## ביצה פרק ראשון יום טוב (ביצה)

(fol.59d) משנה אּ בֵּיצָה שֶנּוֹלְדָה בְּיוֹם מוֹב בֵּית שַׁמַאי אוֹמְרִים תֵּיאָכֵל. וּבֵית הָלֵּל אוֹמְרִים זֶה וָזֶה לֹא תֵּיאָכֵל. בֵּית שַמַּאי אוֹמְרִים שְׁאוֹר כְּזַּיִת וְחָמֵץ כַּכּוֹתְבָת. וּבֵית הִלֵּל אוֹמְרִים זֶה וָזֶה בּכזּית:

**Mishnah 1**: An egg was laid on a holiday. The House of Shammay say that it may be eaten<sup>1</sup>, but the House of Hillel say that it may not be eaten<sup>2</sup>. The House of Shammay say that sour dough {causes liability} by the size of an olive and leavened matter by the size of a date<sup>3</sup>, but the House of Hillel say that both are by the size of an olive.

- 1 The preparation of food is permitted on a holiday (*Ex.* 12:16), but this is restricted to foodstuffs which were available before the start of the holiday. The House of Shammai hold that an entire chicken is food since slaughter for food is permitted on the holiday, and since everybody agrees that an egg found inside a slaughtered chicken on a holiday is permitted food, there is no reason to consider a laid egg as something different.
- 2 The House of Hillel consider the laid egg as a new entity which did not exist before the holiday and which therefore is *muqseh* and may not be moved.
- 3 This refers to the prohibition of leavened matter on Passover. While the

smallest amount of leavened matter is forbidden, only the possession of a minimal amount triggers the liability purification sacrifice if found to be unintentional. The House of Shammai hold that this amount is smaller for actively leavening material than for passive leavened material, whereas the House of Hillel do not differentiate between the two. It will be stated in Halakhah 2 that the disagreement only refers to possession; in matters of eating there is no disagreement. The reason this disagreement is mentioned here is that in the first case the House of Shammai is more lenient than the House of Hillel: the same is true in the second case.

( 60a line 3 ) בּיצָה שָׁנּוֹלְדָה בְּיוֹם טוֹב כול'. מַה טַעֲמוֹן דְּבֵית שַׁמֵּי. מוּכֶנֶת הִיא עַל גַּב אִמָּהּ. מַה טַעֲמוֹן דְּבֵית שַׁמֵּי. מוּכֶנֶת הִיא עַל גַּב אִמָּהּ. מַה טַעֲמוֹן דְּבֵית הַלֵּל. נַעֲשִׂית כְּמוּקְצָה שֶׁיָבַשׁ וְלֹא יָדַע בּוֹ . אִילוּ מוּקְצֶה שֶׁיָבַשׁ וְלֹא יָדַע בּוֹ שֶׁמָא אֵינוֹ אָסוּר. וְהָא תַנֵּי. הַשּׁוֹחֵט אֶת הַתַּרְנְגוֹלֶת וּמְצָא בְתוֹכָהּ בֵּצִים אַף עַל פִּי גְמוּרוֹת הֲרֵי שֵׁילוּ מוּתְרוֹת. רְבִּי חֲנַנְיָה וְרִבִּי מָנָא. חַד אָמֵר. לֹא דוֹמֶה טַעַם אֲכִילָתָהּ מִבּּכְנִים לְטַעַם אֵילוּ מוּתְרוֹת. רְבִּי חֲנַנְיָה וְרִבִּי מָנָא. חַד אָמֵר. לֹא דוֹמֶה טַעַם אֲכִילְתָהּ מִבּּכְנִים לְטַעַם

אֲכִילָתָהּ מִבַּחוּץ. וְחוֹרָנָה אָמַר. שֶׁאֵינָהּ נִגְמֶרֶת לָאֶפְרוֹחַ עַד שֶׁתַּצֵא לַחוּץ. מָה הֵן בָּחָלָב. נֹאמַר. אם היוּ מעוּרוֹת לגִּידִים אסוּרוֹת. ואם לאו מוּתּרוֹת.

G שמיי גב G גימר G מבפנים G מבפנין וחורנה G וחרנה G נאמר G נימר מעורות G בערות לגידים G לאידין לאו G ליו

"An egg was laid on a holiday," etc. What is the reason of the House of Shammai? It is prepared in its mother's body<sup>4</sup>. What is the reason of the House of Hillel? It is like *muqseh* which dried without him realizing it<sup>5</sup>, and is *muqseh* which dried without him realizing it not forbidden? But was it not stated<sup>6</sup>, "if one slaughters a chicken and found eggs inside it, even if they are complete<sup>7</sup> they are permitted"? Rebbi Ḥananiah and Rebbi Mana. One said, its taste when eaten from its inside is not comparable to its taste when eaten from the outside. But the other said, it is not completed for a chick<sup>8</sup> until it comes outside. What are they with milk<sup>9</sup>? Let us say, if they are connected to blood vessels they are forbidden, otherwise permitted<sup>10</sup>.

4 Since a chicken may be slaughtered as food on a holiday, it was available all the time and therefore its eggs should not be treated differently.

For most of Chapter 1 there exist one or two parallel Genizah fragments edited by L. Ginzberg in his *Yerushalmi Fragments*, pp. 154-172, noted G and **G**, 302-303 (G).

5 While *mugseh* is the technical term for anything which is not available to be moved on the holiday or Sabbath, the original meaning is "cut-up", viz., a heap of cut-up figs lying on the flat roof to ferment and to be made into fig cakes. During the fermentation process the mass is inedible; therefore it has become the paradigm of anything not currently available for use. Here the original meaning is intended. If the owner had inspected his roof on the eve of the holiday he would have realized that the figs were ready food for the holiday and therefore falling under the category of "prepared food" permitted on Sabbath and

holiday. But since at sundown of the holiday he had no knowledge of the situation, the figs were not available to him at the start of the holiday and therefore remain forbidden for the rest of the holiday. Similarly, since the owner of the chicken does not know that the egg (which is completely formed with its hard shell) will be laid on the holiday, since it was not available at the start it cannot become available later.

- 6 Tosephta 1:2, Babli 2b, 6b.
- 7 With shell.
- 8 It cannot be used for hatching a new chick. The Yerushalmi presumes that every egg potentially is either food or material for hatching, disputed by the Babli, 2a.
- 9 Meat is forbidden to be used with milk. A laid egg may be used with either milk or meat. What is the status of a complete egg found inside a slaughtered chicken?
- 10 Cf. Babli 6b.

(60a line 10) הַכּּל מוֹדִין בְּבֵיצָה שָׁיָצָא רוּבָּה מֵעֶרֶב יוֹם טוֹב שַׁהִיא נֶאֱכֶלֶת בְּיוֹם טוֹב. מַה פְליגִין. בְּשֶׁיֶּצָא מִיעוּטָה. בֵּית שַׁמֵּי אוֹמְרִים תֵּיאָכֵל. וּבִית הִלֵּל אוֹמְרִים לֹא תֵּיאָכֵל. כְּשָׁם שְׁלִיגִין. בְּשֶׁיֶּצָא מִיעוּטָה. בֵּית שַׁמֵּי אוֹמְרִים תֵּיאָכֵל. וּבִית הִלֵּל אוֹמְרִים לֹא תַּיאָכֵל. כְּשָׁם שָׁהִיא אֲסוּרָה לֶשֻלְטֵל. נְתְעֶרְבָה אַחַת בְּמֵאָה אוֹ אַחַת בְּאֶלְף כּוּלְן אֲסוּרוֹת. מַה. כְּמָאן דְּאָמֵר. סְפֵק הָכֵן אָסוּר. בְּרָם כְּמָאן דְּאָמֵר. סְפֵק הָכֵן מוּתָּר. מִוֹדָה הוּא הָסוּר. נְשָׁרִין סְפֵּק מֵהַיּוֹם נֵשְׁרוּ סְפֵּק מֵאֶתְמוֹל נֵשְׁרוּ. בְּרַם הָכָּא יֵשׁ כָּאן אַחַת לאַסוֹר וֹהִיא מוֹכַחת על כּוּלו.

ם בלף G במאן G מיעוטה G מיעוטה שמי G שמי שמי תיאכל G מיעוטה מיאכל שמי חיאכל שמי G בלף באלף G מיעוטה ב מיעוטה אמר G הכא G הכה G כולם G כולם

"Everybody agrees that an egg of which a major part was laid before the start of the holiday may be eaten on the holiday<sup>11</sup>". Where do they disagree? If a minor part came out, where the House of Shammai say that it may be eaten, but the House of Hillel say that it may not be eaten<sup>12</sup>. "<sup>13</sup>Just as it is forbidden as food so it is forbidden to be moved. If one was mixed with a hundred or a thousand, they are all forbidden<sup>14</sup>". How? Following him who said, if the preparation is in doubt it is forbidden; but him who said, if the preparation is in doubt where it fell today or fell yesterday, but here one is forbidden and it is proof for all of them<sup>15</sup>.

- 11 Tosephta 1:3; in one version in the Babli 7a (*Bekhorot* 8a) this holds true even if the egg in the meantime had again disappeared into the body of the chicken.
- 12 This is a logical consequence of the preceding statement. Since we consider an egg of which more than half is visible as completely laid, an egg of which less that half is visible is not laid at all and therefore is an egg totally laid on the holiday and not food available earlier.
- 13 Tosephta 1:3; Babli 3b,4a, Šabbat 43a. On the holiday (and Sabbath) one may move only things which may be used on the day (except if one needs the place occupied by an unusable implement, when one may clear the place.) Since the egg is neither food nor a usable implement, it cannot be moved.
- 14 In general, if prohibited material is a small minority in permitted material, it may be disregarded. For example, passive non-kosher ingredients in food may be disregarded if they constitute less than \(^{1}/\_{60}\) of the permitted food. But if the prohibition either will disappear by itself (as in the case of an egg laid on the holiday which is permitted food on the next day) or may be removed by some action, no such disregard is permitted; the entire mixture is prohibited until the prohibition disappears.
- 15 If fruit is found under a fruit tree on the holiday, there is a doubt whether it is forbidden as fallen on the holiday or whether it is permitted as fallen before the holiday. Therefore if this fruit is put into a basket with many other fruits, there is a

doubt whether any of this is forbidden. If we hold that the requirement to use only food available before the holiday is biblical (cf. Ex. 16:23) the wind-fall is forbidden, but if the requirement is rabbinic and traditional, the wind-fall is permitted cf. Babli 24a. There is nothing clearly

forbidden and the rule spelled out at the end of the preceding note cannot be applied. But if an egg was laid on the holiday, for the House of Hillel it certainly is forbidden and therefore the rule which forbids the mixture for the day can be applied.

(60a line 17) אֲחֵרִים אוֹמְרים מִשֶּׁם רְבִּי לְעֶזֶר. תֵּיאָכֵל הִיא וְאִמָּה. [מָהוּ תַּאָכֵל הִיא וְאִמָּה. אֶם הַ מּוּכָנֶת לִשְׁחִיטָה תְהֵא מוּתֶּרֶת. וְאָם לָאו אֲסוּרָה. וְאֵין כָּל־הַתַּרְנְגוֹלִים מוּכָנִין לָשְׁחִיטָה תָהֵא מוּתֶּרֶת. וְאָם לָאו אֲסוּרָה. וְאֵין כָּל־הַתַּרְנְגוֹלִים מוּכְנִין לָשׁחִיטָה. אַמֵּר רְבִּי בַּא. תִּיאָכֵל בְּהַכֵינָה שַׁלְאִמָּה.

G אחרין לעזר G ליעזר תיאכל G תאכ[ל] מהו G מהוא 2 לאו G ליו התרנגולים מהרנגולין 3 תיאכל G התרכל G תאכל

Others say in the name of Rebbi Eliezer: It may be eaten together with its mother<sup>16</sup>. [What means, "it may be eaten together with its mother"?]<sup>17</sup> If its mother was prepared for slaughter<sup>18</sup>. But are not all chickens prepared for slaughter<sup>19</sup>? Rebbi Abba said, it may be eaten based on its mother's preparation<sup>20</sup>.

- 16 Even a follower of the House of Hillel may eat the egg laid on the holiday if he also eats its mother since then the egg was food at the start of the holiday (Note 6).
- 17 Corrector's addition, justified by G.
- 18 This is an objection to R. Eliezer's statement. If the chicken is raised to produce eggs, it is not food and therefore not

prepared for the holiday, nor can be its egg before it is laid.

- 19 Since a chicken which does not lay eggs anymore also is eaten, every chicken is potential food.
- 20 Even a follower of the House of Hillel may eat the egg laid by a chicken raised for its meat. Babli 2a.

(60a line 20) עַגֶּל שְׁפּוֹלֶד בְּיוֹם טוֹב מוּתָּר. שְׁהוּא מַתִּיר עַצְמוֹ לַשְּׁחִיטָה. רְבִּי זְעוּרָה בָעֵי. מַעָּתָה מִדְבָּרִית תְּהָא מוּתֶּרֶת. שְׁהִיא מֵתֶּרֶת עַצְמָהּ בַּשְּׁחִיטָה. צְבִייָה תְּהָא מוּתֶּרֶת. שְׁהִיא מֵתֶּרֶת עַצְמָהּ בַּשְּׁחִיטָה. צְבִייָה תְּהָא מוּתֶּרֶת. שְׁהִיא מִתְּרֶת עַצְמָהּ בַּשְּׁחִיטָה. בְּבִיּוֹם הָּלָּא. בּוֹ בּיּוֹם מַתְּרֶת עַצְמָהּ בַּשְּׁחִיטָה. חָזֵּר רְבִּי זְּא. אַתְייָא כְמָאן דְּאָמֵר. הַבְּהֵמָה יוֹלֶדֶת לַחֲדָשִׁים מְסִוּיְטִין. הַגְּע עַצְמָדְ שֶׁסִייֵם אֵימְתֵי עָלֶה עָלֶיְהְ בָּיִם מוֹלְדָה. אָמֵר רְבִּי בָּא. אַתְייִאי כְמָאן דְּאָמֵר. הַבְּהַמָּה יוֹלֶדֶת לַחֲדָשִׁים מְסוּייָמִין. הַגַּע עַצְמָדְ שֶׁסִייֵם אֵימְתֵי עָלֶה עָלֶיְה עָלֶיהְ זָכָר. גּוֹזְל שָׁנּוֹלְד בְּיוֹם טוֹב מוּתָּר. שָׁהוּא מַתִּיר עַצְמוֹ בַשְּׁחִיטָה. לֹא כֵן תַּנֵי. בִּיצִים שְׁרִיקִימוּ גוֹּלָה עֲלֵיהֶן כְּנָבִים אֲסוּרִין מִשּׁוּם שְׁקֵץ, וְאֵין לוֹקִים שֻׁלֹּא הָעֲלוּ עֲלֵיהוֹן בְּנָבִים אֲסוּרִין מִשּׁוּם שְׁקֵץ, וְאֵיִל שְׁנֹבִילָה שְׁלִיהוֹן עָלֵיהוֹן בִּנְבִים אָסוֹר רְבִּי אֲבוּוֹ, תִּיִפְתֵּר בְּאִלוֹן דְּנַבְּקִין וְכַנְבֵּיהוֹן עַלֵיהוֹן עַלֵּיהוֹן עַלֵיהוֹן עַלְיהוֹן תִּנִיבְּר בְּאָבוֹן וַבְנְבְּחִין וְבַנְבְּיהוֹן עֵלְיהוֹן עַלֵיהוֹן עַבְּיהוֹן עַלְיהוֹן הַנִבְּיִם הְיִבּיל שִׁרָּים שְׁלֹא הָעָלוּ שְׁנִבְּיִם הְּבִיל בִּיִבְּים בְּבִּים בְּבִיּן וּ תִּנְבִים בְּבִין וְבִינְבְּיהוֹן בְּיִבְּים וְעִלֹי שִּׁבְּיִים וֹ בְּיִים בּיִבְּיִים בְּיִבְּים בְּיִר שִּבְּיִם בְּיִבְּים בְּבִיּים בְּעִבְּיוֹן בּנְבְּיִם וְתְּבְּיִבְּיוֹם בְּיִבְיִים בְּיִבְּים בְּבִּים בְּבִיים בְּרִים בִּיִּבְּים בְּיִבּים בְּבִיּים בְּבִין תְּבִּים בְּיִבְּים בְּיִבְּים בְּיִבְּיִים בְּבִּים בְּבִיּים בְּיִים בְּיִבְים בְּבִּים בּיִבְיּים בְּבִיים בּיִּים בְּיִלְם בְּיִים בּיבִים בְּיִים בּבּיים בּיִּים בְּבִּים בְּיִבְּים בּיִּבְּן בְּיִבּים בְּיִבּים בְּיִבּים בּיִבְּים בְּיִּבְּים בְּיִּים בְּיִבְשִּים בְּבִּים בְּיִּים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִבּים בְּיִּים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִּים בְּבִּים בְּיִבּים בְּיִים בְּיִבְּיִים בְּיִבּים בְּיִבְּיִים בְּיִבְּים בְּיִּבְּיִים בְּיִבְּיִים בְּיִבְּיִבְּ

1 מנור (3 מנור מור את עצמו בשחיטה מאר (2) אבייה (3 צבייה 3 את עצמו בשחיטה ב בשחיטה ל שחיטה (2) אבייה 3 את עצמו את עצמו ב בשחיטה ל G בשחיטה ל G אתייא G אתייא G אתייה במאן אתייה במאן אתייה כמאן אתייה במאן אתיי

לשחיטה G מאן אמר | G כמן דמר אימתי | G מאימתי | G מאן את עצמו בשחיטה | G כמיים אימתי | G שריקים | G עצים שריקימו | G שריקימו | G שריקימו | G שריקימו | G לוקין | G אמיורין משום | G משם | G משם | G לוקין | G אואין אפיר | G משם | G מאיירו משם | G משם | G משם | G משם | G מאייר משם | G משם | G משם | G מאייר משם | G מאייר משם | G משם | G מאייר משם | G משם | G משם | G מאייר משם | G מאייר משם | G משם | G משם | G משם | G מאייר משם | G מאייר משם | G משם | G משם | G משם | G מאייר משם | G מש

A calf born on a holiday is permitted since it permits itself by slaughter<sup>21</sup>. Rebbi Ze'ira asked, in that case an animal kept outside should be permitted since it permits itself by slaughter<sup>22</sup>, deer should be permitted since it permits itself by slaughter<sup>23</sup>. Rebbi Ze'ira came back and said, a calf is fully formed the day before, but here<sup>24</sup> it was born on the day it was fully formed. Rebbi Abba said, this follows him who said that an animal gives birth after split months; but what is for him who said, an animal gives birth after a fixed number of months<sup>25</sup>? Think of it, that he knew when a male had mounted it<sup>26</sup>. A pigeon chick born on a holiday is permitted since it permits itself by slaughter<sup>28</sup>; did we not state, an egg which had started to develop, and chicks which do not yet have wings are forbidden as abomination, but one does not whip because of carcass meat? And Rebbi Ḥaggai said, even if he slaughtered them<sup>28</sup>. Rebbi Abun said, explain it about those born with wings<sup>289</sup>.

- 21 An unborn calf inside its mother does not need separate slaughter; its mother's slaughter also permits the calf which is considered part of its mother's body. But once it is born, it must be slaughtered to become food. Since the birth on the holiday introduces the duty of slaughtering, an action to satisfy this duty removes also the rabbinic prohibition of moving the animal.
- 22 A domestic animal kept all year outside, far from permanent human dwellings, may not be cared for on the holiday (Mishnah 5:7), therefore certainly it is not prepared to be food of the holiday. This seems to contradict the earlier statement.
- 23 Since one is prohibited from catching deer, it cannot possibly be holiday food unless caught before the holiday.
- 24 In the case of the chicken egg.
- 25 Babli Bekhorot 21a, a disagreement

whether cattle gives birth after a full 9 months or not. If the number of days of gestation is not fixed, the owner of the pregnant animal cannot have the intention to use the unborn calf as food on the holiday since he could not know the prospective date of birth.

- 26 In this case it might be possible to compute the day of birth in advance and the calf would be food if that day is a holiday.
- 27 Babli 6a. By an argument similar to that of Note 21 since an egg in which a chick started to develop is forbidden as food until the complete chick is hatched.
- 28 An egg is permitted only if no chick developed. A fully formed chick in an egg still is not considered a chick but a forbidden egg; even if a human takes away the shell and slaughters the chick it is the slaughter of an egg which cannot possibly

permit any food which was forbidden.

applies.

29 For these the argument of Note 21

(60a line 30) רְבָּי אַבָּהוּ בְּשֶׁם רְבִּי יוֹסֵה בֶּירְבִּי חֲנִינָה שְׁאִיל. כָּל־שֶׁתַּשְׁמִישׁוֹ בַיּוֹם מוֹלִיד בַּיּוֹם. בַּלֹיכָה. הָתִּיבוֹן. הֲרִי הַתִּרְנְגוֹלֶת הֲרֵי אֵין תַּשְׁמִישְׁהּ אֶלֶא בַּיּוֹם וְהִיא יוֹלֶדֶת בֵּין בַּלִיְלָה. אָמֵר רְבִּי אָבוּן. שַׁנִייָא הִיא. שֶׁכֵּן הִיא יוֹלֶדֶת בְּלֹא זָכָר. רְבִּי זְעוּרָה בְּשֵׁם בִּיוֹ בַּיוֹ בַּעוֹנִי, הִיא. שֶׁכֵּן הִיא יוֹלֶדֶת בְּלֹא זָכָר. רְבִּי זְעוּרָה בְּשֵׁם גִּיוֹם מוֹב מוּתָּר. נַעֲשֶׂה כְדָבָר מוּכָן טָמוּן בְּדָבָר שְׁאֵינוֹ מוּכְן. אַבהוֹ עֵלֶל שְׁנוֹלְד מִן הַטְרֵפָה בְּיוֹם טוֹב מוּתָּר. נַעֲשֶׂה כְדָבָר מוּכְן טָמוּן בְּדָבָר שְׁאֵינוֹ מוּכְן. 1 אבהו GG אבהו ביר | GG בן שאיל | GC - 2 אין | G אן 3 שניה היא שכן מוּכן P שהיא זעורה | GG זערר בּן גִּידוֹל GG בירִב מוֹכן מוֹכּן

Rebbi Abbahu in the name of Rebbi Yose ben Rebbi Ḥanina (asked)<sup>30</sup>: <sup>31</sup>Any which has sexual relations during daytime gives birth during daytime, during nighttime gives birth during nighttime. They objected, does a chicken not have sexual relations only during daytime and it gives birth both during daytime and nighttime<sup>32</sup>? Rebbi Abun said, there is a difference, since it gives birth without a male<sup>33</sup>. Rebbi Ze`ira<sup>34</sup> in the name of Giddul: A calf born from a *terefa*<sup>35</sup> on a holiday is permitted; it has the status of something prepared<sup>36</sup> hidden in something not prepared.

- 30 Delete with G,G.
- 31 Babli 7a, Bekhorot 8a.
- 32 Denied by the Babli 7a which holds that chicken eggs are laid only during daytime and therefore an egg found in the nest at early dawn of a holiday is permitted as laid the day before.
- 33 It may lay several eggs from one fertilization by a male.
- 34 In both Genizah texts, Ze`ur (distinct from Ze`urah = Ze`ira).

35 An animal which cannot be expected to live for another 12 month; practically an animal injured or missing a limb or organ enumerated in *Hulin* Chapter 3. The meat from such an animal is biblically prohibited. 36 As food for the holiday. The calf is permitted food, the mother is not. Before the birth the calf was forbidden as *terefa*, now it is permitted and permits itself by slaughter.

