

References

- Ainsworth, Janet & Patrick Juola. 2019. Who wrote this? Modern forensic authorship analysis as a model for valid forensic science. *Washington University Law Review* 96 (5). 1161–1189.
- Alba-Juez, Laura & J. Lachlan Mackenzie. 2016. *Pragmatics, cognition, context & culture*. Madrid: McGraw Hill & UNED.
- Alston, William P. 2000. *Illocutionary acts and sentence meaning*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.
- Arndt, Horst & Richard W. Janney. 1979. Interactional and linguistic models for the analysis of speech data: An integrative approach. *Sociologia Internationalis* 17 (1–2). 3–45.
- Arndt, Horst & Richard W. Janney. 1983. Towards an interactional grammar of spoken English. In John Morreal (ed.), *The Ninth LACUS Forum* 1982, 367–381. Columbia, SC: Hornbeam.
- Arndt, Horst & Richard W. Janney. 1985. Improving emotive communication: Verbal, prosodic, and kinesic conflict-avoidance technique. *Per Linguam* 1 (1). 21–33.
- Assimakopoulos, Stavros, Fabienne Baider & Sharon Millar. 2018. *Online hate speech in the E.U. A discourse-analytic perspective*. Switzerland: Springer Open. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-72604-5>
- Austin, John L. 1962. *How to do things with words*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Baider, Fabienne. 2020. Pragmatics lost? Overview, synthesis and proposition in defining online hate speech. *Pragmatics and Society* 11 (2). 196–218.
- Baider, Fabienne. 2022. Covert hate speech, conspiracy theory and anti-Semitism: Linguistic analysis versus legal judgement. *International Journal for Semiotics of Law*. (Published online 7 April 2022). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11196-022-09882-w>
- Baider, Fabienne & Monika Kopytowska (eds.). 2018. Special issue on narrating hostility, challenging hostile narratives. *Lodz Papers in Pragmatics* 14 (1). 1–24.
- Baker, Paul et al. 2008. A useful methodological synergy? Combining critical discourse analysis and corpus linguistics to examine discourses of refugees and asylum seekers in the UK press. *Discourse and Society* 19 (3). 273–306.
- Bakhtin, Mikhail Mikhailovich. 1981. *The dialogic imagination: Four essays*. Michael Holquist (ed.). Caryl Emerson & Michael Holquist (trans.). Slavic Series 1. Austin: University of Texas Press.
- Bakhtin, Mikhail Mikhailovich. 1986. The problem of speech genres. In Caryl Emerson & Michael Holquist (eds.). Vern W. McGee. (trans.), *Speech genres and other late essays*, 60–102. Austin: University of Texas Press.
- Banaji, Shakuntala & Ramnath Bhat. 2022. *Social media and hate*. London: Routledge.
- Bara, Bruno G., Francesca Marina Bosco & Monica Bucciarelli. 1999. Simple and complex speech acts: What makes the difference within a developmental perspective. In M. Hahn & S. C. Stoness (eds.), *Proceedings of the XXI Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society*, 55–60. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Bazerman, Charles. 1994. Systems of genres and the enhancement of social intentions. In Aviva Freedman & Peter Medway (eds.), *Genre and new rhetoric*, 79–101. London: Taylor and Francis.
- Bazerman, Charles. 2004. Intertextuality: How texts rely on other texts. In Charles Bazerman & Paul Prior (eds.), *What writing does and how it does it*, 309–339. New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Becker, Matthias J. 2020. Antisemitism on the internet: An underestimated challenge requiring research-based action. *Justice* 64. 32–40.

- Becker, Matthias J. 2021. *Antisemitism in reader comments: Analogies for reckoning with the past*. London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Beliaeva, Natalia. 2022. Is play on words fair play or dirty play? On ill-meaning use of morphological blending. In Natalia Knoblock (ed.), *The grammar of hate. Morphosyntactic features of hateful, aggressive, and dehumanising discourse*, 177–196. Cambridge & New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Benesch, Susan. 2014. Defining and diminishing hate speech. *State of the World's Minorities and Indigenous People*, 19–25. <https://minorityrights.org/wp-content/uploads/old-site-downloads/mrg-state-of-the-worlds-minorities-2014-chapter02.pdf>
- Berkenkotter, Carol & Thomas N. Huckin. 1995. *Genre knowledge in disciplinary communication – cognition/culture/power*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Beyssade, Claire & Jean-Marie Marandin. 2006. From complex to simple speech acts: A bidimensional analysis of illocutionary forces. *Proceedings of the Tenth Workshop on the Semantics and Pragmatics of Dialogue*, 42–49. https://publishup.uni-potsdam.de/opus4-ubp/frontdoor/deliver/index/docId/945/file/beyssade_etal.pdf
- Bhatia, Vijay K. 1993. *Analysing genre: Language use in professional settings*. London: Longman.
- Bhatia, Vijay K. 1996. Methodological issues in genre analysis. *Hermes, Journal of Linguistics* 16. 39–59.
- Bhatia, Vijay K. 1997. Genre missing in academic introductions. *English for Specific Purposes* 16 (3). 181–195.
- Bhatia, Vijay K. 2014 [2004]. *Worlds of written discourse. A genre-based view*. London, New Delhi, New York, Sydney: Bloomsbury.
- Bianchi, Robert. 2022. “Kill the invaders”. Imperative verbs and their grammatical patients in Tarrant’s *The Great Replacement*. In Natalia Knoblock (ed.), *The grammar of hate. Morphosyntactic features of hateful, aggressive, and dehumanising discourse*, 222–240. Cambridge & New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Biber, Douglas & Susan Conrad. 2009. *Register, genre, and style*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Bick, Eckhard 2020. Annotating emoticons and emojis in a German-Danish social media corpus for hate speech research. *RASK: International Journal of Language and Communication* 52. 1–20.
- Bick, Eckhard. 2021. Almans and Kanaks: Inter-cultural stereotypes in a German Twitter corpus. *RASK: International Journal of Language and Communication* 53. 101–117.
- Bloor, Meriel & Thomas Bloor. 2013 [2007]. *The practice of critical discourse analysis*. 2nd ed. London & New York: Routledge.
- Bitzer, Lloyd. 1968. The rhetorical situation. *Philosophy and Rhetoric* 1 (1). 1–14.
- Bond, Julian, Morris Dees & Richard E. Boudouin. 2011. *Ku Klux Klan: A history of racism and violence* (6th ed.). Southern Poverty Law Center, Klanwatch Project. Montgomery, Alabama: The Southern Poverty Law Center.
- Brenner, Jennifer L. 2002. True threats a more appropriate standard for analysing first amendment protection and free speech when violence is perpetrated over the internet. *North Dakota Law Review* 98 (4). 753–784.
- Brindle, Andrew. 2016. *The language of hate. A corpus linguistic analysis of white supremacist language*. New York & London: Routledge.
- Brown, Roger & Albert Gilman. 1960. The pronouns of power and solidarity. In Thomas A. Sebeok (ed.), *Style in language*, 252–281. Cambridge, Mass: MIT Press.

