

List of Figures

- Fig. G1:** Number of articles published in invasion science from 2000 to 2014 for this literature search (A) and most represented countries contributing to the field in the 21st century (B). — 17
- Fig. G2:** Most represented research areas in papers published between 2000 and 2014 in biological invasions from a literature survey I performed in “Web of Science”. — 18
- Fig. 2.1:** Biogeographic sources of introduction for 125 extant bird species introduced to New Zealand during the period 1773–1952. Native breeding ranges were obtained from the ADHoC (Avian Diversity Hotspots Consortium) database, first published by Orme *et al.* (2005). Native ranges were projected using ESRI ArcMap GIS software (version 9.3, 2008), and allocated to a biogeographic realm (Nearctic, Neotropical, Palaearctic, Afrotropical, Indo-Malay, Australasian and Oceanic). Each realm was awarded a score depending on the proportion of a species’ range that fell within its boundaries. For example, if the entire range was enclosed then it received a score of 1, if 50% of the range then 0.5, and so on. This process was repeated for all 125 species with the resultant scores as follows: Palaearctic = 57.66, Australasian = 30.16, Nearctic = 19.16, Indo-Malay = 9.66, Afrotropical = 2.16, Neotropical = 2.16, Oceanic = 1. The size of the directional arrows were weighted to represent the number of species from each biogeographic realm that have been introduced to New Zealand. The darkness of arrow colours corresponds to the rate of successful establishment ranging from zero in the Neotropics to 38.7% in the Afrotropics. The rate of successful establishment for the Palearctic (Europe, including the United Kingdom) was 34.7%. — 41
- Fig. 2.2:** The proportional taxonomic composition of avian introduction events in the period 1800–1950. Only the six bird orders with more than 30 introductions with an estimate of date of introduction are included. Reproduced with permission from Blackburn *et al.* (2009). — 42
- Fig. 2.3:** Native breeding ranges for 639 extant bird species that have been introduced outside their native breeding ranges. Breeding ranges for species with three or more introduction records in the GAVIA (Global Avian Invasions Atlas) database (Dyer & Blackburn, unpublished data) were obtained from the ADHoC (Avian Diversity Hotspots Consortium) database, first published by Orme *et al.* (2005). All maps were created using ESRI ArcMap GIS software (version 9.3, 2008). In order to identify areas of high levels of introduction sources, a species richness map was created by projecting the native range maps onto a hexagonal grid of the world, resulting in a geodesic discrete global grid, defined on an icosahedron and projected onto the sphere using the inverse Icosahedral Snyder Equal Area projection. This resulted in a hexagonal grid composed of cells that retain their shape and area (2591.3 km²) throughout the world. The colour then assigned to each hexagonal cell reflects the number of species’ ranges that intersect that cell. — 43
- Fig. 2.4:** Examples of (A) parrots and (B) passerines for sale in pet shops in Taiwan. The parrot species depicted are, from top to bottom and back to front, Military Macaw (*Ara militaris*), White Cockatoo (*Cacatua alba*), Blue-crowned Conure (*Aratinga acuticaudata*), Sun Conure (*Aratinga sosititalis*), Blue-crowned Lorikeet (*Vini australis*), Monk Parakeet (*Myopsitta monachus*) in green and blue colour-morphs, Yellow-bibbed Lory (*Lorius chlorocercus*), Red-shouldered Macaw (*Diopsittaca nobilis*), Australian King Parrot (*Alisterus scapularis*) and Blue-streaked Lory (*Eos bornea*); while the passerines are, from top to bottom and left to right, Japanese White-eye (*Zosterops japonicus*), Nutmeg Mannikin (*Lonchura punctulata*) and Taiwan Scimitar-babbler (*Pomatorhinus musicus*) (the bottom right cage contains two bantam chickens (*Gallus gallus*)). Photo credits: T.M. Blackburn. — 48
- Fig. 3.1:** Proportion of freshwater plant growth forms represented in the USGS NAS database. — 65
- Fig. 3.2:** Primary vector associated with freshwater plant records in the USGS NAS database. — 66