(60a line 35) תַּפֶּן תַּנִינֶן. בֵּיצַת נְבֵילָה אָם יֵשׁ כַּיּוֹצֵא בָהּ נִמְכֶּרֶת בַּשׁוּק מוּתֶּרֶת. וְאָם לָאוּ אֲסוּרָה. כְּדְבְרֵי בֵית שַׁפֵּי. וְּבִית שַׁפֵּי. וְבִית שַׁפִּי. וְבִית שַׁפִּי בְּשׁוּק תְּהָא מוּתֶּרֶת. אָם אוֹמֵר אַתְּ כֵּן. נִמְצֵאתָ תִּתְנַבֵּל. מֵעַתָּה אֲפִילוּ אֵין כַּיּוֹצֵא בָהּ נִמְכֶּרֶת בַּשׁוּק תְּהָא מוּתֶּרֶת. אִם אוֹמֵר אַתְּ כֵּן. נִמְצֵאתָ מַתִּיר שְׁלָל שֶׁלְבֵּיצִים. וּבִית שַׁמִּין כְּמִשְׁנָה הָרְאשׁוֹנָה. וּבִית הַלֵּל כְּמִשְׁנָה הָאַחֲרוֹנְה. 1 באון G לִיו 2 מהן G ומה שמין B שמיי הייתה G היית 3 אין C שמיי

י בארן פרבין הינה בְשַׁם רָבּי יוֹחָנָן. בָּרְאשׁוֹנָה הָיוּ אוֹמְרִין. אֵין מַעֲמִידִין לֹא בְקִיבַת הַנְּבֵילָה דְאָמֵר רְבִּי חִינָה בְשַׁם רְבִּי יוֹחָנָן. בָּרְאשׁוֹנָה הָיוּ אוֹמְרוּן. אֵין מַעֲמִידִין בְּקִיבַת הַגּוֹי. רְבִּי יוֹסֵי וַלֹא בָקִיבַת הַגּוֹי. חַזָּרוּ לוֹמֵר. מַעַמִידִין בְּקִיבַת הַנְּבִילָה וָאֵין מַעַמִידִין בְּקִיבַת הַגּוֹי. רְבִּי יוֹסֵי בֵּירְבִּי בּוּן אָמַר. שְׁמוּאֵל בַּר אַבָּא בָּעֵי. מַה. כְּרְבִּי אֱלִיעֶיָּר. דְּרְבִּי לִיעֶיֶּר אָמַר. סְתָם מַחֲשֶׁבֶּת נָּכְרִי לַעֲבוֹדָה זֶרָה. רְבִּי יָּסָה בְּשׁם רְבִּי יוֹחָנֶן הָכֵין. בָּרְאשׁוֹנָה הָיוּ אוֹמְרִין. אֵין מַעֲמִידִין לֹא בְּקיבַת הַנְּיִרְה וְלֹא בְּקיבַת הַנְּיִרָה לְמְבִי חִיּיָה בַּרַ בָּא. קֵיבִת הַנְּבִילָה בֵּין בְּקִיבַת הַנְּבִילָה אֲסוּרָה אָמֵר רְבִּי יוֹטֵי. [לְשַׁן] מַתְנִיתָה מְסִייִעָה לְרְבִּי חִייָה בַּרַ בָּא. קֵיבַת הַנְּכְּרִי וְקֵיבַת הַנְּבֵילָה אֲסוּרָה לְמִשְׁינָה רְבִּי יוֹטֵי. [לְשַׁן] מַתְנִיתָה מְּסִייִעָה לְרְבִּי חִייָה בַּרַ בָּא. קֵיבַת הַנְּכְּרִי וְקֵיבַת הַנְּבֵילָה אֲסוּרָה לְמִשְׁינָה הְרִאשׁוֹנָה. פְּמִישְׁנָה אַחֲרוֹנָה. וַאֲפִילוּ דְּיִסְבְּרוּן בִּית שַׁמֵּי (לְ)[כְּ]בִית יֹּי שְׁיָּיָה מִנְיְבָה מִמְּקוֹם אַחֵר בָּאָת. וְאַתְיִי שְׁבִּי וֹחְנָן. מַעֲשֶׂה לְבְנִיוֹ שְׁלְיְהוּדָה בֶּן שְׁמוּעִיי שְׁבִּיקְעוּ הַבְּייִם יוֹתֵר רְבִּי יוֹסֵה בִּירְבִּי בּּוּן בְּשׁם רְבִּי יוֹחָנָן. מַעֲשֶׂה לְבְּנֵי וְשְׁלְּהוּדָה בֶּן שְׁמוּצִיי שְׁבִּיקְעוּ לְּבָּי חִלְּבוֹן וְהִתִּירוּ מְיִבּיתוֹה, מִשְׁלִּשׁ מֵאוֹת צֹאן. בּבְשְׁעָה לְבְנִי וֹ שְׁלְיְהוֹּדְה בָּן שְׁמִרוּ בִּיִישְׁכִי מִיתְרָם אַחָרוֹנְיה. בִּיִים יוֹתֵר מִשְׁלְשׁ מֵאוֹת צֹאן. בּּא מַעֲשֶּׁה לְבְּנֵי חֲכָמִין וְהָתִּירוּ קִיבוֹתְיהָם. אַמְרוּ בָּמָת בְּבָּילִי בְּבָּילְי גוּפָה. מַמְּקוֹם אַחֵר בָּאָב. בְּמִים מִוֹתֵר מִשְׁלִשׁ מֵאוֹת צֹאן. בּבָּא מַעֲשֶּׁה לבְּנֵי חֲכָמִין וְהְתִּירוּ קִיבֹּה מִמְקוֹם אָחֵר בָּאָת.

 $\mathbf{G}$  דאמ'  $\mathbf{G}$  דמר הנבילה  $\mathbf{G}$  הנבלה  $\mathbf{C}$  יוסי  $\mathbf{G}$  יוסה  $\mathbf{C}$  אליעזר  $\mathbf{G}$  ליעזר  $\mathbf{G}$  יסא הכין  $\mathbf{G}$  הנבלה  $\mathbf{G}$  הנבלה  $\mathbf{G}$  הנבלה  $\mathbf{G}$  יוסה לש(ם) לש[ון]  $\mathbf{G}$  לשַן הנבילה  $\mathbf{G}$  הנבלה  $\mathbf{G}$  המבלה  $\mathbf{G}$  הראשונה  $\mathbf{G}$  אחרונה  $\mathbf{G}$  האחרונה שמי  $\mathbf{G}$  שמיי  $\mathbf{G}$  ואתיא  $\mathbf{G}$  ואתייה  $\mathbf{G}$  ואתייה  $\mathbf{G}$  להן איבים  $\mathbf{G}$  איבים  $\mathbf{G}$  איבין קיבותיהם  $\mathbf{G}$  קיבותיהן

<sup>38</sup>Rebbi Hiyya in the name of Rebbi Johanan: At first they were saying, one curdles<sup>39</sup> neither with the stomach content of the carcass<sup>40</sup> nor with the stomach contents of the Gentile<sup>41</sup>. They changed to say, one curdles with the stomach content of the carcass but not with the stomach contents of the Gentile. Rebbi Yose ben Abun said, Samuel bar Abba asked: Does this follow Rebbi Eliezer, since Rebbi Eliezer said, the thoughts of the Non-Jew are about pagan worship<sup>42</sup>? Rebbi Yasa in the name of Rebbi Johanan: Originally, they said, one does curdle neither with carcass stomach contents nor with Gentile's stomach contents. They changed to say, one does curdle with carcass stomach contents and with Gentile's stomach contents. Rebbi Yose said, the language of a *baraita* supports Rebbi Hiyya bar Abba<sup>43</sup>: Stomach contents of a Gentile or a carcass is forbidden following the original teaching. The stomach contents of a qualified animal which suckled from a terefa<sup>35</sup> are forbidden following the former teaching, and the stomach contents of a terefa which suckled from a qualified one are permitted following the later teaching. And even if the House of Shammai would argue like the House of Hillel in the first teaching, an egg is a growth of its body<sup>44</sup>; the contents of the stomach come from the outside. It parallels what Rebbi Yose ben Rebbi Abun said in the name of Rebbi Johanan: It happened to the sons of Jehudah ben Shamuai that wolves tore more than 300 of their flock. The case came before the Sages who permitted their stomach contents<sup>45</sup>. They said, an egg is a growth of its body; the contents of the stomach come from the outside.

- 37 The scribe's text (in parentheses) is confirmed by **G**, against the corrector's text [in brackets].
- 38 A slightly corrupt parallel to this text is in *Avodah zarah* 2:7, Notes 293-302.
- 39 To make cheese. Babli Hulin 116a.
- 40 An animal which was not slaughtered following the rules of ritual slaughter, whose flesh therefore is forbidden as meat.
- 41 "Gentile's stomach content" naturally means "stomach content of animal slaughtered by a Gentile."
- 42 Does this follow R. Eliezer (whom practice does not follow in general) since it treats Gentile rennet as more problematic than the one derived from a carcass? Since here practice is said to follow R. Eliezer, the tradition seems questionable; one has to search for parallel traditions either to confirm or to reject.
- 43 The first version which keeps the prohibition of rennet from Gentile sources.
- 44 This now connects the discussion with the Mishnah here. The problem is to

understand the position of the House of Hillel since the preparation of food is permitted on a holiday (Ex. 12:16). According to the Babli and one opinion in the Yerushalmi the prohibition applies only to eggs laid by chickens raised to produce eggs. Then the mother was not food at the start of the holiday. While the egg is food according to everybody, for the House of Hillel it cannot change its character from non-food to food on the holiday. Then the question is raised why milk which is prohibited as food before being ingested by a ruminant becomes permitted by the animal's stomach. In this question, the revised ruling in matters of rennet would agree with the stance of the House of Shammai. But the answer is that the two cases cannot be compared; the stomach contents of an animal never were part of the animal and do not have the latter's status.

45 The latter teaching which permits rennet from animals prohibited as meat is confirmed by a ruling in an actual case.

(60a line 55) נוֹלְדָה בְיוֹם טוֹב תֵּיאָכֵל בַּשַּׁבָּת. בַּשַּׁבָּת תֵּיאָכֵל בְּשַׁבָּת תֵּיאָכֵל בְּשַׁבָּת תַּיאָכֵל בְּשַׁבָּת תַּיאָכֵל. רְבִּי וֹזְדָה אָמֵר מִשְׁם רְבִּי לִיעֶזֶר. הִיא הַמְּחֲלוֹקֶת. בִּית שַׁמֵּי אוֹמְרִים. תַּיאָכֵל. וּבִית הַלֵּל אוֹמְרִים. לֹא תֵיאָכֵל. רְבִּי חַנְינָה הוֹרֵי לְצִיפּוֹרָאֵיי בְּסִפְחֵי חַרְדָּל וּבְבֵיצָה כְרְבִּי יוּדָה. עָאַל רְבִּי יוֹחָנֶן וְדְרַשׁ לְהוֹן כְּרָבָּנְן דְּתַשְׁ רְבִּי לְּצִיפּוֹרָאֵיי בְּסִפְחֵי חַרְדָּל וּבְבֵיצָה כְרְבִּי יוֹדָה. עָאַל רְבִּי יוֹחָנֶן וְדָרַשׁ לְהוֹן כְּרָבִי וֹתְנָן מִוּלְיִא נְחַת דְּבִּי אַבָּא בַּרְ זְמִינָא נְחַת רְבִּי אָבָא הַבְּנָן מְוֹבְיֹי, אָמַר וְמִינְא נְחַת רְבִּי יִחָּא שְׁרֵין לְטִיבֵּרְיָא. אָמֵר. מַה אֵייתִיתוֹן לִי הָהֵן סַבָּא [דַּאֲנָא] שָׁרֵי וְהוּא אָסַר וְאָסַר רְבִּי בָּא. אֲתַא עוֹבְדָא קּוֹמֵי רְבִּי יָסָא וּבְעָא מֵעֲבַד כְּרְבִּי יוֹחָנֶן. כַּד שְׁמַע דְּרֵב וֹה תַנִיה [תּרוּיִהוֹוּן] פּלִיגִין שׁרע מִינַה [תּרוּיִהוֹוּן] פּלִיגִין שׁרע מִינָה.

4 תיאכל | G תאכל (2) שמיי G שמיי לציפוראיי G לציפוראי G לאון שׁ לון כרבנן G שמיי G שמיי שמיי לציפוראיי G אבה זמינא G זמינה יוצדק שׁ יצחק קומי שׁ קומי שׁ קומי G דהכה וכרבנן שׁ וכרבנין אבא G אבה זמינא G מציפורין שׁ מציפורין לטיבריא G לטיבריה G מלייא G מלייה נחת G שׁ נחת ליה G מן ציפורין G מציפורין שׁ מציפורין לטיבריא G

"If it was laid on the holiday it may be eaten on the Sabbath, on the Sabbath it may be eaten on the holiday<sup>46</sup>. Rebbi Jehudah said in the name of Rebbi Eliezer, this is the disagreement. The house of Shammai say that it may be eaten, but the House of Hillel say that it may not be eaten<sup>47</sup>." Rebbi Hanina instructed the Sepphoreans about spontaneous growth of mustard<sup>48</sup> and about the egg following Rebbi Jehudah. Rebbi Johanan came and preached to them following the rabbis here and the rabbis there<sup>49</sup>. Rebbi Abba bar Zemina in the name of Rebbi Joṣadaq: Because of these two things did Rebbi Joḥanan descend from Sepphoris to Tiberias. He said, why did you bring me this old man, what I am permitting he prohibits, what I am prohibiting he permits<sup>50</sup>. Rebbi Abba said, there came a case before Rebbi Yasa and he wanted to act following Rebbi Joḥanan but when he heard that Rav and Rebbi Ḥanina disagreed he refrained.

- 46 An egg laid on a holiday which is a Friday may be eaten on the following Sabbath; and an egg laid on a Sabbath may be eaten on a holiday which is on the following Sunday.
- 47 Tosephta 1:3, Babli 4a. According to R. Eliezer, the House of Hillel hold that the prohibition of newly available food on Sabbath and holiday is biblical; therefore since the egg was unavailable one moment before the start of the Sabbath it is unavailable on the Sabbath. For the anonymous majority and the House of Shammai the prohibition is rabbinical; since holiday and Sabbath represent two different kinds of holiness, the prohibition on one day has no influence on the other day.
- 48 In the Sabbatical year, as exception to R. Simeon's rule that all spontaneous growth of cultivated plants are forbidden in the Sabbatical.
- 49 That spontaneous growth of mustard is forbidden as object of trade, and that an egg laid on the holiday was permitted on the Sabbath. From here on to the end of the next paragraph the text also is in *Ševi`it* 9:1 (Notes 22-30.♥).
- 50 The historicity of this remark is doubtful since R. Johanan was R. Ḥanina's student in Sepphoris (at least in Aggadah). It is reasonable to accept the fact that R. Johanan went to Tiberias to become there Chief Rabbi.

(60a line 63) דְּאִיתְפּלְגוֹן. שְׁיֵבִי פְתִילָה שְׁיֵבִי מְדוּרָה שְׁיֵבִי שְׁמֶן שֶׁבֶּבוּ בַשַּׁבְּת. מָהוּ לְהַדְּלִיקְם בְּיוֹם טוֹב. רַב וְרְבִּי חֲנִינָה תְּרִיהוֹן אֱמְרִין. אָסוּר. וְרְבִּי יוֹחָנֶן אָמַר. מוּתָּר. אָמַר רְבִּי מָנָא קּוֹמֵי רְבִּי חֲנִינָה תְּרִיהוֹן אֱמְרִין. אָסוּר. וְרְבִּי יוֹחָנֶן אָמַר. מוּתָּר. אָמַר רְבִּי מָנָא קּוֹמֵי רָבָּי יִּדְּן. מַה אַפְּכֵּן לָהְּ פְּתִילָה גָּבֵי בֵּיצָה. אַמֵר לֵיה. מִן מֵה דַאַנֶן חֲמֵיי רַבָּנן מְדַמֵּיי לָה. הָדָא

אַמרה. היא הַדָּא הִיא הַדָּא. משָׁם אַרבַּעה זָקינִים אַמרוּ. הַנַּאַכַל עִירוּבוֹ בַּראשׁוֹן הַרי הוּא ּכָבְנֵי עִירוֹ בַּשֵּׁינִי. רָב חוּנַה בָשֶׁם רָב. הַלַכָּה כָאַרבַּעָה זְקִינִים. רַב חְסְדָּא בַעֵי. מְחָלְפַה שִׁישַׁתֵיה דָּרָב. תַּפַן הוּא עַבַד לָהּ שָׁתֵּי קִדוּשׁוֹת. וָהַכָּא הוּא עַבַד לָהּ קִדוּשַׁה אֲחַת. דְּאִיתְפַּלְגוֹן. שְׁיֵרִי פתילה שירי מדורה שירי שמן שכבו בשבת. מהו להדליקם ביום טוב. רב ורבי חנינה תריהון אַמָרִין. אַסוּר. רָבִּי יוֹחַגַן אַמַר. מוּתַּר. אַמַר רְבִּי מַנֵא קוֹמֵי רְבִּי יוּדַן. מַה אַפְכַּן לַהּ פְתִילַה גַּבִּי בִיצָה. אֲמֶר לֵיהּ. מָן מָה דַנַן חֲמֵיי רַבַּנָן מִדְמֵיי לַהּ. הַדָא אֲמַרָה. הָיא הַדָא הִיא הַדָא.  $G \mid$  דנן רבנן  $G \mid$  דאנן  $G \mid$  דאנן  $G \mid$  מהוא מהוא  $G \mid$  דאיתפלגון שירי  $G \mid$  דאיתפלגון שירי  $G \mid$  שרי שירי  $G \mid$  מהוא אטתיה G שטתיה G חסדי שיטתיה G חסדי שיטתיה G בשני רבG בשני רבG בשני רבון הדא וכה G והכה G מהוא G רבנין G רבנין הדא GG הדה (2) 8-6 שכבו . . . אמרה G גר"ש G<sup>51</sup>Because they disagreed: May the remainders of a wick, a fire, or oil that burned out on the Sabbath be lit on the holiday<sup>52</sup>? Rav and Rebbi Hanina both say it is forbidden, but Rebbi Johanan says, it is permitted. Rebbi Mana said before Rebbi Yudan, is it the reverse regarding an egg<sup>53</sup>? He said to him, since the rabbis compare it, it means that the two cases are identical<sup>54</sup>. They said in the name of four Elders<sup>55</sup>: If somebody's eruv was eaten on the first <day> he is like the people of his town on the second <day<sup>56</sup>>. Rav Huna said in the name of Ray: Practice follows the four Elders<sup>57</sup>. Ray Hisda asked: the argument of Ray seems to be inverted. There, he makes it two sanctities, but here he makes it one sanctity. Because they disagreed: May the remainders of a wick, a fire, or oil that burned out on the Sabbath be lit on the holiday? Ray and Rebbi Hanina both say it is forbidden, but Rebbi Johanan says, it is permitted. Rebbi Mana said before Rebbi Yudan, is it the reverse regarding an egg? He said to him, since the rabbis compare it, it means that the two cases are identical<sup>58</sup>.

- 51 This paragraph also is copied in *Eruvin* 3, Notes 169-176, where the readings of *Beṣah* and *Ševi`it* are compared.
- 52 This refers to Mishnah *Eruvin* 3:9 where it is stated that if a holiday precedes or follows a Sabbath one may make two *eruvin* to move one's Sabbath domain in two different directions for the two days. This clearly presupposes that the restriction of one's Sabbath domain to 2'000 cubits outside of town is rabbinical. (It is agreed that the limit of 12 *mil*, 3 *parasang*, is
- biblical.) In the case here, it is agreed that the remainders of spent fuel are *muqseh* on the Sabbath, when lighting a fire was prohibited. If this is a biblical prohibition then it is *muqseh* also on the holiday which is on Sunday, but if it is rabbinic then it will be permitted on the holiday, when lighting a fire is permitted.
- 53 Since the dispute between the Houses of Hillel and Shammai was described earlier as whether a chicken automatically is food on a holiday or only if it was raised for its meat,

not for producing eggs.

54 At least for the House of Hillel, cf. Note 47.

55 According to the Babli *Eruvin* 38b the Elders are Rabban Simeon ben Gamliel, R. Ismael ben R. Johanan ben Beroqa, R. Eleazar ben R. Simeon, and R. Yose ben R. Jehudah. In Tosephta *Eruvin* 4:2 the statement is R. Meïr's.

56 Essentially stated in Mishnah *Eruvin* 3:9.

57 Babli Eruvin 38b.

58 Instead of the last four sentences the source G simply states that one repeats from the start of the paragraph. Rav Ḥisda's question really is not answered. The Babli gives several tentative explanations of Rav's position. The one consistent with the Yerushalmi is that only weekdays can prepare for holidays but the Sabbath cannot prepare for a holiday. Since the reason for Rav's ruling in the case of fuel is not based on the number of sanctities involved, his decisions are consistent.

(60a line 75) הַפּּל מוֹדִין בְּנְשְׁרִין שְׁהֵן אֲסוּרִין. רְבִּי בּוּן בַּר חִייָה בְּעָא קוֹמֵי רְבִּי זְעוּרָה. מַה בִּין נְשֶׁרִין בְּנְשׁרִים בְּאִימוֹתֵיהֶן שְׁמָּא אֵינֶן אֲסוּרִין. אִילּוּ בַּצִּים בְּאִימוֹתֵיהֶן שְׁמָּא אֵינֶן אֲסוּרִין. אִילּוּ בַצִּים בְּאִימוֹתֵיהֶן שְׁמָּא אֵינֶן אֲסוּרִין. אִילּוּ בַצִּים בְּאִימוֹתֵיהֶן שְׁמָּא אֵינֶן מְחּבָּרוּ. בַּשַּׁבָּת לֹא תֵיאָכֵל בְּיוֹם טוֹב. אָמֶר לֵיה. וְכִינִי. לֹא דֵייָדְ שְׁהֶחֲמְרְתָּה עָלֶיהָ. שְׁאִם נוֹלְדָה בְיוֹם טוֹב לֹא תֵיאָכֵל בְּיוֹם טוֹב. בַּשַּׁבָּת לֹא תֵיאָכֵל בַּשַּׁבָּת. אֶלֶא שָׁאַתְּ מְבַקּשׁ לְהַחֲמִיר עָלֶיהְ שָׁאִם נוֹלְדָה בְיוֹם טוֹב לֹא תֵיאָכֵל בַּשַּׁבָּת. בַּשָּבָת לֹא תֵיאָכֵל בְּשְׁבָּת. אֶלָא שָׁאַתְּ מְבַקּשׁ לְהַחֲמִיר עָלֶיהְ שָׁאִם נוֹלְדָה בְיוֹם טוֹב לֹא תֵיאָכֵל בְּשַּׁבָּת. בְּשֵּבָּת לֹא תֵיאָכֵל בְּיוֹם טוֹב. רְבִי יִרְמְיָה בְּעֵי. עִיטוּרֵי סוּכָּה מָה הֵן. חָזֵר רְבִי יוֹסֵה. כָּל־שִׁבְעָה קְּדוּשַׁת יוֹם טוֹב אֲלֵיהֶן. בָּלְּבְי וֹמְח. כָּלִי עָל גַּב סוּכָּה. מִיכָּן וָהֵילְדְּ בְּדִוּשַׁת יוֹם טוֹב עֲלֵיהֶן. מֶה אָתָא מִישְׁאוֹל. יוֹם טוֹב וּשְׁבָּת כְּשָבִּיהן. מָה בָּעִי חִבּיל וְ וָהִילַדְּ קְּדוּשַׁת וֹם טוֹב עְלֵיהֶן. מָה בְּעִי מִיבָן וְהָרִילְדְ בְּדִוּשׁת יוֹם טוֹב עֲלֵיהֶן. מָה אָתָא מִישְׁאוֹל. יוֹם טוֹב שְׁבָּית כְּמָאן דְּאָמֵר. שִׁהָּי קְדוּשׁת יוֹם טוֹב עֲלֵיהֶן. מֶה בְּעָב שִׁבְּת בְּמָאן דְּאָמֵר. שְׁתִּי קְדוּשׁת יוֹם טוֹב עֲלֵיהֶן. מָה בְּעָב שְׁבָּת כְּמָאן דְּאָמֵר. שִׁתָּי קְדוּשׁת וֹם טוֹב עְלֵיהָן.

G מודים בנשרין G מודים בעא G בנשרים בעא G בעא G בעה G בין G בון G בעל G מודים בעים G ביצים באימותיהן G באימותיהם אינן G אנן G אנן G אנן G אסורים בצים G ביצים באימותיהן G באימותיהם G ביצים G אנן G אנן G אנן G אליה G באימותהן G תאכל G תאכל G G באימותהן G באימותהן G מה הן G מה מה G מה מה G מה מה G מה מה G מה מישאול G משאול G במאן דאמ' G כמץ דמר

Everybody agrees that wind-fall is forbidden<sup>59</sup>. Rebbi Abun bar Ḥiyya asked before Rebbi Ze`ira: What is the difference between wind-fall and eggs? Is wind-fall on its mother<sup>60</sup> not forbidden, but are eggs in their mother not permitted? Then if it was laid on the Sabbath it should not be eaten on the holiday, if laid on the holiday it should not be eaten on the Sabbath<sup>61</sup>! He answered him, is that so? Is it not enough that you were restrictive about it that if it was laid on the holiday it may not be eaten on the holiday that you want to be more restrictive that if laid on the holiday it should not be eaten on the Sabbath, if laid on the Sabbath it should not be eaten on the holiday<sup>62</sup>?