- Brown, Alexander. 2017a. What is hate speech? Part 1: The myth of hate. *Law and Philosophy* 36 (4). 1–50.
- Brown, Alexander. 2017b. What is hate speech? Part 2: Family resemblances. *Law and Philosophy* 36 (5). 1–53.
- Brown, Alexander & Adriana Sinclair. 2020. *The politics of hate speech laws*. London & New York: Routledge.
- Brown, Penelope & Stephen C. Levinson. 1987 [1978]. *Politeness. Some universals in language use*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Bruneau Emile & Nour Kteily. 2017. The enemy as animal: Symmetric dehumanization during asymmetric warfare. *PLOS ONE*. Online Open Access.
- Bublitz, Wolfram. 2011. Cohesion and coherence. In Jan Zienkowski, Jan-Ola Östman & Jef Verschueren (eds.), *Discursive pragmatics*, 37–49. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Burkhardt, Armin (ed.). 1990. *Speech acts, meaning and intentions: Critical approaches to the philosophy of John R. Searle*. Berlin & New York: De Gruyter.
- Caldas-Coulthard, Carmen Rosa & Malcolm Coulthard (eds.). 1995. *Text and practices*. London: Routledge.
- Chilton, Paul. 2011. Manipulation. In Jan Zienkowski, Jan-Ola Östman & Jef Verschueren (eds.), *Discursive pragmatics*, 176–189. Amsterdam, Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Christie, Frances. 1987. Young children's writing: From spoken to written genre. *Language and Education* 1 (1). 3–13.
- Cronan, John P. 2002. The next challenge for the first amendment: The framework for an internet incitement standard. *Catholic University Law Review* 51 (2). 425–466.
- Culpeper, Jonathan. 1996. Towards an anatomy of impoliteness. *Journal of Pragmatics* 25. 349–367.
- Culpeper, Jonathan. 2005. Impoliteness and entertainment in the television quiz show: "The Weakest Link". *Journal of Politeness Research* 1 (1). 35–72.
- Culpeper, Jonathan. 2008. Reflections on impoliteness, relational work and power. In Derek Bousfield & Miriam A. Locher (eds.), *Impoliteness in language: Studies on its interplay with power in theory and practice*, 17–44. Berlin & New York: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Culpeper, Jonathan. 2011. *Impoliteness. Using language to cause offence*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Culpeper, Jonathan. 2012. (Im)politeness: Three issues. *Journal of Pragmatics* 44 (9). 1128–1133.
- Culpeper, Jonathan & Marina Terkourafi. 2017. Pragmatics and (im)politeness. In Jonathan Culpeper, Jonathan Haugh, Michael Kadar & Daniel Kadar (eds.), *Palgrave handbook of (im)politeness*, 11–39. Basingstoke: Palgrave.
- Cruse, Alan D. 2004. *Meaning in language: An introduction to semantics and pragmatics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Darquennes, Jeroen. 2015. Language conflict research: A state of the art. *International Journal of the Sociology of Language* 235. 7–32.
- Davis, Steven. 1980. Perlocutions. In John R. Searle, Ferenc Kiefer & Manfred Bierwisch 1980. *Speech act theory and pragmatics*, 37–55. Dordrecht, Holland & Boston: D. Reidel Publishing Company.
- De Beaugrande, Robert. 2011. Text linguistics. In Jan Zienkowski, Jan-Ola Östman & Jef Verschueren (eds.), *Discursive pragmatics*, 119–134. Amsterdam, Philadelphia: John Benjamins.