- Fig. 3.3:** Relative importance of vector by growth form of invasive freshwater aquatic plants in the USGS NAS database. — 66
- Fig. 5.1:** Cumulative number of species of freshwater molluscs, crustaceans, and fishes introduced to non-native waters of the United States in 50 year periods. Source: USGS, 2014. — 89
- Fig. 5.2:** Cumulative total number of fish species stocked for food or sport in freshwaters of the US by decade. — 91
- Fig. 5.3:** Map of the Erie Canal showing the linkage between the Atlantic Ocean and Lakes Erie and Ontario. — 100
- Fig. 5.4:** Chicago Shipping and Sanitary Canal. — 101
- Fig. 5.5:** Map showing the extent of the Mississippi Basin. Species that leave the Great Lakes basin via the Chicago Shipping and Sanitary Canal have access to this entire basin. — 102
- Fig. 5.6:** Map indicating the three major canal corridors in Europe. Source: Bij de Vaate *et al.*, 2002. © Canadian Science Publishing or its licensors. — 102
- Fig. 5.7:** Map showing the Rhine- Main-Danube Canal that connects the Black Sea to the North Sea. — 103
- Fig. 5.8:** Map showing the California Aqueduct. — 103
- Fig. 7.1:** Some of the numerous questions that may surround a newly discovered species in a marine population. — 140
- Fig. 7.2:** Theoretical schematic for how source diversity and propagule pressure may influence genetic bottlenecks in free-living or host species (A) and parasite species (B) in non-native regions. For hosts (A), if source genetic diversity and propagule pressure are high, the extent of a genetic bottleneck is expected to be low and genetic diversity may then be high. For parasites (B), source genetic diversity and propagule pressure are still major factors influencing genetic bottlenecks in founding regions. However, parasites are dependent on hosts for life cycle completion; thus greater life cycle complexity (e.g., multi-host parasites) and lower host availability to complete life cycles could result in stronger genetic bottlenecks in parasite species. This figure has been adapted from Figure 1 in Roman & Darling (2007) with permission from the authors. — 142
- Fig. 7.3:** The proportion of species in Table 7.1 that were the following seven Classes: Asteroidea, Bivalvia, Crustacea, Gastropoda, Tentaculata, Trematoda, and Tunicata. Crustaceans, gastropods, and bivalves made up two-thirds of all species introduced to new locations worldwide based on the studies in this investigation. — 164
- Fig. 7.4:** The proportion of species in Table 7.1 that (A) came from (source regions) and were (B) introduced to (founding regions) the six continents listed above. Collectively, Asia, North America (N. AMER) and Europe (EUR) made up the largest proportion (95%) of the source regions, while Australia (AUS) and South America (S. AMER) made up the remaining 5%. In contrast, North America had the largest proportion (57%) of founding species introduced to the region, representing more than half the number of introductions for the species in Table 7.1, while Asia had one of the lowest proportions (3%). — 165
- Fig. 7.5:** The two indexes based on native and non-native diversity (see Methods and Table 7.1 for formulae) and the ratio of non-native to native haplotype diversity. Both indexes and the ratio represent averages (\pm SE) across all studies in the investigation. Both indexes demonstrate substantial levels of parasite escape and genetic bottlenecks (i.e., there has been a loss of more than 50% of the parasites and haplotypes in non-native regions). In contrast, haplotype diversity demonstrates a less substantial decline in average population-level genetic diversity in non-native versus native regions. — 166
- Fig. 7.6:** Scatterplot Matrix of genetic bottleneck and parasite escape index correlations. This figure demonstrates correlations between the two indexes and histograms representing frequencies of proportion bins for each variable. Using a Pearson's pairwise correlation analysis, a significant positive correlation was found between the two indexes (Pearson's $r = 0.637$; $p = 0.0025$). — 167

Fig. 7.7: An exploration of 6 study species where parasite and genetic diversity were reported for the native range as a whole and also for a more precise source area. The figure demonstrates the extent of the genetic bottleneck for the source area (black) and the larger native region (white), and the extent of parasite escape for the source area (dark gray) and the larger native region (light gray). Also calculated is the average across all six species. While the focus on source data can provide a more precise understanding of genetic and parasite diversity losses in non-native regions for some individuals, the analysis here of a small subset of the studies in Table 7.1 found no significant difference in parasite escape ($p = 0.64$) and genetic bottlenecks ($p = 0.478$) averaged across the six study species for source versus regional analyses.