Rebbi Jeremiah asked, what is the status of decorations of a *sukkah*<sup>63</sup>? Rebbi Jeremiah turned around and said, all seven <days> they are insignificant in the *sukkah*, afterwards they are prepared<sup>64</sup>. Rebbi Yose said, all seven <days> the holiness of (seven) [*sukkah* falls]<sup>65</sup> on them, afterwards the holiness of the holiday falls on them. What did he come to question? If the holiday falls on a Friday according to him who says, they are two kinds of holiness<sup>66</sup>.

- 59 Fruit that fell from its tree on a holiday.
- 60 The tree. Since fruit hanging on a tree must be harvested before it can be moved, it is biblically forbidden.
- 61 If the egg only is permitted because it may be eaten in its mother, on a Sabbath when slaughter is prohibited the egg should be prohibited according to everybody if the holiday was on a Friday.
- 62 Since we had concluded earlier that also for the House of Hillel it is a matter of preparation, not harvest or a similar biblical injunction, there is no reason to pile rabbinic restrictions on rabbinic restrictions.
- 63 Edible decorations. Since the *sukkah* may not be demolished during the week of Tabernacles, any decorations are part of the *sukkah* and may not be taken. The question

- is about the Eighth Day which is a holiday but not part of Tabernacles and not a time when the *sukkah* is used. Cf. Šabbat 3, Note 170.
- 64 This is a position denied by R. Jeremiah in *Šabbat* 3 but accepted by R. Yose's brother. After the holiday the decorations are simply fruits hanging on the wall which can be taken at any moment.
- 65 The best readings are those of the Genizah texts, "the holiness of *sukkah* is".
- 66 Since the holiness of the *sukkah* is biblical, and so is the holiness of holiday, it is consistent to extend the holiness of the decorations to the holiday, but it is not necessary to extend it to a following Sabbath.

(60b line 10) [הלכה ב]. רְבִּי זְרִיקָן בְּשֶׁם רְבִּי יוֹסֵי בֶּן חֲנִינָה. לֹא שְׁנוּ אֶלֶא לְבִיעוּרוֹ. הָא לַאֲכִילָה כַזַּיִת. רְבִּי אֵבָּהוּ בְשֶׁם רְבִּי יוֹחָנֶן. בֵּין לְבִיעוּרוֹ בֵּין לַאֲכִילָה כַזַּיִת. קָם רְבִּי מָנָא עִם רְבִּי חָזְקָיָה. אָמֵר לֵיהּ. מָן רְבִּי אַבָּהוּ אֲמֵר לֵיהּ. [וְאוּף] חִזְקָיָה. אָמֵר לֵיהּ. מָן הַן שְׁמַע רַב הָדָא מִילְתָא. אֲמֵר לֵיהּ. מון רְבִּי אַבָּהוּ. אֲמֵר לֵיהּ. [וְאוּף] (וֹאוּף] אַמְרִין. רְבִּי אַבָּהוּ בְשָׁם רְבִּי יוֹחָנֶן. בֵּין לְבִיעוּרוֹ בֵּין לַאֲכִילָה כַזַּיִת. דִּי לֹא כֵּן. נִיתְנִי שְׁלֹשִׁים וְשְׁבַע כָּרִיתוֹת בַּתּוֹרָה.

G יוסי G יוסי אבהו G אבהוא הדה אבהוא G רב G רבי הדא מילתא G הדה מלתה אבהו G אבהוא הדה אבהוא G אבהוא הדי לא כן G דלכן G שלשים G שלושים אבהוא G אבהוא הדי לא כן G

[Halakhah 2]<sup>67</sup>. Rebbi Zeriqan in the name of Rebbi Yose ben Hanina: They stated this only for its elimination, but for eating the volume of an olive<sup>68</sup>. Rebbi Abbahu in the name of Rebbi Johanan, whether for elimination or eating, the volume of an olive<sup>69</sup>. Rebbi Mana was standing with Rebbi

Hizqiah; he said to him, from where did the rabbi hear this?. He told him, from Rebbi Abbahu. He answered, we also are saying, Rebbi Abbahu in the name of Rebbi Johanan, whether for elimination or eating, the volume of an olive<sup>15</sup>, for otherwise one would have to state "37 extirpations in the Torah"<sup>70</sup>.

- 67 Corrector's addition, to be deleted. He designated the discussion of the second part of Mishnah 1 as separate Halakhah. Therefore his count of Halakhot in this Chapter deviates systematically from the scribe's.
- 68 Even the House of Shammai agree that eating leavened matter in the volume of an olive on Passover creates liability.
- 69 This statement refers only to the opinion of the House of Hillel. While

leavened matter is forbidden on Passover in the most minute amount, liability is created only by the volume of an olive, whether active leavening or passive leavened matter. 70 If there were different standards for leavening and leavened matter, Mishnah *Keritut* 1:1 which enumerates the 36 transgressions causing extirpation should have enumerated them separately and arrived at a total of 37.

(fol. 59d) **משנה ב:** הַשּוֹחֵמ חַיָּה וָעוֹף בְּיוֹם מוֹב בֵּית שַׁמַּאי אוֹמְרִים יַחְפּוֹר בַּדֶּקֶר וִיכַּסֶה. וּבֵית הָלֵּל אוֹמְרִים לֹא יִשְׁחוֹמ אֶלָּא אָם כֵּן הָיָה לוֹ עֻפָּר מוּכָן. וּמוֹדִין שֶאָם שְׁחַמ שֶּיַּחְפּוֹר בּדקר ויכּסָה. שאפר כִּירה מוּכֹן.

**Mishnah 2:** If somebody slaughtered a wild animal or a bird<sup>71</sup> on the holiday, the House of Shammai say that he shall dig with a picket<sup>72</sup> and cover, but the House of Hillel say that he should not slaughter unless he had dust prepared. But they agree that if he slaughtered that he may dig with a picket and cover, <and> that the ashes of the cooking stove are prepared<sup>73</sup>.

- 71 Whose blood has to be covered by dust, *Lev.* 17:13.
- 72 Even though digging is an activity forbidden on the holiday, since slaughter for food is permitted without restriction there is biblical authorization to provide the 'necessary dust. The House of Hillel agree that by biblical standards this is true; they say that rabbinically one has to refrain from

digging if possible.

73 The ashes produced from the firewood in the stove are qualified as dust to cover the blood of birds. Therefore they are useful for activities permitted on the holiday and cannot be *muqseh*. In this context, "prepared" means "available for the preparation of food on the holiday."

(60b line 15) **הלכה ב** [ג]: רְבִּי חִייֵה בַשׁם רְבִּי יוֹחַנוְ. הַמְבַשׁל נְבֵילָה בִיוֹם טוֹב אֵינוֹ לוֹקָה. שהותר מַכּלל בִישׁוּל בִיוֹם טוב. רבי שמעון בּן לַקִישׁ אַמַר. לוֹקָה. שׁלֹא הותר מַכּלל בִישׁוּל אַלַא לַאַכִילַה בָּלְבַד. הַתִּיב רְבִּי בָּא בַּר מַמַל עַל הָדָא דְּרְבִּי יוֹחָנַן. מֵעַתַּה הַחוֹרֵשׁ בִּיוֹם טוֹב אֵינוֹ לוֹקָה. שׁהוּתַּר מַכָּלֶל חַרִישׁה בַּיּוֹם טוֹב. רְבִּי יוֹסָה בַשׁם רְבִּי אִילָא. לֹא הוּתַרָה חַרִישׁה כָדַרְכַּה. רבי שמי אמר קומי רבי יוסה. רבי אחא בשם רבי אילא. דרבי שמעון היא. דרבי שמעון אַמַר. עַד שַׁיָּהָא לוֹ צוֹרֶךְ בָּגוּפוֹ שֶׁלְדָבָר. קָם רְבִּי יוֹסֶי עִם רְבִּי אַחַא. אַמַר לֵיהּ. אַתַּה אַמַרְתַּה הַדָא מִילְתַא. לֹא כֶן אַמַר רָבִּי יוֹחַנַן. דָּבָרִי רְבִּי מֵאִיר. עֲשִׂרִים וְאַרְבַּעָה דְבַרִים מִקוּלִי בֵּית שַׁמַי וּמַחוּמַרִי בֵּית הָלַּל. ווָה אֶחַד מֵהֶם. נֹאמַר עֲשָׂרִים וּשָׁלשַׁה. אֶלַּא רָבִּי מֵאִיר וַרְבִּי שָׁמְעוֹן שָׁנֵיהֶן אמרוּ דבר אחד. לא כן סברנן מימר. רבי יוסה ורבי שמעון שניהן אמרוּ דבר אחד. נימר. רָבִּי מֵאָיר וַרְבִּי יוֹסֶה וַרְבִּי שָׁמְעוֹן שָׁלַשְׁתַּם אֲמְרוּ דַּבַר אָחַד. אֶלֶא מִילִין דְּצַרִיכָן לְרַבַּנָן פְּשִׁיטַן לְכוֹן. פָּשִׁיטַן לַרַבַּנָן צָרִיכַן לַכוֹן. קַצַר לְצוֹרֶדְּ עֲשַׂבִים חַייַב מְשׁוּם קוֹצֵר וְאֵינוֹ חַייַב מְשַׁם שָׁהוּא מְיַפֶּה אֶת הַקַּרַקע. לָא צוֹרָכָה דִּילָא. קצר לִיַפּוֹת אֶת הַקַּרַקע מַהוּ שָׁיָהָא חִייַב מְשֶׁם קוֹצֵר וּמשֹׁם שׁהוּא מייפּה את הקרקע. ואפילוּ תימר דרבי שמעון היא. ברם כּרבּנן מכּל־מקוֹם הרי חַרשׁ וּמְכַּל־מַקוֹם הַרִי קַצַר. אַמַר רְבִּי מַנַא. מִילִיהוֹן דְּרַבַּנָן מְסַייִעַן לְרַבִּי יוֹסֵי רְבִּי. דְּאַמֵּר רְבִּי חִייַה בְשָׁם רָבִּי יוֹחַנַן. דָג שֶׁסְחָטוֹ. אָם לְגוּפוֹ פַטוּר. אָם לְהוֹצִיא צִיר הַרֵי זֶה חַייַב. וַאֲפִילוּ ָתִימַר דְּרָבִּי שָׁמְעוֹן הָיא. בָּרֶם כָּרָבַּוָן מְכַּל־מַקוֹם הֵרֵי סַחֵט וּמְכַּל־מַקוֹם הֵרִי הוֹצִיא צִיר.

G נבילה G נבלה G אילא G הלא G הלא G סימיי אחא G אחה אילא G הלא G לא היא G הוא G אוסי G יוסי אחא G אחה ליה G לה אתה אמרתה G את אמרת G את אמרת G הדא מילתא G ויסי G יוסי G אחה ליה G אחה ליה G לה אתה אמרתה G את אמרת G ויסי G דברים G דברים G שמיי מהם G מהן נאמר G מימר ושלשה G ושלושה G לא כן G ולכן שניהן G שניהם G שניהם G שלשתם G שלשתן מילין G לחבנין G ליפוע G פשט לרבנין עריכן לכון G עשבים G עשבים משום G ואפיל לרפנין G ליפות מהו G מהוא G ואפילו G ואפיל כרבנן G כרבנין G מכל דרבנן G דרבנין G דרבנין G יוסי G יוסי G מכור G מכל

<sup>74</sup>Rebbi Hiyya in the name of Rebbi Johanan: He who cooks carcass meat on a holiday is not flogged, because the category of cooking is permitted on a holiday<sup>75</sup>. Rebbi Simeon ben Laqish said, he is flogged, for the category of cooking is permitted only for food<sup>76</sup>. Rebbi Abba bar Mamal objected to this {statement} by Rebbi Johanan. Then one who ploughs on a holiday should not be flogged since actions of the category of ploughing are permitted on a holiday<sup>77</sup>. Rebbi Yose in the name of Rebbi Ila: ordinary ploughing was not permitted<sup>78</sup>. Rebbi Shammai said before Rebbi Yose: Rebbi Aha in the name of Rebbi Ila, this<sup>79</sup> is Rebbi Simeon's, for Rebbi Simeon said, only if he needs the essence of the matter<sup>80</sup>. Rebbi Yose met Rebbi Aha. He said to him, did you say this? But did not Rebbi Johanan say, the words of Rebbi Meïr are that in 24 matters the House of Shammai are lenient and the House of Hillel

restrictive, and this is one of them. Should we say 23<sup>81</sup>? But Rebbi Meïr and Rebbi Simeon both said the same<sup>82</sup>. But were we not of the opinion that Rebbi Yose and Rebbi Simeon both said the same<sup>83</sup>? Should we say, Rebbi Meïr, Rebbi Yose, and Rebbi Simeon all three said the same<sup>84</sup>? But matters which are problematic for the rabbis are obvious for you; are those which are obvious for the rabbis problematic for you? If one harvested for grasses<sup>85</sup> he is liable for harvesting but is not liable for improving the soil. There is only the problem if he harvested in order to improve the soil. Is he liable for harvesting and for improving the soil? Even if you say it follows Rebbi Simeon, but for the rabbis in any case he ploughed, in any case he harvested<sup>86</sup>. Rebbi Mana said, the words of the rabbis support my teacher Rebbi Yose, for Rebbi Hiyya said in the name of Rebbi Joḥanan, if one compressed a fish<sup>87</sup>, if for its body he is not liable, but if to produce fish sauce he is liable. Even if you say that he said this following Rebbi Simeon, but for the rabbis in any case he compressed, in any case he produced fish sauce<sup>88</sup>.

- 74 This paragraph is from *Šabbat* 7, Notes 239-254.
- 75 It is presumed that carcass meat, which is forbidden as human food, is not prepared as animal feed. For R. Johanan (Babli 12b) since making fire and cooking is permitted for preparing food on the holiday (*Ex.* 12:16) it is permitted for any purpose.
- 76 He disputes that cooking be permitted for anything that is not food.
- 77 This refers to Mishnah 1:2. Now digging is a derivative of ploughing, therefore some kind of ploughing is biblically permitted on a holiday.
- 78 Since no plough is authorized, the work is not professional and, since the intent is not to prepare the soil for agriculture, the prohibition is rabbinical; the Houses of Shammai and Hillel do not disagree about the interpretation of a biblical commandment.

- 79 Both the Houses of Shammai and of Hillel do permit to use a professional tool; they must hold that the intent determines liability.
- 80 There is liability only if the prohibited action is the object of his intent, not a by-product. Cf. Šabbat 2, Note 19.
- 81 Since in this interpretation both Houses agree that the digging does not create liability and the biblical commandment to cover the blood overrides the rabbinic "fence around the law".
- 82 Mishnah 1:2 is anonymous and therefore presumed to be R. Meïr's. If it implies the position of R. Simeon then both must agree in this matter. The opponent of R. Simeon in this matter is Rebbi Jehudah, student of his father R. Ilai, who was a student of the Shammaite R. Eliezer. It is intrinsically unlikely that the House of Shammai should accept what later was

formulated by R. Simeon.

83 *Šabbat* 2, Note 19. Babli *Šabbat* 31b. 84 Then we should hold that this is their (direct or indirect) teacher R. Aqiba's position and it is difficult to fathom who would disagree; but we see that this opinion is not generally accepted in tannaitic sources.

85 He was weeding and using the uprooted weeds as fodder. This is forbidden on a holiday as it is forbidden on the

Sabbath.

86 In the Babli, these rabbis are identified with R. Jehudah.

87 A pickled herring. Since the preparation of food is permitted on a holiday, this sentence and the next are copied in error from *Šabbat*, since the activities are only forbidden on *Šabbat*. Babli *Šabbat* 145a.

8 This is all one *Šabbat* liability.

(60b line 36) רב אבון בשם רבנן דתמן. זאת אומרת שאין אפר הכירה מוכן אלא [ל]מצוה. אמר רבי מנא. בשלא הכינו. אבל אם הכינו מכסין בו (צואה) ומצוה. ואתייא כהיא דאמר רבי שמי. דרש רבי אחא בשם רב יהודה. הכינו למצוה מכסין בו מצוה. צואה. מכסין בו צוֹאַה מַצוָה. רַבִּי יוֹסָה בִּירבִי בּוּן אַמֵּר. אִיתַפַּלֹּגוֹן רַב זַירַא וַרְבִּי אַבַּא בַּר יוֹסַף. חַד אַמַר. יֵשׁ הַכָּן לצוֹאָה. וְחוֹרַנָה אַמַר. אֵין הַכָּן לצוֹאָה. מַתִּיב מַאן דַאָמַר. אֵין הַכָּן. למאן דַאַמַר. יֵשׁ הַכֶּן. וִיכַּסֶה בוֹ אֵת הַכּוֹי. אָמַר לֵיהּ. כּוֹי דֵּרֵדְ בְּנֵי אָדָם לִטְעוֹת בּוֹ. צוֹאָה אֵין דֵּרֶדְ בְּנֵי אָדַם לִטְעוֹת בָּה. אָמַר לֵיה. אָם אוֹמֶר אַתְּ כֵּן. אַף הוּא חוֹפֵר בַּדֶּקֵר וּמְכַסֵּה. וְתַנֵּי כֵן. הֵבִיא עָפַר לטוח את גגו. סיד לסוד את ביתו. מכסין בו. אית תַנַיִי תַנַי. אין מכסין. רבי יוסה בירבי בון ַבּשֶׁם רַבַּ חַסְדַּא. מַאן דָאַמַר. מְכַסִּין. לְשֶׁעַבַר. מַאן דָאַמַר. אָין מְכַסִין. בְּבַא לִישָׁאַל כַּתְּחִילָה. G ומעיה G ומעיה G ומעוה G ומעוה G ומעוה G ומעוה G ומעוה G והכינו G והעיה GGG | מצוה G | בר איתפלגון G | מצוה G(2) מכסים G שמיי מכסיו G שמיי Gמן דמר אין GG אן מאן אמין G און וחרנה G וחרנה (GG ורב GG ארנה אין אור ורב (GG אתפלגון אירא ואם ומכסה G ויכסה ותני כן G תני הביא G וביא לטוח לטוח מכסין G מכסים כן וואם ומכסה G

Rav Abun in the name of the rabbis there: this<sup>89</sup> implies that the ashes of the stove are prepared only for the commandment<sup>90</sup>. Rebbi Mana said, if he did not prepare it<sup>91</sup>. But if he prepared it, one covers with it (excrement)<sup>92</sup> and commandment. This parallels what Rebbi Shammai said, Rebbi Aḥa preached in the name of Rav Jehudah: If he prepared it for the commandment one covers with it for the commandment, for excrement one covers with it excrement and commandment. Rebbi Yose ben Rebbi Abun said, Rav Zera and Rebbi Abba bar Joseph disagreed. One said, there is preparation for excrement, but the other said, there is no preparation for excrement. The one who said that there is no preparation objected to the one who said that there is

preparation, could he not use it to cover {the blood of} the Koy<sup>93</sup>? He answered him, people are apt to err about the Koy<sup>94</sup>, people are not apt to err about excrement. He said to him, if you would say so, he would take the picket and cover<sup>95</sup>. And it was stated so<sup>96</sup>: "If one brought dust to plaster his roof, lime to whitewash his house, one uses it to cover." There are Tannaim who state, one does not use it to cover. Rebbi Yose ben Rebbi Abun in the name of Rav Hisda, he who said, one uses it to cover, after the deed; he who said, one does not use it to cover, one who comes to ask before he starts<sup>97</sup>.

- 89 If the Mishnah was to be understood that ashes from the cooking stove may be used without restriction on the holiday, it should have indicated that it may be used for some mundane action. Since the remark about the ashes is appended to the discussion of a religious act, one infers that it may be used for religious acts only.
- 90 The 5 prefixed by the corrector to the word מצוה is standard Babli syntax; as the following text shows clearly it has to be deleted.
- 91 Ashes which existed before the holiday may be designated before the holiday for any use intended on the holiday.
- 92 Scribe's text deleted by the corrector who did not understand the text. As noted already by *Qorban ha`Edah*, this has to be undeleted.
- 93 The blood of kosher wild animals has to be covered and all their fat may be consumed. The blood of domesticated kosher animals is not covered and their visceral fat is forbidden as food. Mishnah

Bikkurim 2:8-9 states that the Koy is possibly a wild animal or possibly a domesticated animal; therefore his blood has to be covered but its fat may not be eaten. It may not be slaughtered on the holiday; if slaughtered anyhow its blood may not be covered on the holiday.

In *Bikkurim* 2:7 (Note 154) R. Eleazar says the Koy is the result of the mating of a he-goat with a hind or a stag mating with a she-goat; but the rabbis say, it is a separate kind and the Sages could not determine its classification.

In the Babli 8b this is a declarative sentence, not an interrogation.

- 94 And infer that its fat is permitted since its blood is covered.
- 95 A possible (not prosecutable) holiday violation. Babli 8a.
- 96 Tosephta 1:5.
- 97 The restrictions on using building materials to cover blood or excrement are rabbinic in nature.