- Delgado, Richard. 1982. Words that wound: A tort action for racial insults, epithets, and name-calling. *Harvard Civil Rights-Civil Liberties Law Review* 17 (1). 133–182.
- Delgado, Richard & Jean Stefancic. 2018 [2004]. *Understanding words that wound*. New York and London: Routledge.
- Delgado, Richard & Jean Stefancic. 2018. *Must we defend Nazis? Why the first amendment should not protect hate speech and white supremacist*. New York: New York University Press.
- Dewaele, Jean-Marc. 2015. Culture and emotional language. In Farzad Sharifian (ed.), *The Routledge handbook of language and culture*, 357–370. Oxford: Routledge.
- Dixon, Thomas. 1905. *The clansman*. New York: The American News Company.
- Dolz Lago, María Jesús. 2015. *Los delitos de odio en el código penal tras la modificación operada por LO 1/2015*. Breve referencia a su relación con el delito del Art. 173 CP. (accessed 12 May 2022).
- Dos Santos Allen, Karla. 2017. Racist speech as a linguistic discriminatory practice in Brazil: Between the speech act's reference and effects. In Daniel Silva (ed.), *Language and violence. Pragmatic perspectives*, 125–140. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins Publishing Company.
- Dudley-Evans, Tony. 1986. Genre analysis: An investigation of the introduction and discussion sections of MSc dissertations. *Talking about text* 14. 128.
- Duranti, Alessandro. 2004. Agency in language. In Alessandro Duranti (ed.), *A comparison to linguistic anthropology*, 451–473. Malden, USA: Blackwell Publishing.
- Dwoskin, Elizabeth, Nitasha Tiku & Craig Timberg. 2021. Facebook's race-blind practices around hate speech came at the expense of black users, new documents show. *The Washington Post*, 21 November 2021 (accessed 31 January 2022).
- Escandell-Vidal, M. Victoria. 1996. *Introducción a la pragmática*. Barcelona: Ariel.
- Fairclough, Norman. 1992. *Discourse and social change*. Cambridge & Malden: Polity Press.
- Fairclough, Norman. 2005. Critical discourse analysis in transdisciplinary research. In Ruth Wodak & Paul Chilton (eds.), *A new agenda in (critical) discourse analysis*, 53–70. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Fairclough, Norman. 2010 [1995]. *Critical discourse analysis. The critical study of language*. 2nd ed. London & New York: Routledge.
- Flores Ohlson, Linda. (2022). The power of a pronoun. In Natalia Knoblock (ed.), *The grammar of hate. Morphosyntactic features of hateful, aggressive, and dehumanising discourse*, 161–176. Cambridge & New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Foolen, Ad. 2016. Word valence and its effects. In Ulrike M. Lüdtke (ed.), *Emotion in language*, 241–256. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Forceville, Charles & Billy Clark. 2014. Can pictures have explicatures? *Linguagem em (Dis)curso* 14 (3). 451–472.
- Fowler, Roger, Bob Hodge, Gunther Kress & Tony Trew. 1979. *Language and control*. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul.
- Fraser, Bruce. 1990. Perspectives on politeness. *Journal of Pragmatics* 14, 219–236.
- Fry, Gladys-Marie. 1977. *Night riders in black folk history*. Tennessee: University of Tennessee Press.
- Geyer, Klaus, Eckhard Bick & Andrea Kleene. 2022. “I am not a racist but . . .”: A corpus-based analysis of xenophobic hate speech constructions in Danish and German media discourse. In Natalia Knoblock (ed.), *The grammar of hate. Morphosyntactic features of*

- hateful, aggressive, and dehumanising discourse*, 241–261. Cambridge & New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Giltrow, Janet. 2013. Genre and computer-mediated communication. In Susan C. Herring, Dieter Stein & Tuija Virtanen (eds.), *Pragmatics of computer-mediated communication*, 717–737. Berlin: De Gruyter.
- Giltrow, Janet. 2017. Bridge to genre: Spanning technological change. In Carolyn R. Miller & Ashley R. Kelly (eds.), *Emerging genres in new media environments*, 39–61. London: Palgrave Macmillan, Cham.
- Giltrow, Janet & Dieter Stein (eds.). 2017. *The pragmatic turn in law. Inference and interpretation in legal discourse*. Berlin: De Gruyter Mouton.
- Goffman, Ervin. 1967. *Interaction ritual: Essays on face-to-face interaction*. Chicago: Aldine.
- Grice, H. Paul. 1975. Logic and conversation. In Peter Cole & Jerry L. Morgan (eds.), *Syntax and Semantics 3*, Speech Acts, 41–58. New York: Academic Press.
- Grice, H. Paul. 1989. *Studies in the way of words*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Griffith, David. W. 1915. *The birth of a nation*. Film directed by David W. Griffith. Released 8 February 1915. United States.
- Gu Yueguo. 1990. Politeness phenomena in modern Chinese. *Journal of Pragmatics* 3. 237–257.
- Gu, Yueguo. 1997. Five ways of handling a bedpan: A tripartite approach to workplace discourse. *Text* 17. 457–475.
- Guillén-Nieto, Victoria. 2008. Reconciling language with culture and cognition in politeness theory. In Teresa Gibert Maceda & Laura Alba Juez (eds.), *Estudios de Filología Inglesa. Homenaje a la Dra. Asunción Alba Pelayo*, 199–217. Madrid: Universidad Nacional de Educación a Distancia.
- Guillén-Nieto, Victoria. 2020. Defamation as a language crime. A sociopragmatic approach to defamation cases in the high courts of justice of Spain. *International Journal of Language and Law (JLL)* 9. 1–22.
- Guillén-Nieto, Victoria. 2021. “What else can you do to pass . . . ?” A pragmatics-based approach to *quid pro quo* sexual harassment. In Janet Giltrow, Frances Olsen & Donato Mancini (eds.), *Legal meanings. The making and use of meaning in legal reasoning. Foundations in language and law series* 1. 31–55. Berlin: De Gruyter Mouton.
- Guillén-Nieto, Victoria. 2022. Language as evidence in workplace harassment. *Corela* HS-36. Online.
- Guillén-Nieto, Victoria & Dieter Stein. 2022. Introduction: Theory and practice in forensic linguistics. In Victoria Guillén-Nieto & Dieter Stein, *Language as evidence: Doing forensic linguistics*, 1–33. Switzerland: Palgrave Macmillan, Springer.
- Guillén-Nieto, Victoria & Dieter Stein (eds.). 2022. *Language as evidence: Doing forensic linguistics*. Switzerland: Palgrave Macmillan, Springer.
- Guzmán, Sebastián G. 2013. Reasons and the acceptance of authoritative speech: An empirically grounded synthesis of Habermas and Bourdieu. *Sociological Theory*, 31 (3). 267–289.
- Haidt, Jonathan. (2003). The moral emotions. In Richard J. Davidson, Klaus R. Sherer & H. Hill Goldsmith (eds.), *Handbook of affective sciences*, 852–870. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Hall, Philippa. 2019. Disability hate speech: Interrogating the online/offline distinction. In Karen Lumsden & Emily Harmer (eds.), *Online othering. Exploring digital violence and discrimination on the web*, 309–330. Switzerland: Palgrave Macmillan.