BA = *Batillaria attramentaria*, CM = *Carcinus maenas*, IO = *Ilyanassa obsoleta*, LL = *Littorina littorea*, LS = *Littorina saxatilis*, RH = *Rhithropanopeus harrisi*. — 168

Fig. 7.8: Analysis of vector as a factor influencing the genetic bottleneck index (dark shades) and the parasite escape index (light shades). While there were no significant differences for vector, there was a significant difference between intentional and accidental vectors, whereby intentional vectors demonstrate lower levels of genetic bottlenecks and parasite escape than unintentional vectors (represented by a *). AQC/OYS = aquaculture/oysters; DEL = deliberate, non-aquaculture introduction; DBF = dry ballast & fouling; HOST = parasite introductions with their host. — 170

Fig. 7.9: Analysis of taxonomy as a factor influencing the genetic bottleneck index (dark shades) and the parasite escape index (patterned shades) by Class. There was a significant effect of Class on the genetic bottleneck index ($p < 0.001$). Post-hoc Tukey's tests revealed significant differences between crustaceans and bivalves ($p < 0.001$), gastropods and bivalves ($p = 0.007$), and trematodes and bivalves ($p = 0.021$); crustaceans and tunicates showed a nearly significant difference ($p = 0.063$). For parasite escape, the overall analysis was also significant ($p = 0.043$), and there was a significant difference between crustaceans and bivalves ($p = 0.034$). Significance is represented by upper case letters for genetic bottlenecks and lower case letters for parasite escape. — 171

Fig. 7.10: Regression of the ratio of non-native to native haplotype diversity with distance (km) between source and recipient regions, grouped by vector. Altogether, there was a significant negative correlation for the vector, AQC/OYS (gray line), but no correlation for BWF (blue line). — 172

Fig. 7.11: The genetic bottleneck index (dark shades) and the ratio of non-native to native haplotype diversity (light shades) in hosts versus parasites. This analysis includes four hosts (*B. attramentaria*, *I. obsoleta*, *L. littorea*, and *R. harrisi*) and seven parasites (six trematodes and one rhizocephalan). Altogether, there is no difference between hosts and parasites for either analysis. — 173

Fig. 8.1: Overlaps in the distribution ranges of crayfish species in Europe as indicated by intensity of grey shadowing (light grey indicates presence of only one crayfish species) with (A) original distribution patterns of native species (*Astacus*, *Austropotamobius*), (B) recent update of distribution patterns of introduced crayfish plague-resistant North American species (*Procambarus*, *Orconectes*, *Pacifastacus*), (C) recent update of distribution patterns of native and introduced crayfish plague-susceptible species (*Astacus*, *Austropotamobius*, *Cherax*), and (D) recent update of overall distribution patterns of all crayfish species currently present in Europe in the wild (data modified from Souty-Grosset *et al.*, 2006; Kouba *et al.*, 2014). — 186

Fig. 8.2: Dynamics of the first wave of crayfish plague expansion across Europe between 1860 and 1995 (modified after Alderman, 1996). The expansion is shown according to the following time periods: 1860–1869 (the darkest), 1870–1879, 1880–1889, 1890–1899, 1900–1909, 1910–1929, 1950–1969, 1970–1979, and 1980–1995 (the brightest). — 194

Fig. 8.3: The estimated distribution (light grey) of *Aphanomyces astaci* in the first crayfish plague wave in Slovenia, which took place between 1880 and 1935 (after Kušar *et al.*, 2013). — 195

