

List of Tables

- Tab. 1.1:** A framework for vector science and its quantification. — 25
- Tab. 3.1:** Species of freshwater invasive plants recorded in the USGS NAS database that comprise 80% of the database records. — 64
- Tab. 3.2:** Categories of dispersal of freshwater aquatic plants in the USGS NAS database. — 65
- Tab. 4.1:** Mechanisms, pathways and activities associated with terrestrial arthropod invasions following the invasion classification of Hulme *et al.* (2008). — 76
- Tab. 7.1:** The 61 study systems (40 unique species) included in this review of genetic and parasite diversity data in marine invertebrate species from native and non-native regions worldwide. — 144
- Tab. 8.1:** Genotypes of *Aphanomyces astaci*. — 196
- Tab. 10.1:** Summary of occurrence data and environmental parameters (including their range) used for modelling, as well as statistical output of 12 spatio-temporal projections for *Crassostrea gigas*; n = number of environmental unique points, MD = mean depth, DL = distance to land, SIC = sea ice cover, SSS = sea surface salinity, SST = sea surface temperature. Asterisks indicate environmental factors used for modelling. — 238
- Tab. 11.1:** Impact (positive or negative) of the alien seaweeds *Codium fragile*, *Gracilaria vermiculophylla* and *Undaria pinnatifida* on biodiversity, structure and function of ecosystems or economic. O = observed; E = experimental; S = supposed. — 255
- Tab. 14.1:** Invasive Pinaceae species registered as naturalized and/or invasive in different biomes of South America (modified from Simberloff *et al.*, 2010 based on current literature and records). “?” indicates there is no sufficient information to assess the invasive status. — 320
- Tab. 15.1:** Number of overseas arrivals by the nine ship types to the continental US and the percentage of those arrivals reporting discharge as reported to the NBIC for 2011–2013 (NBIC, 2014). — 346
- Tab. 15.2:** Descriptions of the various ship types. — 346
- Tab. 17.1:** Comparison of the different spatio-temporal methodologies to model species invasions. — 403
- Tab. 18.1:** Overview of popular molecular approaches and tools with their most relevant applications (*, **, *** stand for low to high relevancy) in the study of biological invasions. — 414
- Tab. 19.1:** Some proposed rules for biotic invasions into freshwater and estuarine systems during two major stages of invasion, initial establishment and long-term integration into the existing fish community (from Moyle & Light, 1996a). — 437
- Tab. 19.2:** Association of non-native species richness of watersheds with various measures of human activity and disturbance, as well as features of the natural environment, including native richness. + indicates a positive relationship, – a negative relationship, ns a non-significant relationship, and blank cells indicate the variable was not tested in that study. NPP = Net Primary Productivity, precip = precipitation. — 439
- Tab. 19.3:** Top ten fish species caught in otter trawls in monthly samples in Suisun Marsh in the first ten years of study (1980–89) and ten-year period, 2004–2013. In 2004–2013, longfin smelt dropped from the top 10, reflecting an estuary-wide drop in abundance, while white catfish and black crappie became more abundant because a series of wetter years reduced overall salinities in the marsh. Species with asterisk (*) are non-native species. For scientific names see Matern *et al.* (2002). Moyle, unpublished data. — 447
- Tab. 19.4:** Number of sites (of 200 sampled streams) occupied by the most widespread non-native fishes and widespread native fishes showing the greatest declines in distribution in three drainage basins in Pennsylvania, USA (Argent, 2000; Argent & Carline, 2004). Many fish that are native in the Ohio River drainage, which is part of the Mississippi basin, are non-native in

the Atlantic-coastal Susquehanna and Delaware River drainages. No native, non-stocked fishes increased their distributions by more than 26%. Basins: Del = Delaware, Sus = Susquehanna. Trophic and tolerance designations are for the Northeastern U.S., following Barbour *et al.* (1999): P = piscivore, G = generalist, H = herbivore, I = insectivore; tolerance (to sedimentation and other water quality reductions): I = intolerant, M = moderately tolerant, T = tolerant. For scientific names see Barbour *et al.* (1999). Time 1 = 1950-74, Time 2 = 1975-95. — 450

Tab. 19.5: Fit to 'rules' (Moyle & Light, 1996a) for integration for fish assemblages in the case studies discussed above. "Yes" indicates a good fit. "No" indicates a poor fit. — 451