- Halliday, M.A.K. 1978. *Language as social semiotic: The social interpretation of language and meaning*. London: Edward Arnold.
- Halliday, M.A.K. & Ruqaiya Hasan. 1985. *Language, context, and text: Aspects of language in a social semiotic perspective*. Victoria: Deakin University Press.
- Hancher, Michael. 1980. Speech acts and the law. In Roger W. Shuy & Anna Schnukal (eds.), *Language use and the uses of language*, 245–256. Washington, D. C.: Georgetown University Press.
- Hareli, Shlomo & Brian Parkinson. 2008. What's social about social emotions? *Journal for the Theory of Social Behaviour* 38 (2). 131–156.
- Hart, Christopher. 2007. Critical discourse analysis and metaphor: Toward a theoretical framework. *Critical Discourse Studies*, 1–22.
- Herring, Susan C., Lois Ann Scheidt, Sabrina Bonus & Elija Wright. 2005. Weblogs as a bridging genre. *Information Technology & People* 18 (2). 142–171.
- Herring, Susan C., Dieter Stein & Tuija Virtanen (eds.). 2013. *Pragmatics of computer-mediated communication*, 717–737. Berlin: De Gruyter.
- Hickey, Leo & Miranda Stewart (eds.). 2005. *Politeness in Europe*. Clevedon, Buffalo & Toronto: Multilingual Matters Ltd.
- Hofstede, Geert. 2003 [1991]. *Cultures and organizations*. London: Profile Books Ltd.
- Holtgraves, Thomas. 2005. The production and perception of implicit performatives. *Journal of Pragmatics* 37. 2024–2043.
- Hom, Christopher. 2008. The semantics of racial epithets. *The Journal of Philosophy* 105 (8). 416–440.
- Horn, Laurence R. 1991. Given as new: When redundant affirmation isn't. *Journal of Pragmatics* 15. 305–328.
- Jespersen, Otto. 1965. *Modern English grammar*. Part IV. London: Allen & Unwin.
- Kaul de Marlangeon, Silvia. 1993. La fuerza de cortesía-descortesía y sus estrategias en el discurso tanguero de la década del '20. *Revista de la Sociedad Argentina de Lingüística* 3. 7–38.
- Kaul de Marlangeon, Silvia. 2005. Descortesía de fustigación por afiliación exacerbada o refractariedad. In Diana Bravo (ed.), *Estudios de la (des)cortesía en español: Categorías conceptuales y aplicaciones a corpora orales y escritos*, 299–318. Buenos Aires: Dunken.
- Kaul de Marlangeon, Silvia. 2008. Impoliteness in institutional and non-institutional contexts. *Pragmatics* 18 (4). 729–749.
- Kaul de Marlangeon, Silvia. 2014. Delimitación de unidades extralingüísticas de análisis del discurso de (des)cortesía. *Signo y Seña: Revista del Instituto de Lingüística* 26. 7–22.
- Kaul de Marlangeon, Silvia & Laura Alba-Juez. 2012. A typology of verbal impoliteness behaviour for the English and Spanish cultures. *Revista Española de Lingüística Aplicada* 25. 69–92.
- Kecskes, Istvan. 2014. *Intercultural pragmatics*. Oxford & New York: Oxford University Press.
- Kendall, Gavin. 2007. What is critical discourse analysis? Ruth Wodak in Conversation with Gavin Kendall [38 paragraphs]. *Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung / Forum: Qualitative Social Research* 8 (2). Art. 29. <http://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:0114-fqs0702297>. 2007 FQS <http://www.qualitative-research.net/fqs/>
- Kienpointner, Manfred. 2011. Figures of speech. In Jan Zienkowski, Jan-Ola Östman & Jef Verschueren (eds.), *Discursive pragmatics*, 102–118. Amsterdam, Philadelphia: John Benjamins.

- Knoblock, Natalia (ed.). 2022. *The grammar of hate. Morphosyntactic features of hateful, aggressive, and dehumanising discourse*. Cambridge & New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Komasara, Tiffany. 2002. Planting the seeds of hatred: Why imminence should no longer be required to impose liability on internet communications. *Capital University Law Review* 29 (3). 835–856.
- Kristeva, Julia. 1980. The bounded text. In Julia Kristeva, Leon S. Roudiez (ed.), Thomas Gora, Alice Jardine & Leon S. Roudiez (trans.), *Desire in language: A semiotic approach to literature and art*, 36–63. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Lakoff, Robin. 1973. The logic of politeness: Or, minding your p's and q's. In Claudia Corum, T. Cedric Smith-Stark & Ann Weiser (eds.), *Papers from the Ninth Regional Meeting of the Chicago Linguistic Society*, 292–305. Chicago: Chicago Linguistic Society.
- Landa Gorostiza, Jon-Mirena. 2018. *Los delitos de odio*. Artículos 510 y 22. 4º CP 1995. Valencia: Tirant lo Blanch.
- Larsen, Justine S. I. 2018. Rise of the KKK: Political rhetoric of the 1920s Ku Klux Klan in the West. *Undergraduate Honors Capstone Projects*. 430. <https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/honors/430>
- Leech, Geoffrey N. 1983. *Principles of pragmatics*. London: Longman.
- Leech, Geoffrey N. 2014. *The pragmatics of politeness*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Leezenberg, Michiel. 2017. Free speech, hate speech, and hate beards: Language ideologies of Dutch populism. In Daniel Silva (ed.), *Language and violence. Pragmatic perspectives*, 141–168. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins Publishing Company.
- Leuchtenburg, William E. 1973. A Klansman joins the Court: The appointment of Hugo L. Black. *The University of Chicago Law Review* 41 (1). 1–31.
- Levinson, Stephen. 1983. *Pragmatics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Levinson, Stephen. 2000. *Presumptive meanings: The theory of generalized conversational implicature*. Cambridge, MA & London: MIT Press.
- Lind, Miriam & Damaris Nübling. 2022. The neutering neuter. The discursive use of German grammatical gender in dehumanisation. In Natalia Knoblock (ed.), *The grammar of hate. Morphosyntactic features of hateful, aggressive, and dehumanising discourse*, 118–139. Cambridge & New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Locher, Miriam A. & Derek Bousfield. 2008. Introduction: Impoliteness and power in language. In Derek Bousfield & Miriam A. Locher (eds.), *Impoliteness in language: Studies on its interplay with power in theory and practice*, 1–13. Berlin & New York: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Lounsbury, Fred C. 1907. “He’s a Yellow Peril Chink . . .,” *Chinese Immigration in the Late 19th Century*. (accessed 28 July 2022).
- Lumsden, Karen & Emily Harmer (eds.). 2019. *Online othering. Exploring digital violence and discrimination on the web*. Switzerland: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Lutgen-Sanvik, Pamela & Sarah J. Tracy. 2012. Answering five key questions about workplace bullying: how communication scholarship provides thought leadership for transforming abuse at work 26 (3). 3–47.
- Machin, David & Andrea Mayr. 2012. *How to do critical discourse analysis*. London: Sage.
- Malle, Bertram F. & Joshua Knobe. 1997. The folk concept of intentionality. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology* 33 (2). 101–102.
- Martin, James Robert. 1993. A contextual theory of language. In Mary Kalantzis & Bill Cope (eds.), *The powers of literacy: A genre approach to teaching writing*, 116–136. Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh Press.