- Fig. 8.4:** A schematic representation of possible *A. astaci* adaptative scenarios and outcomes when the parasite infects native European crayfish species. Black arrows indicate the most probable outcomes and grey arrows indicate alternative options. — 200
- Fig. 8.5:** A schematic representation of *A. astaci* adaptative scenarios and outcomes for infecting alien crayfish species in Europe. Black arrows indicate the most probable outcomes and grey arrows indicate alternative options. — 201
- Fig. 9.1:** Illustration of the bimodal distribution of the deer ked in Northern Europe from the early 1960s to the present. The first verified observations (in Norway and Finland) are indicated by black dots. The northern range limit is based on scarce observations of the northernmost individuals, which do not correspond the established range limit. The western and eastern deer ked populations likely separated thousands of years ago, but there are no genetic differences between the populations (Jaakola *et al.*, 2015). Reprinted from Välimäki *et al.* (2010), with kind permission from Springer Science and Business Media. — 217
- Fig. 9.2 (A):** Current densities of the moose (VHD = very high density, HD = high density, MD = medium density, LD = low density, VLD = very low density) in Sweden (Kindberg *et al.*, 2008) and Finland (FGFRI, 2011). The northernmost observations of the deer ked are presented as a black dashed line (according to Välimäki *et al.*, 2010). — 218
- Fig. 9.2 (B):** Current densities of the roe deer (HD = high density, MD = medium density, LD = low density, N = no observations) in Sweden (Liberg *et al.*, 2010; harvesting numbers in 2004) and Finland (FGFRI, 2013; Snow-track counting). The northernmost observations of the deer ked are presented as a black dashed line (according to Välimäki *et al.*, 2010) — 218
- Fig. 9.3:** Illustration of the deer ked life-cycle. (1) Once an adult finds and attaches on a host, it loses its wings, exploiting the same host until senescence. (2) Viviparous blood-sucking females give birth to one full-grown larva at a time. Offspring production starts in autumn and lasts at least until the following spring (even until July; Härkönen, 2012). (3) The larva pupates immediately after birth and the pupa falls off from the host, often on a host bedding site. (4) On the ground, the pupae first overwinter at diapause. (5) Once the temperature rises in the spring, diapause ends and pupal development begins. After approx. three months of development, adults emerge in high local synchrony. (6) The adults may ambush hosts until late autumn. — 220
- Fig. 10.1:** *C. gigas* distribution in Scandinavia (filled circles) and monitored stations (open circles). Figure credit: Mark Wejlemaann Holm (Roskilde University). — 231
- Fig. 10.2:** Source populations for oceanographic modelling; Wadden Sea (Wa), Limfjord (Li), Kristineberg (Kr) and Tjärnö (Tj), and genetic analyses; Wa, Li, Kr, Tj, Hui (Hu) and Tromlingene (Tr), as well as dispersal probabilities from the Danish Wadden Sea (A), larval dispersal probabilities from the Limfjord population (B), larval dispersal probabilities from the Kristineberg population (C), and larval dispersal probabilities from the Tjärnö population (D). The colours indicate areas to which there is a certain probability that larvae will disperse if drifting at a depth of 10–12 m. Increasing probability is indicated by a transition from blue to red color. — 234
- Fig. 10.3:** Distribution of confirmed occurrence points (A), present distribution of suitable habitat (B), changes in habitat suitability between 2013 and 2050 (C), and changes in habitat suitability between 2013 and 2100 (D). See text for description of colour coding. — 237
- Fig. 11.1:** Thallus of *Codium fragile* ssp. *fragile* in the Mar Piccolo of Taranto. 1 cm = 6 mm. — 254
- Fig. 11.2:** Worldwide distribution of *Codium fragile* ssp. *fragile*. Green star indicates the type locality; green circles indicate native distribution; red circles indicate alien distribution. — 258
- Fig. 11.3:** Thallus of *Gracilaria vermiculophylla* in the Venice Lagoon (courtesy of A. Sfriso). 1 mm = 3 mm. — 259
- Fig. 11.4:** Worldwide distribution of *Gracilaria vermiculophylla*. Green star indicates the type locality; green circles indicate native distribution; red circles indicate alien distribution. — 260
- Fig. 11.5:** Thallus of *Undaria pinnatifida* from the Mar Piccolo of Taranto. 1 cm = 1.7 cm. — 262

