**
 - 9.3.1 The traditional Japanese dwelling house: Main elements of architecture and interior — **247**
 - 9.3.2 Conventional figurative units containing HOUSE-frame constituents — **248**
 - 9.3.3 Conclusions — **252**
- 9.4 The concept HOUSE in a Low German dialect — **253**
 - 9.4.1 The “Low German one-room hall-house” — **253**
 - 9.4.2 The concept HOUSE in conventional figurative units — **255**
 - 9.4.3 Summary — **261**

10 Culture and figurative language — 262

- 10.1 On the notions of culture — **262**
 - 10.1.1 Introduction — **262**
 - 10.1.2 Cultural anthropology — **263**
 - 10.1.3 Semiotics of culture — **265**
 - 10.1.4 Philosophy of language and linguistics — **267**
 - 10.1.5 “Culture” in the field of figurative language: A working definition — **272**
- 10.2 Cultural phenomena in conventional figurative units — **273**
- 10.3 Social interaction — **275**
 - 10.3.1 “Cultural models” — **275**
 - 10.3.2 Social conventions, taboos and bans — **279**
 - 10.3.3 Gestures — **281**
 - 10.3.4 Gender-specifics — **283**
- 10.4 Phenomena relating to material culture — **287**
 - 10.4.1 Preliminaries — **287**
 - 10.4.2 Culture-specific artefacts — **288**
- 10.5 Textual dependence — **296**
 - 10.5.1 Preliminary remarks — **296**
 - 10.5.2 Quotations — **297**
 - 10.5.3 Allusions — **301**
- 10.6 Fictive conceptual domains — **303**
- 10.7 Cultural symbols — **307**
- 10.8 Combining of cultural phenomena in figurative units — **309**
- 10.9 Cultural connotations — **314**
 - 10.9.1 Preliminary notes — **314**
 - 10.9.2 Proper nouns — **317**
 - 10.9.3 Idioethnic realia — **320**

10.9.4 Culture-specifics in the target concept — **322**

10.10 Concluding remarks — **323**

11 Cultural symbolism in figurative language — 325

11.1 The semiotics of culture — **325**

11.1.1 The Moscow-Tartu School — **326**

11.1.2 Semiotics of culture and figurative language — **327**

11.2 Concepts of the symbol in non-linguistic paradigms — **330**

11.2.1 Symbol research and research on conventional figurative units — **330**

11.2.2 Attempts to define “symbol” — **333**

11.2.3 Concepts of the symbol in various disciplines — **335**

11.3 Concepts of the symbol in linguistics and semiotics — **337**

11.3.1 Introduction — **337**

11.3.2 The symbol as analogical thinking — **338**

11.3.3 The symbol as an arbitrary sign — **339**

11.3.4 The symbol as connotative meaning — **340**

11.3.5 The symbol as a culture-semiotic phenomenon — **341**

11.3.6 Summary — **346**

11.4 Cultural symbols in figurative language — **347**

11.4.1 Metaphor vs. symbol — **347**

11.4.2 Symbols: Transparent vs. opaque — **354**

11.4.3 Cultural context of symbols — **359**

11.4.4 Cultural codes — **365**

12 Numeral words and number symbols in culture and language:

Case studies — 367

12.1 General remarks — **367**

12.1.1 Linguistic aspects of numerals and number symbols — **367**

12.1.2 Cultural aspects of number symbols — **371**

12.1.3 Numbers in conventional figurative units and culture:
Special cases — **373**

12.2 FOUR: The special case of French — **374**

12.2.1 FOUR in conventional figurative units of different languages — **374**

12.2.2 FOUR in French conventional figurative units — **380**

12.2.3 FOUR in culture — **383**

12.2.4 Conclusions — **384**

12.3 NINE in figurative language and culture: Finnish, Lithuanian
and English — **384**

12.3.1 NINE as a marginal numeral in some languages — **385**

12.3.2 NINE in Finnish conventional figurative language and culture — **386**

| | | |
|----------------------------|---|------------|
| 12.3.3 | NINE in Lithuanian conventional figurative language and culture — | 390 |
| 12.3.4 | NINE in English conventional figurative language and culture — | 394 |
| 12.3.5 | The rivalry of NINE and SEVEN in languages and cultures — | 397 |
| 12.4 | ELEVEN: The “crazy number” in Dutch figurative units — | 398 |
| 12.4.1 | Iconic functions and textual dependence — | 398 |
| 12.4.2 | Symbolic functions — | 400 |
| 12.4.3 | ELEVEN in culture — | 402 |
| 12.4.4 | Results — | 403 |
| 13 | Animal metaphors and animal symbols: Case studies — | 404 |
| 13.1 | Animals in conventional figurative language — | 404 |
| 13.2 | SNAKE — | 409 |
| 13.2.1 | Iconic functions of SNAKE — | 409 |
| 13.2.2 | Symbolic functions of SNAKE — | 411 |
| 13.2.3 | SNAKE in cultural codes — | 415 |
| 13.2.4 | Results — | 417 |
| 13.3 | WOLF — | 418 |
| 13.3.1 | Iconic functions of WOLF — | 418 |
| 13.3.2 | Symbolic functions of WOLF — | 420 |
| 13.3.3 | WOLF in cultural codes — | 426 |
| 13.3.4 | Results — | 429 |
| 13.4 | BEAR — | 429 |
| 13.4.1 | Iconic functions of BEAR — | 430 |
| 13.4.2 | Symbolic functions of BEAR — | 431 |
| 13.4.3 | BEAR in cultural codes — | 433 |
| 13.4.4 | Results — | 434 |
| 14 | Conclusions — | 435 |
| 14.1 | Basic principles of the Conventional Figurative Language Theory — | 436 |
| 14.1.1 | Basic postulates of the Conventional Figurative Language Theory — | 436 |
| 14.1.2 | Tools of the Conventional Figurative Language Theory — | 438 |
| 14.2 | The essence of the Conventional Figurative Language Theory — | 441 |
| References — 445 | | |
| Abbreviations — 483 | | |
| Subject index — 485 | | |