- Martin, James Robert, Christie Frances & Joan Rothery. 1987. Social processes in education: A reply to Sawyer and Watson (and others). In Ian Reid (ed.), *The place of genre in learning: Current debates*, 46–57. Geelong & Australia: Deakin University Press.
- Marwick, Alice & Ross Miller. 2014. Online harassment, defamation, and hateful speech: A primer of the legal landscape. Fordham Center on Law and Information Policy (*Report* June 10, 2014), 1–73.
- Massey, Calvin. 1992. Hate speech, cultural diversity and the foundational paradigms of free expression. *UCLA Law Review* 40 (1). 103–198.
- Mattiello, Elisa. 2022. Language aggression in English slang: The case of the -o suffix. In Natalia Knoblock (ed.), *The grammar of hate. Morphosyntactic features of hateful, aggressive, and dehumanising discourse*, 34–58. Cambridge & New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Matsuda, Mari J. 1989. Public response to racist speech: Considering the victim's story. *Michigan Law Review* 87. 2320–2381.
- Matsuda, Mari J., Charles Lawrence III, Richard Delgado, Kimberle Williams Crenshaw. 1993. *Words that wound. Critical race theory, assaultive speech, and the first amendment*. New York and London: Routledge.
- McAndrew, Tara. 2017. The history of the KKK in American politics. *JSTOR Daily*, January 25th, 2017. (accessed 04 October 2021).
- Metzl, Jonathan M. 2019. What guns mean: The symbolic lives of firearms. *Palgrave Communications* 5(35). 1–5.
- Mey, Jacob L. & Mary Talbot. 1988. Computation and the Soul. *Journal of Pragmatics*. 12 (5–6). 743–789.
- Millar, Sharon. 2019. Hate speech: Conceptualisations, interpretations and reactions. In Matthew Evans, Lesley Jeffries & Jim O'Driscoll (eds.), *The Routledge handbook of language in conflict*, 145–163. Oxon & New York: Routledge.
- Miller, Carolyn R. 1984. Genre as social action. *Quarterly Journal of Speech* 70 (2). 151–67.
- Mirón, Louis F. & Jonathan Xavier Inda. 2000. Race as a kind of speech act. *Cultural Studies: A Research Annual* 5. 85–107.
- Monnier, Angeliki, Axel Boursier & Annabelle Seoane. 2022. *Cyberhate in the context of migrations*. Switzerland: Palgrave Macmillan, Springer.
- Moran, Mayo. 1994. Talking about hate speech: Rhetorical analysis of American and Canadian approaches to the regulation of hate speech. *Wisconsin Law Review* 6. 1425–1514.
- Moscovici, Serge. 1982. The coming era of representations. In Jean-Paul Codol & Jacques-Philippe-Leyens (eds.), *Cognitive analysis of social behaviour*, 115–150. The Hague: Martinus Nijhoff Publishers.
- Motsch, Wolfgang. 1980. Situational context and illocutionary force. In John R. Searle, Ferenc Kiefer & Manfred Bierwisch (eds.), *Speech act theory and pragmatics*, 155–168. Dordrecht, Holland & Boston: D. Reidel.
- Muschalik, Julia. 2018. *Threatening in English: A mixed method approach*. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Musolff, Andreas. 2012. The study of metaphor as part of critical discourse analysis. *Critical Discourse Studies* 9 (3). 301–310.
- Nelde, Peter H. 1987. Language contact means language conflict. *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development* 8 (1–2). 33–42.
- Nix, Naomi & Lauren Etter. 2021. *The Print*, 25 October 2021 (accessed 31 January 2022).