- Fig. 11.6:** Worldwide distribution of *Undaria pinnatifida*. Green star indicates the type locality; green circles indicate native distribution; red circles indicate alien distribution. — 263
- Fig. 12.1:** *Caulerpa webbiana* in Faial island (J. Fontes). — 280
- Fig. 12.2:** Monitoring *Caulerpa webbiana* using 25 × 25 cm fixed photoquadrats. — 282
- Fig. 12.6:** Efficiency of CS and Chlorine treatments for long term removal ($t_0 = 0$ days; $T_1 = 1$ day; $t_2 = 7$ days; $t_3 = 22$ days; $t_4 = 43$ days and $t_5 = 71$ days) of *C. webbiana* at variable concentrations. Cl 0% and Cu 0% refer to control, 25%, 50% and 100% of Cl represent treatment at constant concentration (ten 50g tablets) at 2.5, 5, and 10 minutes exposure respectively. 50%, 75% and 100% Cu represent 90 g, 135 g and 180 g Cu per capsule respectively. — 284
- Fig. 12.3:** Plume released while positioning the blanket impregnated with copper sulfate. — 286
- Fig. 12.4:** Bags with copper sulfide “refills”. — 287
- Fig. 12.5:** Chlorine pump schematic. — 289
- Fig. 12.7:** Variation of *Caulerpa webbiana* abundance in Faial over time. In the first months of 2011 there was intervention against the alga. In 2012, the decision to cover the alga with sand was made (light green represents low density areas, medium green stands for medium density areas and dark green represents high concentration areas. — 293
- Fig. 12.8:** Southeast Faial Island and *Caulerpa webbiana* invasion maximum. — 295
- Fig. 12.9:** *Caulerpa webbiana* expanding. — 296
- Fig. 13.1:** Cane toads (*Rhinella marina*) are large, heavy-bodied bufonids (A) that can reach startlingly high abundances at the invasion front (B). Photographs by Matt Greenlees (A) and Ruchira Somaweera (B), with permission. — 302
- Fig. 13.2:** Funnel traps baited with toad parotoid gland secretions attract thousands of toad tadpoles but repel the tadpoles of native frogs. — 309
- Fig. 14.1:** Examples of pine invasions in South America. A) *Pinus radiata* in Maulino Forest. Cauquenes, Chile; B) University volunteers controlling *Pinus radiata* in Costal Forest. Hualpen, Chile; C) University volunteers controlling *Pseudotsuga menziesii* in Conguillio National Park, Chile; D) *Pinus contorta* in Malalcahuello National Reserve, Chile; E) and F) *Pinus taeda* in the Restinga ecosystem, Florianópolis, Brasil; G) Control of *Pinus halepensis* in Ernesto Tornquist Provincial Park. Buenos Aires, Argentina; H) *Pinus contorta* in Bariloche, Argentina; I) *Pinus contorta* in patagonian steppe. Coyhaique, Chile. — 322
- Fig. 14.2:** Site-specific factors determining the outcome of a pine invasion modified from Pauchard *et al.* (2014). The interaction between species traits and the invaded community determines the expected invasion risk. However, silvicultural techniques and landscape planning may reduce or increase this risk, affecting propagule pressure. For example, the use of other species wind buffers to avoid seed dispersal or the establishment of plantations in safe-sites of the landscape may reduce the risk of invasion. Control actions should target all stages of the invasion and should be coordinated with the silvicultural schemes at the stand and landscape scales. Policies and regulations set the stage for both silvicultural and control practices and therefore are crucial to reduce pine invasions. — 333
- Fig. 15.1:** The mean (\pm SE) BW discharge per discharging arrival for eight ship types to four coastal regions of the continental US. These data were reported to the NBIC for arrivals during 2011-2013 (NBIC, 2014). — 349
- Fig. 15.2:** The total volume of overseas BW discharged to the continental US by the eight vessel types. These data were reported for arrivals during 2011-2013 (NBIC, 2014). — 351
- Fig. 15.3:** The top 20 ports according to the total volume of overseas BW discharged from 2011–2013 (NBIC, 2014). Alaska is not included in this map since none of the top 20 ports based on discharge were located there. The symbol for each port is scaled by the volume discharged and colored according to ship type. Labels indicate the rank of each port: 1. New Orleans, LA; 2 Houston, TX; 3 Hampton Roads, VA; 4 Baltimore, MD; 5 Port of South Louisiana, LA; 6 Corpus Cristi, TX; 7 Portland, OR; 8 Long Beach, CA; 9 Mobile, AL; 10 Kalama, OR; 11 Longview, WA; 12