(60b line 47) תַּנֵּי. רְבִּי יוֹסֵי אוֹמֵר. כּוֹי אֵין מְכַסִּין אֶת דָּמוֹ מִפְּנֵי שֶׁהוּא סְפֵק. מָה אִם מִילָה שָׁוֹדָדִייָה דּוֹחָה אֶת הַשַּׁבָּת. אֵין סְפֵיקָה דּוֹחָה אֶת יוֹם טוֹב. כִּיסוּי הַדָּם שֶׁאֵין ווַדְּייוֹ דּוֹחָה אֶת הַשְּבָּת. דִּין הוּא שֶׁלֹא יִדְחֶה סְפֵיקוֹ אֶת יוֹם טוֹב. וּמוּתָּר לִשְׁחוֹט בַּשַּבָּת. רְבִּי יוֹסֵה אָמֵר לָה סְתָם. רְבִּי יוֹסֵה בִּיִרְבִּי בּוּן בְּשֵׁם רְבִּי יוֹחָנָן. בְּשׁוֹחֵט לַחוֹלָה. אֵמְרוּ וֹוֹ. וַהֲרֵי שׁוֹפָר שֶׁבִּגְבוּלִים יוֹכִיחַ. שָׁאֵין ווַדָּייוֹ דּוֹחָה שַׁבָּת וַהֲרֵי סְפֵּיקוֹ דּוֹחָה יוֹם טוֹב. מַה סְפַּק יֵשׁ שָׁם. יוֹם חוֹל הוּא יִתִּקע יוֹם טוֹב הוּא יִתִּקע. רְבִּי חֲנִינָה בְשָׁם רְבִּי אָחָא. בְּאַנְדְּרוֹגֵינֹס שֶׁתָּקע. וְתַנֵּי כֵן. אַנְדְּרוֹגֵינֹס שְׁתָקע יוֹם טוֹב הוּא יִתִּקע. רְבִּי חֲנִינָה בְשָׁם רְבִּי אָחָא. בְּאַנְדְרוֹגֵינֹס שֶׁתָקע. וְתַנֵּי כֵן. אַנְדְרוֹגֵינֹס שְׁתָּקע וֹבִי מִוֹנֹ (וְאֵינוֹ מוֹצִיא אֶת שְׁאֵינוֹ מִינוֹ]. טוּמְטום אֵינוֹ מוֹצִיא לֹא אֶת מִינוֹ וְלֹא אֶת מִינוֹ וְלֹא אֶת מִינוֹ וְלֵא הָתְּ בְּיִי וֹחָי בָעִי. אָם בְּאַנְדְרוֹגֻינֹס שְׁתָּקע. בְּדָא דְתַנֵּי. אֵין לוֹ תְשׁוּבָה. אָמֵר רְבִּי שְׁאָבְין (אַבְּיִן). זָה אֶחָד מֵאַרְבָּעָה דְבָרִים שֶׁהָיה רְבִּי חִייָה הַנְּדוֹל אוֹמֵר. אֵין לְהָן תְּשׁוּבָה. (אַבָּין) וְהַשִּיב רְבִּי לְעָזֶר בְּנוֹ שֶׁלְרְבִּי לְעָזֶר הַקּפָּר. מָה אִם מִילָה שְׁאֵין סְפֵיקָה דּוֹחָה אֶת יוֹם טוֹב וּתַדְייִה דוֹנְהִיה בְּנִילוֹ זּוֹחָה אֶת לֵילֵי יוֹם טוֹב. הּוֹאִיל וַדְּיִיה דְּחָה אֶת לֵילֵי יוֹם טוֹב. הְנְאַרְ בְּנִים וֹנְדִיהוֹ דְּנָתְי שְׁתְיִיה וְקשׁת בִּיִדִיהוֹן. כֵּן צְרְכַת לְרַבָּנִן (אַחָרִיי) [אַחָרִא] וְקשׁת בִּיִדיהוֹן. עַל דְּעְתֵּיה דְּרָבִי וֹמִטֹל הָעִלְר בְּנִיל (אַחָרִיי) [אַחָרִא] וְקשׁת בִּיִדִיהוֹן. עַל דְּעְתֵיה דְּרָבִי וֹמָם לֵּבְי לְנָתְר לְּבָּנִן (אַחָרִיי) [אַחָרָא] וְקשׁת בִּיִדיהוֹן. עַל דְּעְתֵּיה דְּרָבִי וֹסְם מוֹצִיא אָת מִינוֹ כַּתְחִילְה. על דְּעתֵּיה דְּרָבִּי וֹסְה. לְשִׁרְב. לִּצְיתִיה בְּיִבּיוֹם מוֹצִיא אָת מִינוֹ כַּתְחִילְה. על דְּעתֵּיה דְּרָבִי וֹסְם בּיֹם בּיִים בּינִים בּיִנֹם בּיִים בּיִים בּיִּים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִבְּים בְּיִים בְּיִּים בְּיִם בְּיִבְים בְּיִב בְּיִבְים בְּעִבְּים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִּים בְּיִים בְּיִבְים בְּבִים בְּשִּים בְּיִים בְּיִבְּי בְּנְיִים בְּיִים בְּיִבְיים בְּעִים בְּבְּי בְּיִם בְּיִים בְּעִים בְּבִים בְּיֹם בְּיִם בְּיִבְּי בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִילִים בְּיִבְיוּים בְּיוֹם בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִבְית בְּיִבְים בְּיִבְים בְּיִבְיוֹם בְיוֹם בְּיִּים בְּיוֹים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיוֹם בְּיִבְים בְּבְּבְ

Gיוסי (G מכסים מילה (G מכסים מילה (G מכסים מילה (G מכסים מילה (G מילה (G מכסים מילה (G מיל

It was stated<sup>98</sup>: "Rebbi Yose says, one does not cover the Koy's blood because it is a doubtful case." Since circumcision whose certainty pushes aside the Sabbath, in doubt does not push aside the holiday<sup>99</sup>, then for covering the blood which in case of certainty does not push aside the Sabbath it only is logical that in a case of doubt it not push aside the holiday 100. But is it permitted to slaughter on a Sabbath<sup>101</sup>? Rebbi Yose said it without attribution, Rebbi Yose ben Rebbi Abun in the name of Rebbi Johanan: If he slaughtered for a sick person<sup>102</sup>. They told him, does not the *shofar* in the countryside disprove it? For in case of certainty it does not push aside the Sabbath, but in a case of doubt it pushes aside the holiday<sup>103</sup>. What kind of doubt is there? If it is a weekday, he may blow, if it is a holiday, he may Rebbi Hanina<sup>105</sup> in the name of Rebbi Aha: In case of a hermaphrodite 106 who blew. And it was stated so 107: "The hermaphrodite frees his own kind [but does not free persons not of his own kind.] <sup>108</sup> The sexless frees neither his kind nor not his kind 109." Rebbi Yose 110 asked, if in the case of a hermaphrodite who blew, is that where we stated, it has no counter argument? Rebbi (Abbaye) [Abbin]<sup>111</sup> said, that is one of four cases when the Great Rebbi Hiyya said, there is no counter-argument. But Rebbi Eleazar the son of Rebbi Eleazar the caper grower<sup>112</sup> found a counter-argument. Since circumcision which in a case of doubt does not push aside the holiday, in a case of certainty pushes aside holiday night<sup>113</sup>, you can say about covering the blood where in case of certainly it pushes aside holiday night, since in case of certainly it pushes aside holiday night, in a case of doubt it should push aside the holiday<sup>114</sup>. This establishes that what was problematic to the earlier rabbis and was difficult for them, so it is problematic to the later rabbis and is a difficulty for them. In the opinion of Rebbi Aḥa, the hermaphrodite frees his own kind *a priori*, in the opinion of Rebbi Yose, *a posteriori*<sup>115</sup>.

98 Tosephta 1:5, Ḥulin 6:1; Babli 8a, Ḥulin 84b.

99 If a baby is born on a Sabbath it is circumcised the next Sabbath. If he is born during twilight, when it was not clear on which calendar date the birth actually was, then it cannot be circumcised before the eighth day counting from the second possible date. If that should be a holiday, the circumcision has to be delayed for another day since violating the holiday for what may be a ninth, not an eighth, day would be desecrating the holiday. Mishnah Šabbat 19:5.

100 Since according to Mishnah *Megillah* 1:8 the only activity forbidden on the Sabbath and permitted on a holiday is the preparation of food. Covering the blood is an activity occurring after what is a preparation of food, and only preparations are permitted.

101 Since slaughter is forbidden on the Sabbath, covering the blood cannot occur and the premise of the argument is vacuous. 102 If freshly cooked meat is prescribed by a competent medical authority to a dangerously sick person, it has to be provided on the Sabbath. The obligation to violate the Sabbath extends to slaughter but not to covering the blood.

103 Since outside the Temple the shofar is

not blown on a Sabbath (Mishnah *Roš Haššanah* 4:1), but on the holiday falling on a weekday it is blown everywhere even when it is not known whether the 1<sup>st</sup> of Tishre is the 30<sup>th</sup> or the 31<sup>st</sup> of Elul.

104 Since on New Year's Day the blowing is a biblical obligation, it certainly is permitted on that day. On a workday one may blow anyhow.

105 With the Genizah sources, read "Ḥananiah" the late Amora, for Ḥanina, the early one.

106 Greek 'ανδρόγυνος "man-woman", a person having both male and female sex organs. He is considered a probable male and therefore probably required to hear the *shofar* sound; therefore he may perform the blowing for similar probable males.

107 Roš Haššanah Tosephta 2:5, Babli 29a. 108 Corrector's addition, is found in the Tosephta text but not in the Genizah sources: to be deleted.

109 The person with neither male nor female sex characteristics may be a male or a female. As a female he would not be obliged by a religious obligation to be performed at a set time; therefore his blowing cannot free even another sexless person since the blower might be a genetic female and the hearer a genetic male.

110 The late Amora.

111 The corrector's "Abbin" is a distortion of the text. The scribe's "Abbaye" is confirmed by a Genizah source. While in old and modern lists of Amoraim no Galilean "R. Abbaye" is listed, he appears as an early Amora in *Roš Haššanah* 1:10, Note 321, not to be confused with the Babylonian Abbaye who never has a title.

112 He is the person usually known as Ben Qappara.

113 This argument does not make any sense. Since the text is confirmed by the Genizah sources, the loss of a "not" must have happened at a very early stage of transmission. One must read: "in a case of

certainty it <u>does not</u> push aside holiday night", since circumcision is prescribed for the day (*Lev.* 12:3).

114 This is not a proof but a possibility, and does not exclude an opposite opinion.

115 Since R. Aha asserts that the hermaphrodite has the right to blow, he must hold that if such a person comes to ask whether he may blow for others, the answer must be positive. But since R. Yose the Amora questions whether the argument about the hermaphrodite can be used against R. Yose the Tanna, he must hold that the Tosephta does not forbid him to blow but does not authorized it *a priori*.

(65 line 65) וּמוֹדִים שָׁאָם שָׁחֵט שֶׁיַּחְפוֹר בַּדָּקֶר וִיכַּשֶּה. שָׁאַפֶּר פִּירָה מוּכָּן. הָדָא דְאַתָּ אָמַר. בְּאַפֶּר שִׁהוּפַּק מֵעֶרֶב יוֹם טוֹב. אֲבָל בְּאַפֶּר שָׁהוּפַּק בְּיוֹם טוֹב לֹא בְדָא. בְּשֶׁלֹא שַׁחַט. אֶבָל אִם שְׁחֵט מוּטָב שָׁיִּטוֹל מֵאַפֶּר שָׁהוּפַּק בְּיוֹם טוֹב. וְאַל יַחְפּוֹר בַּדָּקֶר וִיכַּשֶּה. חֲבַרִייָּא אֵמְרִין. שְׁשָׁה דוֹחָה לְמִצְּוָה בְּלֹא תַצֵשֶׁה. עַל דַּעְתֵּיה דְּרְבִּי יוֹנָה דוּ אָמֵר. מִצְּוַת עֲשָׂה דוֹחָה לְמִצְּוָה בְּלֹא תַצֲשֶׂה בְּעִיּה בְּעִיּה בְּרִבִּי וֹוֹנָה דוּ אָמֵר. מִצְּיַה דִּהָּה בְּלֹא תַצְשֶׂה דּוֹחָה בְּלֹא תַצְשֶׂה בְּלֹא תַּצְשֶׂה אֶלֶא אִם כֵּן הֵיְתָה כְתוּבָה בְצִידָּה. מְכֵּיוֹן שֶׁהְתְחִיל הוֹאִיל וְאֵין מִצְוַת עֲשָׂה דוֹחָה בְּלֹא תַּצְשֶׂה אֶלֶּא אִם כֵּן הֵיְתָה כְתוּבָה בְצִידָּה. מְכֵּיוֹן שֶׁהְתְחִיל בּמִּנְוֹן שְׁהָתְרִם לוֹ. מֶּרְק. רְבִי זְעוּרָה בָעֵי. קְעָרָה שָׁחָק הְשִׁה בְּטִב הְיוֹנְה אִמְר. מִהּתָר. מָהוּ לְּאָבְר וֹיִב הַמְנוּנָא. חֵד אָמֵר. אָסוּר. וְחוֹרְנָה אָמֵר. מוּתָּר. מָאוֹ דְּאָמֵר. אָסוֹר לִשְׁתְּבֶּשׁ עַל גַּבִי מְקוֹנְה. כָּד אָנוּ אוֹמְרִים. אַסוֹר לִשְׁתְּבֵשׁ עַל גַּב מְקוֹמָה. כַּדְ אָנוּ אֹמֹתְרִים. אַסוֹר לְשָׁתָּמֵשׁ עַל גַּב מְקוֹמָה. כַּדְ אָנוּ אוֹמְרִים. אַסוֹר לְשָׁתְּמֵשׁ עַל גַּב מְקוֹמָה. כַּדְ אָנוּ אֹמִרְרִם. אַסוֹר לְשָׁתְּמֵשׁ עַל גַּב מְקוֹמָה. כַּדְ אָנוּ אֹמִרְרִים. אָסוֹר לְשָׁתְּמֵשׁ עַל גַּבָּ מְקוֹמָה. כַּדְּ אָנוּ אוֹמְרִים. אָסוֹר לְשָׁתְבּישׁ עַל גַּב מְקוֹמָה. כַּדְ אָנוּ אוֹמְרִים. אַסוֹר לְשָׁתְבּשׁ עַל גַּבָּ מְקוֹמָה. כַּדְ אָנוּ אוֹמְרִים.

G ומודים | G ומודין בדקר | G בדקל הדא | G הדה דאת אמר | G דתמר בדקר | G בדקל חברייא | G מהו | G דוחר | G תעשה | G תעשה | G תיעשה ניחא | G ניחה | G בלא | G ממנוה בלא G מהו | G מהוא G איתפלגון G אתפלגון G אתפלגון G אתפלגון G אתפלגון G אתפלגון G מחוא G מהוא G מהוא G דמר מאן דאמ' G מן דמר G מן דמר G מהוא לשתמש G לישתמש G לישתמש G שתשמש לשתמש G לישתמש G לישתמש G

"But they agree that if he slaughtered that he may dig with a picket and cover, and that the ashes of the cooking stove are prepared<sup>73</sup>." That which you are saying is about ashes which were burned before the holiday, but it does not apply to ashes which were burned on the holiday<sup>116</sup>, if he did not slaughter, but if he slaughtered it is better that he cover with ashes which were burned on the holiday rather than dig with a picket and cover<sup>117</sup>. The colleagues are

saying that a positive commandment pushes aside a prohibition<sup>118</sup>. This is understandable following the opinion of Rebbi Jonah who said, a positive commandment pushes aside a prohibition even if they are not written side by side<sup>119</sup>. Following the opinion of Rebbi Yose who said, a positive commandment pushes aside a prohibition only if they were written side-by-side, since he started the meritorious deed one tells him to clean it up<sup>120</sup>. Rebbi Ze`ira asked, if a monkey formed a bowl, what<sup>121</sup>? Rebbi Yose ben Rebbi Abun said, Rebbi Ze`ira<sup>122</sup> and Rav Hamnuna disagreed. One said, it is forbidden, but the other said, it is permitted. For him who said that it is forbidden it is like cut-up fig cake which dried out without his knowledge<sup>5</sup>. For him who said that it is permitted it is as if he put it in order erroneously<sup>123</sup>. For him who said that it is permitted, may one use its place<sup>124</sup>? Would we say that soil may not be used?

116 Since the wood has been turned into ashes on the holiday, this is non-food material in a state different from what it was at the start of the holiday and therefore is *muqşeh*.

117 Since *muqseh* is a rabbinic prohibition but digging a biblical violation, it is better to disregard the rabbinic prohibition even though there is biblical permission to disregard the biblical prohibition. (For *muqseh* as rabbinic institution cf. *Introduction to Tractates Šabbat and Eruvin*, p. 3, Note 4.)

118 And therefore one may dig to obtain dust to cover the blood.

119 The dispute between RR. Jonah and Yose is also in *Ḥallah* 2:1, Note 10. It is not mentioned in the Babli (which nevertheless holds that the principle does not apply to holidays since the rules of holidays are both positive commandments and prohibitions.)
120 He must agree that if slaughter is

120 He must agree that if slaughter is biblically permitted on a holiday, with the

consumption of meat a positive commandment, there can be no biblical prohibition to deal with all consequences of the slaughter.

121 The monkey formed a bowl out of clay on the holiday. If a human made the bowl it clearly would have been *muqseh*, and also forbidden as the result of biblically forbidden work. But the monkey is not a human

122 In one of the Genizah sources this is a purely Babylonian dispute involving a Rav Ze`ura.

123 "Putting in order" means separating heave and tithes, to make the harvest totally profane and generally usable. This is a mental act not dependent of material action, rabbinically prohibited on a holiday but valid

124 The hole created by the monkey could be considered as not-existent before the holiday. But the prohibition of newly created things cannot apply to soil. (fol. 59d) משנה ג [ד]: בֵּית שַׁמַּאי אוֹמְרִים אֵין מוֹלִיכִין אֶת הַסּוּלֶּם מְשוֹבָךְּ לְשוֹבֶךְּ אֲבֶל מַמֵּחוּ מֵחַלוֹן לְחַלוֹן. וּבֵית הַלֵּל מַתִּירִין. בֵּית שַׁמֵּאי אוֹמְרִים לֹא יִמוֹל אֶלָּא אָם כֵּן נִעְנַע מִבְּעוֹד יוֹם. וּבִית הַלֹּל אוֹמִרִים עוֹמִד וָאוֹמר זָה וַזָּה אֲנִי נוֹמל:

**Mishnah 3** [4]: The House of Shammai say, one does not move the ladder<sup>125</sup> from dovecote to dovecote, but one may bend it<sup>126</sup> from window to window; and the House of Hillel permit it<sup>127</sup>. The House of Shammai say, one may not take<sup>128</sup> unless one had moved when it was still daylight, but the House of Hillel say, he may stand there and say, this and that I shall take.

125 A ladder used only to service dovecotes.

126 One may move it from one opening in the dovecote to another by keeping the top of the ladder in contact with the dovecote.

127 While the ladder is necessary to use the dovecote it is not part of the building and

taking the ladder away from one is not

demolishing part of the building.

128 On the holiday one may not take a pigeon to slaughter as food unless he took the bird in his hand and reserved it for that purpose before the start of the holiday. But for the House of Hillel a timely declaration of intent is enough.

(2 line 2) הלכה ג [ד]: יְהוּדָה בְּרַבִּי חִייָה נְפַק לִבְרָא. שְׁאַלוּן לֵיה. סוּלָם שְׁלְאֵלִייָה מָהוּ. אֲמֵר לוֹן. שְׁרֵי. פַּד דְּאָתָא גַּבֵּי אָבוּה. אָמֵר לִיה. מַה מַעֲשֶׂה בָּא לְיָדֶידְ. אָמֵר. הִיתַּרְתִּי לָהֶן סוּלָם שֻׁלְּאַלִייָה. וְאָקִים תַּנָּיִיֵהּ קּוֹמוֹי וְתַנָּא. בַּמֶּה דְבָרִים אֲמוּרִים. בְּסוּלָם שֻׁלְשׁוֹבְךָּ. אֲבָל בְּסוּלָם שֻׁלְשֵׁלְבָךְ. אָמֵר רְבִּי יַעֲקֹב בַּר בְּסוּלָם שֶׁלְעֻלִייָה אָסוּר. מַה בִין סוּלָם שֶׁלְשׁוֹבְךְּ מַה בִין סוּלָם שֶׁלְעֻלִייָה. אָמֵר רְבִּי יַּעֲקֹב בַּר אָחָא. סוּלָם שֶׁלְשׁוֹבְדְּ אִם עֶשָׂה הוּא מְלַאִרְתוֹ מֵאֶתְמוֹל בְּחִישִׁין הֵן. סוּלָם שֶׁלְעֵלִייָה יָכוֹל הוּא לַעֲמוֹד עָלְיו מְלַאֲמוֹת מְלַאַרְתוֹ. סוּלָם שֶׁלְשׁוֹבְדְּ יָכוֹל הוּא לַעֲמוֹד עָלְיו לְעֲמוֹד מְלִי וְלַעֲשׁוֹת מְלַאַרְתוֹ. סוּלָם שֶׁלְשִׁלִייָה. סוּלָם שֶׁלְשׁוֹבְדְּ יִכוֹל לַעֲמוֹד עָלִיו וְלַעֲשׁוֹת מְלַאַרְתוֹ. סוּלָם שֶׁלְשַׁלִייָה. שֹׁהוּא עוֹלָה בוֹ לְשׁוֹבְדְ.

בר'תייה | G בירבי לברא | G לברה שאלון | G אתון שאלון שלעלייה | G של עליה מהו | G מהוא G דאתא | G אתא בא G אירע לידיך G על ידיך להן G להם G ותנא G ותנה במה דברים G דאתא G במי דברין G בין G בן שלעלייה G של עליה G לאם G אם G אם הוא מלאכתו G פחשין G כחשין G כחשים שלעלייה G של עליה G מלכתו G מלכתו G שלעלייה G של עליה G של עליה G מלאכתו G מלכתו G א לעלייה G לעליה שלעלייה G של עליה G של עליה G מלאכתו G מלכתו G לעליה שלעלייה G של עליה שלעלייה G

**Halakhah 3** [4]: Jehudah the son of Rebbi Ḥiyya<sup>129</sup> went out. They asked him, what are the rules for a ladder to the upper floor? He told them, it is permitted<sup>130</sup>. When he returned to his father, he asked him, what case came to your hands? He said, I permitted them the ladder to the upper floor. He appointed his Tanna before him, who stated<sup>131</sup>, "when was this said, about a

ladder for a dovecote. But a ladder for the upper floor is forbidden." What is the difference between a ladder for a dovecote and a ladder for the upper floor? Rebbi Jacob bar Aha said, a ladder for a dovecote if he had used it the day before for work it would be weak; a ladder for the upper floor may be used for work the day before 132. Rebbi Yose ben Rebbi Abun said, on the ladder for a dovecote he may stand and use it for his purpose; on the ladder for the upper floor he may not stand and use it for his purpose 133. A ladder for a dovecote even if it is used to climb to the upper floor; a ladder for the upper floor even if it used to climb to the dovecote 134.

129 A son of the Elder Rebbi Ḥiyya. His twin sons, Jehudah and Ḥizqiah, never held a rabbinic title and never served as rabbis of one place, but made it their business to teach Torah at places not served by the rabbinical establishment.

130 To move it on the holiday.

131 Tosephta 1:8.

132 The ladder of the dovecote, because it is intended to be moved from one opening to another, is built as light as possible; moving it is no exertion. But the ladder used to access the upper floor, or the roof from the upper floor, essentially is part of the building and is made as heavy as possible.

The ladder for the dovecote cannot be used for heavy work without danger that it might break.

133 Since the ladder for the dovecote is so flimsy, anybody who sees him standing on the ladder knows that he does so for a use permitted on the holiday, to take some pigeons as food. But if he is seen moving the heavy ladder in the building to some other place, people will suspect him of using the ladder for work forbidden on the holiday.

134 A flimsy ladder may be moved everywhere, a sturdy one nowhere.

בית שַׁפֵּי אוֹמְרִים לא יָטוֹל אֶלֶּא אָם כֵּן נִיעְנַע מִבְּעוֹד יוֹם. מִחְלְבָּה שִׁיטַתּוֹן דְּבֵית שַׁפֵּי. דְּתַנִּינֶן תַּמָן. וְעוֹד אָמַר רְבִּי אֱלִיעֶזֶר. עוֹמֵד הוּא אָדָם עַל הַמּוּקְצֶה עֶרְב שַׁבָּת בַּשְּׁבִיעִית שַׁמֵּי. דְתַנִּינֶן תַּמָן. וְעוֹד אָמַר רְבִּי אֱלִיעֶזֶר. עוֹמֵד הוּא אָדָם עַל הַמּוּקְצֶה עֶרְב שַׁבָּת בַּשְּׁבִיעִית וֹמֵר מִּכְּן אֲנִי אוֹכֵל לְמָחָר. וְרְבִּי לִיעֶזֶר לָאוֹ שַׁמֵּתִי הוּא. חוֹמֶר הוּא בַדָּבָר שָׁיֵשׁ בּוֹ רוּחַ חַיִּים. 1 שְׁמִין G שמיי ניענע G שמיי מיענע G שמיי אליעזר G שמיי אליעזר G ליעזר אדם G אדן G עד כן לאו G שמיי ניענע G שמיי ניענע בּער בּיִבּי לְּיִּעָר בְּיִּיִּים בּיִּבּי שִׁמִין בּעַר בּער בּיִּים בּיִּבּים בּיִּים בּיִים בּיִּים בּיִים בּיִּים בּיִים בּיִּים בּיִּים בּיִים בּיִּים בּיִּים בּיִּים בּיִים בּיִים בּיִּים בּיִים בּיִים בּיִים בּיִים בּיִים בּיִּים בּיִּים בּיִים בּיִּים בּיִּים בּיִּים בּיִּים בּיִּים בּיִּים בּיִּים בּיִים בּיִּים בּיִּים בּיִּים בּיִּים בּיִּים בּיִים בּיִּים בּיִים בּיִים בּיִּים בּיִּים בּיִּבְּים בְּיבִּי לִיעְעָּי בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִּים בְּיִבְּיִּים בְּיִּבְיִּים בּיִּים בּיִּים בּיִּים בּיִּים בּיִּים בּיִּים בּיִּבְּי בְּיִים בּיִּבְּי בְּיִים בְּיִּים בְּיִים בּיִּים בּיִים בּיִּים בּיִים בּיִים בּיִים בּיִים בּיִים בּיִים בּיִּים בּיִּים בּיִּים בּיִּים בּיִּים בּיִּים בּיִים בּיִּים בּיִּים בּיִּים בּיִייִים בּיִּים בְּיִים בְּיִּיִים בְּיִים בּיִּים בּיִּיִים בּיִּים בּיִים בּיִים בְּיִים בּיִים בּיִים בּיִּים בּיִים בּיִּים בְּיִים בּיִים בּיִים בּיִּים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בִּיְיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִּים בְּיִים בְּיִייִים בּיִּיִים בְּיִּיְיִים בְּיִים בְּיִייִּים בְּיִיים בְּיִייִים בּיִיים בְּיִים בְּיִיבּייִים בּיִּים בְּיִיבְּיִיים בּייִּים בּיִּים בְּיִּיִייִים בְּיִיים בְּיִים בְּיִייִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בּיִיבְּיים בְּיבִּיים בְּיִיבִייִים בּיִייִיים בּיּים בְּיִים בְּיִיים בְּיִיים בְּיִ

"The House of Shammai say, one may not take<sup>128</sup> unless one had moved when it was still daylight." The argument of the House of Shammai seems inverted, as we have stated there<sup>135</sup>: "In addition, Rebbi Eliezer said, a person stands near the drying figs Friday afternoon in a Sabbatical year<sup>136</sup> and says,

from here I shall eat tomorrow." Is Rebbi Eliezer not a Shammaite<sup>137</sup>? One is restrictive if living things are involved.