- O'Connor, Catherine & Sarah Michaels. 2007. When is dialogue "dialogic"? *Human Development* 50 (5). 275–285.
- Östman, Jan-Ola & Tuija Virtanen. 2011. Text linguistics. In Jan Zienkowski, Jan-Ola Östman & Jef Verschueren (eds.), *Discursive pragmatics*, 266–285. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Parekh, Bhikhu. 2006. Hate speech? Is there a case for banning? *Public Policy Research* 12 (4). 2013–2023.
- Peterson, David. 2022. Homophobic space-times: Lexico-grammatical and discourse-semantic aspects of the softscapes of hate. In Natalia Knoblock (ed.), *The grammar of hate. Morphosyntactic features of hateful, aggressive, and dehumanising discourse*, 262–287. Cambridge & New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Philips, Susan U. 2015. Language ideologies. In Deborah Tannen, Deborah Schiffrin & Heidi Hamilton (eds.), *Handbook of discourse analysis*, 557–575. UK: Wiley Blackwell.
- Philips, Susan U. 2004. Language and social inequality. In Alessandro Duranti (ed.), *A companion to linguistic anthropology*, 474–495. Malden, MA: Blackwell.
- Pitruzzella, Giovanni & Pollicino, Oreste. 2020. *Disinformation and hate speech. A European constitutional perspective*. Milan: Bocconi University Press.
- Quirk, Randolph, Sidney Greenbaum, Geoffrey N. Leech & Jan Svartvik. 1985. *A comprehensive grammar of the English language*. London: Longman.
- Rash, Felicity. 2006. *The language of violence. Adolph Hitler's Mein Kampf*. Berlin: Peter Lang.
- Recanati, François. 1980. Some remarks on explicit performatives, indirect speech acts, locutionary meaning and truth value. In John R. Searle, Ferenc Kiefer & Manfred Bierwisch (eds.), *Speech act theory and pragmatics*, 205–220. Dordrecht, Holland & Boston: D. Reidel.
- Reeck, Crystal, Daniel Ames & Kevin N. Ochsner. 2016. The social regulation of emotion: An integrative, cross-disciplinary model. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 20 (1). 47–63.
- Reisigl, Martin & Ruth Wodak. 2001. *Discourse and discrimination. Rhetoric of discrimination and antisemitism*. London & New York: Routledge.
- Ruzaite, Jurate. 2018. In search of hate speech in Lithuanian public discourse: A corpus-assisted analysis of online comments. *Lodz Papers in Pragmatics* 14 (1). 93–116.
- Sadock, Jerrold. 1978. On testing for conversational implicature. In Peter Cole (ed.), *Syntax and semantics (Pragmatics)* 9, 281–298. New York: Academic Press.
- Sadock, Jerrold. 2007. Speech acts. In Lawrence R. Horn & Gregory Ward (eds.), *The handbook of pragmatics*, 53–73. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Sarangi, Skrikant. 2011. Public discourse. In Jan Zienkowski, Jan-Ola Östman & Jef Verschueren (eds.), *Discursive pragmatics*, 248–265. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Saxe, John Godfrey. 1879. *The poems of John Godfrey Saxe*. Boston: James R. Osgood and Company.
- Searle, John R. 1969. *Speech acts*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Searle, John R. 1975. Indirect speech acts. In Peter Cole & Jerry L. Morgan (eds.), *Syntax and semantics: Speech acts* 3. 59–82. New York: Academic Press.
- Searle, John R. 1979. *Expression and meaning. Studies in the theory of speech acts*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Searle, John R. 1980. The background of meaning. In John R. Searle, Ferenc Kiefer & Manfred Bierwisch (eds.), *Speech act theory and pragmatics*, 221–232. Dordrecht: Holland, Boston: U.S.A.: D. Reidel Publishing Company.

- Searle, John R., Ferenc Kiefer & Manfred Bierwisch. 1980. *Speech act theory and pragmatics*. Dordrecht, Holland & Boston: D. Reidel.
- Searle, John, R. & Daniel Vanderveken. 1985. *Foundations of illocutionary logic*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Sellers, Andrew. 2016. *Defining hate speech*. Boston Univ. School of Law, Public Law Research Paper No. 16–48. Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=2882244>
- Shaver, Phillip, Judith Schwartz, Donald Kirson & Cary O'Connor. 1987. Emotion knowledge: Further exploration of a prototype approach. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 52 (6). 1061–1086.
- Shuy, Roger W. 2010. *The language of defamation cases*. Oxford & New York: Oxford University Press.
- Silva, Daniel. 2017. The circulation of violence in discourse. In Daniel Silva (ed.), *Language and violence. Pragmatic perspectives*, 107–124. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Silva, Daniel (ed.). 2017. *Language and violence. Pragmatic perspectives*. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Solin, Anna. 2011. Genre. In Jan Zienkowski, Jan-Ola Östman & Jef Verschieren (eds.), *Discursive pragmatics*, 119–134. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Spencer-Oatey, Helen. 2000. Rapport management: A framework for analysis. In Helen Spencer-Oatey (ed.), *Culturally speaking: Managing rapport through talk across cultures*, 11–46. London & New York: Continuum.
- Spencer-Oatey, Helen. 2008 [2000]. *Culturally speaking: culture, communication and politeness theory*. London & New York: Continuum.
- Sperber, Dan & Deirdre Wilson. 1995 [1986]. *Relevance. Communication & cognition*. 2nd ed. Oxford UK & Cambridge USA: Blackwell.
- Stein, Dieter. 2022. Mobbing as genre and cause for legal action? Linguistic prolegomena for a legal issue. *Corela* HS-36. Online.
- Stollznöw, Karen. 2017. *The Language of discrimination*. Munich: LINCOM GmbH.
- Strani, Katerina & Anna Szczepaniak-Kozak. 2018. Strategies of othering through discursive practices: Examples from the UK and Poland. *Lodz Papers in Pragmatics* 14 (1). 163–179.
- Swales, John M. 1990. *Genre analysis: English in academic and research settings*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Tannen, Deborah, Heidi E. Hamilton & Deborah Schiffrin (eds.). 2015. *The handbook of discourse analysis*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Tarasova, Elizaveta & José A. Sánchez Fajardo. 2022. Adj + ie/ly nominalization in contemporary English: From diminution to pejoration. In Natalia Knoblock (ed.), *The grammar of hate. Morphosyntactic features of hateful, aggressive, and dehumanising discourse*, 59–81. Cambridge & New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Techau, Björn. 2018. Going beyond hate speech: The pragmatics of ethnic slur terms. *Lodz Papers in Pragmatics* 14 (1). 25–43.
- Terkourafi, Marina. 1999. Frames for politeness: A case study. *Pragmatics* 9 (1). 97–117.
- Terkourafi, Marina. 2016. The linguistics of politeness and social relations. In Keith Allan (ed.), *The Routledge handbook of linguistics*, 221–235. Oxford: Routledge.
- Thál, Jonáš & Irene Elmerot. (2022). Unseen gender: Misgendering of transgender individuals in Czech. In Natalia Knoblock (ed.), *The grammar of hate. Morphosyntactic features of hateful, aggressive, and dehumanising discourse*, 97–117. Cambridge & New York: Cambridge University Press.