Port Arthur, TX; 13 Beaumont, TX; 14 Pascagoula, FL; 15 Lake Charles, LA; 16 Texas City, TX; 17 Tacoma, WA; 18 Baton Rouge, LA; 19 New York, NY; and 20 Tampa, FL. — 352

Fig. 15.4: Source locations for BW discharged by bulkers to each of the coastal regions in during 2011–2013 (NBIC, 2014). — 353

Fig. 15.5: Source locations for BW discharged by tankers to each of the coastal regions in during 2011–2013 (NBIC, 2014). — 355

Fig. 15.6: Source locations for BW discharged by container ships to each of the coastal regions in during 2011–2013 (NBIC, 2014). — 355

Fig. 15.7: Percent of coastal overseas BW discharge that underwent open ocean BWE (blue), coastal BWE (yellow), or was not exchanged prior to discharge (red) according to ship type and coast. Open ocean BWE occurs more than 200 nmi from any shore, while coastal BWE takes place at least partially within 200 nmi. Data were reported for the 2011–2013 time period (NBIC, 2014). — 357

Fig. 16.1: The naturalization-invasion continuum. A schematic representation of major barriers limiting the spread of introduced plants. Climate change may affect plant invasion dynamics at each or all of these barriers. Adapted from Richardson *et al.* (2000). — 370

Fig. 16.2: Effects of invasion of *Nicotiana glauca* R. Graham (Solanaceae) following an extreme flooding event in early 1997 in western New South Wales, Australia. Extreme weather events of this nature are projected to increase under future climate scenarios in some regions of the globe. (a) Thick infestation of adult *N. glauca* plants (b) flower heads (c) emergence of new seedlings after flood water starts to recedes (d) mortality in co-occurring extant vegetation (e) seedling emergence. Images courtesy of S. K. Florentine (Federation University, Australia). — 372

Fig. 16.3: Experimental manipulations of the interaction between fire and elevated CO₂ on native and exotic grasses. (a) Burning of competitive mixtures of native and invasive savanna grasses grown in mesocosms under glasshouse conditions of ambient and elevated CO₂ concentrations. Species in mixtures were three invasive exotic C4 grasses (*Andropogon gayanus* Kunth, *Cenchrus polystachios* (L.) Morrone, *Cenchrus ciliaris* L.), and three native C4 grasses (*Heteropogon triticeus* (R. Brown) Stapf ex Craib, *Heteropogon contortus* (L.) Beauv. ex Roem. & Schult., *Eriachne trisetata* Steud.). (b) Graph showing total biomass (grey bars = aboveground, white bars = belowground) for two native grasses (*H. triticeus* and *H. contortus*) grown in mixed invasive and native species mesocosms under ambient and elevated CO₂. At day 154, half of the mesocosms in each glasshouse were burnt (B) and half were left unburnt (UB). These two native species had significantly less biomass under elevated compared with ambient CO₂ after burning, indicating an effect of elevated CO₂ on re-sprouting response when grown in competition with invaders. These results suggest that community composition and species interactions in this fire-prone community may alter in a high CO₂ world, shifting to a more exotic-dominated community and potentially resulting in an intensified fire frequency due to positive feedbacks. Images and graphs courtesy of I. Tooth (Royal Botanic Gardens, Sydney, Australia). — 374