135 Mishnah 4:7.

136 Since produce is ownerless in the Sabbatical year, no tithes do apply. The same statement would apply in a regular year if tithes had been given from the drying figs. But since the obligation of tithes applies only to produce ready to be used, fig cakes (and raisins) usually are tithed only if

they are fully dry. Since no tithes are due, a declaration of intent is all that is needed to make the food usable on Sabbath or holiday; no physical action is required.

137 And therefore his statement should be logically consistent with the Mishnah of the House of Shammai. A parallel to this paragraph is in Halakhah 4:7.

(60c line 15) בֵּית הַלֶּל אוֹמְרִים עוֹמֵד וְאוֹמֵר זֶה וָזֶה אֲנִי נוֹטֵל: מַחְלְפָה שִׁישַׁתּוֹן דְּרַבְּנֵן. דְּתַנִּינֶן תַּבְּנִן וַ וַחֲכָמִים אוֹמְרִים. עַד שֶׁיִּרְשׁוֹם וְיֹאמֵר. מִכֶּן וְעַד כָּאן: וְהָכָא אִינּוּן אֱמְרִין הָבֵן. עוֹד אִינּוּן אַתְּרִים אוֹמְרִים. עַד שֶׁיִּרְשׁוֹם וְיֹאמֵר. מִכֶּן וְעַד כָּאן: וְהָכָא אִינּוּן אֱמְרִין הָבֵן. עוֹד אִינּוּן אִית לָהוֹן חוֹמֶר הוּא בַדְּבָר שָׁיֵשׁ בּוֹ רוּחַ חַיִּיִם. אָמֵר רְבִּי יוֹסֵה בִּירְבִּי בּוּן. לֵוִי מִקְּשׁ עַל שׁוֹבְכֵיה. וְאַמֵר. יַזְּכֶּה לִי שׁוֹבְכִי לְמָחָר.

והכה G והכא כן כאן 2 כאן דרבנין G והכא 1

"The House of Hillel say, he may stand there and say, this and that I shall take." The argument of the House of Hillel seems inverted, as we have stated there 135: "But the Sages say, only if he delineates and says, from here to there;" and here they are saying so? Still they hold that one is restrictive if living things are involved 138. Rebbi Yose ben Rebbi Abun said, Levi was knocking on his dovecote and saying, my dovecote shall provide me tomorrow 139.

138 While for fig cake the delineation of the heap from which one may take on the holiday is enough, for living birds one requires a declaration which individual birds are selected for the holiday.

139 Levi (ben Sisi) holds that for the House of Hillel the entire dovecote is selected, one does not need separate identification of the birds and Mishnaiot 1:3 and 4:7 are totally parallel. Cf. Halakhah 4:7.

(fol. 59d) **משנה ד**: זִּיפֵּן שְּחוֹרִים וּמָצָא לְּבָנִים לְבָנִים וּמָצָא שְׁחוֹרִים שְׁנַיִם וּמָצָא שְׁלֹשָׁה אַסוּרִיזְ. שְׁלֹשָׁה וּמָצָא שְׁנַיִם מוּתָּרִיזְ. בְּתוֹדְ הַפֵּן וּמָצָא לְפְנֵי הַפַּן אֲסוּרִיזְ. וְאָם אֵיזְ שֶׁם אֶלֶּא הַם הַרֵי אֵלוּ מוּתָּרִיזְ: **Mishnah 4**: If he prepared lab black ones and found white ones, white ones and found black ones, two and found three, they are forbidden lab. Three and found two, they are permitted lab. Inside the nest and he found outside the nest they are forbidden, but if there are no others around they are permitted lab.

140 This Mishnah is a continuation of the preceding one. If he designated certain pigeons but the ones available clearly are different, the new birds are *muqseh*.

141 If he designated two and found three, if the third is not clearly distinct from the other two it is impossible to know which is available and which is not. 142 We argue that one left, not that all three left and two new ones took up residence there.

143 If the designated birds were chicks unable to fly and only these chicks were around, it does not matter where they are found in the neighborhood of the dovecote, even if other dovecotes are not too far away.

(60c line 20) הלכה ד [ה]: מַתְנִיתָה דְרְבִּי. דְּתַנֵּי. מָאתַיִם וּמְצָא מְנָא. [מְנָה מוּנַח] (הַפְּנָה מוּנַח]) [וּמְנָה מוּנֻטֹּל]. דְּבְרִי רְבִּי. וַחֲכָמִים אוֹמְרִים. חוּלִין. תַּמֶּן תַּנִּינֶן. הָאוֹמֵר לְבְנוֹ. מֵעֲשֵׂר שִׁינִי נִּשְׂלֹּי וֹיְמְצָא בְּזָוִית אַחֶרֶת. הֲרִי אֵילוּ חוּלִין. רְבִּי יַצְקֹב בַּר אָחָא בְשַׁם רְבִּי יָטֶא. דְּרְבִּי הִיא. דְּנַבְי מְאתַיִם וּמְצָא מְנָא. [מְנָה מוּנַח] (הַמְּנָה נוּשָׁל) [וּמְנָה מוּשָׁל]. דְּבְרֵי רְבִּי. וַחֲכָמִים אוֹמְרִים. חוּלִין. חָזֵר וְאָמֵר. דְּבְרִי הַכֹּל הִיא. שַׁוְיִיָּא בַּגוֹזֶלִים שְׁדַּרְכֶּן לְבְּרוֹחַ. וְהָא תַנָּא רְבִּי חַבְּל הִיא. שַׁוְיִיא בַּגוֹזֶלִים שְׁדַּרְכֶּן לְבְּרוֹחַ. וְהָא תַנָּא רְבִּי חֲלְבְּתָּ בַּבְּים. חוּלִין. הוּא הַדָּבָר בַּבּנִים הוּא הָדָּבָר בַּבּצִים. הְנֵי דְּהִבִּי הִיא. אָמֵר רְבִּי יוֹמָה. תַּמֶן, אָבִין וֹבְנוֹ מָצָא. בְּרַב הָכָא. הוֹא הָנִיחַ הוּא מָנָא. רְבִי בָּא בַּר כֹּהַן אָמֵר קוֹמֵי רְבִּי מִּמְן. אַבָּר בְּנֵעְ בְּתֹּים רְבִּי בְּעַבֵּי בְּתַוֹ אָמָר קוֹמֵי רְבִּי לְּהָ מְבִּי בְּעָבֵי בְּעָבְי בְּרְ בָּבְּים הְבָּי בְּתְּבְּי בְּבְּר בְּבָּבְעֵעֵים רְבִּי אָחָא. הוֹרֵי רְבִּי בָּא בַּר זְבִּיְ אַחָר.

G המנא G אילו G אלו G המנה G המנא G ואמ' G ומר שנייאן G שניה בגוזלים G בגוזלין והא תנא G והא תנה G חלפתא G חלפתה בגוזלים G בגוזלין בצים G בהצים G בביצים אמ' ר' G יוסה G יוסה אחא G אחה כהדא G כהדה

Halakhah 4 [5]: The Mishnah is Rebbi's <sup>144</sup>, as it was stated <sup>145</sup>: "200 and he found a mina, (the mina was taken away) [a mina was deposited and a mina taken away] <sup>146</sup>, the words of Rebbi. But the Sages are saying, it is profane. <sup>147</sup>" There, we have stated <sup>148</sup>: "If somebody said to his son, 'Second Tithe is in that corner' but he found it in another corner, that is profane." Rebbi Jacob bar Aḥa in the name of Rebbi Assi, this is Rebbi's, as we have stated <sup>145</sup>: "Two hundred and he found a talent, a talent was taken, the word of Rebbi, but the Sages say, it is profane." He turned around and said, this is everybody's opinion since pigeon chicks usually start to fly <sup>149</sup>. But did not Rebbi Ḥalaphta ben Shaul state, the same rule applies to pigeon chicks and to eggs <sup>150</sup>? Therefore, it is Rebbi's. There, his father put it there and he found, here, his

he put it there and he found it<sup>151</sup>. Rebbi Abba bar Cohen said before Rebbi Yose in the name of Rebbi Aḥa: Rebbi Abba bar Zavda instructed according to Rebbi for Second Tithe<sup>152</sup>.

144 The statement that if three chicks are selected but only two found, that we presume that one flew away, but not that all three flew away and these are new ones.

145 Tosephta *Ma`aser Šeni* 5:7, Babli 10b. The entire paragraph is in *Ma`aser Šeni* 4:9 (Notes 160-166) with the quotes changed as appropriate.

146 The corrector's text is the Babli's and the Tosephta's; it should be deleted. The scribe's text in parentheses is confirmed by **G** and the parallel in *Ma`aser Šeni*.

147 Rebbi holds that if a smaller amount is found it is the remainder of the original dedicated amount. The Sages hold that if money was set aside for Second Tithe and the exact amount was not recovered, it is

different money and never dedicated. They must hold that if three chicks were selected but only two found, these are forbidden as never selected.

148 Mishnah *Ma`aser Šeni* 4:12 (Note 146). 149 Babli 10b, as final opinion. In this opinion, the two cases are not comparable.

150 If on the eve of the holiday he found a number of eggs and instead of taking them away he declared them food for the holiday, but then the next morning he found a different number.

151 This would be a different reason to find the two cases not comparable.

152 While the two cases are not comparable, they follow parallel rules.

(60c line 29) אָמַר רָבִּי יוּדָן. הָדָא דְאַהְּ אָמַר. כְּשֶׁהָיוּ שָׁם שְׁתַּי קִינִּים. אֲבָל אִם אֵין שָׁם אֶלֶא קן אֶחָד לֹא בְדָא. וְהָא תַנִּינָן. אִם אֵין שָׁם אֶלֶא הֵן הֲרֵי אֵילוּ מוּתָּרִין: [אָמַר רְבִּי יוֹסֵי בַּר בּוּן.] בְּשָׁאֵין שָׁם אֶלֶא גוֹזֶל אֶחָד. [קן אַחַת שְׁחוֹרִים בִּלְבַד.]

-G [[...] אחד בלבד G אחד בלבד (Rebbi Yudan said, this sonly if there were there two broods. But if only one brood is there it does not apply solution. But did we not state, "if there are no others around they are permitted" [Rebbi Yose bar Abun said,] solution there only is a single chick available solution. [Only one brood of black ones.]

153 Discussion of the statement in the Mishnah that if chicks are forbidden who could not fly when designated in the dovecote and then found in front if the dovecote.

154 If there is no probability that these may be from another place.

155 Is not R. Yudan's statement that of the

Mishnah?

156 The corrector's additions in parentheses are without bases in the sources and have to be deleted.

157 One might interpret the Mishnah as permitting the use only if there is only one chick and the identity is guaranteed. But following R. Yudan it is sufficient if there is

only one brood, even if only part of the chicks are designated as food. Differently in

the Babli 11a.

(fol. 59d) משנה ה: בֵּית שַּמַּאי אוֹמְרִים אֵין נוֹמְלִין אֶת הָעֵלִי לְּקַצֵּב עֻלָּיו בָּשָׁר. וּבֵית הִלֵּל מַתִּירִין. בַּית שַּמַּאי אוֹמְרִים אֵין נוֹמְלִין אֶת הָעוֹר לְפְנֵי הַדְּרִיסָה וְלֹא יַנְבִּיהָנּוּ אֶלָּא אָם בֵּן יֵש מַתִּירִין. בֵּית שַמַּאי אוֹמְרִים אֵין מְסַלְּקִין אֶת הַתְּרִיסִין בְּיוֹם מוֹב וּבֵית עֻלָּיו בָּשֶׁר וּבֵית הַלֵּל מַתִּירִין אַף לְהַחַזִּיר.

**Mishnah 5**: The House of Shammai say that one may not take the pestle<sup>158</sup> to cut meat on it, but the House of Hillel permit. The House of Shammai say that one may not give the hide to be trampled on<sup>159</sup> nor lift it<sup>160</sup> unless meat was left on it, but the House of Hillel permit. The House of Shammai say that one may not remove the shutters<sup>161</sup> but the House of Hillel permit even to return them.

158 Or any other implement whose common use is not for the preparation of food.

159 Hides of animals slaughtered as food on the holiday are raw material for the manufacture of leather. The House of Shammai hold that hides stripped of all the meat are industrial material and *muqseh* on the holiday. The House of Hillel hold that (almost) nothing becomes *muqseh* it it was not so at the start of the holiday. In this version of the Mishnah the hides may not be brought to the workplace.

160 Or otherwise move them while *muqseh*. 161 Grocery stores usually were shuttered by iron plated movable on hinges; opening the store meant turning the shutters into horizontal sale platforms. Since the grocer may give on credit spices or other food items to housewives whose supply did run out on the holiday, the House of Hillel encourage this by letting the grocer lock his store on the holiday.

In the Babli, the independent Mishnah mss., and as quote in **G**, the sentence about the shutters is first in the Mishnah. Since this sentence is discussed first in the Halakhah, it seems clear that the order of the items in the Mishnah of the *editio princeps*, which is not from the ms., is an aberration.

(60c line 33) **הלכה ה:** שְׁמוּאֵל אָמֵר. הַמְּלְחֵם אֵת הַתְּרִיסִין בְּיוֹם טוֹב חַינָב מִשׁוּם בּוֹנֶה. וְהַשְּׁלָא. דָּבָר שָׁאִילּוּ עֲשָׁאוֹ בַּשַּׁבָּת חַינָב חַשָּׁאת. בֵּית הְלֵּל מַתִּירִין אַף לְהַחֲזִיר. רְבִּי חֲנַנְיָה בְשֵׁם רְבִּי יוֹחָנֶן. הָתִּירוּ סוֹפוֹ מִפְּנֵי תָחִילֶתוֹ. שֵׁאָם אוֹמֵר אַתִּ לוֹ שֵׁלֹא יַחַזִּיר אַף הוּא אֵינוֹ פּוֹתֵחַ. וְלֹא

162 While this text belongs here it also is found in *Šabbat* 12, Notes 39-44.

163 Greek θυρίς "shield, armor".

164 Following Samuel.

165 On the holiday.

166 Then the work is not professional and

comparable to writing with the back of one's hand

167 If customers can have access to the store without the owner removing the shutters, the House of Hillel will agree that the emergency permit is not valid.

(60c line 39) [בֵּית שַׁמַאי אוֹמְרִים אֵין נוֹטְלִין אֶת הָעֶלִי לְקַצְבּב עָלָיו בָּשֶׂר. וּבֵית הָלֵּל מַתִּירִין.] הָא שֶׁלֹא לְקַצֵב עָלָיו בָּשֶׂר בָּשִׁר הָדָא הִיא דְאָמֵר רְבִּי (בָּא) חִינְנָה בַּר שְׁלְמְיָה בְּשֵׁם רַב. מוֹדִין חֲכָמִים לְרְבִּי נְחָמְיָה בְּזַיִּרָה וּבִּמְזוֹרָה וּבוּכְנָה. בְּזִייָרָה. דּוּ עֲצַר בִּיהּ. וּמְזוֹרָה. דּוּ חֲבַט בִּיהּ. וּבִּוֹכְנָה. דּוּ בַּיִהָּ. וּבְיִהָּה. דּוּ הַבַּט בִּיהּ. וּבִּוֹכְּה. דּוּ בְּיַהָּ.

 ${f G}$  ובוכנה  ${f G}$  הדא  ${f G}$  הדה חיננה  ${f G}$  חוניה מחכמים  ${f G}$  חכמין באיירה  ${f G}$  הדא  ${f G}$  הדה חיננה  ${f G}$  חוניה בא ובוכנה  ${f G}$  ובוכנה  ${f G}$ 

["The House of Shammai say that one may not take the pestle<sup>158</sup> to cut meat on it, but the House of Hillel permit."] Therefore, not to cut meat on it is forbidden<sup>168</sup>. This is what <sup>169</sup>Rebbi (Abba,)<sup>170</sup> Hinena bar Shelemiah in the name of Rav said: The Sages agree with Rebbi Neḥemiah about the press, the plank, and the mace; the press with which he presses, the plank on which one beats them, the mace with which he smashes them<sup>171</sup>.

168 Work tools may be used on the holiday only for preparation of food; otherwise they remain *muqseh*.

169 The remainder of the text here is from

*Šabbat* 17, Notes 48-50. R. Nehemiah states there that while the general rule is that implements may be moved and used on Sabbath and holiday, tools of trade may be

used only for a direct need of the day.

170 Unjustified deletion by the corrector; the name is confirmed by both parallel sources.

171 The items mentioned all belong to the

wine press and represent considerable investment. The mace is used to mash grapes on the plank put over the vat in the wine press.

(43) [בֵּית שַׁמֵּאי אוֹמְרִים אֵין נוֹתְנִין אֶת הָעוֹר.] שָׁוִין שָׁלֹּא יְגְרֶדָנוּ. מָה אֲנֶן קִייָמִין. אָם בְּמְחוּבָּר לוֹ. כְגוּפוֹ הוּא. אִם בְּפָרוּשׁ. הָדָא הִיא דְאָמֵר רְבִּי יַצְקֹב בַּר אָחָא חִינְנָא קַרְתָּחַייָה אָם בְּמְחוּבָּר לוֹ. כְגוּפוֹ הוּא. אִם בְּפָרוּשׁ. הָדָא הִיא דְאָמֵר רְבִּי יַצְקֹב בַּר אָחָא חִינְנָא קַרְתָּחַייָה בְשׁם רְבִּי הוֹשַׁעְיָה. דְּיסִיקִיָּה שְׁיֵּשׁ בְּתוֹכָה מְעוֹת. נוֹתֵן עֶלֶיהָ כָבֶּר וּמְטַלְטְלָה. כְּהָדָא אַנְטִיכֵי נַפְלַת עַל רְבִּי יִּרְמְיָה בְשׁוּבְתָא. וְחֲשְׁבוּן עֶלֶיהָ וְרִימוּנָה. לֹא שְׁהַלָּכָה כְּרבִּי הוֹשַׁעְיָה אֶלֶא בְּגִין רְבִּי יִּרְמְיָה דְלָא יְסַבֵּן. שָׁוִין שֶׁלֹא יְמַלְּחֶנּוּ. תַּנֵּי. אֲבָל הוּא מוֹלֵחַ עָלָיו בָּשֶׂר לִצְלִי. חֲבַרִייָא בְשׁם רְב. מוֹלֵחַ הּרָא אָדָם דְּבַר מְרוּבָּה. אַף עַל פִּי שָׁאֵינוֹ יָכוֹל לוֹכַל מִמֶּנוּ אֶלֶא דְבַר מְמוּעָט. רְבִּי אָחָא בְּשִׁם רָב. בּמֹלְחַ וּמָעֵרִים מוֹלֵחַ וּמַעֵרִים. מֹלְחַ וּמַעֵרִים. מֹלְחַ וּמַעֵרִים. מֹלְחַ וּמַלֵּח הַכָּא וּמְלַח הַכָּא וּמְלַח הַכָּא. עִד דְּהוּא מִלְח כּּוּלִה.

G | אחא G | אחא G | אחה חיננא G | חננה קרטחייה G קרטחיה אנן G | ען G | ען G | אנן G | בשובתה G | אדן אחא חברייא G | בשובתה G בשובתה G הוא מולח G מולח הוא חברייא G חברייה אדן לוכל G לואG אחה G אחה G מולח G מליח

["The House of Shammai say that one may not give the hide."] They agree that one may not scrape it<sup>172</sup>. Where do we hold? If it is connected to it, it is part of its body<sup>173</sup>. If separated, <sup>174</sup>it parallels what Rebbi Jacob bar Aha said, Hinena from Cartagena in the name of Rebbi Hoshaia: On a saddle bag<sup>175</sup> full of coins one puts a loaf and may move it<sup>176</sup>. As the following: an Antiochene cooking vessel fell on Rebbi Jeremiah on the Sabbath; they thought about it<sup>177</sup> and lifted it. Not that practice follows Rebbi Hoshaia but that Rebbi Jeremiah should not be endangered. They agree that one may not salt it. It was stated: but he might salt meat on it to roast<sup>178</sup>. The colleagues in the name of Rav: A person may salt a large quantity even though he will be able to eat form it only a small quantity. Rebbi Aha in the name of Rav: One salts cunningly, and salts cunningly; he salts here and salts there until he salted everything<sup>179</sup>.

172 Hide which becomes available on the holiday may not be scraped clean from meat fibers; this would be professional preparation for tanning.

173 When the House of Shammai ask that a piece of meat be on the hide, they could not mean that the meat be still fully connected

to the hide since then their statement would be obvious.

174 This statement is from *Šabbat* 16, Notes 79-80.

175 Greek δισάκκιον. Literally "double bag".

176 While the saddle bag is mugseh,

anything *muqseh* may be moved if it serves as a basis for something which may be moved. Babli Šabbat 142b.

177 Since cooking is forbidden on the Sabbath, a cooking vessel may not be moved unless the intention is for some use which is legitimate on the Sabbath.

178 Salting the hide is the first step in the tanning process; as such it is forbidden on the holiday. But since cooking is permitted,

the hide may be used as table on which meat is salted before it is roasted. Babli 11a.

179 The cunning is that one spreads out the salting process and moves from place to place on the hide until every place has been salted. This is an approved circumvention of the holiday rules to encourage people to eat meat on the holiday, which in absence of refrigeration has to be fresh. Babli 11b.

(fol. 59d) **משנה ו**: בֵּית שַּמַאי אוֹמֶרִים אֵין מוֹצִיאִין לא אֶת הַקּמָן וְלֹא אֶת הַלּוּלֶב וְלֹא אֶת הַלּוּלֶב וְלֹא אֶת הַפָּברים. וּבִית הַלֵּל מַתִּירִין: סֵבֶּר תּוֹרָה לָרְשׁוּת הַרָבִּים. וּבִית הַלֵּל מַתִּירִין:

**Mishnah 6**: The House of Shammai say, one may bring neither a small child, nor a *lulav*, nor a Torah scroll into the public domain, but the House of Hillel permit it<sup>180</sup>.

180 Since in principle everything forbidden on the Sabbath is forbidden on the holiday except the preparation of food, the House of Shammai forbid carrying in the public domain of anything which is not food nor needed for the preparation of food. The House of Hillel hold that since carrying is permitted for the purpose of preparing or serving food, it is permitted for any purpose legitimate on the holiday.

לור ווה 52) הלכה וי הָא גְדוֹל אָסוּר. רְבִּי שְׁמוּאֵל בְּרֵיה דְּרָבִי יוֹסֶה בַּירְבִּי בּוּן אָמַר. אֲפִילוּ בָּרֹ הַבְּילוּ מוּתָּר. וְלֵיידָא מִילָּה תַנִּינֶן קָטֶן. בָּא לְהוֹדִיעֵךְ כוֹחָן שֶׁלְבֵּית שַׁמֵּי עֵד אֵיכֶן הָיוּ מַחְמִירון. עָשׁוֹאֵל מִיּטְעֵן מֵעֶרֶס לָעֶרֶס. אָמֵר רְבִּי זְעוּרָה קוֹמֵי רְבִּי יָסָא. נֹאמֵר דְּהַוָּה אֵיסְתָנִיס. אָמֵר לִיהָּ מִינִּי וּמִינָּךְ. תַּנֵי. רְבִּי יִּשְׁמֵאל בֵּירְבִּי אוֹמֵר. אֲבָנִים שֶׁיָּשַׁבְנוּ עֵלֵיהֶן בְּנַעֲרוֹתֵינוּ לִיהִּ יִּשְׁמָעֵאל בֵּירְבִּי אוֹמֵר. אֲבָנִים שֶׁיָּשַׁבְנוּ עֵלֵיהֶן בְּנַעֲרוֹתֵינוּ לְבִּי יוֹנָה מְפַקֵּד לְתַבְרִייָא. לָא תַיתְבוּן לְכוֹן עֵל מַסְטוֹבְייָתָה [בְּרִייְתָּא דְסְדְּרָה דְבַר עוּלָּא דְּאִינּוּן צְנִינִין. רַבִּ אַבְּהוּ הַוָּה נְחַת מִסְחֵי בְהָדֵין דֵּימוֹסִין דְּטִיבֵּרָיה. וְבָּי אַבְּהוּ הַוְה נְחַת מִסְחֵי בְהָדֵין דֵּימוֹסִין דְּטִיבּרָיהָ. בַּרְייִתָּא דְסְדְרָא דְּאַפִי דְּאִינּוּן צְנִינִין. רְבִּי אַבְּהוּ הַוָּה נְחַת מִסְחֵי בְהָדִין דֵּימוֹסִין דְּטִיבּרָיה. בַּרְעוּן וּיְקְפוּוּן. אֵבְּרוּו לֵיה. מָהוּ הָּבָן בִּיּתוֹן לֵיה. מָהוּ הָבָּן הַיִּחְנִּית לְבִית ווַעֶּדְא. רַב קּטִינָא שְׁאִיל. לָּא כֶן תַּנֵּיל. לְּנִ שְׁכִינְת מֹחְתַּלְ הָּיִר כֹּוֹי עַל מְחַת לְבִית ווַעֶּדְא. רַב קּטִינָא שְׁאִיל. לָא כָן תַּנֵּי מְטִר מְחַת מְסְינֵי מְיֹרוּ בַּיִר מִוּת הָאִיסְתְנֵיסִין.