- Thomas, Jenny. 1995. *Meaning in interaction: An introduction to pragmatics*. London: Longman.
- Thomson, Geoff & Laura Alba-Juez (eds.). 2014. *Evaluation in context*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Tiersma, Peter M. 1987. The language of defamation. *Texas Law Review* 66 (2). 303–350.
- Toolan, Michael. 1997. What is critical discourse analysis and why are people saying such terrible things about it? *Language and Literature* 6 (2). 83–103.
- Törnberg, Anton & Petter Törnberg. 2016. Muslims in social media discourse: Combining topic modelling and critical discourse analysis. *Discourse, Context and Media* 13. 132–142.
- Tsesis, Alexander. 2002. *Destructive messages. How hate speech paves the way for harmful social movements*. New York & London: New York University Press.
- Tsesis, Alexander. 2009. Dignity and speech: The regulation of hate speech in a democracy. *Law Review*, 497–532.
- Udupa, Sahana, Iginio Gagliardone & Peter Hervik (eds.). 2021. *Digital hate. The global conjuncture of extreme speech*. Indiana: Indiana University Press.
- van Dijk, Teun A. 1981. Episodes as units of discourse analysis. In Deborah Tannen (ed.), *Analysing discourse: Text and talk*, 177–195. Georgetown: Georgetown University Press.
- van Dijk, Teun A. 1992. Discourse and the denial of racism. *Discourse & Society* 3 (1). 87–118.
- van Dijk, Teun A. 1995a. Discourse analysis and ideology analysis. In Christina Schäffne & Anita L. Wenden (eds.), *Language and peace*, 17–33. London: Routledge.
- van Dijk, Teun A. 1995b. Discourse semantics and ideology. *Discourse & Society* 6 (2). 243–289.
- van Dijk, Teun A. 1995c. Aims of critical discourse analysis. *Japanese Discourse* 1. 17–27.
- van Dijk, Teun A. 1996. Discourse, power and access. In Carmen Rosa Caldas-Coulthard & Malcolm Coulthard (eds.), *Text and practices*, 84–104. London: Routledge.
- van Dijk, Teun A. 1997. Context models and text processing. In Max Stamenow (ed.), *Language structure, discourse and the access to consciousness*, 189–226. Amsterdam: Benjamins.
- van Dijk, Teun A. 2005. Contextual knowledge management in discourse production. A CDA perspective. In Ruth Wodak & Paul Chilton (eds.), *A new agenda in (critical) discourse analysis*, 80–100. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- van Dijk, Teun A. 2006a. Discourse and manipulation. *Discourse & Society* 17 (2). 359–383. doi:
- van Dijk, Teun A. 2006b. Discourse, context and cognition. *Discourse Studies* 8 (1). 159–177.
- van Dijk, Teun A. 2011. Discourse and ideology. In Teun A. van Dijk (ed.), *Discourse studies: A multidisciplinary introduction*, 379–407. Thousand Oaks, California, US: Sage Publications Limited.
- van Dijk, Teun A. 2015. Critical discourse analysis. In Deborah Tannen, Deborah Schiffrin & Heidi E. Hamilton (eds.), *Handbook of discourse analysis*, 466–485. Oxford: Blackwell.
- van Leeuwen, Theo. 2005. Three models of interdisciplinarity. In Ruth Wodak & Paul Chilton (eds.), *A new agenda in (critical) discourse analysis*, 3–18. Amsterdam, Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- van Leeuwen, Theo. 2015. Multimodality. In Deborah Tannen, Deborah Schiffrin & Heidi E. Hamilton (eds.), *Handbook of discourse analysis*, 447–465. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Waldron, Jeremy. 2012. *The harm in hate speech*. Cambridge, Massachusetts & London, England: Harvard University Press.

- Ward, Kenneth. 1997. Free speech and the development of liberal virtues: An examination of the controversies involving flag-burning and hate speech. *University of Miami Law Review* 52 (3). 733–792.
- Watts, Richard J. 2003. *Politeness*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Wilson, Deirdre & Dan Sperber. 2007. Relevance theory. In Laurence R. Horn & Gregory Ward (eds.), *The handbook of pragmatics*, 607–632. London: Blackwell.
- Winter, Aaron. 2019. Online hate: From the far-right to the “alt-right” and from the margins to the mainstream. In Karen Lumsden & Emily Harmer (eds.), *Online othering. Exploring digital violence and discrimination on the web*, 39–63. Switzerland: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Wittgenstein, Ludwig. 2009 [1953]. *Philosophical investigations* (4th ed.). P. M. S. Hacker & Joachim Schulte (eds.). G. E. M. Anscombe, P. M. S. Hacker & Joachim Schulte (trans.). Oxford: Blackwell.
- Wodak, Ruth. 2001. The discourse-historical approach. In Ruth Wodak & Michael Meyer (eds.), *Methods of critical discourse analysis*, 63–94. London: Sage.
- Wodak, Ruth. 2011. Critical linguistics and critical discourse analysis. In Jan Zienkowski, Jan-Ola Östman & Jef Verschueren (eds.), *Discursive pragmatics*, 50–70. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Wodak, Ruth. 2021 [2015]. *The politics of fear. The shameless normalisation of far-right discourse* (2nd ed.). London: Sage.
- Wodak, Ruth & Paul Chilton (eds.). 2005. *A new agenda in (critical) discourse analysis*. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Wodak, Ruth & Martin Reisigl. 2015. Discourse and racism. In Deborah Tannen, Deborah Schiffrin & Heidi E. Hamilton (eds.), *Handbook of discourse analysis*, 576–596. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Wodak, Ruth & Michael Meyer. 2016 [2001]. Critical discourse analysis: History, agenda, theory, and methodology. In Ruth Wodak & Michael Meyer (eds.), *Methods for critical discourse analysis* (3rd ed.), 1–33. London: Sage.
- Wodak, Ruth & Michael Meyer (eds.). 2016 [2001]. *Methods of critical discourse analysis* (3rd ed.). London: Sage.
- Zienkowski, Jan, Jan-Ola Östman & Jef Verschueren (eds.). 2011. *Discursive pragmatics*. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins.