Fig. 17.1: Spatial projection of the Azores bullfinch main habitats (i.e. pure fragments of laurel forest and invaded laurel forest by *C. arborea*) and its distribution in the center of the Special Protection Area of “Pico da Vara/Ribeira do Guilherme”, considering scenarios without and with management of *C. arborea* removal in target areas (i.e. 300 ha). The spatial patterns were projected using a continuous distribution function based on a simple kriging and its temporal variation from $t = 1$ to $t = 50$. The habitats were represented by 10% cover classes of each vegetation category. The selected Azores bullfinch density classes are expressed in bird numbers per study unit area (in hectares) based on the values simulated by the StDM framework. — 394

- Fig. 17.2:** StDM simulation results concerning (a) the habitat dynamics (i.e. between pure fragments of laurel forest and invaded laurel forest by *C. arborea*) and (b) the response trends of Azores bullfinch abundances in the centre of the Special Protection Area of “Pico da Vara/Ribeira do Guilherme”. The scenarios without and with management of *C. arborea* removal in target areas (i.e. 300 ha) are discriminated. Arrows indicate the time interval where the management actions took place through the simulation period. — 395
- Fig. 17.3:** Simplified representation of a general workflow for the statistical development of a Species Distribution Model. — 398
- Fig. 17.4:** Species Distribution Models have been used to tackle many important topics in invasion ecology, from research to management. Graphs courtesy of A. Guisan. — 399
- Fig. 17.5:** Graphical Interface of Dinamica EGO. — 401
- Fig. 18.1:** Main issues of biological invasions commonly addressed by using genetic data. These issues are typically related to different stages of the introduction/invasion process. The blue ellipse depicts populations in the native range, and red circles represent populations in the introduction range. Ellipse and circle size are proportional to the population size. — 413
- Fig. 18.2:** Main steps of the DNA barcoding and metabarcoding approaches for the identification and inventory of non-native species. Barcoding (in red) and metabarcoding (in blue) rely on the availability of reference barcodes recorded in international databases. Such databases are established from upstream research (in black). The power of these approaches is conditioned by the existence of a barcoding gap (i.e. the lack of overlap between within-species and between-species polymorphism), which allows unambiguous identification of species. In case of such an overlap (i.e. no barcoding gap), identification to the species level will fail. However, identification at higher taxonomic levels is still valuable. Whereas barcoding consists in the identification of specimens based on their barcode, metabarcoding identifies sequences obtained from a mixture of many species (i.e. specimens are not observed). — 416
- Fig. 18.3:** Tracing back the sources: success and failure in assigning sources of introduction. Figures illustrate conditions under which a correct identification of the source may or may not be possible. Blue rectangles and ellipses (left) feature the native range and populations (here 2 populations), respectively, of the introduced species. Red rectangles (right) feature an introduced population of this species. Filled circles represent individuals; each color refers to a different genetic background. — 420
- Fig. 18.4:** Schematic diagram illustrating the relationships between introduction patterns, genetic diversity, and possible evolutionary trajectories of invader non-native species. Blue rectangles and ellipses depict the native range and populations, respectively. Red rectangles represent an introduced population (i.e. introduction range). Filled circles depict individuals; each color represents a different genetic background. — 424
- Fig. 19.1:** Three general models of the relationships among habitat degradation, invasions, and native species losses (modified from Hermoso *et al.*, 2011; Light & Marchetti, 2007). Model A also includes external factors likely to affect the invasion process. The full or driver model (A or B) best fit the California and Iberian Peninsula data (Light & Marchetti, 2007; Hermoso *et al.*, 2011). Driver model C describes a situation where non-native species lead to native species loss even in the absence of significant habitat degradation (as in the Cosumnes River, California), while the passenger model (D) best fits the Pennsylvania data (Light, unpublished; analysis of data from Argent, 2000). An alternative conception of the driver model (E) posits that non-native species are indirect beneficiaries of habitat disturbance through its suppressing of native species (e.g., Didham *et al.*, 2005). — 442