12 נימר ברי G ברא ביר' G ביר ביר' ועסה ממר G וליידא G וליידא שמיי 3 ועורה G שמיי 3 וערה ביר' ועסה - G לחברייא G לחברייה תיתבון G תיבון לכון G תיבון לכון G מסאובייתה G מסטוביתה G לחברייה תיתבון G ביר' אוסה

Halakhah 6: Therefore not an adult<sup>181</sup>? Rebbi Samuel, the son of Rebbi Yose ben Rebbi Abun said, even an adult is permitted. For what purpose was stated "a small child"? It comes to inform you of the strength of the House of Shammai, how far they are restrictive. Samuel was carried from bed to bed<sup>182</sup>. Rebbi Ze`ira said before Rebbi Yasa, let us say that he was asthenic 183. He told him, he was healthier than I and you. It was stated, Rebbi Ismael ben Rebbi <Yose><sup>184</sup> says, the stones on which we sat on in our youth make war against us in our old age. Rebbi Jonah ordered the colleagues, do not sit on the [outside]<sup>185</sup> stone benches of the assembly<sup>186</sup> of Bar Ulla because they are cold. Ray commanded his students, do not sit on the outside table of the assembly of Issy because it is cold. Rebbi Abba went down to bathe in the public baths of Tiberias, leaning on two Goths<sup>187</sup>. They fell down and he lifted them up, they fell down and he lifted them up. One asked him, what is this? He said to them, I preserved my strength for my old age. Rav Huna did not come to the house of assembly. Ray Oatina asked, was it not stated that one carries the asthenics?

181 Since the Mishnah could be read that the House of Hillel only permit to carry a baby but not anybody able to walk by himself.

182 It is not clear whether these were his own beds or, since he was a medical man, whether he was carried in a litter on his visits to the sick, as explicitly endorsed in the next paragraph.

183 Greek 'ασθενής.

184 Added from **G.** Here starts a fragment of an Ashkenazic text edited by J. Sussman in *Kobez al Yad* xii (xxii) 1994 (A).

185 Corrector's addition, unsupported by any source, to be deleted.

186 The house of study.

187 Babli Ketubot 62a, Berakhot 60a.

(60c line 63) רַב חוּנָה הוֹרֵי לְרֵישׁ גָּלוּתָא לָצֵאת בְּכִפֵּא. רַב חִסְדָּא בָעֵי. לֹא כֵן תַּנֵּי. אֵין יוֹצְאִין בַּכְּפֵא אֶחָד אֲנָשׁים וְאֶחָד נָשׁים. אֲפִילוּ תַלְמִיד חֲכָמִים אֵינוֹ טוֹעֶה בַּדָּבָר הַזֶּה. וְרַב חוּנָה טְעֵי. רְבִּי יִרְמְיָה הוֹרֵי לְבַר גֵּירוֹנְטִי אַסְיָא מִיטְעַנָּה בַּפַּדִּינָא מֵיעוֹל מְבַקְּרָא בַּיישׁייָא בְשׁוּבְתָא. מִייָשָׁא בַּר בְּרִיהּ דְּרְבִּי יְהוֹשֻׁעַ בָּן לֵוִי מִיטְעַן בַפַּדִּינָא מֵיעוֹל מִידְרוֹשׁ בַּצִיבּוּרָא בְּשׁוּבְתָא. אָמַר רְבִּי זְרִיקָן לַבְּרוֹמָא אָתְּ שְׁאִיל לָהְ. אִשְׁתְּאָלָת לְרְבִּי סִימוֹן. אֲמַר לוֹן רְבִּי סִימוֹן

Rav Huna instructed the Head of the Dispersion<sup>188</sup> to go out in a chair<sup>189</sup>. Rav Hisda asked, was it not stated, one does not go out in a chair, whether men or women? Even a student of the Sages does not err in this matter, and Rebbi Huna erred<sup>190</sup>? Rebbi Jeremiah instructed Bar Gerontios the healer to be carried on a sheet when going visiting the sick on the Sabbath<sup>191</sup>. Miasha the grandson of Rebbi Joshua ben Levi was carried on a sheet when going preaching in public on the Sabbath. Rebbi Zeriqan said to Rebbi Ze'ira, when you go to the South, ask about this. It was asked from Rebbi Simon. Rebbi Simon told them in the name of Rebbi Joshua ben Levi, not only for the needs of the public but also for a possible need of the public<sup>192</sup>. Example: Rebbi Eliezer, Rebbi Abba Mari, and Rebbi Mattaniah instructed {to bring}<sup>193</sup> bread to Ursicinus<sup>194</sup> on the Sabbath, for a possible need of the public.

188 A: "the wife of the Head of the Diaspora." Since it is not clear whether this text is a source or a compilation, and the statement contradicts the following discussion, it should not be accepted.

189 To be carried on the holiday. Since the Head of the Diaspora was the quasi-king of the Jews of Babylonia, his actions can be classified as public needs.

190 But certainly for public needs it is permitted to use a chair. Babli 25b.

191 If he is carried on an improvised contraption he can take his medical equipment with him, which he could not do were he walking. Since the way of transportation is not a common one, it is

only rabbinically forbidden. Since the carrying needs several carriers, it is a Sabbath infraction depending on the action of several persons and neither prosecutable nor generating liability in the sense of the Sabbath laws.

192 A general instruction that for urgent public needs the Sabbath (and holiday) restrictions on transportation may be circumvented as described in the previous Note.

192 Ginzberg's reading in **G**; the edition of the Jerusalem Talmud by the Academy of the Hebrew Language, 2001, reads "to bake". This is the expression used by the Yerushalmi in *Ševi`it* 4:2 (Note 28) and its

copy in *Sanhedrin* 3:6, where it is reported that R. Jonah and R. Yose, greater authorities than the three rabbis mentioned here, permitted baking bread for the army of Ursicinus (the legate of the Emperor Gallus in Syria starting 351 C. E.) Since the paragraph deals with transport, Ginzberg's

reading seems to be more appropriate. The rabbis instructed the people to bring bread to the Roman army on the Sabbath, probably by having several people doing the carrying, and to prepare for it even though no request was made before the start of the Sabbath.

(60c line 73) תַּנֵּי. וְלֹא אֶת הַמַּפְתָּחַ. בֵּית הַלֶּל מַתִּירִין. וְאָמַר רַב הוֹשַׁעְיָה בַּר רַב יִצְחָק. הָדָא דְאַתְּ אָמַר. בְּמַבְּתַּחַ שֶּׁלְאוֹרְלִין. אֲבָל בְּמַבְּתָּחַ שֶׁלְכֵּלִים לֹא בְּדָא. וְהָא רְבִּי אַבָּהוּ יְתִיב וּמַתְנֵי וּמַבְתָּחֵא דְּפָּלמַנָטַרִין בְּיָדֵיה. פִּילִבְּלִין הַוָה לָיִה בְּגַוְרָה.

 $\mathbf{G}\mathbf{A}$  אמר 2 הדה  $\mathbf{A}$  הדה  $\mathbf{G}$  הדה  $\mathbf{A}$  הא 2 דאת אמר 2 בית  $\mathbf{G}$  ובית  $\mathbf{G}$  ובית  $\mathbf{G}$  של כלין הבא ווענה של אוכלין  $\mathbf{G}$  של כלין אבהו  $\mathbf{G}$  של כלין אבהו  $\mathbf{G}$  אבהוא  $\mathbf{G}$  ומפתחא  $\mathbf{G}$  ומפתחה דפלמוטרין  $\mathbf{G}$  דפלטורין פילפלין  $\mathbf{G}$  פלפלין בגווה  $\mathbf{G}$  בגויה

It was stated: And not the key; the House of Hillel permit<sup>193</sup>. And Rav Hoshaia the son of Rav Isaac<sup>194</sup> said, this refers to a key of edibles, but not to a key of implements. But did not Rebbi Abbahu sit and teach, and a key of a jewel box<sup>195</sup> in his hand? He had pepper inside.

193 In Tosephta 1:11 there is a statement that any key may be carried in one's hand (but not tied to the belt, which is the usual weekday dress.)

194 No such sage is known otherwise. Read with **G**: "Rav Hoshaia in the name of Rebbi Isaac."

195 Greek διπλωματάριον.

(fol. 59d) משנה זי בֵּית שַּמָּאִי אוֹמְרִים אֵין מוֹלִיכִין חַלָּה וִּמָתְּנוֹת לַכֹּהֵן בְּיוֹם מוֹב בֵּין שֶהוּרְמוּ מֵאָמֶשׁ בִּין שֶהוּרְמוּ מֵהַיּּוֹם. וּבֵית הַלֵּל מַתִּירִין. אֱמְרוּ לָהֶם בִּית שַּמָּאִי נְּזֵירָה שָׁוָה חַלָּה וּמַתְּנוֹת מַתְּנָה לַכֹּהֵן וּתְרוּמָה מַתָּנָה לַכֹּהֵן בְּשֵׁם שֶׁאֵין מוֹלִיכִין אֶת הַתְּרוּמָה בָּךְ לֹא יוֹלִיכוּ אֶת הַמַּתְנוֹת. אֱמְרוּ לָהֶם בִּית הַלֵּל לֹא. אִם אֲמַרְתֶם בַּתְרוּמָה שֶׁאֵינוֹ זַבָּאִי בַּהַרְמָתְהּ תֹּאמרוּ בַּמָּתְנוֹת שַּוּבָּאִי בַּהַרְמַתן:

**Mishnah 7**: The House of Shammai are saying, one may not bring *hallah*<sup>196</sup> and priests' parts<sup>197</sup> to a Cohen on the holiday, whether they have been separated the day before or on the day itself, but the House of Hillel permit. The House of Shammai said to the House of Hillel, it is an equal cut<sup>198</sup>. *Hallah* and priests' parts are a gift to the Cohen, and heave<sup>199</sup> is a gift

to the Cohen. Just as one may not bring heave to the Cohen, so one may not bring these parts. The House of Hillel said to them, no. If you mention heave where he has no right to separate, what can you say about the gifts which he may separate<sup>200</sup>?

196 The priest's part of bread dough (*Num*. 15:20).

197 The priest's part of profane slaughter, *Deut.* 18:3.

199 The priest's part in agricultural harvest, *Num.* 18:12.

200 Since harvest is forbidden on the holiday, clearly it must be finished before the holiday. Since it is a deadly sin to eat from grain, wine, or olive oil from which

heave was not taken, separating heave is an indispensable part of the harvest and must be finished before the holiday. Therefore one may ask that the priests' parts in agricultural produce must be delivered before the holiday. But since baking and slaughtering for meat is permitted on the holiday, *ḥallah* and priest's parts may be separated on the holiday and there is no reason to forbid their delivery.

(1 ine 1) הלכה זי תַּנֵי. אָמַר רְבִּי יוּדָה. לֹא נֶחְלְקוּ בֵּית שַׁמֵּי וּבִית הַלֵּל. שְׁמּוֹלִיכִין אֶת הַמַּתְּנוֹת שְׁהוּרְמוּ בֵעֶּר יּוֹם טוֹב עִם הַמַּתְּנוֹת שְׁהוּרְמוּ בַּיּוֹם טוֹב עֵם הַמַּתְּנוֹת שְׁהוּרְמוּ בַיּוֹם טוֹב. עַל מַה הָמְתָּנוֹת שְׁהוּרְמוּ מֵעֶרֶב יּוֹם טוֹב לְעַצְמָן. שְׁבֵּית שַׁמֵּי אוֹסְרִין. וּבִית טוֹב. עַל מַה נֶחְלְקוּ. עַל הַמַּתְּנוֹת שֶׁהוּרְמוּ מֵעֶרֶב יּוֹם טוֹב לְעַצְמָן. שֶׁבֵּית שַׁמֵּי אוֹסְרִין. וּבִית הלל מתּירין.

שמיי G שמיי שמין G שמיי 1

**Halakhah 7**: It was stated<sup>201</sup>: "Rebbi Jehudah said, the House of Shammai and the House of Hillel did not disagree that one may bring parts which were separated on the holiday, as well as parts which were separated before the start of the holiday with parts separated on the holiday. Where did they disagree? About parts which were separated before the start of the holiday by themselves, where the House of Shammai forbid and the House of Hillel permit."

201 Tosephta 1:12, Babli 12b.

(60d line 5) הלכה ח: וְקַשְּׁיָא עַל דְּבֵית הַלֶּל. תְּרוּמָה אֵינוֹ זַכֵּאי בַּהַרְמֶתָה. הַגַּע עַצְמָךּ שְׁיֵשׁ עַלְיָהְ תְּנִיי. זוֹ מִפְּנֵי זוֹ. וְלָמָה תַנִּינֶן חַלְּה. מִפְּנֵי חַלְּה שֶׁהוּרְמָה בְיוֹם טוֹב. כְּהָדְא דְתַנֵּי. הַלְּשׁ עַלְיָהְ רְנִיי זוֹ מִפְּנֵי זוֹ. וְלָמָה תַנִּינֶן חַלְּה. מִפְּנֵי חַלָּה שֶׁעֶרֶב יוֹם טוֹב וְשְׁכַח לְהַפְּרִישׁ חַלְּתָה. אָסוּר עִיפּה בְיוֹם טוֹב. לְשָׁהּ מֵעֶרֶב יוֹם טוֹב וְשָׁכַח לְהַפְּרִישׁ חַלְּתָה. אָמִר רְבִּי לְטַלְטְלָה. וְאֵין צָרִידְ לוֹמֵר לִיטוֹל מִמֶּנָה. עִירֵס. לֹא אָמֵר אֶלֶא לְשׁ. הָא עִירֵס לֹא. אָמַר רְבִּי שְׁמִלְּאָלְה דְּרָיָה. תִּיפְתָּר בְּעִיּפָה טְמִיאָה שְׁאֵינוֹ מַפְּרִישׁ חַלְּתָהּ אֶלֶא בַּסוֹף. אַמָּר רְבִּי יֹסְה בְעִיפָה טְהוֹרָה שְׁלֹא יַבְּרִישׁ חַלְּתָהּ אֶלֶא בַסוֹף. תַּקְנָה תִּיקנוּ בָּה יוֹס בּוֹר. תַּקְנָה תִיקְנוּ בָּה

שָׁנַּפְרִישְׁנָּהּ תְּחִילָה שָׁלֹא תִיטָמֵא הָעִיסָה. מַתְנִיתָה בְיוֹם טוֹב שֶׁלְפֶּסַח. אֲבָל בָּעֲצֶרֶת וּבַחַג מוּתָּר. רְבִּי יוֹסֵה בֵירְבִּי בּוּן רְבִּי חוּנָה בְשֵׁם רְבִּי אָחָא. אֲפִילוּ בָעֲצֶרֶת וּבַחַג אָסוּר. עַל שֵׁם כַּל־מִלָאכָה לֹא־יַעַשָּׁה בַּהָּם.

1 וקשיא | G וקשיה היכאי | G וכיי 2 ולמה | A ולמה לא מפני חלה | G כהדא | G כהדה G להפריש | G וקשיה הימנה G אמ' ר' . . . בסוף | G - A בריך | G צריך | G צריך ממנה G אחה ה' חונה בשם ר' אחא | G בש' ר' חייא G בשל היא G בשל הי חייא

Halakhah 8: It is difficult for the House of Hillel, could be not have the right to separate heave? Think of it, if he made a stipulation<sup>202</sup>! One because of the other<sup>203</sup>. And why was hallah stated? Because of hallah which was separated on the holiday. As it was stated:204 "if one kneads dough on a holiday, he separates its hallah on the holiday. If he kneaded it before the holiday but forgot to take its hallah, it is forbidden to move it; it is unnecessary to say, to take hallah from it." If he mixed water and flour? He only mentioned "kneaded", so not when he mixed<sup>205</sup>? Rebbi Samuel, brother of Rebbi Berekhiah, said: explain it if the dough was impure where he takes hallah only at the end. Rebbi Yose ben Rebbi Abun said, it should have been the rule that for pure dough one should take hallah only at the end. They instituted that one should take it at the start, lest the dough become impure<sup>206</sup>. The Mishnah is about the holiday of Passover; therefore on Pentecost and Tabernacles it is permitted<sup>207</sup>. Rebbi Yose ben Rebbi Abun, Rebbi Huna in the name of Rebbi Aha, even on Pentecost and Tabernacles it is prohibited, because of no work shall be done on them<sup>208</sup>.

202 *Demai* 7:5: "R. Isaac ben Eleazar said, a person can say on Friday, this shall be heave tomorrow, but nobody can say on the Sabbath, this shall be heave tomorrow." Therefore it is possible to actually separate heave on the Sabbath or a holiday if the modality of separation was stipulated the day before.

203 Since in general heave may not be delivered to the Cohen on the holiday, one makes no exemption for the rare case in which delivery would be possible.

204 Tosephta 1:14. The text from here to

the end of the Halakhah also is in *Pesaḥim* 3:3, Notes 68-72.

205 Even though Mishnah *Hallah* 3:1 permits separating *hallah* immediately after mixing the flour with water.

206 Since then only the *ḥallah* has to be guarded from impurity but not the dough itself.

207 The remark applies both to Tosephta *Yom Tov* 1:14 and Mishnah *Pesahim* 3:3.

208 Ex. 12:16. Since impure hallah may not be eaten, it may not be baked on a holiday.

(fol. 59c) משנה חּי בֵּית שַׁמַּאִי אוֹמְרִים תַּבְלִּין נִידּוֹכִין בְּמָעוֹךְ שֶׁל עֵץ וְהַמֶּלַח בַּפַּךְ וּבְעֵץ הַפָּרוּר. וּבֵית הַלֵּל אוֹמִרִים תַּבְלִין נִידּוֹכִין כָּדַרְכָּן בִּמָדוֹךְ שֵׁל אֲבֵן וְהַמֵּלַח בִּמָדוֹךְ שֵׁל עֵץ:

**Mishnah 8**: The House of Shammai say that spices are pounded in a wooden mortar, and salt in a container or with a cooking spoon. But the House of Hillel say that spices are pounded normally in a stone mortar and salt in a wooden mortar<sup>209</sup>.

209 The House of Shammai hold that since pounding spices and salt could have been done the day before, the general permission to cook cannot be extended to these preparations; they must be done in a way different from working day usage to avoid a biblical transgression. The House of Hillel

hold that pounded spices quickly use their taste (in the absence of containers which can be tightly closed); therefore pounding spices is an integral part of the cooking process and may be done as on a weekday, but for taking salt from a block they agree with the reasoning of the House of Shammai.

(60d line 16) **הלכה ט**: וְיִדּוֹדְּ מֵאֶתְמוֹל. חֲבֵרִייָא בְשֵׁם רְבִּי יוֹחָנֶן. שֶׁשַּעֲמָן מָר. רְבִּי זְעוּרָה בִשַּׁם רְבִּי יוֹחָנֶן. שֶׁשַּעֲמָן פָּג.

זעירה A אנירה אברייא GA אנירה 1

**Halakhah 9**: Could he not pound the day before? The colleagues in the name of Rebbi Joḥanan, because they taste bitter; Rebbi Ze`ira in the name of Rebbi Joḥanan, because they lose their taste<sup>210</sup>.

210 Babli 14a.

ים אָפּרוּ בָּלְיהַנִּיהֹ רְבִּי אִימִּי בְּשָׁם רְבִּי יוֹחָנֶן. הַשִּׁוּם וְהַשַּׁחַלִּייִם וְהַחַּרְדָּל נִידּוֹכִין נְדַּרְכָּן. רַבִּי חִוּנָה רְבִּי יִרְמְיָה רְבִּי אִימִּי בְשָׁם רְבִּי יוֹחָנֶן. הַשִּׁוּם וְהַשַּׁחַלִּייִם וְהַחַּרְדָּל נִידּוֹכִין כְּדַרְכָּן. רְבִּי אַבְּהוּ בְשֵׁם יוֹחָנֶן. הָעוֹשֶׁה אֹלִינְתִּין בְּשַׁב מִירְקִיהַ. הָא בְיוֹם טוֹב מוּתָּר. רְבִּי חִוְּקְיָה בְשֵׁם רְבִּי יִרְמְיָה. יָאִקְיה אֹלִינְתִין בְּיוֹם טוֹב אָסוּר מִשֶּׁם מַרְקַהַּ. יִצְחָק דִּיהָבָּא שְׁאֵל לְרְבִּי יֹחָלְכָּוּ. מְשִׁם רְבִּי יִבְּהוּ מָתוֹן קְּיֹנְיְשִׁ עְּבָּוֹ בְּיוֹם טוֹב אָסוּר מִשֶּׁם מַרְקַהַּ. יִצְחָק דְּיהָבָּא שְׁאֵל לְרְבִּי יוֹחָנֶן. מָהוּ מִישְׁתְּ קּוֹנְדִּיטוֹן בְּיוֹם טוֹב אָסוּר מִשֶּׁם מַרְקַהַּ. יִצְחָק דְּיהָבָּא שְׁאֵל לְרְבִּי יוֹחָנֶן. מָהוּ מְיִבְּי יִבְּי אָבָּהוּ שְׁרָבִי אַבְּהוּ מְּאוֹ דְּעָבְיד טַבְּאוֹת לְא שְׁחִיק בְּיִבְּי אָבְּהוּ בְּעָב יִינְיתְבוֹל בְּיִבְּאוֹ בְּעָבִיד טַבְּאוֹת לְא שְׁחִיק לִיהְ מְשְׁרָת בְּיִא בְּיוֹ בְּרָבִי אַבְּהוּ דְּרְבִּי אַבָּהוּ הָּרְבִּי אַבְּהוּ יִּבְי אָבָּהוּ בְּעִב יִיבְּי אָבְּהוּ בְּעָב דְּיִבְי אָנְהְרוּן. בְּיִים בְּי זְעוֹרְה שְׁבְּ בִּי זְעוֹרְה בְּעִב דְּיִבְי אַבְּרָה שְׁבְּי בְּנִי מִימֵר. הָבִי אֲבָּהוּ דְרָבִי אַבְּרְ וְּבִּי יִבְּי וְעִרְהוֹן נְשְׁהוֹן בְּבִי מְעִבּי מִימֵר. הָבִין אֲמְר בְיִשְׁ בְּיוֹי בְּיִי זְעוֹרְה שְׁא שָׁר בְיִי זְעוּרָה שְׁאֵל לְקַלָּה דְרוֹמָא עַבְדֵּיה דְּרְבִּי יִּדְן נְשִייִא. שְׁחָבִי לְנִיה עָּיִבְי לְּעִילְ בְּשֵׁם רְבִּי יִבְּיִבְּי לְבִיי מִינִי סִיקְרִיקוֹוֹן. רְבִּי יִבְּי לְּבָּי לְבִייִנְי סִיקְרִיקוֹן. בְּיִרְבִי לְּבִי מִינִי סִיקר בְּיִבְי יִבּין בְּיִבּי לְבִיים בְּיבּים בְּיבִּי לְּבִּי לְבִּיים וְּבְּי לְבִיים וְיבִּיוֹם בּירְבִי לְבָּיים בְּיבּים בְּיבִּים בְּישׁם בִּי בִּינְם בְּבִּי בְּיבִּים בְּבִּיים וְחִבּיתְם בְּיוֹבְיוֹבְין בְּבִּין בְּי בְּיִבְין בְּיוֹבְין בְּיוֹבְין בְּיוֹבְיוּים בְּבִּי בְּישׁם בְּבִי יְם בְּים בְּבִים בְּיבּים בְּיבְבִּי בְּבִּים בְּבִיים בְּיבּי בְּיבְּבִים בְּיבְים בְּיבְים בְּיוֹם בּיוֹב בְּבִיים בְּיִבְים בְּיים בְּבְּים בְּבִּים בְּבִים בְּבִּיבְים ב