Legal references

- Bill of Rights*. 1688. Online. (accessed 28 August 2020).
- Constitution of the United States*. First Amendment. Online. (accessed 27 May 2020).
- Council Framework Decision 2008/913/JHA*. 2008. *Official Journal* (OJ L 328 of 6 December 2008). *Council of Europe Committee of Ministers*. Recommendation No. R (97) 20 of the Committee of Ministers to the Member States on Hate Speech. Online. (accessed 27 May 2020).
- Council of Europe. No Hate Speech Movement*. Online. (accessed 27 May 2020).
- Criminal Code*. 2016. Online. (accessed 17 August 2022).
- Criminal Code*. 1998. Online. (accessed 29 May 2020).
- Criminal Code. R.S.C.* 1985. Online. (accessed 27 May 2020).

- European Commission against Racism and Intolerance (ECRI). General Policy Recommendation No. 7 (revised) on national legislation to combat racism and racial discrimination.* 2002. (revised on 7 December 2017). Online. (accessed 31 May 2020).
- European Commission against Racism and Intolerance (ECRI). General Policy Recommendation No. 15 on combating hate speech.* Online. (accessed 10 August 2020).
- European Convention on Human Rights. Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms.* 1950. Online. (accessed 28 August 2020).
- European Court of Human Rights. Jersild v. Denmark.* 1994. Application n° 15890/89. Judgment. Strasbourg.
- European Court of Human Rights. A. v. The United Kingdom.* 2003. Application n° 35373/97. Judgment. Strasbourg.
- European Court of Human Rights. Fáber v. Hungary.* 2012. Application n° 40721/08. Judgment. Strasbourg.
- European Court of Human Rights. Case of ES v. Austria.* 2019. Application n° 38450/12. Judgment. Strasbourg.
- Fighting words, hostile audiences and true threats: Overview.* Online. (accessed 30 July 2020).
- Hate Crime Statistics Act, As Amended, 28 USC. § 534 § [Sec. 1.].* 2017. Online. (accessed 27 May 2020).
- Hate speech – European Court of Human Rights – Council of Europe.* Online. (accessed 3 June 2020).
- International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination.* 1965. Online. (accessed 3 June 2020).
- International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights.* 1966. Online. (accessed 26 May 2020).
- Loi du 29 Juillet 1881 sur la Liberté de la Presse.* 1881. Version consolidée au 08 Juillet 2014. Online. (accessed 29 May 2020).
- Organic Law 18/2007, of 11 July, Against Violence, Racism, Xenophobia and Intolerance in Sport.* 2007. Online. (accessed 30 May 2020).
- Organic Law 1/2015 of 30 March 2015, on Amendments to the Penal Code.* (Organic Law 10/1995 of 23 November 1995). Online. (accessed 30 May 2020).
- Public Order Act.* 1986. <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1986/64>. (accessed 24 July 2020).
- Rabat Plan of Action on the Prohibition of Advocacy of National, Racial or Religious Hatred that Constitutes Incitement to Discrimination, Hostility or Violence.* Online. (accessed 10 August 2020).
- Race Relations Act.* 1968. Online. (accessed 27 May 2020).
- Racial Discrimination Bill.* 1975. Online. (accessed 28 May 2020).
- Report of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights on the expert workshops on the prohibition of incitement to national, racial or religious hatred.* 2013. Online. (accessed 10 August 2020).
- Spanish Constitution.* 1978. Online. (accessed 30 May 2020).
- Spanish Penal Code.* 1995. Online. (accessed 3 June 2020).
- Spanish Penal Code.* 2005. English translation. With the participation of John Rason Spencer QC Professor of Law, University of Cambridge, Fellow of Selwyn College. Online. (accessed 29 May 2020).
- The Racial Vilification Act.* 2004. Online. (accessed 28 May 2020).
- Thomson, Alec. 1940. Smith Act. *The First Amendment Encyclopedia.* Online. (accessed 17 October 2021).

- Transcript of Trump Speech at Rally before US Capitol Riot*. Online. (accessed 17 October 2021).
- United States Constitution Law. First Amendment*. Online. (accessed 27 May 2020).
- Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR)*. 1948. Online. (accessed 3 June 2020).
- US Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces. United States v. Wilcox*. 2008. № 05-0159. Online. (accessed 19 August 2020).
- US Court of Appeals. Collin v. Smith*. 1978. No. 578 F.2d 1197. Online. (accessed 3 June 2020).
- US Court of Appeals. United States v. Alkhabaz*. 1997. No. 104 F.3d. 1492. Online. (accessed 6 May 2021).
- US Court of Appeals. Purtell v. Mason*. 2008. No. 06-3176. Online. (accessed May 2022).
- US Supreme Court. Schenck v. the United States*. 1919. No. 249 US, 47. Online. (accessed 20 August 2020).
- US Supreme Court. Chaplinsky v. New Hampshire*. 1942. No. 255. Online. (accessed 20 May 2022).
- US Supreme Court. Terminiello v. Chicago*. (1949). No. 272. Online. (accessed 18 August 2020).
- US Supreme Court. Dennis v. United States*. 1951. No. 341 US 494. Online. (accessed 17 October 2021).
- US Supreme Court. Brandenburg v. Ohio*. 1969. No. 395 US 4444. Online. (accessed 18 August 2020).
- US Supreme Court. National Socialist Party v. Skokie*. 1977. No. 76-1786. Online. (accessed 18 August 2020).
- US Supreme Court. Virginia v. Black*. 2003. No. 538 US 343. Certiorari to the Supreme Court No. 01-1107. Online. (accessed 24 August 2020).