דָרְבִּי אֵבְדוֹמָא דָצִיפּוֹרִין. מַה פָּלִיגִין. לִיתֵּן לַצְּלִי. הָא לִקְדֵירָה מוּתַּר. רְבִּי נַחוּם אַמַר. רְבִּי שָׁמוּאֵל בַּר אַבַּא בַעֵי. הַדָא אָטְרִיתַא. לְיָבָּשׁ אַסוּר. לְקָדֵירָה מוּתַּר. עַל יַד עַל יַד צְרִיכַה. 2-1 סיטרא G סיטרה אוכתה A שיטרא דמדוכתא הנידוכין A הנדוכין כדרכן C סיטרה דמדוכתא סיטרה דמדוכתה A $\mathbf{A}$  אילונתין  $\mathbf{G}$  אילונתין  $\mathbf{G}$  אילונתין  $\mathbf{A}$ - A אמי G אמי C אימי C אמי C אמי C אמי C ${f G}$  אילנתין  ${f G}$  מרקיח  ${f A}$  מרקיח  ${f A}$  מרקיח  ${f A}$  אלונטית מרקיה  ${f G}$  אלונטית מרקית דיהבא G דהבה A דהבה G מהוא מישחוק A לשחוק בהבה G מהבה ל מהוא מישחוק Gיצחק שאל לר' שמעו' בן לק' מהוא משחוק A יצחק עטושיא אל לר' שמעו' בו לק' מהוא משחוק לריש לקיש משחוק קונדיטון ביומא טבא א' ליה שרי ויב - A ונה אבהוא ל-5 ה' אבהוא G אבהו שרי והב ואנא G ונה אבהוא ל-5 ה' אבהו שרי שרי קונדיטון ביומא טבא אמ' ליה שרי והב דעבד A אבהוא A - אנורא G אבהוא A אעירא בעא G בעה A בעה A אבהוא A אבהוא A אעורה A(2) - A אבה הכא G אבהוא הכא G אבהוא אמ' ליה אין G אמתמול אמ' ליה אמ' אמ' ליה אין G אבהוא הכא Gמחמיר מחמרן A מחמיר אסיר A זעורה A זעורה A זעורה A אסיר אבהון A אסיר אבהון אינרא A אסיר אבהון אינרא אבהון אינרא דיהא  $\mathbf{A}$  אית  $\mathbf{A}$  ואית הכין  $\mathbf{G}$  הכן דייא  $\mathbf{G}$  דיי והוא  $A \mid$  והוא  $-G \mid$  מחמירין כן  $-G \mid$  והוא אחיקו A זעירה A זעירה A אחיקו A לקיומה A לקיומה A אחיקו A אחיקו A אחיקו A אחיקו A אחיקו A אחיקו A- A סיקמריקון - B סיקמריקון - אמי 11-11 ר' יצחק - צריכה - הוא - אומי - 11 סיקריקון - סיקמריקון - סיקרייקון אימי איטריתה Gאיטריתא אטריתא Gאיטריתה אבא

Samuel pounded on the side of the mortar<sup>211</sup>. Ray said, anything that is pounded may be pounded in its ordinary way<sup>212</sup>. Rav Huna<sup>212</sup>, Rebbi Jeremiah, Rebbi Immi in the name of Rebbi Johanan: Garlic, and cress, and mustard seed are pounded in their ordinary way<sup>213</sup>. Rebbi Abbahu in the name of Rebbi Johanan: He who makes oenanthium<sup>214</sup> on a Sabbath is liable because of compounding. Is it therefore permitted on a holiday<sup>215</sup>? Rebbi Hizqiah in the name of Rebbi Jeremiah: Making oenanthium on a holiday is forbidden<sup>216</sup> because of compounding. Isaac Gold asked Rebbi Johanan, may one pound for spice wine<sup>217</sup> on a holiday? He said to him, it is permitted. < Rebbi Isaac from Atosha asked Rebbi Simeon ben Laqish, may one pound to make spice wine on a holiday? He said to him, it is permitted:>218 give it to me and I shall drink. Rebbi Ze`ira asked before Rebbi Abbahu: Would one not act well in pounding it the day before? He said to him, yes. The argument of Rebbi Abbahu is inverted. Here he says permitted, and there he says forbidden<sup>219</sup>. But since Rebbi Abbahu knew that Rebbi Ze`ira was restrictive, and that they<sup>220</sup> are restrictive, therefore he agreed with them. Some want to say that so he said to him: If one wants that it be good he will not pound it the day before. Rebbi Ze`ira asked Kallidromos<sup>221</sup>, the slave of Rebbi Judah the Prince, does your master pound to make spice wine on a holiday? He said to him yes, and all kinds of cuts of meat<sup>222</sup>.

Rebbi Isaac ben Rebbi Elazar in the name of Rebbi Immi, the father of Rebbi Eudaimon of Sepphoris. Where do they disagree<sup>223</sup>? For grilling. Put

for the pot it is permitted. Rebbi Naḥum said, Rebbi Samuel bar Abba asked about noodle dough. To let it dry is forbidden, for the pot it is permitted, part and part is questionable<sup>224</sup>.

211 If he had to grind some spices he turned the mortar on its side to make it different from weekday pounding. Since this is a statement of what he did, not what he taught, it seems that this was a personal precaution since in his medical practice he might also pound some materials for compounding a medicine which if done in the ordinary way would be a biblical infraction, as mentioned later in this paragraph.

212 If it may be ground into fine particles at all, it may be done the way it is done on weekdays. Babli 14a in the name of Samuel.

213 If this name belongs to the chain of transmission it would have to be Rebbi Huna. But since G also has the title "Rav", and Rav Huna was the leader of the second generation of Amoraim in Babylonia, one has to read the statement as indicating two sources. Both Rav Huna and R. Jeremiah quoting R. Immi in the name of R. Johanan state . . . .

214 A wine-based medicine (Galen 13. 540). In the Babli *Šabbat* 140a defined as a suspension of balsamum in old wine.

215 Since it is stated to be forbidden only on a Sabbath and at least the wine base of the medicine is food.

216 The way it is formulated for the Sabbath it cannot be formulated for the holiday. Compounding (spices or medicines) is one of the 39 categories of work forbidden on the Sabbath, an unintended infraction makes the person

liable for a purification sacrifice since the intentional infraction is a capital crime or deadly sin. But work on holidays is a simple prohibition which never can trigger liability for a purification sacrifice, and intentional infraction is not a deadly sin. Therefore "liable" fits for the Sabbath and "forbidden" for the holiday.

217 Latin *conditum* (*vinum*), usually used for the finished product, here used for the spices to be put into the wine. Even though spice wine might be used for medical purposes it also is a drink for healthy people and therefore its preparation is permitted on the holiday.

218 Sentence missing in the ms. and printed edition. Since the sentence is both in **G** and A, it belongs to the text, and was dropped by the scribe because of homeoteleuton.

219 While R. Abbahu did not explicitly say that pounding spices for spice wine is forbidden on the holiday, he agreed with R. Ze ira that it was better to pound the day before, implying that on the holiday it must be done in a way different from weekday action, against Rav's ruling at the start of the paragraph.

220 The Babylonians, including R. Ze`ira the immigrant from Babylonia.

221 Reading of S. Liebermann, *Tarbiz* 3, p. 209.

222 Mincing the meat with the knife, *sica*. Probably this means to make sausage on the holiday.

223 The disagreement of the Houses of Shammai and Hillel about pounding salt.

When it is used sparingly, both agree that it may be pounded in a wooden mortar in the regular way. If great quantities are needed the House of Shammai is restrictive. Babli 14a.

224 Making noodle dough for use after the

holiday clearly is a biblical violation and forbidden. Making soup noodles for immediate use clearly is permitted. Making both cannot be biblically forbidden; whether it is rabbinically forbidden is left undecided.

(fol. 59d) **משנה ט**: הַבּוֹרֵר קִּמְנִית בְּיוֹם מוֹב בֵּית שַׁמַּאי אוֹמְרִים בּוֹרֵר אוֹכֶל. וּבֵית הַנֹּלְ אוֹמְרִים בּוֹרֵר בְּלְץ הְאֹבֶל. וּבִית הַלֵּל אוֹמְרִים בּוֹרֵר כְּדְרְכּוֹ בְּחֵיקוֹ וּבְתַמְחוּי אֲכֶל לֹא בְטַבְּלָא וְלֹא בַנְּכָּרְה. רַבְּן הַמֹּליאל אוֹמר אָף מדיח ושוֹלה:

**Mishnah 9**: If one selects out legumes<sup>225</sup> on the holiday, the House of Shammai say he picks out the food<sup>226</sup> and eats. But the House of Hillel say, he picks out normally into his chest or a basket, but not on a tablet, not with a fine sieve nor with a coarse sieve<sup>227</sup>. Rabban Gamliel says, also he drenches and scoops off<sup>228</sup>.

225 He separates the edible from the inedible parts of peas, beans, or lentils.

226 Since separating the chaff from the

226 Since separating the chaff from the grain is a biblical Sabbath violation, and on the holiday may be classified as preliminary to the preparation of food, the House of Shammai require that on the holiday one follows the Sabbath rules, which let you pick out the food but not the chaff.

227 They hold that the activity is included

in the permitted preparation of food but require that it be done in a way which does not resemble commercial preparation of the harvest for sale.

228 He proposes to dump the entire material into a vat or barrel filled with water. The chaff will float on the surface and can easily be swept out. Since the legumes will be wet, it is not a commercial procedure.

(60d line 34) **הלכה י:** רְבִּי יוֹנֶה בָעֵי. עֶשֶׂה כֵן בַּשְּׁבָּת. עַל דְּבֵית שַׁמֵּי מָהוּ שֻׁיְבָּ. אָמַר לִּאָה לִא. אִילּוּ עָשֶׂה כֵן בַּשַּׁבָּת עַל דְּבֵית הַלֵּל שֶׁמָּא אֵינוֹ חַיִּב. וְהָכָא חַיִּב. לְיָה רְבִּי יוֹנֶה אַבָּא כֵן בַּשַּׁבָּת עַל דְּבֵית שַׁמֵּי. לְמָה. הוּתָּר מִכְּלַל אָמֵר רְבִּי יוֹנֶה אַבָּא. לֹא אַתְיָא אֶלֶא עַל דְּבֵית שַׁמֵּי. לְמָה. הוּתָּר מִכְּלַל בְּרֵירָה בַּשַּׁבָּת. בְּרֵירָה בִיוֹם טוֹב. לֹא הוּתָּר מִכְּלֵל בְּרֵירָה בַּשַּׁבָּת.

G שמיי מהוא G שמיי מהוא 2 והכא 2 והכא 2 והכא 3 מהוא 2 שמיי מהוא G שמיי G שמיי G שמיי G שמיי G שמיי G שמיי G PRebbi Jonah asked, if he did this on the Sabbath, in the opinion of the House of Shammai would he be liable? Rebbi Yose said to him, why not? If he did it on the Sabbath would he not be liable according to the House of

Hillel? And here he is liable. Rebbi Mana said, my father Rebbi Jonah said it correctly. It is a problem only for the House of Shammai. Why? Because the category of selecting was permitted on the holiday, nothing of the category of selecting was permitted on the Sabbath<sup>230</sup>.

229 This and the following paragraphs are from *Šabbat* 7, Notes 314-342. The Genizah text ends here; the text A brings only the first few lines.

230 The objection of R. Yose is pointless. There is no problem for the House of Hillel since they hold that selecting as a category is permitted on the holiday but forbidden on the Sabbath. But we do not know whether the House of Shammai hold the same and are rabbinically restrictive on the holiday

more than the House of Hillel or whether they hold that selecting does not belong to the preparation of food but to preliminaries to preparation which are not exempted on the holiday and for which, therefore, the rules are identical on Sabbath and holiday. Since in his days, in the middle of the Fourth Century, the House of Shammai had disappeared for 250 years, no answer can be given.

(15) וֹרָבִי אוֹחָלָּיִם מִתּוֹדְ אוֹכְלִים. חִיּזְקּיָה אָמֵר. חַיִּיבָ. וְרַבִּי יוֹחָנָן אָמַר. פְּטוּר. מַתְּנִיתָה פְלִיגָּא עַל חִיּזְקָיָה. בּוֹרֵר וְאוֹבֶל. בּוֹרֵר וּמַנִּיחַ עַל הַשִּׁוּלְחָן. רְבִּי בּוֹן בַּר חִייָה בְּשִׁם רְבִּי שְׁתְּנִיתָה פְלִיגָּא עַל חִיּקְיָּה. בּוֹרֵר וְאוֹבֶל. בּוֹרֵר וּמַנִּיחַ עַל הַשִּׁוֹלָה. רְבִּי בּוֹן בַּר חִייָה בְּשָׁם רְבִּי שְׁמוֹאֵל בַּר רַב יִצְחָק. תִּיפְתָּר בְּשֶׁקִיה. שְׁכֵּן הַבּוֹרֵר כְּדַרְכּוֹ בְּעָקוֹם אַחֵר חַיִּיב. עַל דַּעְתֵיה דְּרְבִּי וֹחָנָן. שְׁכֵּן הַבּוֹלֵר כְּדַרְכּוֹ בְּמָקוֹם אַחֵר חַיִּיב. עַל דַּעְתֵּיה דְּרְבִּי וֹחָנָן. שְׁכֵּן הַבּוֹלֵר כְּדַרְכּוֹ בְּמָקוֹם אַחַר חַיִּב. עַל דַּעְתֵּיה דְּרְבִּי וֹחָנָן. שְׁכֵּן הַבּוֹרֵר כְּדַרְכּוֹ בְּמָקוֹם אַחַר חַיִּיב. עַל דַּעְתֵּיה דְּרְבִּי וֹחָנָן. וַאֲפִילוּ רְימוֹנִים מִן נֵּו רִימוֹנִים. אִין כֵּינִי אֲפִילוּ בְּנֵי עַשׁ מִן נֵּו בְּנִי בְּשִׁ מִן נֵּוּ בְּנִי בַּעִּקוֹם אַחַר חַיִּב. עַל דַּעְתָּיה בְּנִי בְּעִיקוֹם אָחַן נֵּוּ בְּנִי בְּעִיקוֹם אָחָן בְּיִי מִּינִי אֲבְילוּ בְּעָבְילוֹ רְאַנִּיה בְּנִי אֲפִילוּ בְּנִי בְּעִבְּי בְּנִי אֲבִילוּ בְּנִי בְּנִי בְּעִבְּי מִוֹן נֵּי נְבִּי לְּתָּבְילוֹ בְּעְבְּי בְּנִי בְּעִב בְּשִׁבְּת חַיְבָּב עִבְּיוֹ בְּרְבְּיִי לְינִר בְּנִי בְּעִים בְּנִי בְּעִים בְּעִי בְּיוֹב בְּעִים בְּעִבְּי בְּיבְיי בְּנִי בְּעָבְּים בְּעִים בְּעִבְּיוֹ בְּבְיבְיוֹם בְּבִי בְּעִבְּים בְּעִיבְים בְּבִי בְּשִׁבְּי בְּנִי בְּבִּי בְּעִים בְּוֹי בְּעָבְים בְּיִבְיּי בְּבִי בְּעִבְּים בְּבִּי בְּיִיבְים בְּבְּיִים בְּבְּיוֹ בְּעִבְּיִים בְּיבְי בְּיִבְי בְּעִים בְּחַר בְּיבִי בְּעִים בְּתְיבְיבִי בְּיִים בְּבְּי בְּבְי בְּבְיבְי בְּי בְּבִי בְּעִבְּי בְּבְיבְיים בְּיוֹים בְּינְיבְי בְּיים בְּיבְּי בְּבִי בְּעִבְית בְּבְיי בְּבְּי בְּבְיי בְּיוֹם בְבְּיוּבְיי בְּיוֹבְיבְיוֹבְי בְּעְיבְּבְיּים בְּבְּיבְּי בְּיוֹם בְּינְיבְּים בְּיוֹים בְּיוֹבְיבְייוֹ בְּבְיבְיים בְּוֹיבְיבְיים בְּעְיבְּים בְּיבְיבְיוּים בְּבְּיבְיבְיוּים בְּבְיבְיוּבְּיוּבְיבְיוּ בְיבְיבְים בְּיבְּיבּי בְּבְיבְּיוֹים בְּבְיבְיבְיבְיבְיבְּבְיבְּיוֹים בְּיבְּבְיבְיבְיבְּיבְיבְיבְיבְיבְיבְיבְיוֹים בְּ

<sup>231</sup>If one selected food out of food, Ḥizqiah said, one is liable; Rebbi Joḥanan said, one is not liable<sup>232</sup>. A *baraita* disagrees with Ḥizqiah: He selects and eats, he selects and puts on the table<sup>233</sup>. Rebbi Abun bar Ḥiyya in the name of Rebbi Samuel bar Rav Isaac: explain it if guests were eating what was served. But was it not stated: On condition that he did not select all of its kind? In the opinion of Ḥizqiah, because one who selects on the Sabbath is liable. In the opinion of Rebbi Joḥanan, because one who selects normally at another place<sup>234</sup> is liable. In the opinion of Ḥizqiah, even rings among rings<sup>235</sup>, even pomegranates among pomegranates. Or is it so, even people among people<sup>236</sup>? How is this? Everybody agrees with that of Rebbi Immi. For

Rebbi Immi had guests; he brought before them lupines<sup>237</sup> and beans<sup>238</sup>. He told them, be careful to eat the artichokes<sup>239</sup> at the end.

231 The paragraph has a parallel in the Babli, *Šabbat* 74a/b.

232 It is somewhat difficult to understand Hizqiah's position. What is biblically forbidden on the Sabbath is removing chaff from food, not food from chaff (except, as mentioned later in this paragraph, if the entire batch was cleaned, when there is no difference what was taken from where.) Biblically Hizqiah would have to forbid to remove the food one does not want to eat from the food one wants to eat; the other way would only be rabbinically forbidden.

233 For immediate consumption. There is no difference whether one puts food in his own mouth or in others'.

234 The "other place" is the Sabbath.

Liability on the Sabbath can always be avoided by doing things in a decidedly unprofessional way; the mention of doing things "normally" is appropriate here.

235 String figs from a heap of string figs.

236 Then it would be forbidden on the Sabbath to call people to read the Torah unless they had been selected beforehand. This we never heard.

237 Greek θερμός.

238 Greek φάσηλος.

239 Greek κινάρα. On a holiday it is permitted to select anything for immediate consumption, even if there are no remainders, and eat a different dessert at the end.

(60d line 47) תַּנֵי. אֵין בּוֹרְרִין לֹא טוֹחֵנִין וְלֹא (מְדַקְדְּקִין) [מַרְקַדִין]. הַבּוּרַר הַטּוֹחַן הַמְרַקֵּד בַּשְׁבָּת נְסְקַל. וּבְיוֹם טוֹב סוֹפֵג אֶת הָאַרְבָּעִים. וְהָתַנִּייָן. בּוֹרֵר כְּדַרְכּוֹ בְּחֵיקוֹ וּבְתַּמְחוּי. אָמֵר רְבִּי חֲנִינָא עַנְתנַיִּיָה. דְרַבָּן גַּמְלִיאֵל הִיא. דְרַבָּן גַּמְלִיאֵל אָמֵר. אַף מֵדִיחַ וְשׁוֹלֶה: וְהָא תַנֵּי. שְׁלְבִית רַבָּן גַּמְלִיאֵל הָיוּ שׁוֹחֲקִין פִּילְפְּלִין בָּרִיחַיִם שְׁלֶהֶן. מוּתָּר לְטְחוֹן וְאָסוּר לְבוֹר. אָמֵר רְבִּי שְׁלְבִי בּוּן. לֹא הוּתְרָה טְחִינָה כְּדַרְכָּה. מְנַיִין שָׁאֵין בּוֹרְרִין וְלֹא טוֹחֲנִין וְלֹא מֵרְקִידִין. רְבִּי אָחָא רְצֵי שִׁמְר בְּי שִׁמְעוֹן בָּן לְקִישׁ. כָּל־מְלָאְכָה (ֹא־יַעֻעֻשֶּׁה בָּלִים עַד וּשְׁמַרְתֶּם אֶת־הַמַּצּוֹת. רְבִּי יוֹסֵה בְשֵׁם רְבִּי שְׁמְעוֹן בָּן לְקִישׁ. כָּלִּכְלּלְלֶּכָה לֹא־יַעֻשֶּׂה לֹמֶב עַד וּשְׁמַרְתֶּם אֶת־הַמַּצוֹת. תַנֵּי שִׁמְעוֹן בֶּן לְקִישׁ. אַדְּ אֲשֶׁרְ לֹכְל־לֶּבֶשׁ הָוּא לְבַדְּוֹ הָוֹא לְבָדְוֹ הַבִּי שֹׁמְלוֹן בָּלֹא יִקְעוֹר לְמָב עָד וּשְׁמַרְתֶם אֶתְרְהָה בְּשֵׁם רְבִּי שֹׁמְדֹן לְבִיל לְכָל־לָּבֶשׁ הְוּא לְבַדְּוֹ מְלֵּב לְלְכִל לְּכָל לֹבֶל לֹבְלּי לְנִיל וְכְּדְיוֹ עְשֶׁתְ הְצֹיך וְלֹא יִיְחוֹן וְלֹא יְרָקוֹד בְּיוֹם טוֹב. חַזְקָּה וּפְלִיא. אַדְ הָוֹא לְבַדוֹ הְבֵּיל מִיעוֹנִיין. שְׁלֹא יִקְצוֹר וְלֹא יִרְשִׁד בְּיוֹם טוֹב.

It was stated: One does neither select, nor grind, nor sift<sup>240</sup>. He who selects, or grinds, or sifts, on the Sabbath is stoned. On a holiday he absorbs the 40<sup>241</sup>. But did we not state: "he picks out normally into his chest or a basket"? Rebbi Ḥanina from Antonia said, this is Rabban Gamliel's, for "Rabban Gamliel says, also he drenches and scoops off." And was it not stated, in the household of Rabban Gamliel they were grinding pepper in their

mills<sup>242</sup>? It is permitted to grind but forbidden to select. Rebbi Yose ben Rebbi Abun: Normal grinding was not permitted<sup>243</sup>. And from where that one may neither select, nor grind, nor sift? Rebbi Aḥa in the name of Rebbi Simeon ben Laqish: *No work shall be done on them* up to *and you shall guard the unleavened bread*<sup>244</sup>. Rebbi Yose asked, but did one not infer cooking only from there? Rebbi Yose did not say so, but Rebbi Yose in the name of Rebbi Simeon ben Laqish: *Only what can be eaten by every person this alone may be made by you*, up to *and you shall guard the unleavened bread*<sup>245</sup>. Ḥizqiah stated in disagreement<sup>246</sup>: *only, every, person*, are diminutions, not to select, nor to grind, nor to sift on a holiday.

240 Translation of the corrector's text and the text in *Šabbat*. The scribe's text "one is not pedantic" would leave open the possibility that coarse selection and grinding was not prosecutable.

241 The 39 lashes which are the standard punishment for breaking biblical prohibitions for which no other biblical punishment is specified. The Babli disagrees and declares these activities only rabbinically prohibited on a holiday, cf. Tosaphot Šabbat 95a, s. v. מהרודה.

While preparing food is biblically permitted on a holiday as shown later in the paragraph, there is a dispute between the anonymous majority and R. Jehudah whether this includes preparations which could have been made the day before without impairing the quality of the food, which the majority prohibits and R. Jehudah and Rabban Gamliel permit. It is stated here that for the majority the prohibition is biblical, at least concerning preparations for baking.

242 Rabban Gamliel will agree that milling flour is biblically forbidden on a holiday; he will hold that grinding pepper in a peppermill is not professionally grinding and not something which may be done the day before without impairing the quality of the spice.

244 Ex. 12:16-17. The text omitted by the

quote "up to" permits preparation of food on a holiday, as quoted later in the paragraph. 245 There is nothing missing between the two quotes, so that the note "up to" seems to be superfluous. The meaning is explained in Tosaphot Besah 3a s.v. גזרה (at the end): vv. 16.17 form a unit: what can be eaten by every person this alone may be made by you, and you shall guard the unleavened bread. Any preparation of mazzah which requires guarding against possible leavening is permitted the holiday, anything preceding this, i. e., mixing flour with water to make dough, is forbidden.

246 Against the Mishnah where the House of Hillel permit selecting.

לא מְסְתַּבְּרָא דִי לֹא מִשֶּׁם מְרַקִּיד. רָבִּי יוֹנֶה וְרָבִי יוֹמָה וְּרֵיהוֹן אֱמְרִין. בְּקַדְמִיתָא הֲוֹינֶן אֶמְרִין. לֹא מִשֶּׁם מְרַקִּיד. רְבִּי יוֹנֶה וְרְבִּי יוֹמָה וְּרֵיהוֹן אֱמְרִין. בְּקַדְמִיתָא הֲוֹינֶן אֶמְרִין. לֹא מִשֶּׁם מְרַקִּיד. רְבִּי יוֹנֶה וְרְבִּי יוֹמָה וּרְרֵיהוֹן אֱמְרִין. בְּקַדְמִיתָא הֲוֹינֶן אֶמְרִין וְסוֹלֶת מִלְמַעֲלֶן. אַף הַמְשַׁמֵּר יַיִּן מִלְמַשֶּׁן וְסוֹלֶת מִלְמַעֲלֶן. אַף הַמְשַׁמֵּר יַיִּן מִלְמַשֶּׁן וְסוֹלֶת מִלְּמַעֶּן וְסוֹלֶת מִלְמַעֲלֶן. וְלֹא הֲוֹינֶן אֱמְרִין כְּלוּם. לְמָה הוּתָר מִכְּלֶל שִׁימוּר. אֲבָל נוֹתְנִין לַתְּלוּיָה הוּתָּר מִכְּלֶל שִׁימוּר. אֲבָל נוֹתְנִין לַתְּלוּיָה הוּתָר מִכְּלֶל שִׁימוּר. אֲבָל נוֹתְנִין לַתְּלוּיָה בְּיוֹם טוֹב: וְלֹא הוֹתָר מִבְּלֶל הַרְקָדָה. דְּאָמֵר רְבִּי חֲנִינֶה בַּר יָקָה בְּשׁם רַב יְהוּדָה. אֵין שׁוֹנִין אֶת הַקְּמֵח אֲבֶל מֵרְקִידִין לַאֲחוֹרֵי הַנְּכָּה. אִין תִּימִר מִשֶּם מְרְקִיד הוּא. יְהֵא אָסוּר. אָמֵר רְבִּי יוֹסֵה בְּיִבְיִי הוּא. יְהֵא אָסוּר. בְּעֵייָא הְדָא בְּרִבִּי הוּךְ, דִּי לְא כְרְבִּי יוּדָה. דְּתַנֵּי מִשְׁם רְבִּי יוּדָה. בְּעִייָא הְדָא מֵר לְצִייִ אוֹלָל נְפֶשׁ הִתְּירוּ. בְּעַיִּיא הְרָא בְּתִּל בְּרָבִי וּלְּה. בְּנִייִם לְאָחוֹרֵי הַנָּבָּה בִּוּן דִיּן לְשִׁלְחוֹת אֵת הַקְּמֵח לְאֲחוֹרֵי הַנְנָבָּה בְּרָבִי וֹדְבּי הְנִרְה. בְּנִים בְּנִיר בְּוֹר לְשִׁנֹת אֵת הַקְּמְח לְאָחוֹר. הְנַבְּל הַבְּרְבִי הַנְּבְּר בְּוֹן בְּתִּיל לְשִׁנֹת אֵת הַקּמְח לְאחוֹרֵי הָתְבָּים בְּנָבְים הַבְּבְּיוֹ בְּוֹן בְּיִבְּים בְּוֹן בְשִׁלְתוֹים בְּעִי בְּוֹן בְּעִילְם בְּבְּיִים לְּשִׁם בְּבְּבְיִים בְּיִבּי בְּעֹי לְשִׁבְּים בְּעִים בְּעִים בְּבְּיִים בְּעֹים בְּבְּיִים בְּיִבּי בְּעֹּים בְּבְּים בְּעֹים בְּבְּים בְּבְּים בְּעִים בְּעִבּי בְּוֹבְי בִּיוֹב בּוֹּן בְּיִבּי מִילְבְים בְּיִבְּים בְּיִבּי בְּיוֹם בְּבְּי בְּיִבְּי בְּבְּי בְּבְּים בְּים בְּבְיוֹים בְּיִם בְּיִבּי מִילְב בְּיוֹים בְּיוֹים בְּבְּים בְּעִים בְּיוֹם בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִבְּא בְּיוֹב בְּעִים בְּבְּים בְּיִבְּים בְּיִּבְּים בְּיִבְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּבְּים בְּיִים בְּבִּי וֹנְהְבְּיִבְנְים בְּעְם בְּבִי וּבְבְּים

המשמר | G המשמר | מסתברא | G מסתברא | G מסתברה הילא | G דלא בקדמייתה | G בקדמייתה | G המרקיד | הויען | G הויען | G המויען | G המויען | G המרקיד | הויען | G הוויען | G אבל נותנין | G ונותנין | B די לא | G דלא בעייא | G בעייה | G מילתא | G מלתה מהו | G מהוא כרבנן | G כרבנין

<sup>247</sup>Rebbi Ze`ira, Rav Hiyya bar Ashi in the name of Samuel: One who filters is liable because of selecting. Rebbi Ze'ira said, it is more reasonable that it should be because of sifting. Rebbi Jonah and Rebbi Yose both said, at the start we were saying that Rebbi Ze'ira said it correctly, since as in sifting the flour is below and the farina<sup>248</sup> on top, so in filtering wine the wine is at the bottom and the yeast on top; but we were not saying anything. Why? Because the category of selecting was permitted, the category of filtering was permitted<sup>249</sup>. The category of selecting was permitted: "he selects normally, on his chest, or from a pot". Also the category of filtering was permitted, "on a holiday one puts into one which was hanging<sup>250</sup>". But the category of sifting was not permitted. As Rebbi Hanina bar Yaqe said in the name of Rav Jehudah, One does not re-sift the flour but one may pass it through the back of the sieve<sup>252</sup>. If you say it is because of sifting, it<sup>253</sup> should be forbidden. Rebbi Yose ben Rebbi Abun said, it does not follow Rebbi Jehudah, for it was stated in the name of Rebbi Jehudah, also preparations for making food are permitted<sup>253</sup>. There is a question about the following: following the rabbis, may one re-sift the flour through the back of the sieve?

247 This text also appears in *Šabbat* Chapter 20 (17c line 35). The parallel in the Babli is in *Šabbat* 138a where the argument of R. Ze`ira is quoted in his name but the introductory statement is in the name of Rav

Cahana. In *Šabbat*, the original author is Samuel, not Rav. This may be a *lectio difficilior* since Rav Ḥiyya bar Ashi was a companion of Rav; but if Rav Cahana is Cahana, the stepson of Rav, it would

represent a Babylonian tradition.

248 The coarser pieces.

249 On a holiday, as shown later from Mishnaiot.

250 Mishnah Šabbat 20:1. According to the anonymous majority on a holiday one may not put a filter on top of a barrel because this is an activity not covered by the general permission to prepare food, but if the filter already was in place one may filter wine on a holiday.

251 This sentence, while it is at this place in all sources, does not belong here but at the very end of the paragraph where it answers to a question raised there. If flour had been sifted before but the housewife wants to sift it again on the holiday before using if for baking, she may turn the sieve upside down and use it with the sieve instead of being concave downwards being convex upwards. This is unprofessional and therefore not biblically forbidden even according to the opinion stated in the preceding paragraph that all preparations preceding making dough are forbidden on the holiday. Cf. Babli 29b.

252 Filtering.

253 Tosephta Megillah 1:7.

(fol. 59d) **משנה י**: בֵּית שַּמֵּאי אוֹמְרִים אֵין מְשַּלְּחִין בְּיוֹם מוֹב אֶלָּא מָנוֹת. וּבֵית הָלֵּל אוֹמְרִים מָשְׁלְחִין בְּיוֹם מוֹב אֶלָּא מָנוֹת. וּבֵית הָלֵּל אוֹמְרִים מְשַׁלְּחִין בְּיִבוֹת שְׁמָנִים וּסְלָתוֹת וְקִשְׁנִּיוֹת אֲבָל לֹא תָבוּאָה. רַבִּי שְׁמָעוֹן מָתִּיר בָּתִבוּאָה:

**Mishnah 10**: The House of Shammai say, on a holiday one sends only portions<sup>254</sup>, but the House of Hillel say one sends domestic animals, wild animals, and birds, whether alive or slaughtered. One sends wines, oils, and flour, and legumes, but no grains<sup>255</sup>. Rebbi Simeon permits grains<sup>256</sup>.

254 Either a prepared meal or a single piece of meat which can be cooked as is.
255 Since it was stated in the previous Halakhah that grinding grains is forbidden on the holiday; therefore grains are not food

available on the holiday.

256 Grains can be used as cold or hot cereal and also as animal feed without being ground. There is no reason not to treat them as ready food on the holiday.

60d line 71) הלכה יא: וְקַשְׁיָא עַל דְּבֵית שַׁמֵּי. יֶרֶךְ גְּדוֹלָה מוּתָּר לְשַׁלְחָה. גְּדִי קַטְן אָסוּר לְשַׁלְחוֹ. אָמֵר רְבִּי יוּדְן. לֹא מִסְתַּבְּרָה בְּטוֹעֲנוֹ. אֲבָל אִם הָיָה מוֹשְׁכוֹ וְהוֹלֵךְ מַה בֵין מוֹשְׁכוֹ לְהַשְׁקוֹתוֹ. רְבִי הוֹשַׁעְיָה רַבָּה אָמֵר לְרְבִּי יוּדְן נְשִׂייָא. שַׁמֵעְתָּ מֵאָבִיךְּ. לְהוֹלִיכוֹ מֵה בֵין מוֹשְׁכוֹ לְהַשְׁקוֹתוֹ. רְבִי הוֹשַׁעְיָה רַבָּה אָמֵר לְרְבִּי יוּדְן נְשִׂייָא. שַׁמֵעְתָּ מֵאָבִיךְּ. תַּה אִם הְיְתָה מוּכֶנֶת לַשְּׁחִיטָה מוּתֶּרֶת. וְאִם לָאו אֲסוּרָה. וְאֵין כָּל־תַּרְגְּוֹלִין מוּכְנִין לַשְּׁחִיטָה. לָבֵן צְרִיכָה אֲפִילוּ אֵינָה מוּכֶנֶת. אֵמְרָה לוֹ בַּלְחִישָׁה. אָמֵר לוֹ. לְמָה שַׁשְּׁמַעְתִּיהַ בְּלְחִישָׁה. כַּךְ אַנִי אוֹמְרָהּ לֹּדְּ בַּלְחִישָׁה.

ליו אסורה G שמית (שמעת G שמעת מאביך G מאבוך 4 מתרת G שמית G שמית G שמעת G שמעת G שמית G שמיר G שמין G שמיר G תרגוליו G תרגוליו G

Halakhah 11: It is difficult for the House of Shammai. A large thigh one is permitted to send, a small kid goat one is not permitted to send. Rebbi Yudan said, it only is understood if he carries it<sup>256</sup>. But if he draws it and it walks, what is the difference if he draws it to deliver it or he draws it to let it drink? The Great Rebbi Hoshaia asked Rebbi Jehudah the Prince, did you hear from your father whether one is permitted to move a chicken<sup>257</sup>? Certainly if it was ready for slaughter it is permitted, otherwise it is forbidden. But are not all chickens ready for slaughter? The question is, maybe even if it is not ready<sup>258</sup>. He told him in a whisper<sup>259</sup>. He asked him, why are you telling me in a whisper? He said to him, just I heard it in a whisper, so I am telling you in a whisper.

256 The House of Shammai can forbid to deliver a whole animal only if it is carried, not if the animal walks.

257 If the House of Hillel do not allow an egg laid on the holiday to be moved, would it not be reasonable to conclude that a chicken raised to produce eggs cannot be moved either.

258 If the chicken explicitly is raised to lay

eggs, not to be used as meat.

259 If it is to be a private ruling, not to be made public, it must be a permission to move such a chicken for which the prior argument was made that it may not be moved. The permission to deliver live animals on a holiday spelled out in the House of Hillel's Mishnah is not restricted.

(61a line 3) תַּנֵּי. מְשַׁלְּחִין חִשִּים שֶׁהֵן מַאֲכָל עֲסִיסִיוֹת. פּוּל שֶׁהוּא מַאֲכָל נָדִיּוֹת. שְׂעוֹרִים שֶׁהֵן מַאֲכָל בְּהַמָּה. לֹא כֵן תַּנֵּי מִשֵּׁם רְבִּי שָׁמְעוֹן. לְכָל־לֶּבֶשׁ. אַף נַפְשׁוֹת בְּהַמָּה בִּכְלָל. שָׁמְעוֹן כִּשִׁישַׁת רְבִּי עֲקִיבָה רַבּוֹ. כְמַה דְרְבִּי עֲקִיבָה אָמֵר. לְכָל־לֶּבֶשׁוֹת בְּהַמָּה בִּכְלָל. כֵּן רְבִי שִׁמְעוֹן אוֹמֵר. לְכָל־לֶּבָשׁ. אַף נַפְשׁוֹת בְּהַמָּה בִּכְלָל.

אתייה G אתיא אתיים G אתיים שעורים G אתיים 1

It was stated<sup>260</sup>: "One sends wheat because when crushed it is food, beans because when wet they are food, barley because it is animal feed." Did we not state so in the name of Rebbi Simeon? *For all breathing*<sup>261</sup>, also breathing animals are included. The argument of Rebbi Simeon parallels that of his teacher Rebbi Aqiba. Just as Rebbi Aqiba said<sup>262</sup>, *for all breathing*, also breathing animals are included, so Rebbi Simeon said, *for all breathing*, also breathing animals are included.

260 Tosephta 1:23, a different text Babli 14b. In the Tosephta, (and the quote in *Or Zarua* II 341) instead of מדמו one reads "from Lydda". This also would make "tosephta spelling" a toponymic. But since the Genizah text confirms the scribe's, it is impossible here to emend the text, in particular because the Tosephta is

not certain as a Palestinian text. Here it is tentatively interpreted as from Arabic ילי "to be moist, covered with dew".

261 Ex. 12:16. The verse permits preparing food "for all breathing things" on the holiday. *Mekhilta Bo Pisha* 9. 262 Babli 21b.

(fol. 59d) משנה יא: מְשַּלְּחִין כֵּלִים בֵּין תְּפּוּרִין בֵּין שָּאֵינָן תְפּוּרִין. אַף עֵל פִּי שֶׁאֵין לְצוֹרֶךְ הַפּוֹעֵד. אֲבָל לֹא סַנְדָּל מְסוּמָר וְלֹא מִנְעֵל שֶׁאֵינוֹ תָפּוּר. רְבִּי יְהוּדָה אוֹמֵר אַף לֹא מִנְעֵל לְבָן מִפָּנֵי שַאַרִיךְ אוֹמִן. זֶה הַכָּלֶל כָּל־שָנֵאוֹתִין בּוֹ בִיוֹם מוֹב מִשַּלְחִין אוֹתוֹ:

**Mishnah 11**: One may send garments whether sewn or not sewn<sup>263</sup>, and even if they do not respond to a holiday need<sup>264</sup>. But not a sandal with nails<sup>265</sup> nor a shoe which is not sown. Rebbi Jehudah says, also no white shoe<sup>266</sup> because it needs a craftsman. This is the principle: Anything which one may use on the holiday one may send.

263 The sewn garments may be worn, the unsewn pieces of cloth may be used to cover items, in particular food.

264 Not for a specified need of the holiday, only a possibility of legitimate use.

265 Nails in the soles, which are forbidden to wear on the Sabbath (Mishnah *Šabbat* 6:2) and holidays.

266 Not colored white but undyed leather, which needs expert dying.

(8 line 8) **הלכה יב**: כֵּינִי מַתְנִיתָה. מִפְּנֵי שָׁהוּא צָרִידְּ בֵּיצַת אוּמָן. מַתְנִיתָה דְּרְבִּי לִיעֶזֶר דְּתְנִילֶן תַּמְן. מִנְּיָלִת הַיְּרָבִּי לִיעֶזֶר בְּיָבִי לִיעָזֶר מְטָהֵר וַחֲכָמִים מְטַמִּין. דְּבְרֵי הַכֹּל הִיא. שַׁנְיָא הִיא דְּתַנִּילֶן תַּמְלָעל עַל הָאִמּוּם. רְבִּי לִיעֶזֶר מְטָהֵר וְחַכְּמִים מְטַמִּין. דְּבְרֵי הַכּּל הִיא. שַׁנְּיָא הִיא כְּמְלֶּעִיר כֶּלִי בְיוֹם טוֹב. תַּנִּי. אֵין מְפְרְקִין אֶת הַמִּנְעַל מֵעַל הַאָמוּם בְּחֹם טוֹב. אַבַּל מִפְּרְקִין אֶת הַמִּנְעַל מֵעַל מֵעַל הַאָמוּם בְּחֹלוֹ שַׁלְמוֹעֵד.

האמום G שניה G שניה 2

**Halakhah 12**: So is the Mishnah: Because it needs the shoe-maker's form<sup>267</sup>. Is the Mishnah Rebbi Eliezer's? As we have stated there<sup>268</sup>, "the shoe on the shoe-maker's last, Rebbi Eliezer declares pure but the Sages declare impure<sup>269</sup>." It is the opinion of everybody. It is different here since he is like finishing an implement on the holiday<sup>270</sup>. It was stated<sup>271</sup>: One does not

dismantle a shoe from the last on the holiday, but one dismantles a shoe from the last on the intermediate days of the holiday<sup>271</sup>.

267 Blackening a shoe is not really professional work; it can be done by everybody, but it needs professional tools. Babli 15a.

268 Mishnah Kelim 26:4.

269 In this context, "pure" means "impervious to impurity", "impure" means "possibly impure". For R. Eliezer a shoe still on the last is not an implement, therefore it does not fall under any of the categories of things that may become impure. For the Sages, the moment the shoe is fully sewn and may be worn immediately after being removed from the last, it is a

shoe and therefore subject to impurity. Babli *Šabbat* 141b.

270 In the case of the Mishnah, while a person may be embarrassed when wearing a shoe with leather in its natural color, the shoe is not less wearable than a regularly dyed one. Therefore blackening the shoe is not really "hitting with a hammer", the biblically prohibited action on the Sabbath and holidays of turning a work-piece into an implement, but it looks like it and therefore must be rabbinically forbidden.

271 Since on the intermediate days one may prepare clothing for immediate use.

(61a line 13) מָהוּ לְהַעֲמִיד חָלֶב בְּיוֹם טוֹב. אָם אוֹמֵר אַתְּ כֵּן. אַף הוּא חוֹלֵב וּמַעֲמִיד מִיּוֹם טוֹב לחוֹל.

1 מהוא G מהוא

May one make cheese from milk on the holiday<sup>272</sup>? If you are saying so, he will milk and make cheese on the holiday for the workday.

272 There can be no biblical prohibition to make soft cheese which can be used on the same day it is made, since this is no different from cooking a dish, presuming the

milk was available from before the holiday. The prohibition is purely rabbinical. Babli *Šabbat* 95a.

(41 aline 14) תַּנֶּה רְבִּי חֲלַפְתַּא בָּן שָׁאוּל. תַּלְשִׁיטִין אָסוּר לְשַׁלְחָן. אָמַר רְבִּי חֲלַפְתַּא בָּן שָׁאוּל. תַּלְשִׁלְחָן. אָמר רְבִּי אָבּוּ הָא לְלוֹבְשָׁן מוּתָּר. תַּנֵּי. מְטַלְטְלִין אֶת הַשּׁוֹבְּר לְהַשְׁקוֹת בּּוֹ אֶת הַתִּינוֹק. אֶת הַשּׁוֹבְּר לְהַשְׁקוֹת בּּוֹ אֶת הַמִּרְהָה לְכַסּוֹת בָּהָן אֶת הַבּלִים. אָמַר רְבִּי אָבוּן. מַתְנִיתָה אֵמְרָה שָׁמְרָה לְכַסּוֹת בָּהָן אֶת הַבּלִים טוֹב מְשְׁלְחִין אוֹתוֹי. אִם אוֹמֵר אַתְּ שָׁמּוּתָר לְשׁלְּחָן. כָּהוּ הָדָא דְתַנֵּי. מְטַלְטְלִין אֶת הַשּוֹבְּר לְהַשְׁקוֹת בּּוֹ אֶת הַתִּינוֹק. לְנִלּבְשָׁן. יְהֵא מְתָּרְקּשׁ אֶת הַמִּרְאָה לְכַסּוֹת בָּהֶן אֶת הַבּלִים. בְּשָׁבְּישׁ עֲלֵיהֶם תּוֹאַר כָּלִי. עַד אֶת הַפִּילִיקס אֶת הַקּרְקּשׁ אֶת הַמִּרְאָה לְכַסּוֹת בָּהֶן אֶת הַבֵּלִים. בְּשָׁבִּי עֲלִיהֶם תּוֹאַר כָּלִי. עַד בְּעִבְישִׁייִן שֶׁלְּכֶסְף. וְאֵמְרִין בְשֵׁם רְבִּי יִרְמְיָה. אָסוּר. וְאֵמְיִן הֲחִוֹן הַוֹוֹן בְּתִיהָ בְּיִילְ, בְּתִיה. מִוּתָר. אָמֵר רְבִּי יִוְמְיָה. אֲנָא יְדֵע רֹאשְׁה וְסוֹנָּא. טַלְייִן דְּקִיקִוּ הֲחִוֹן הֲווֹן בְּעִּם רְבִּי יִרְמְיָה. אַתְא וְשָׁאַל לְרְבִּי יִּעוֹרָה. אַמֵּר לִיה. לָא תַאָּמוֹר וְלֹה. לָא תִּישָׁרָן וְהַוֹוֹ בְּדַרתֵיה דְּרְבִּי יִרְמְיָה. אַתָּא וְשָׁאַל לְרְבִּי יִּעוֹרָה. אַמֵּר לֵיה. לָא תַאָמוֹר וְלֹה. לֵעִילָיך הָבַּייִן וְבְּיִר הָבִייִם בְּבָּי יִרְמְיָה. אַתְא וְשַׁצְל לְרְבִּי יִעוֹרָה. אַמֵּר לֵיה. לָא תַאָּמוֹר וְלֹה. לֵעִילָה.

8 אמרון (2) אמרון אוארן קואמרן קואמרן G אליהם קוא הדא G מהוא הדא G מהון G חלפתא חלפתה הדא G מהרבין מהוא Gאמרון מהרבין הדא Gאמרון מהרבין האתא Gאמרון מהרבין האתא G

<sup>273</sup>Rebbi Ḥalaphta ben Shaul stated: It is forbidden to send jewelry. Rebbi Mana said, they said only to send, therefore to wear is permitted. It was stated: "One may move the *shofar*<sup>274</sup> to let a child drink, the writing tablet, and the bell, and the mirror, to cover vessels." Rebbi Abun said, a Mishnah says that it is forbidden to wear it, as we have stated: "Anything which one may use on the holiday one may send." If you say that it is permitted to wear it should be permitted to send. And what is that which was stated: "One may move the *shofar* to let a child drink, the writing tablet, and the bell, and the mirror, to cover vessels"? If they are called implements<sup>275</sup>. So far gold jewelry. Also even silver jewelry? They said in the name of Rebbi Jeremiah forbidden, and they said in the name of Rebbi Jeremiah permitted. Rebbi Ḥizqiah said, I know the beginning and the end. Small girls were growing up in Rebbi Jeremiah's dwelling. He went and asked Rebbi Ze`ira, who told him: do not forbid and do not permit<sup>276</sup>.

273 This text is copied in *Šabbat* 6 (Notes 60-65).

274 Tosephta Šabbat (13:16 ed. Liebermann) The ram's horn. As a musical instrument it cannot be used on a holiday other than New Year's Day. Since writing is forbidden on the holiday, a writing tablet cannot be used. The mirror is discussed in Šabbat 6. The Tosephta applies to the Sabbath as well as to holidays since one speaks of moving in a private domain (Babli Šabbat 35b/36a).

275 They must have permitted use. For

example, the *shofar* must have been used on a preceding weekday as bottle for a toddler; the other things mentioned must have been used as covers.

276 Since in principle silver jewelry is forbidden to wear on a holiday as much as gold jewelry, he cannot permit. But since the girls would not listen to him if he would forbid, he should not prohibit. Since this is a rabbinic prohibition only, it is better that people should be ignorant of the prohibition than violate it knowingly.